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## Introduction

This second volume of Mastering the Chess Openings investigates openings in which White plays 1 d 4 . As in Volume 1, which examines 1 e 4 , I work from the ground up, starting with the very first moves of each opening to explain its elementary properties. Someone with only a modicum of playing experience should be able to master these fundamentals and use them as a basis for understanding the more sophisticated material that follows. For a primer on the rudimentary principles that apply to all opening play, please refer to Chapter 1 of Volume 1 . The next two chapters of that volume may also be useful, since they identify the ideas and themes most often referred to in the book as a whole.

My philosophy is the same in both volumes, but a few points bear repeating. These books are not meant to cover all openings, much less all of their variations; such an undertaking would require scores of volumes. Instead, I have selected systems that I consider the most useful for the sake of explanation and instruction. In the main, these are the most 'important' openings, in that they have had a large following through the years and have a well-developed theoretical underpinning. Within these major openings I have picked a number of variations to study in some detail, based upon the belief that in-depth familiarity with several variations is better than superficial understanding of all. In order to place this selection in context, I leave signposts to indicate the direction in which alternatives may lead.

While some of the games and analysis are recent, many classic examples are used to illustrate general points.

This is not primarily a theoretical tome: some of the opinions that I venture about the value of hotly-contested individual lines will undoubtedly prove wrong or irrelevant. Instead, my goal is to provide a solid basis for the reader to play openings successfully, emphasizing positional features and techniques that extend to variations beyond those at hand. Notice that this differs from a full explanation of an opening using concepts specific to that opening. We shall see that individual moves themselves express ideas, whether or not they fit into a general scheme that has previously been set forth. Accordingly, a certain level of detail is absolutely necessary to understand both the consistent strategies and the anomalies that can render such strategies irrelevant.

On a practical level, I have subjected readers to recitations about the niceties of move-orders; the associated issues can be confusing but bear a direct relation to real-world results. Assessments of variations can evolve very rapidly, but how one best arrives at the desired starting points tends not to change much.

In the next chapter I examine the fundamental characteristics of 1 d 4 and how it differs from 1 e4. You will find further comparisons between these moves in both volumes. The study of 1 d 4 by itself will suffice to improve your chess understanding by leaps and bounds, but if you truly aspire to master the game you will want to know as much as possible about the e-pawn openings as well. I sincerely hope that these volumes will help you in both respects.

## 1 Introduction to 1 d 4 and the Closed Games



At the most basic level, 1 d 4 might seem to resemble 1 e 4 . It brings a pawn to the fourth rank to occupy the centre and frees a bishop for action. Very much as the main 'goal' of 1 e 4 is to enforce a successful d4, it may be said that after 1 d 4 White's goal is to achieve e4. Nevertheless, even from this most primitive standpoint, we can see that 1 e4 only controls one central square ( d 5 ) and 1 d 4 controls two ( d 4 and e5). This latter quality accounts for some immediate differences between opening with the queen's pawn and the king's pawn. For example, when we look at the defences to 1 e 4 , some of them attack e4 directly: the Alekhine Defence (1 e4 $\triangle \mathrm{f} 6$ ) and the Scandinavian Defence ( 1 e 4 d 5 ). Others allow 2 d 4 and then attack e4 on Black's 2nd move: the Caro-Kann Defence ( 1 e 4 c 62 d 4 d 5 ), the French Defence (1 e4 e6 2 d 4 d 5 ) and the Pirc Defence ( 1 e 4 d 6 2 d 4 ff ). But versus 1 d 4 , none of Black's major defences attack the d4-pawn, and none even allow 2 e 4 , i.e., these defences all start with either $1 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ or $1 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{f} 6$, fighting for control of e4.

It doesn't take much thought to see how that difference arises: after 1 e 4 White's e-pawn is unprotected, meaning that attacking it with
tempo can bring significant rewards, or at least a temporary initiative. Since White's d-pawn is already protected by his queen after 1 d 4 , the chances for Black to gain the initiative by targeting it are correspondingly low.

On the other hand, when he plays 1 d 4 , White has done nothing to contribute towards castling kingside. In fact, he often follows up with the moves $2 \mathrm{c} 4,3 \mathscr{A} 3$ and in some cases
 which clear the way for kingside castling. One might argue that in such situations White's prospects of queenside castling are enhanced, since early moves by the knight, bishop, and queen clear the road for castling queenside. However, apart from a few attacking lines such as the Exchange Queen's Gambit and the Sämisch Variation of the King's Indian, White seldom avails himself of the opportunity to castle queenside. As is the case in most chess openings, queenside castling carries with it too many risks in terms of exposing White's king to quick attacks.

Then the question becomes whether Black can gain anything from White's delayed kingside castling. Can he put pressure on White that requires a degree of compromise in White's strategic plans? Before turning to 1 d 4 d 5 , let's consider the Indian Defences that begin with 1 d4 ©f6. The answer to our question changes with each opening and in each variation. In the main line of the King's Indian Defence, for example, Black puts very little pressure on White while he's getting castled, since his first five moves don't threaten anything or even directly challenge the centre. For example, the main
 Qf3 0-06 㫫e2 with 70-0 next. As in all major openings, Black's counterplay is based upon a central advance, normally the move ...e5. In that case White has great strategic leeway and
delayed castling hasn＇t proved a decisive factor in the ensuing play．Furthermore，White can achieve positions in which his moves allow rapid castling anyway；e．g．， 1 d 4 Øf6 2 c 4 g 63 Qf3 \＆g74g3 d65 會g2 and 60－0．But if White plays more ambitiously and delays $0-0$ longer， he may run into other issues－for example，af－
 cxd5．In that case White has exposed his e4－ pawn，which can＇t be supported by other pawns． Thus Black can play $9 \ldots . . \pm \mathrm{e} 8$ ，leaving White having to respond to the threat on his e－pawn before being able to castle．

White＇s e－pawn is not always Black＇s main target．For example，in the main line of the Ex－ change Grünfeld， 1 d 4 ©f62 c4 g6 3 0 c 3 d 54 cxd5 $0 x d 55$ e4 $0 x c 36$ bxc3 合g7，White needs to set up his pieces having in mind Black＇s quick attack on his d4－pawn by ．．．c5 and ．．．$\pm c 6$ ．This means that White is confined to just a few ways of rearranging his pieces in order to bring his king to safety，placing them on what he may consider non－optimal squares；
 the process of shoring up his centre with pieces， White might allow Black to play ．．．cxd4 and ．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wa } \\ & 5+ \\ & +\end{aligned}$ on the move before he＇s managed to ar－ range 0－0；for example， $7 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 30-08$ \＃bl c5 9虫e2 cxd4 10 cxd4 Wa5＋．More obvious situa－ tions arise from variations in the same opening such as 1 d 4 乌f62c4g63 2 c 3 d 54 §f4 and 4 ． g 5 ，in which Black can play ．．．c5 and White＇s necessary defensive moves relate directly to his delayed kingside development and inability to castle quickly．

In the Nimzo－Indian Defence， 1 d 4 Qf6 2 c 4 e6 $30 \mathrm{c} 3 \hat{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{b} 4$ ，there are several situations in which Black can play ．．．©e4 quickly in order to disturb White＇s plans on the kingside．Two ex－

 plans to play $\mathbf{~ d} 3$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{ge} 2$ ，he might run into， for example， 4 e 3 b6 5 空d3 全b7，when White will normally play $6 \Delta \mathrm{f} 3$ ，because after $6 \triangleq \mathrm{e} 2$ he can＇t castle in time to protect his g－pawn．Of course there are just as many variations in which delaying 0－0 doesn＇t affect White＇s plans，but in contrast to most 1 e 4 openings he has to take into account the trade－offs involved in delaying his kingside development．

Openings beginning with $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 5(D)$ are known as the＇Closed Games＇．We shall begin our 1 d 4 investigations in the next two chapters by examining those openings．


Black emphasizes prevention of e4，since that would ideally be White＇s next move．In fact， very seldom will you see a successful e4 on one of the first six or seven moves of a Queen＇s Gam－ bit Declined（2c4e6）or a Slav（2c4 c6），which are the most important Closed Games．This con－ trasts with both the King＇s Indian and Grünfeld Defences mentioned above．Still，the impor－ tance of the move e 4 motivates both sides＇play． White＇s predominant response to $1 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ is 2 c 4 ， clearly aimed at undermining d 5 and thus shak－ ing Black＇s control of e4．With the positional threat of 3 cxd5 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{xd} 54$ gc3 and 5 e 4 ，Black usually feels compelled to prevent the key move e4 even at the cost of compromising his position． Thus we see the main lines 2 ．．．e6 and 2 ．．．c6．As explained in the next chapter about the Queen＇s Gambit Declined（2．．．e6），other second moves tend to give White a central majority in return for Black＇s lead in development．What we＇ll find is that although Black can cope with or prevent e4 in the Closed Games，he doesn＇t get away un－ touched in doing so．In the next two chapters， we＇ll discuss the ways in which White can try to exploit Black＇s concessions within the context of specific，selected opening variations．We shall also see how Black tries to combine pressure upon White＇s centre with maximum activity for his pieces．The Closed Games with 1 d 4 d 5 are rightly considered essential to the education of all developing players．

## 2 Queen＇s Gambit Declined

$1 \mathrm{d4}$ d5 $2 \mathrm{c4}$（D）


This is the venerable Queen＇s Gambit，the most popular response to $1 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ by a huge mar－ gin（all the more so if you include 2 Øf 3 fol－ lowed by $3 \mathrm{c4}$ ）．White＇s immediate goal is to break down Black＇s control over d5，or other－ wise gain concessions from him．This chapter is primarily about $2 \ldots \mathrm{e} 6$ ，and includes short sections on other less important ways to decline the gambit．

Before moving on to more sophisticated anal－ ysis，I should stipulate that the Queen＇s Gambit is not a gambit in the sense of giving up a pawn for the sake of other compensating factors． White can recover his pawn almost immedi－ ately after $2 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 43 \mathrm{e} 3$ or $3 \varrho \mathrm{f} 3$ or even 3 e 4 ． To show this，let＇s try the simple 3 e 3 ，intending 4 全xc4 with easy development．At this point Black has a perfectly acceptable game by re－ turning the pawn．He can play 3．．．Df6 4 余xc4 e6，for example．But Black doesn＇t normally try to hang on to the pawn by 3 ．．．b5？because it leads to a disadvantage．White plays 4 a 4 ！（D）．

Here Black needs to avoid 4．．．c6？ 5 axb5 cxb5？？ 6 鍺f3，attacking the rook on a8 and winning at least a piece．But other moves return the pawn under poor circumstances；for exam－



 positions White has the advantages of the cen－ tral majority and pressure on Black＇s weakened queenside．

On the other hand，Black can＇t just sit around． The move 2 c 4 attacks his d5－pawn，and if White were given a free move he would play 3 cxd5 $\frac{4}{6} x d 54 气 \mathrm{c} 3$ ，gaining a tempo on the queen，and play 5 e 4 next．That would establish the classic ideal centre．How to respond？If Black doesn＇t want to accept the gambit，he can choose to defend his pawn by $2 \ldots$ e6 or $2 \ldots$ ．．．c6． Alternatively he can decline by counterattack


These latter moves are relatively less com－ mon，and we shall look at them shortly．But first I want to make some introductory comments about Black＇s main choice：

2．．．e6（D）
The position after 2．．．e6 introduces the clas－ sical Queen＇s Gambit Declined，an opening ri－ valling the Ruy Lopez as the greatest in chess history．For generations this move was almost obligatory at the highest levels．The greats such as Steinitz，Lasker，Capablanca，and Alekhine chose in it in the vast majority of the games in which they confronted 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 ．The 1927 World Championship match between Alekhine and Capablanca featured no fewer than 32 out of 34 games with the Queen＇s Gambit Declined．


Although no longer holding such an exalted status，2．．．e6 has remained the most important defence to the Queen＇s Gambit throughout the years and into the present．In some ways this is strange，because Black imprisons his bishop on c 8 behind its own pawns．This is no trivial mat－ ter，since the bishop won＇t be able to participate in the struggle to control the centre nor in any active role．As usual，pieces condemned to stay－ ing on the first rank cause other problems，such as interfering with the connection of the rooks．

The struggle to free the light－squared bishop and find a good spot for it is arguably Black＇s main problem in the Queen＇s Gambit De－ clined（a．k．a．＇QGD＇）．As Kasparov indicates， the theme of finding a role for this bishop per－ meates the theory of the opening，and even complex ideas can often be reduced to it．The obvious question，then，is why Black would subject himself to a potentially arduous task． What happens if Black doesn＇t block off his bishop？

A superficial explanation concerns the two conventional alternatives．First，accepting the pawn by $2 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$ immediately cedes the centre to White．And in both Queen＇s Gambit Ac－ cepted theory and practice，it turns out that ．．．e6 is usually played within a few moves，before Black＇s c8－bishop is developed anyway！For example，the traditional main line of the Queen＇s Gambit Accepted is 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 dxc 43 左3 Qf6 4 e3 e65 全xc4 c5，when that bishop is left sitting on its original square behind the e6－ pawn．

By contrast，the Slav Defence with 2．．．c6 keeps the $c 8$－bishop open for development，but it takes away the best square for Black＇s queen＇s
knight．The move $2 \ldots \mathrm{c} 6$ also foregoes ．．．c5， which is one of Black＇s most effective ways to attack White＇s centre．Furthermore，Black＇s two main lines in the Slav Defence are hardly per－ fect solutions to the light－squared bishop prob－ lem．After $2 \ldots \mathrm{c} 63 乌 \mathrm{f} 3$ ，he can choose the extremely popular Semi－Slav by $3 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6$（or 3．．．e6） 4 ®c3 e6，in which case the bishop on c8 is still hemmed in，even more so than after 2．．．e6．The most favourable variation in this re－ spect is the old main line 3．．．乌f6 4 乌c3 dxc4 5 a4 全f5．While this develops the bishop quite actively，it comes at the cost of giving White a central majority．These variations and associ－ ated issues will be discussed in detail in the next chapter．

## Declining the Gambit： Other 2nd Moves

After 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 ，the argument for playing 2．．．e6 gains force when one investigates less－ played responses to 2 c 4 which don＇t imprison Black＇s bishop on c8．This book is not encyclo－ paedic and I certainly won＇t analyse many side－variations in detail．But in this case it＇s ex－ tremely valuable to examine the ideas associ－ ated with those deviations from both 2 ．．．e6 and 2．．．c6，including their good points and the prob－ lems that accompany them．In each case，Black wants to keep the c8－bishop＇s path open and leave the c6－square free for his knight on b8． These lines are terribly instructive and hope－ fully useful．

## Marshall Defence

## $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4$ 馬 6 （ $D$ ）

What could be simpler？Black develops a piece，defends the pawn on d5，and leaves the c8－bishop with a clear path to the outside world．It＇s interesting that if you show this posi－ tion to even fairly experienced players and ask how they would proceed，many will react by suggesting 3 cxd5 $0 x d 54 \mathrm{e} 4$ ，which certainly is natural：White thereby forms the ideal centre with the gain of a tempo．Then if Black plays $4 \ldots .8 \mathrm{f} 6$ ，the obvious response is 5 品3（5 e5 Qd5 leaves Black comfortably placed on the

ideal blockading square in front of White＇s backward pawn）．Up to this point，White has done everything logically and correctly，but Black can fight back with 5．．．e5！（D）．


This changes the central equation，as every advanced player will recognize from similar positions in several openings．Play might con－ tinue，for example， 6 dxe5（ 6 d 5 金c5 allows free and easy development for Black＇s pieces）
 threatening the pawns on f 2 and $e 5$ with a complex fight ahead in which Black has fully－ fledged play．Also，for the record， 5 密d3 has a couple of drawbacks，the straightforward one being 5 ．．． 2 c 6 with pressure on the centre．Then Black is well developed following 6 d 5 e 5 or 6 \＆f3 全g4．Also possible but less clear is the temporary pawn sacrifice 5 ．．．e5！？ 6 dxe5 $Q g 4$ ．

So is $2 \ldots$ ．．$\circlearrowright \mathrm{f} 6$ the solution to the Queen＇s Gambit？Alas，it turns out that there is a better move than 4 e 4 ．Simply 4 分 3 ！gains the advan－ tage，since it stops ．．．e5 and truly threatens 5 e4．

Then the only efficient way for Black to pre－ vent that move and still remain competitive in the centre is 4 ．．．宣f5（the dubious move 4．．．f5？！ creates a big outpost on e5 for White＇s pieces， at the same time restricting the range of that c8－bishop Black was trying so hard to free）．But 4．．．要f5 can be met by 5 期 b 3 ！and it is awkward for Black to defend b7；for example， 5 ．．．b6 （5．．． 0 b6 allows 6 ac3 with e4 to come next） 6 Dbd2．White has won the central battle．This time e 4 cannot be stopped，as can be seen from an instructive line after $6 \ldots . \mathrm{f} 6(D)$ ：

 not only threatens checkmate，but also 食b5＋ and sometimes 嵝f3） 8 凤e5！ 9 d 6 （the only real move，since 8 ．．．e6 9 嗢b5＋is too strong） 9
 that is messier）9．．．c6 10 Øxc6 崰d7 11 乌xa7 0xb5 12 物5 and White has an extra pawn and good development．

What＇s the lesson behind the apparently lucky forcing moves at White＇s disposal（7 e4！ and 8 e5！）in this last variation？In the 1 d 4 openings，a recurring theme is that an early move by Black＇s bishop from c8 may be met by attacking the squares that the bishop has just abandoned，usually by the move 精b3 threaten－ ing the pawn on $b 7$ ，and sometimes by 躈a4． This occurs，for example，in many Slav and Queen＇s Gambit Exchange variations（and we see it in many other openings，including some beginning with 1 e 4 ）．The situation with re－ versed colours can elicit the same response；for
 （the Trompowsky），Black often replies ．．．c5 to get ．．．数b6 in．The same ．．．c5（or，sometimes，
．．．c6）idea comes up in the Torre Attack（with是g5），London System（with 鈤f4），the Veresov Attack（ 1 d 4 d 52 2c3 2 f 63 合g5），several variations of the King＇s Indian Defence，and a host of other openings．

The fact that 4 § 3 was clearly superior to 4 e4 in this simple example illustrates that White needs to refrain from occupying the centre with his pawns until he is sure that those pawns can－ not be attacked to good effect．For instance， Black may be able to compel White＇s centre pawns to advance，or get the opportunity to ex－ change one or both of them．The problem is ob－ vious enough，but often White＇s decision is not an easy one to make．This basic situation will arise throughout the openings that we are study－ ing．

## Baltic Defence

## $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4$ 金 55 （ $D$ ）

Rather than defend d5，Black can directly develop with this bishop move，known as the Baltic Defence or sometimes the Keres De－ fence．


Black＇s idea is simple：he would like to play the move ．．．e6，but wants to get his bishop out in front of his own pawns first．We have empha－ sized that after $2 \ldots$ e6，the c8－bishop can be a passive piece．So why not develop it first，espe－ cially to a nice active post？Well and fine，but White still has his idea of cxd5，and if Black plays ．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { U／xd5 } \\ & \text { ，he wins a tempo against Black＇s }\end{aligned}$ queen by 2 c 3 （perhaps followed by e4）．Alter－ natively，we know already that early moves by Black＇s c8－bishop can sometimes be met by

Wb3 with an attack on the b7－square．Both of these themes arise after the following two moves：
a） 3 cxd5（White chooses a gentle way to proceed，immediately establishing a central ma－ jority of pawns）3．．．． e xbl（this capture is Black＇s idea，so as to prevent White from achieving the powerful centre that would arise after $3 \ldots$ ．．． Vd x 5 $4 \varrho \mathrm{c} 3$ ，when e 4 will follow，even after $4 \ldots$ 娄e6 5 f3） 4 Wa4＋（4 Exbl U Wd5 attacks White＇s pawn on a2，so White interpolates this check）
 White with the bishop－pair and central major－ ity；for example， $6 \ldots$ ．．．gf6 7 金d2 2 xd5 8 e 4



Without looking at the theory of this position in depth，we can see how powerful White＇s cen－ tre is about to become if Black waits a move and permits White to play e4．Then White＇s two bishops will rule the board．So let＇s briefly look over Black＇s most obvious continuation： 6 ．．．e5 （note that when playing against the two bishops and with no weaknesses in the position，Black usually wants to transform the pawn－structure and create opportunities for his knights；among several other variations favouring White are 6．．． 2 d 77 e 4 乌b6 8 exd5 亿xa4 9 dxc6 bxc6 10
幽xe4＋ 10 気2 2 慈xbl 11 类b3！with various threats including 12 楼xb7 and 12 童d3幽al 13 $0-0$ ；in the latter case Black＇s queen won＇t es－ саре） 7 dxe 5 亿d78 会f4 $2 \mathrm{c} 5 ?!$（8．．．Qxe59e4）
 pawn and the bishop－pair．The basic idea here is that unless some tactic by Black changes the overall dynamic of the game，White＇s centre
and two bishops will grant him the long－term advantage．
b）Even though 3 cxd5 gave White the ad－ vantage，much more aggressive is 3 粪b b ！（ $D$ ）， following the rule that when Black＇s bishop moves from c8，look at attacks on the queenside first．


In fact 3 楼 b 3 seems to be a virtual refutation of the Baltic（with the usual disclaimer that anything can change）．Since the play that en－ sues is essentially tactical（and rather chaotic）， it isn＇t particularly instructive to demonstrate all the details．Nevertheless，we have a situation in which capturing a pawn on b7 is followed by aggressive use of White＇s queen rather than a retreat to safety．This is a theme enunciated at various points in this book．So I shall show only the theoretically most critical move 3．．．e5！？ （Black lashes out with aggressive intent；he has the usual problems that crop up when his early sortie by the c8－bishop is met by 娄b3；for ex－ ample， $3 . . \varrho \mathrm{c} 6$ ？ 4 cxd5 $\triangleq \mathrm{xd} 4$ ？？loses to 5鹤a4＋；instead，3．．．b6 4 cxd5 Df65 9c3 e6 6曾g5 keeps White a clear pawn ahead；and still worse is 3 ．．．謷c8？ 4 cxd5 0 f 65 f 3 ！with e4 next） $4 W^{W} \mathrm{xb} 7 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 75$ 亿c3！exd4（these moves are hard to improve upon；for example， 5 ．．．dxc4
 ©xd5 突d6 and now 7 D $3!(D)$ is simpler and more effective than 7 e4！？，although in my opinion both moves ultimately lead to winning games．

A critical variation goes 7．．． 0 c 58 包x7＋
粠d5 全e6 11 粕xd4 乌f6 12 b4！and wins．No－ tice how keeping the queen in the enemy camp

disturbed Black＇s development．I discussed this in Volume 1.

## Albin Counter－Gambit

## $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{e} 5$ ！？

This is another counterattacking defence that refuses to acknowledge the need to defend against cxd5．It is a more serious challenge to the Queen＇s Gambit than the second moves of the preceding two variations．Black sacrifices a pawn following 3 dxe 5 d 4 ！and hopes that the cramping effect of his advanced pawn will limit White＇s pieces while giving him freer de－ velopment．There normally follows $4 \triangle \mathrm{f} 3 \square \mathrm{c} 6$ （D）．


Unlike the 2nd－move variations seen above， White has neither an ideal centre nor tactical threats．But he does have an extra pawn and good development．White has a choice between 5 g 3 and 5 bd2（moves like 5 a 3 are also played but held in lesser regard）．This is not a
theoretical tome，but it＇s my opinion（and al－ most all theoreticians and players concur）that Black will not quite achieve full compensation． The reasons for this are concrete and explicable only by investigating the actual variations．But one way of thinking about it is that White，hav－ ing the privilege of the first move and relatively logical places to put his pieces，is likely to achieve one advantage or another if and when Black takes time to regain his pawn，whereas White＇s position is sufficiently solid and free of weaknesses that he should be able to resist a brute－force attack．Nevertheless，this verdict is hardly etched in stone given the activity of Black＇s pieces．There is in fact no fundamen－ tal chess principle that ensures the superiority of either $2 \ldots$ ．．e5 or $2 \ldots$ e6，in spite of their oppos－ ing characters．

What are each side＇s strategies in the Albin Counter－Gambit？In general（but not always） Black＇s chances lie with a direct kingside at－ tack（versus 5 g 3 ，for example，he can play ．．．要e6／f5／g4，．．．${ }^{\text {wid }} \mathrm{d} 7, \ldots 0-0-0, \ldots$ eh 3 and ．．．h5－ h4），or with a central initiative usually associ－ ated with ．．．0－0－0 and ．．． d 3 or ．．．f6．Recently， Black＇s attention has turned to ．．．$\varrho$ ge 7 －g6．For White，a variation that promises an advantage， albeit a limited one，begins with 5 Dbd2，when White has ideas of attacking the d4－pawn by means such as 0 bd2－b3 and／or b4 and 毒b2； this is causing Black some problems at present． White＇s oldest and most popular plan is to de－ velop by 5 g 3 followed by 6 金g2 and $70-0$ ． Then，after Black commits to ．．．0－0－0，White can attack via b4，often playing this as a pawn sacrifice to open queenside lines．One standard attacking idea for White involves moves like b5 and Wa4．The move b4 may also support the simple idea of 8 b 2 and 2 b 3 ，attacking Black＇s d－pawn．Versus ．．．畣g4，．．．粼d7 and ．．．0－0－0， White will often play the move 领b3（without b4）to gain threats against Black＇s vulnerable b7－square．All this is time－consuming，how－ ever，and the simple ．．．＠ge7－g6 plan challenges its effectiveness．

Naturally there are other strategies for both sides．In this sort of position featuring attacks and forcing moves，there is no substitute for careful study，which requires independent re－ search． 1 won＇t be able to guide you through that maze，but here are a couple of excerpts，
beginning with the traditional 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 53 dxe5 d4 4 $\triangle \mathrm{f} 3$ ©c6 $5 \mathrm{~g} 3:$
a）The old main line was $5 \ldots$ ．．．g4 6 宜g2歯7，as in Kozlovskaya－Mosionzhik，USSR 1971： 7 a3！？（ $70-0$ 㑒h3 8 幽b3 prepares the standard trick 8．．．0－0－0？ 9 e6！全xe6 10 Qe5） 7．．．0－0－080－0 ©ge79 9 幽a4 $\ddagger$ b8．This position isn＇t entirely clear，but the game went well for White following 10 Qbd2 0 g 611 b 4 h 512 c 5金h3？（D）．


13 e 6 ！（the same tactic）13．．．会xe6（13．．．遭xe6 14 Qg5） 14 b 5 （ce5 15 c 6 with a terrific attack．
b）Practice over the last five years has been dominated by 5 ．．．$巳 \mathrm{ge} 7$ ；for example：
bl） 6 \＆g5（depending upon the specifics，it can be favourable for White to exchange pieces， to reduce both Black＇s attacking chances and his ability to win his pawn back）6．．．幽d7！？
 the idea $\varrho \mathrm{b} 3$ is probably a tad better for White） 7 复xe7？！（ 7 h 4 ！with the idea 会 h 3 is promis－ ing；White will probably make the exchange on e7 later）7．．．全xe78 全g2 0－0 $90-0$ 党d8！ 10
速xe5，Kunte－Sales，Kuala Lumpur 2005．Black has recovered his pawn and has the two bish－ ops．Although White＇s pieces are well－placed he stands a little worse．
b2） $6 乌$ bd2 a5！？（a late addition to Black＇s arsenal，appropriate in several positions）7 㑒 g 2
气xe7 Qgxe7 12 亿c5 a3 13 bxa3？！（13 b4！ Qxb4 14 Qxd4 leaves White with somewhat better prospects） $13 \ldots 0-014 \varrho \mathrm{~b} 3 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 615 \varrho \mathrm{bxd} 4$ Qcxe5 with equality，Asgeirsson－Kristjansson， Reykjavik 2005.
b3） 6 㑒g2 0 g 67 㑒g5（there have been nu－ merous games with 70－0 $\Delta \mathrm{gxe5} 8$ 亿xe5 $0 \mathrm{xe5}$ and Black has held his own；balanced play fol－ lowed 7 幽a4 会b4＋8 0 bd2 0－0 $90-0$ a5 10 a3

 17 蕞d2 c6 \｛17．．．g4！\} 18 粪c2 a4 in Khenkin－ Morozevich，Mainz（rapid）2005）7．．．类d7 80－0 h6 9 全f4 $0 \mathrm{xf4} 10 \mathrm{gxf4}$ ，and now the typically dynamic idea 10．．．g5！（D）．


11 Dbd2（a later game Mlynek－Hasan，Brno 2005 saw 11 e3 gxf4 12 exd4 घ̈g8 13 \＄． H 1

重c5；then Black has definite attacking chances but he is a pawn and exchange down）11．．．gxf4


 Gelfand－Morozevich，Monte Carlo（Amber blindfold）2004．Of course it＇s entirely unclear who was better after $10 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ or，indeed，earlier in the game．

Needless to say，these examples are merely indicative of typical themes rather than best play．

## Chigorin Defence

## $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{c4}$ 放6（D）

The Chigorin Defence is increasingly popu－ lar and is currently considered a legitimate at－ tempt to gain equality．It could even command its own section because the positional and stra－ tegic themes associated with it are so varied． Right away we can see that $2 \ldots$ ．．．c6 is unique

in that it both develops a piece and attacks White＇s d－pawn．That means that the positional threat set up by 2 c 4 ，that is， 3 cxd5 ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{Wd} 54$
 4 号 3 ？because of $4 \ldots$ 䊦xd4．Black＇s 2nd move also sets up the advance 3．．．e5．A primary idea behind the Chigorin is rapid development：Black will rush his bishops to squares like g 4 and b 4 ， his king＇s knight to 66 or e7，and he will castle rapidly，either kingside or queenside．This is of－ ten necessary because White will have played cxd5 at some early point to gain a central ma－ jority and，given time to breathe，will march his centre pawns forward to drive away Black＇s pieces．In many lines Black needs to pin and／or capture knights on c 3 and f 3 in order to stop this expansion from taking place or at least delay it． For example，after 3 cxd5 娄xd5 4 e 3 e 55 亿c3， Black has given himself the opportunity for 5．．．． e 4 and can maintain the queen on d 5 ．Or， after 3 ）f3（renewing the idea of $4 \mathrm{cxd5}$ 曹xd5 5 ©c3），Black will play $3 \ldots$ ．．．g4，and if 4 cxd5， 4．．．㑒xf3 5 gxf3 楼xd5 follows，when again 6 Qc3？loses the d－pawn．Therefore White might play 6 e 3 ，threatening 7 \＆ 3 for real，but after $6 \ldots$ e5，Black is once more ready for 7 Ec 3㿾b4．

Such a strategy has two main problems．1t of－ ten necessitates the exchange of one or both bishops for knights，thus presenting White with the bishop－pair．Moreover，as described，White will gain a central majority at some point；in combination with two bishops，mobile pawns can be devastating．For example，this pairing of two bishops and broad centre just about invali－ dates the Baltic Defence，as described above（of course the Baltic also has tactical problems）．

The difference here is a matter of specifics and timing．In the Chigorin，Black is normally able to inflict weaknesses in White＇s position as the play develops．If not，his lead in develop－ ment can sometimes produce attacking chances or force advantageous transformations of the pawn－structure．

Here are some game excerpts representing a small fraction of Chigorin Defence themes．As with any aggressive system，specific study of variations is necessary if you don＇t want to be rudely surprised．

We＇ll start with the classic Pillsbury－Chi－ gorin，St Petersburg 1895，hardly the latest the－ ory but with a few nice ideas from the man whose name the defence bears： 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 Qc6 3 乌t 3 全g4 4 cxd5 全xf3 5 dxc6 全xc6 6



9 e57！（in order to protect the pawn on e4 White concedes the d5 outpost to Black；White should play the dynamic counterattack 9 金c4！ with some typical play going $9 \ldots$ ．．． | h $4+!10 \mathrm{~g} 3$ |
| :---: |

 fxe4 14 真xe6，when Breutigam suggests the
全g5？！e3！17全xe3复xf318金f2？\＃f8；Black seems to be doing quite well in this variation starting with $9 \ldots$ 类h4＋and $10 \ldots$ 数h3） $9 .$. Qe7 10 a3 全a5 11 全c4 金d5（Black keeps occupy－ ing the light squares，a colour－complex strategy that often occurs in the Chigorin） 12 楼 $\mathrm{a} 4+\mathrm{c} 6$



The culmination of a typical Chigorin De－ fence light－square strategy．After 18 人 d 2 气b6

 went on to win．

Kasparov－Smyslov，Vilnius Ct（11） 1984 shows us the flip side．White＇s strategy is sim－ ple：take over the centre and attack with the bishops！ 1 d 4 d 52 df3 ec6 3 c 4 宣g4 4 cxd 5




It looks as though White＇s centre can＇t ad－ vance but Kasparov found the idea 11 f 4 ！？exf4 12 e4，establishing a powerful centre．White also has two very active bishops，but he is a pawn down．The game continued $12 \ldots$ ．．．ge7 13
 attempt to grab the light squares： $15 \mathrm{~d} 50 \times \mathrm{d} 5$ 16 食c4



 pair are overwhelming Black＇s position；after

19．．．g6 20 全c4＋followed by d5 White wins a
 （after some complications， 22 会xd4 㗀xd4 23


 gi f $1 / 2-1 / 2$ ．As shown in the notes，however， Black could probably have equalized before White achieved a winning position．

We get a slightly more up－to－date look in Flear－Miladinović，Athens 1999： 1 d4 d5 2 c4
是xc3 7 全xc3 exd4 8 艮2（over the last few years，this position has occurred more than any other in the Chigorin）8．．2f6 9 Øxd4 0－0 10



And this one！After scores of games no one seems to know what＇s happening in this fash－ ionable line，although Black has his share of wins．The game went 11 公xc7 是g4 12 幽b3


16 芭 cl ！蹧e4！with great complications．An－ other case of very rapid development on Black＇s part，in this instance in return for a pawn．

Don＇t forget the bishops and centre．Wells－ Shannon，Hastings 1988／9 makes the point again： 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 气c6 3 乌f3 全g4 4 cxd 5
㑒xc3 9 bxc3 exd4 10 cxd4 气ge7 11 Ëg1 0－0？


 Exg7＋！瑰8817㑒c3 with a strong attack．

After all that，let＇s return to the standard Queen＇s Gambit Declined，which is defined by 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 6 ．As an introduction to the main lines analysed in this chapter，we＇ll walk through the first moves．

3 2c3（D）
This is White＇s most obvious and natural continuation，increasing his control over the key squares d 5 and e4．


Move－order issues permeate the Queen＇s Gambit Declined．As I wrote this chapter，it got more and more cluttered with move－order sub－ tleties．I felt that they shouldn＇t interfere too greatly with the presentation of the most impor－ tant material，especially keeping in mind that many readers may be unfamiliar with the open－ ing．Nevertheless I have to address a limited set of options over the next few moves，especially if they involve elementary moves that you should avoid if you want to play a particular variation that I＇ve written about．I think that most moder－ ately experienced players will appreciate hav－ ing some guideposts as we move towards the actual systems that we＇ll be examining．

For a more thorough treatment，I have placed an extra section at the end of this chapter that deals with the more complex details．It talks about what transpositions and independent paths can result from playing one order of moves or another，even if they seem to be heading for the same position．Experienced players may wish to take a look at that section if they need clarifi－ cation about this or that path through the jungle．

However，I want to emphasize that you can skip all of the explanations about move－orders and not worry about them until after you＇ve read the meat of this chapter．They may not be so vital until you have played the Queen＇s Gambit Declined for a while as White or as Black．If it＇s a question of doing so or giving up on this wonderful and instructive opening，by all means jump ahead to the section＇Early Commitment＇below，or even＇Classical Varia－ tions＇below that．

All right，let＇s jump into some whys and wherefores．Many players like the Exchange Variation of the Queen＇s Gambit when they＇re playing White；in fact，it is the most popular choice of all against the Queen＇s Gambit．That variation normally begins with 3 亿c 3 包 64 cxd5 exd5．Is there any reason why White wouldn＇t want to play the immediate 3 exd5 exd5（ $D$ ）instead？The answer is that from the resulting position White cannot force a transpo－ sition into that form of Exchange Variation．

This requires a fairly complicated digres－ sion．To repeat，the sequence actually called the Exchange Variation begins 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e6 3 Ec3 0 f 64 cxd 5 exd5 and has its own lengthy section in this chapter（in fact，the move 5 领5

is also part of what some call the traditional Ex－ change Variation）．But if White tries to get to that position by 3 cxd5 exd5 4 ）c3，Black can choose moves other than $4 \ldots$ ．．．The most useful of these is probably 4．．．c6．Then of course White cannot play 5 直g5？？without losing the bishop．But the alternate bishop move 5 复 f 4 hasn＇t much punch，because Black can oppose the bishop by $5 \ldots$ ．．．d d 6 if he wants to．Another perfectly satisfactory move for Black after 5㑒 44 is 5 ．．．ef5．

What if，after 3 cxd 5 exd5 4 ch c6，White rejects 5 金f4 and plays the natural move 5 D 3 ？This still isn＇t ideal for someone who likes the white side of the main lines of the Ex－ change Variation，because after 5 Qf3，Black has a good move in 5．．．ef5，and then if White plays 6 䊦b b ，Black can comfortably answer by 6．．．粠b6．By comparison，you might ask why 5．．．害f5 isn＇t a good move in our＇real＇Ex－ change Variation above（ 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 63 合3 Qf6 4 cxd5 exd5 5 宜g5）；the answer is that White can play 6 䊦b3（D）：


Then he attacks the pawn on b7 and threat－ ens ${ }^{\mathbf{~} \mathbf{x f f} 6}$ to win the pawn on d5．

So it seems as though the best move to get to the Exchange Variation is to play $3 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ ．But about playing $3 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3(D)$ ？


Players frequently get to this and related po－ sitions via other move－orders．For example，the opening might go 1 d 4 乌f6 2 c 4 e 6 ，and White may not want to play $3 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ because he＇ll have to go up against 3．．．${ }^{\text {eb }}$ b4，the Nimzo－Indian De－ fence．This is the feeling of many strong mas－ ters，whose solution is to play 3 Df3．Then Black in turn may want to play 3．．．d5，transpos－ ing to a form of the Queen＇s Gambit below．No－ tice that if you＇re playing Black and you like the Nimzo－Indian Defence，this can be an effective move－order，because it gets White to commit his knight to f 3 ，a move which is generally less feared if Black now plays 3．．．d5．But is there any drawback to that strategy？Let＇s see．After 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 63 Øf3，Black will play 3．．．⿹f6 （D）here most of the time．


In the Move－Orders section at the end of this chapter，I＇ve gone into a lot of detail about the differences between having played $\triangle \mathrm{c} 3$ or 0 f 3 ．The most important thing to understand is that if you get to the Exchange Variation，it would probably be in the following way： $4 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$皿e75 cxd5 exd5 6 宜g5 $0-07$ e3 c6（D）．


White＇s knight is committed to f3．I＇ll refer to this as the＇Carlsbad Variation＇（the corre－ sponding pawn－structure is called the＇Carls－ bad pawn－structure＇or＇Carlsbad formation＇）． There＇s nothing wrong with this position，as we＇ll see，but having the knight on f 3 has limited White＇s freedom of choice．We＇ll look at this po－ sition at great length in the Exchange Variation section later on．Many players as White prefer to develop their king＇s knight from g1 to e2，and now they＇ve lost that option．

There＇s more to think about when White plays the order 3 Øf3 0 f 64 气c3；Black can even avoid the Exchange Variation altogether， without having to concede much．I＇ve said a lit－ tle about that below．

## 3．．．$\triangle \mathrm{ff}$（ $D$ ）

This is the move that we shall look at first．It has easily been the most common choice for Black over the years．19th－century practice of 1 d 4 d 5 by the world＇s best players usually led to this position，and in fact to the positions stem－ ming from both sides＇next few moves．The only other move that was employed fairly con－ sistently was $3 \ldots$ ．．．5（the Tarrasch Variation），al－ though it was put under a bit of a cloud for some time by 4 cxd5 exd5 5 Df3 ©c6 and now Rubinstein＇s move 6 g 3 ．That＇s still the line that most dissuades Black from the Tarrasch．Only
much later，particularly since the 1960 s，was 3．．．Df6 challenged in terms of effectiveness by 3．．． e e7，an important variation called the＇Ala－ tortsev＇which is examined in its own section．


## 4） 95

White heads for the traditional main line， which goes 4 金g5 酉e7 5 e3 0－0 6 亿f3．Instead 4 cxd5 exd5 5 金g5 is finally the＇real＇Ex－ change Variation，which we＇ll be looking at carefully later．

As I described above， $40 \mathrm{f} 3(D)$ is an impor－ tant move－order for a couple of reasons．First，it arises via 3 乌f3 0 f 64 气c3 as well．


Again I＇ll refer you to the Move－Orders sec－ tion at the end of this chapter for a lengthier dis－ cussion．But there are a couple of points that you might find useful：
a）After 4 Qf3，Black can choose to play 4．．．dxc4．This introduces the Vienna Variation， which is a very complex opening．You may want to consider playing that as Black．As White，
you can＇t avoid the Vienna Variation if you play $Q \mathrm{f} 3$ and 9 c 3 on the 3 rd and 4 th moves． That probably means you＇ll want to put a little study time into it，as explained at the end of the chapter．
b）I should also point out that Black can still prevent White from getting into any kind of true Exchange Variation by fiddling with move－ orders．For example，after 4 Df3，Black can play $4 \ldots$ 賭e7，and if 5 cxd5 exd5 6 Sg5，then Black plays 6 ．．．c6 with the idea 7 e3 \％f5．That is probably easier for Black to play than the true Exchange Variation．

On the other hand，by using that order（1 d4
 allow 5 \＆f4，an important variation that I won＇t be covering in detail but will touch upon at the end of the chapter．

So much for early move－orders．We can＇t avoid the transpositions from one line to an－ other，but at least you＇ve got the basics．

Now let＇s continue stepping through our main line－we return to 4 金g5（D）：


## 4．．．㑒e7

Black unpins the knight．We＇ll look quickly at some weaker moves：
a） $4 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ？ 5 \＆xf6 creates serious problems for Black，who must either accede to the iso－ lated doubled pawns resulting from 5．．．gxf6 6 cxd5 exd5，or lose a pawn by 5 ．．．当xf6 6 cxd5
 8 e4，etc．
b）Also favouring White is $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ？！ 5 cxd 5 cxd4（5．．．exd5 6 exf6！gxf6 7 ）f3 and Black＇s pawn－structure is shattered while he＇s behind in

gxf6 8 曾d2 exd5 9 e3 and again，Black＇s pawns are badly damaged） 7 e 4 ！©c6 8 粕d2！and White clearly has the better of it because of his pressure on Black＇s centre．If you＇re interested， you can work on this material by yourself or look up the relevant theory．

5 e3（D）


In the famous position after 5 e3 we have a split in material and shall look at two moves for Black．

## Early Commitment

## 5．．．h6

This move is considered more accurate by some players and less so by others！It＇s true that 6 是x6 is considered harmless at this point，so that it seems a good time to nudge White into committing to 食h4．But one poten－ tially important difference is that now White can skip or delay the move $\triangle \mathrm{f} 3$ ，as he does in what follows．

## 6 全h4 0－0 7 登 $\mathbf{c} 1(D)$

This move－order is a Korchnoi speciality， delaying 7 ） f 3 （which would give us the main－ line Classical Queen＇s Gambit Declined，seen below）．

Finally we get to see a game！

> Korchnoi - Short
> Rotterdam 1988

## 7．．．b6！？

Black wants to play a fianchetto system．Af－


．．．e5 to come is OK for Black，but White can also play simply 9 Øf3 and we＇re back in the main line of the Lasker Variation，something which we＇ll see in detail in just a moment．The problem is that Black may not like that line；a lot of players prefer not to initiate exchanges with ．．．©e4 since they＇d like to use a system with ．．．b6 instead．

## 8 cxd5 $0 \mathrm{xd5}$

 $0-0$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~g} 3$ is a good follow－up；that＇s a bit awkward for Black because the b7－bishop is running into its own pawn．You will find that in many openings with ．．．b6，cxd5 is an effective move for White．



A funny position．This strongly resembles the Tartakower Variation that I shall discuss later，but with options for White that don＇t exist in that sequence，because he hasn＇t committed his knight to f 3 ．

11．．．豈b7

Hjartarson－Vaganian，Bundesliga 1990／1 took an instructive course after Black played the logical 11．．．要e6 12 气e2 c5 13 0－0 ©d7．Then 14 Df4！grabbed a handy place for the knight， putting pressure on d 5 but also retaining the op－ tion of ©xe6．The game continued 14．．．ضf6 15 dxc5 bxc5．Black has hanging pawns on c5 and d5，which Hjartarson proceeds to＇fix＇（discour－ age from advancing）by his next move： 16 b 3 a5
 e5 21 g 3 声h7 22 f 4 （D）．

B


In this type of position，Black＇s central ma－ jority is completely blocked whereas White＇s on the kingside is potentially mobile and c 4 is a handy outpost in front of a backward pawn．The upshot is that White has the better of it，al－ though it takes a lot of work to make progress．

12 边 2
White＇s knight development to 2 （instead of f3）means that the knight can go to f4 to put pressure on the d－pawn or even to g 3 to worry Black on the kingside．

12．．．c5 13 0－0 c4
Korchnoi－Spassky，Clermont－Ferrand 1989 went $13 \ldots$ ．．Dd7 14 䊦a4 分f6 15 dxc5 bxc5 16番这．That presents a standard QGD motif： Black＇s c－pawn is pinned and White will pile up on it．If and when Black moves it to c4，White gains the d 4 －square for his pieces，in particular his knight．In practice，Black sometimes gets queenside pressure down the b －file．The game

 Qf5（D）．

White has emerged from the opening with a very strong knight on f 5 versus a bad bishop．


14 定b1 包c6 15 b3 cxb3 16 幽xb3 曹d6 17 © 4 Ead8 18 h 4 ！ 5

Not 18．．．0xd4？？ 19 幽d3 with a double threat．




The position still isn＇t clear，but the differ－ ence in bishops is impressive．

24．．．t ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~g} 8!$
After $24 \ldots$ 家c8，the reply 25 登 1 would be very strong．

This was a good spot for $27 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ ！．



and White won quickly．You can actually ar－ gue that Black＇s problems trace back to $5 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ． That is a good move in general，but it happens to work better in conjunction with ．．．b6 if White has already played Df3．We shall see better versions of the queenside fianchetto below．

## Classical Variations

## 5．．．0－0 6 ©f3

We are at the first great dividing point that the Old Masters faced when playing the Classi－ cal Queen＇s Gambit Declined．They generally chose between the Lasker Variation（6．．．h6 7 Qh4 ©e4）and the Capablanca／Orthodox Vari－ ation（6．．．⿹bd7 and in most cases ．．．c6）．Mod－ ern players have tended to switch to various other systems as both White and Black，and the one that truly stands out is the Tartakower Vari－ ation（ $6 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 67$ i．h4 b6），a line that existed on the margins of play in early times but exploded into prominence some 40 years ago and has re－ mained the most popular choice since．

## Lasker Defence

6．．．h6（D）


This move is a significant decision．Although it breaks the beginner＇s rule about moving a pawn in front of your king， 6 ．．．h6 has at least two advantages：
a）it provides an escape－square for Black＇s king on h 7 ；
b）it means that if White lines up his bishop on d3 and his queen on c2，Black won＇t have to waste a tempo guarding his h－pawn．

On the flip side，Black makes a weakness when he plays ．．．h6 and you never know how that might end up hurting him．We shall see other examples in which Black avoids ．．．h6， with ambiguous results．

7 \＄．h4

7 是xf6 gives up the two bishops but gains time．This exchange occurs with loss of time versus ．．．b6 systems（Tartakower），for reasons that we＇ll describe later．After 7．．．exf6（D）， we＇ll often see Black free his game at the cost of exchanging one of his bishops．


A high－level illustration went 8 岿b 3 c6 9玉id1 Qd7 10 全d3 b6 $11 \mathrm{cxd5} \mathrm{cxd} 512 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{dxe} 4$
 Qb7 17 楼 2 g 618 d 5 （initiating a mass liqui－ dation before his IQP becomes a problem） 18．．．exd5 19 分xd5 宣xd5 20 Еxd5 解fd8 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Kasparov－Karpov，Moscow Wch（3） 1985.

7．．．$勹$ e4（D）


The first move of the Lasker Variation．Here we have one of the oldest defences in the Classi－ cal Queen＇s Gambit Declined complex．Study of such traditional lines is a great way to under－ stand not just 1 d 4 d 5 ideas but chess in general．

With 7．．． 2 e4，Black uses a tempo（moving the knight again）to transform his position by
exchanging pieces．Normally you＇d think that the resulting position would be much more cramped than the original－certainly Black hasn＇t done anything to solve his problem with the bishop on c8，while the bishop on e7 was a pretty good piece．But it turns out that the combination of a queen on e7 and knight on d7 can enforce the freeing advance ．．．e5，which will finally give that light－squared bishop some breathing room．The drawback to all this is that it takes time，and there＇s no reason why White can＇t mount an attack when there have been only two sets of minor pieces exchanged．

## 8 合xe7崰xe7 9 哭 1

White develops his rook so as not to lose time with 9 是d3 分xc3 10 bxc 3 dxc 411 宣xc4． This is only one of several moves．
a）For some time it was thought that White could gain some advantage after 9 cxd5 0xc3 10 bxc3 exd5 11 晚b3，but his lead in develop－ ment has vanished and his extra centre pawn is easily restrained．The main line goes $11 \ldots$ ．．．d8 12 c 4 （12 \＆e2 has several answers，including $12 \ldots . \mathrm{c} 6$ ，eyeing the light squares via $\ldots \triangleq \mathrm{a} 5$ ，as in the game） $12 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 413$ 变xc4（D）．


An instructive position，because White has two central pawns to Black＇s none，and even potential pressure down the c －file．But he hasn＇t castled yet，often an issue in openings stemming from 1 d 4 ．Here Black will develop quickly to harass White＇s pieces before he can get orga－ nized： $13 \ldots$ ．．．c6！（this threatens ．．．Da5；time is more important than structure） 14 蒌c3（the old move，to cover a5 and keep the bishop on c4； not much better is 14 金e 2 ！？b6！150－0 金b7，or here $15 \ldots$ ．．．e6 16 粕c3 \＆d5 also has its points；
after that move Black can even think about challenging White＇s kingside by ．．．巴d6－g6） 14．．．\＆ 44 （rapid development！） $150-0$（ 15 食e2食xf3 forces 16 gxf 3 anyway in view of 16

 and in Karpov－Yusupov，London Ct（5） 1989 Black had no problems and even a modest at－ tack．
b） 9 精c2 is certainly natural，intending to stop ．．．e5 cold after $9 \ldots . \mathrm{exc}^{2} 10$ Uxc3，but then Black can play $10 \ldots$ ．．dxc4（ $10 \ldots .2 \mathrm{c} 6$ ！？is worthy of consideration） 11 \＄xc4 c5！，his al－ ternative freeing move．Then White finally catches up in development by $120-0$ ，and Black has time to protect his centre by $12 \ldots 2 \mathrm{~d} 7$ with ．．．cxd4 and／or ．．．b6 to follow．Theory rates this as equal，but perhaps it＇s a place for White to in－ vestigate further in the hunt for a small advan－ tage．

We now return to the position after 9 af 1 （D）：


9．．．c6
It seems strange to put more pawns on light squares．But this time we can easily grasp the idea：Black wants to play ．．．e5，so he has to pro－ tect the pawn on d 5 first．More important is the manner in which he does it．White must now try to find a useful move，and capturing the knight by 10 ©xe4 dxe4 11 Dd2 e5！has long been
 dxc4？ 11 苃xc4 would leave White a valuable tempo ahead of the game．

## 

12 Exc4 is sometimes played，but that＇s a different story．

12．．．0d7130－0（D）


At this point we＇ll look at two games，one a well－known classic of attack（with Black play－ ing 13．．．e5），and the other a typical old－style Queen＇s Gambit with positional manoeuvring （with Black playing 13．．．b6）．Incidentally，Sad－ ler suggests $13 \ldots c 5!$ ？．It＇s quite logical，and something to consider if Black＇s standard plans all come up short or you simply don＇t like them．

> Karpov - Yusupov
> London Ct (7) 1989

## 13．．．e5 14 良b3（D）

A multi－purpose move．The obvious idea is to avoid the potential sequence of ．．．e4 and ．．． D b 6 with an attack on the valuable bishop on c4，followed by bringing out Black＇s c8－bishop， his problem piece．White also introduces a little threat．This can be seen by comparing the old
 after which 17 垂d3？！allows 17 ．．．畨xe3＋；then lengthy analysis shows that Black can escape with his extra pawn．But with the bishop on b3，
 17 f 4 ，when 17 ．．．砉e4 could be answered by 18追c2 followed by 19 e 4 and to great effect，since White＇s central majority would be mobilized． Needless to say，Black won＇t wait around for that to happen．

## 14．．．exd4

There have been a few games since this one in which Black tried to delay opening up the po－ sition so quickly．These alternatives may be playable but they have led to some attractive wins for White：

a） $14 \ldots \mathrm{e} 415$ थd2 9 f 6 leaves White the possibility of 芭c5－e5，which might be exploited by starting with 16 数bl！？；for example，16．．．Ee8
 Qe5．The move 嵝bl should be remembered in any case－it＇s important in many variations to watch over e4．



 20．．．数e7，but obviously White stands better） 21新 5 ！（ $D$ ）

B


Remarkably，the game is resignable！Vyzh－


整xe3＋27
 isolated queen＇s pawn position that is similar to the main game） 17 宸e2！（ 17 登ce3 0 f8！；in fact， ．．．$\searrow \mathrm{f} 8$ ！is the answer to almost every move！）

 fend this．Zakharevich－Biriukov，St Petersburg


 Eg8 27 分 5 崰xd4（on $27 \ldots$ 安 55 the nicest win
 gxh6 31 ह̈h7\＃） 28 空c2＋客h8 29 Еf4！1－0．

15 exd4 气左6 16 光 $\mathrm{e} 1(D)$


In this position we have the familiar isolated queen＇s pawn trade－off：White＇s weak d－pawn versus Black＇s activity．There has been some simplification，which is probably why Yusupov was ready to enter this position．But simplifi－ cation shouldn＇t be one＇s only consideration； various situations arise in this book where ex－ changing pieces doesn＇t really hurt the owner of the IQP（see the Giuoco Piano chapter in Volume 1 for an excellent example）．It＇s a mat－ ter of piece placement and，in this case，attack．

## 16．．．传d6 17 包 5 D 5

A natural defensive move，blockading the isolani．17．．．害e6 18 是xe6 fxe6 19 登 g 3 is strong for White in view of $19 \ldots$ ．．．gad8 20 䊦b3！曹xd4

 when Black is losing too much material while White＇s rooks are growing ever more active．

18 気 3 （ $D$ ）
18．．．． $\mathbf{1}$ f5
18．．．宣e6 19 磑d 2 ！attacks the h6－pawn；there
 is no good either） 20 企xd5 cxd5 21 曹f4．

19 䂞h5！害h7 20 䊘g4 g5 21 h4！f6 22 hxg5 hxg5 23 f4！？


Also good are 23 斯 h 5 and 23 思 h 3 ． 23．．．巴ae8 24 fxg 5 ！（D）


## 24．．．fxe5

A wonderful variation is given by Karpov：


 \＆ xg 8 and White wins the pawn ending．

25 g 6 㑒xg6 26 dxe5 曹e6 27 食xd5 cxd5 28


White will emerge with a couple of extra pawns，sufficient to win the day．

## Kramnik－Kasparov <br> Las Palmas 1996

## 13．．．b6！？（D）

Oddly enough，after working so hard to play ．．．e5，it may be better to go in the other direc－ tion！Black calmly prepares ．．． e b7 followed by ．．．c5．

14気d3！


White unmasks the c3－rook and simulta－ neously prepares 金e4． 14 粕e2 has also been played and is worth looking at more closely．

14．．．c5！ 15 憲e4（ $D$ ）
 18 Øxd4 e5！19 थf5（control of this excellent
 with equality，Smyslov－Kasparov，Vilnius Ct （6） 1984.


## 15．．．』b8 16 曾a4

Perhaps Karpov＇s move 16 䊑c2！？，played in the first major game with 14 ． d 3 ，is more effec－ tive（an aside：if the h－pawn were on h 7 ，as in the Capablanca Variation below，this move would gain a tempo and White would definitely stand better）．Black has trouble getting full equality in these lines；e．g．，16．．．a5？！（16．．．e5！？） 17 \＃̈c1


19 dxc 5 ！？（19 a3！may be better；for exam－
 b4 with advantage） $19 \ldots$ ．．．xc5 20 凹e5 曹f6 21



घّbd7 24 登 3 c 2 a 4 （24．．．c4！improved in Khalif－ man－Yusupov，Bundesliga 1992／3） 25 g 3 馬d5

 \＃c4 and Karpov went on to win versus Yusu－ pov in Baden－Baden 1995．There＇s something irritating about White＇s small advantages in these lines：they don＇t seem to disappear com－ pletely．

16．．．© ${ }^{\text {\＆}} 7$
16．．．$勹 6$ ！looks like a good solution（so far！）： 17 㑒c6 cxd4 18 exd4 a6 19 气e5 良b7 20 घ゙fc1

 Bologan，Poikovsky 2003.
 20 b4 e5

In this position，instead of 21 dxc5？，Dolma－ tov suggests 21 bxc5！exd4 22 exd4 bxc5 23 Ec4 with advantage．This theory will change， but the ideas are fundamental．

## Orthodox／Capablanca Defence

## 

It is obligatory here to point out one of the oldest traps in a d－pawn opening：4．．．2bd7（D）．

5 cxd 5 exd 56 亿xd5？？匂d5！ 7 是xd8 是b4＋
 Black has won a piece for a pawn．

There are some move－order issues involv－ ing $4 \ldots . \mathrm{bd} 7$ ，and one is really worth mention－ ing．If White continues＇normally＇by 5 e 3 c6 6乌f3（or 5 Df3c6 6 e3，which is the same posi－ tion），then Black has the move $6 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{6} 5$ ，intro－ ducing the Cambridge Springs Variation．It is considered sound and at worst only slightly

disadvantageous for Black．White can bypass the Cambridge Springs via 5 cxd5 exd5 6 e 3 or 6 f3，entering the Exchange Variation with－ out the hassles of ．．．\＆ 55 variations．

But if White has played $4 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$（instead of 4最g5），then after 4．．．©bd7， 5 cxd5 exd5 6 要f4 is a promising order which can lead in several directions；e．g．， $6 \ldots . . c 67$ e3 ©h5！？（7．．．害e7 8 h3！is a comfortable version of the Carlsbad Exchange Variation；refer to that section） 8安e5！？（8 \＆g5 is the safer and rational course：
畐c2 next should favour White；but watch out

 a stereotyped attack on the kingside．Black may well want to avoid this line and not play


## 5 e3 0－0 6 थf3 0 bd7

This move－order contrasts with 6．．．h6 7 要h4 De4 above，although the same ideas may still arise．

7 当 c （ D ）


## 7．．．c6

Black＇s modest advance has an idea similar to the Lasker Variation．As befits Capablanca＇s style， $7 . .$. c6 creates no weakness，in contrast to a line with ．．．h6．However，we shall see in the examples below how the omission of ．．．h6 can also be a disadvantage for Black．

The move 7．．．a6 is still played from time to time，with the idea of ．．．b5 and ．．．c5，gaining space on the queenside and preventing piece in－ cursions on b5．It may well be underrated． We＇ll follow the most famous game with 7．．．a6， Capablanca－Alekhine，Buenos Aires Wch（21） 1927： 8 a 3 （White plays the waiting game，try－ ing to avoid losing a tempo following 8 包d3 dxc4 9 最xc4，but this proves to be harmless； 8 c5 and 8 cxd5 exd5 9 要d3 are the main lines to－ day－the latter isn＇t very threatening because in the Exchange Variation，which we shall exam－ ine in depth below，the moves ${ }^{』 2} \mathrm{abl}$ and ${ }_{\text {』ael }}$ are generally more effective than 8 ac 1 ；after 8 c5，one critical continuation is 8 ．．．c6 9 倉d3 e5！
 wiv2 g6，when White has some initiative but with accurate defence，Black may be able to hold his own or at least emerge with only a modest disadvantage） $8 . . \mathrm{h} 6!9$ 要h4 dxc4 10
 （with actively－placed pieces and control of e4， Black has equalized） 14 Ød4 $\pm \mathrm{c} 815 \mathrm{~b} 4!$ ！cd7 （D）．


16 ． g 3 ！？（Black is bringing his pieces to c 4 while White in turn looks to occupy c5；for these purposes，Black＇s bishop on b7 is more suited than White＇s on g3）16．．． 2 b 617 Ub3 $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{G}} \mathrm{fd5}$ ？！ （a natural move，but because of the specifics，

17．．．Qbd5，17．．．Qc4 or 17．．．嫔d7 holds out more chance for advantage） 18 是f3？（a key juncture： White is doubtless afraid to play 18 e4！and leave his knight unprotected，but Black can＇t take advantage；e．g．，18．．．$仓 x$ xb 19 文xe6；thus the idea of $\otimes \mathrm{c} 5$ causes Black some discomfort）

 Black＇s pieces will settle in on c4）19．．．㤟c8 20



Black increases his control over the light－ square colour－complex．This theme persists un－
是xd5 24 \＆xd5 䊦xd5（with the exchange of White＇s bishop，the c4 outpost has become un－ assailable） 25 a 4 \＆f6 26 \＆f3 宜b2！ 27 吕el \＃d8 28 axb 5 axb 529 h 3 e5 30 تbl e4 31 包d4
 Exd5 34 fxe3 是xe3＋wins the rook．A highly instructive game for players of the QGD．

## 8 通d3

The standard move，but sometimes White tries to save a tempo（which is lost after 8 要d3
 e4．Then a great old example was Alekhine－ Rubinstein，Carlsbad 1923：8．．．a6！？ 9 a4！？（Ale－ khine tries to stop ．．．b5 directly，an unusual idea；both 9 \＆d3 dxc4 10 \＆xc4 b5 and 9 cxd5 are options）9．．．巴e8 10 £d3 dxc4 11 是xc4 ©d5 12 龟f4！？©xf4 13 exf4（D）．

A modern－looking position！These $\mathrm{d} 4 / \mathrm{f} 4$ structures，although still infrequent，have be－ come accepted in a greater number of situations than was the case 20 years ago．If White＇s d－ pawn disappears，he＇ll have a doubled f－pawn formation that typically arises in the Dutch


Defence Stonewall variation and in various openings where Black plays ．．．\＆g4（or ．．．\＆b7） followed by ．．． $\mathrm{e} \times \mathrm{xf} 3$ and White recaptures with the e－pawn．From Black＇s point of view，we see the same structure occurring in the main－line Slav $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{h} 4 \mathrm{xf5}}$ variations，and the Nimzo－Indian with ．．．㮺f5 and 䊦xf5，among other openings．

One of the ideas of allowing ．．． $0 \times 14$ is that White＇s central pawns will clamp down on the freeing move ．．．e5．So naturally，Rubinstein looks to his other central break and counts upon his bishop－pair：13．．．c5 14 dxc 5 （a key point for understanding the opening：White will either concentrate upon the centre or kingside，de－
 one of three ways to recover the pawn；by mov－ ing his queen，Black gets off the d－file，attacks the $f 4$－pawn，and waits to see what White will do；other moves apparently fail to equalize；







喽e5（ $25 . . . f x g 626$ 潧e4 with mate shortly） 26

需d3＋1－0．Nevertheless，Black has several al－ ternatives and this line is as yet unresolved．

## 

We have arrived at the Capablanca（or＇Or－ thodox＇）Variation．It is characterized by solidity and strongly resembles the Lasker Variation， because Black will aim for the freeing move

．．．e5．One motivation for Capablanca＇s Varia－ tion was to avoid certain orders in the Lasker Variation；for example，ones in which White exchanges on e4 after $6 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 67$ 首h4 © 4 ，or the line in which White plays 8 定xe7 ${ }^{\mathbf{W}} \mathrm{Ex} 79 \mathrm{cxd} 5$ ©xc3 10 bxc 3 exd5 11 שib3．See the section on the Lasker Variation to make sense of that ex－ planation．As it turns out，however，neither of those ideas is particularly effective for White， so the relevant difference between the two vari－ ations has to do with the h－pawn，which is ei－ ther on h6（in the Lasker Variation）or on h 7 （in the Capablanca Variation）．You＇ll see what I mean in the discussion about $110-0$ below．

At this juncture I＇ll concentrate upon the unique move 11 de 4 （A），with a briefer look at $110-0(\mathrm{~B})$ ．

A）
11 De4（D）

B


This was Alekhine＇s solution in his World Championship match versus Capablanca and
later in a famous game versus Lasker．White＇s idea is to keep the pieces on and mobilize his centre later．It still has promise．

## 11．．．25f6

Black retreats in order to challenge White＇s knight．He still intends ．．．e5 if possible．It is the best－known move．Black shouldn＇t play $11 \ldots$ e5？！immediately，if only because $120-0$ ！
 Dc7exf3！）12．．．exd4 13 数xd4 yields a position where he is struggling to equalize．Further－ more， $11 \ldots$ 娄b4＋ 12 新d2 数xd2＋ 13 家xd2！， from Alekhine－Capablanca，Buenos Aires Wch （6）1927，is a thankless position for Black．This queenless middlegame features White＇s cen－ tralized king and mobile centre pawns，whereas Black hasn＇t solved the problem of his queen＇s bishop．And of course，Black＇s weakness at d6 doesn＇t help matters．

Nevertheless，Black＇s position after 11 De4 is fundamentally sound，so arguably he can keep things in hand by $11 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ with the simple idea ．．．${ }^{\text {eb }} \mathrm{b} 7$ and ．．．c5．Then the natural move is 120－0 置b7 130g3（13 㐌e5！poses more prob－ lems；at least White stops ．．．c5）13．．．c5 14 e4 Q5f6！This knight attacks the centre，which White can＇t maintain： 15 Elel cxd4 16 良b5
 20 Excl $\Xi \mathrm{c} 8$ and Black had no problems in Alekhine－Maroczy，San Remo 1930.

After $11 \ldots . .956$ ，we have two games．

> Topalov - Yermolinsky
> Erevan OL 1996
$120 \mathrm{~g} 3(\mathrm{D})$


## 12．．．e5

In this instructive game，Black demonstrates how to neutralize White＇s pressure when faced with Alekhine＇s $12 . \mathrm{g} 3$ ，reducing White＇s ad－ vantage to a bare minimum．

 better route） $15 \ldots \mathrm{e} 5$ ！ 16 dxe 5 包4 17 e6！©de5！
 （with the idea ．．．b4） 21 f 4 ！b4 22 fxe 5 bxc3＋ 23
金xh7 with only a tiny advantage for White be－ cause Black＇s king will prove a bit exposed and White＇s is better－placed，Alekhine－Capablanca， Buenos Aires Wch（20）1927．An almost per－ fectly－played game up to this point；note the nice freeing idea for Black， 15 ．．．e5！．

## $130-0$ exd 414 2f5

 with the idea ．．．c5．

## 14．．．㟶d8 15 © 0 xd4

It seems as though every book shows the fa－ mous game Alekhine－Lasker，Zurich 1934 （it＇s short enough！）： 15 乌3xd4 ©e5 16 全b3 \＄xf5
 from defence） 18 造 d 6 ！（ $D$ ）．


18．．．©ed7（Black is in big trouble） 19 －fd1

 idea of $f 3$ and $e 4$ is still depressing for Black）
 ${ }^{\ddagger} \mathrm{F} \% 826$ 娄xg6！hxg6 1－0．It＇s mate after 27

漂xd4 19 Oxd4 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Euwe－Flohr，Nottingham

 due to the idea 23 皿b3？乌f5） $21 \ldots$ ．．． $43+!22$ gxf3 ${ }^{\text {wis }}$ xd6 with equality．

15．．．2b6 16 宣d3！？兆e7 17 宏c2 㑒g4 18 a3 Ead8 19 －fel（ $D$ ）


19．．．2bd7！
Yermolinsky calls this equal．I＇ve skipped the details of this contest，but you can see the general idea．

20 ©g5 h6！ 21 亿h7 登fe8 22 h3 定e6 23

with full equality．Even with best play it＇s unlikely that White can squeeze much out of 12 Dg3．

## Atalik－Zheliandinov <br> Podlehnik 2001

12 2xf6＋
This seems the way to go if White wants real chances．

12．．． 4 Uf6 13 0－0 e5（D）

 17 全b3（D）

B


This is a difficult position for Black．White＇s kingside majority is about to march，and Black＇s bishop on c8 has no good squares．
 © 521 Inc5！ 9 g 4 （ D ）

21．．．巴e8 may be best，but White has the tac－
 with ongoing pressure．


## 22 h3 b6！？

The alternative 22．．．©f6 23 e5 ©e4 24 \＃cc1 ©g3 25 e 6 isn＇t encouraging．
 1－0

There might follow 25 ．．．ฏe5 26 登c7 a5 27 Ee7！．White exploited every advantage in the position．

B）
110－0 分xc3 12 运xc3 e5（D）

Way back on move 6 ，Black could have im－ plemented the Lasker Variation idea without the insertion of $6 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 67$ \＄h 4 by means of $6 \ldots$ ．．． 47
 dxc4 11 曾xc4 ©d7 $120-0$ e5．Strange to say， this is exactly the position before us！Instead of $12 \ldots$ e5，however， $12 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ ？！doesn＇t seem advis－ able because the pawn on h7 can be attacked with tempo by a queen on c 2 and bishop on d 3 ． In that case the pawn on c6 becomes more vul－ nerable．


We shall now take a look at a practical exam－ ple：

Khenkin－Sulskis<br>Koszalin 1998

## 13 定b3 exd4

Here 13．．．e4 14 乌d2 登e8 15 f 3 ！exf3 16歯xf3（16 ©xf3 also looks strong）16．．．Df6 17
 21 Qe4 favours White．The central majority again has its effect．

## 14 exd4 2 有6（ $D$ ）

This position is also precisely the same one that arose in Lasker＇s variation，but without the move ．．．h6 as we saw there．It has been claimed that this is a favourable trade－off for Black be－ cause he has avoided the weakening move ．．．h6． Kasparov himself has stated this．But the pawn on h 7 is also a target，and sometimes limits the mobility of Black＇s preces．

a）The natural $16 \ldots$ ． 9 d 5 ？！leaves Black＇s kingside undefended and allows the interesting


粞 f ！曾g6 19 h 4 ！（D）．


Notice that this only works because Black has omitted ．．．h6．19．．．凤f6 20 䐴5 5 觜xd4？21
昷xg6＋気h6 25 䒼xh5井．
b）16．．．ef5？！has the same problem as in the Lasker Variation： 17 ©xf7！Exf7 18 全xf7＋
 better game．

## 

White obviously has the superior position due to his e－file pressure and e5 outpost．

 26 崰 4 c5？

But against slow moves White can play，e．g．， b4．©e5，顔cl and g4．



White is a clear pawn ahead and went on to win．

## Tartakower Variation

 6 Df3 h6 7 全h4 b6（D）


Now we are moving into a territory of more complex and usually more dynamic positions than we saw in the Lasker and Capablanca vari－ ations，which after all were aimed at exchang－ ing pieces and reaching some kind of simplified equality．After $7 \ldots$ b6 pieces tend to stay on the board longer，and the resulting unbalanced situ－ ation creates difficult and double－sided play． What is Black doing？It＇s not so mysterious，at least not to begin with．He＇ll play ．．．宣b7，shor－ ing up d5．That allows him to play for the freeing move ．．．c5，often prepared by ．．． 2 bd7．Notice that the move 7．．．c5？！straightaway would ex－ pose the unprotected status of d 5 after $8 \mathrm{dxc5}$㑒xc5 9 cxd5 exd5 10 Ecl（for example），when Black will have to take up a passive position merely to hold on to his material．By playing ．．．b6 and ．．．豈b7 first，the move ．．．c5 will defi－ nitely be on the cards，and ．．． 2 e4 at the right moment can also be effective．

White has a large number of strategies rang－ ing from simple exchanges to exertion of long－ term pressure by clamping down upon any free－ ing move by Black，especially ．．．c5．In almost all cases White restrains his own aggressive－ ness until Black＇s position is under control．It turns out that Black can answer this method of play in two very different ways．In many lines Black is the one trying to mix things up；he wants to do this before falling into some kind of static disadvantage．This can involve pawn sac－ rifices for activity and other tactical devices．

However，in a majority of variations he will gain the bishop－pair，so that gives him a choice： he can still look for energetic unbalancing moves but he also has the option of consolidat－ ing his position before slowly opening up the game on the bishops＇behalf．In those cases it may be White who undertakes a vigorous ad－ vance before he loses the long－run battle．It is this unpredictability and potential dynamism that attracts players to the Tartakower Variation． In order to gain familiarity with the positions， and to achieve some depth of understanding， I＇ll concentrate on just a few of both players＇ set－ups by looking at a series of games．Fortu－ nately，the ideas cross over into other lines of the Tartakower，and of the Queen＇s Gambit in general．

## The Oider Exchange Line

## 8 cxd5

This is the older move，which received a lot of attention before it began to appear that White might get better chances by complicating the situation instead．Nevertheless，some players still use $8 \mathrm{cxd5}$ ，and very rich positions can re－ sult．

8．．．$D \mathrm{xd5}$ ！（D）
Here is the move that helped to revive the Tartakower Defence．8．．．exd5 is playable but more rigid；according to theory White keeps the better of it．Compare the Exchange Variation below，in which ．．．b6 isn＇t optimal．


## 

10 ecl 曾b7 has always been considered harmless because Black can assault White＇s
central majority by ．．．c5 before it gets rolling； for example， 11 合d3 ©xc3 14 Еxc3 0 d 7 with equality，Keres－Pet－ rosian，Curaçao Ct 1962.

10．．．exd5 11 吕 $c 1$ 主e6！（ $D$ ）


This is a major idea in the Tartakower．Once Black has a pawn fixed on d5 blocking the long diagonal，the bishop would usually be poorly placed on $\mathbf{b} 7$ ；therefore in many situations Black will put it on e6 where it has some open lines and doesn＇t get in the way of his queenside pieces．Specifically，after the advance ．．．c5，the bishop encourages rooks to come to b8 and c8 in support of the idea of ．．．c4 and ．．．b5；this makes use of Black＇s queenside majority．You will see a bishop heading for e 6 in a great num－ ber of games with this defence．In fact，the re－ routing manoeuvre ．．．宣b7－c8－e6 is a recurrent theme！

## 12 蔽 4

White delays castling in order to meet ．．．c5 with 幽a3，pinning the pawn． 12 挭a4 also eyes the somewhat weakened light squares in Black＇s camp，namely a6 and c6．Notice that if White plays 0 e 5 next，all four of his active pieces will be attacking one or both of those squares．

## 12．．．c5

We＇ll look at two games in the position after 12．．．c5：

## Mamedyarov－Lputian <br> Tripoli FIDE KO 2004

## 13 dxc5 bxc5 14 娄 a 3 ב̈c8（ $D$ ）

Before moving on，let＇s take a quick look at this pawn－structure，which is characteristic of

many Tartakower variations（although there are usually more pieces on the board）．As we discussed in Chapter 3 of Volume 1，Black＇s c5－and d5－pawns are called＇hanging pawns＇． Whether they are good or bad depends upon how well protected they are，how mobile they are，and what activity can be generated around them．In this kind of position White wants to restrain the advance of the pawns for the mo－ ment and then target them，much as one fights against an isolated pawn．For this purpose he has pinned Black＇s pawn on c5 and can in－ crease the pressure on it down the c－file．The move ．．．d4 isn＇t on the cards（yet），and an im－ portant idea（executed in the Fischer－Spassky game below）will be to force one pawn to ad－ vance so as to blockade both．

Black＇s position has its own good points． The c5－square is well defended，and Black will normally play ．．． 9 d 7 to reinforce its protection． Then the b－file can be a real asset．Black can ap－ proach the situation in two ways：
a）he can try to attack White＇s b－pawn down the open file and provoke the move b3，after which ．．．a5－a4 or ．．．a5 and ．．．$\frac{\|}{6} \mathrm{~b} 7-\mathrm{b} 4$ is possi－ ble；or
b）he can simply play ．．．c4 without provoca－ tion because，although that permanently gives up the d 4 －square，it also discourages the move b3 and facilitates an attack down the b－file．

You＇ll see these ideas in more than one line of the Tartakower Defence．

## 15 金e2 a5 16 d 4

Also a standard idea．The knight can go to b3 in order to put pressure on Black＇s c－pawn，or in some cases White will exchange the bishop on e6．Now Black plays a surprising move：

16．．．Da6！ 17 念xa6
Else the knight comes to b4．
17．．．日xa6 18 0－0 童d7 19 包b3？
Kregelin－Trost，corr． 1995 went 19 ®̈fd1



As explained in our discussion about hanging pawns，once Black can productively play ．．．d4 he will often stand better： 22 f 4 wivi 23 exd4
 with a very dangerous attack due to Black＇s un－ obstructed bishop．

## 19．．．Ёg6！ 20 क्षी 1 ！

20 xx5 is tempting，but Black doesn＇t need much material to attack：20．．．We4 21 皆xc8＋



## 

塭 6Here White would have been holding on af－ ter 24 fdd，with near－equality，but instead



## Timman－Geller <br> Hilversum 1973

## 

Again this manoeuvre．White pins the pawn on c5 and threatens to win it，in the meantime preventing the pawn－majority advance by ．．．c4．

## 13．．．\＃̈c8（D）

14 実 $b 5$ ！？
This prophylactic move，Furman＇s inven－ tion，was favoured at the time this game was played．It discourages ．．．©d7，while White can contemplate $\triangleq \mathrm{e} 5-\mathrm{d} 3$ increasing his pressure on

c5．A simple alternative is 14 皿e2 2 舁f8（ $14 \ldots \mathrm{a}$ ！ is one of the better options here） $15 \mathrm{dxc5} 5 \mathrm{bxc} 5$
 equality，Winants－Kasparov，Brussels 1987.

## 14．．．豈b7！

a）One of the most famous games in mod－ ern chess（as much because of the setting as the play itself）was Fischer－Spassky，Reykja－ vik Wch（6）1972，which continued 14．．．a6 15 dxc5 bxc5 $160-0(D)$ ．

 with the idea $\triangle \mathrm{d} 3$ ；this knight repositioning is what tips the scales in many of these lines；once Black has to play ．．．c4 in this particular position White will own all the dark squares－then Black needs immediate counterplay，which in this case isn＇t available） 17 宣e2 9 d 7 （it＇s hard to believe that this was Spassky＇s preparation because it doesn＇t seem to improve upon the
 Furman－Geller，USSR Ch（Riga）1970） 18 Qd4

fxe6 20 e4！（remember this characteristic move！ It is used to attack this same structure in several different openings and associated middlegames） 20．．．d4？！ $21 \mathrm{f4}$（D）．


Now we have what should become a familiar picture in your chess databank：a mobile king－ side majority versus a thoroughly blockaded central one．After 21．．．傢e7 22 e5 皆b8 23 宜c4

 e6！\＃̈bc7 32 娄e5 Fischer went on to win this overwhelming position．
b）Another educational move is $14 \ldots$ ．．． b 88 （preparing ．．．c4！followed by ．．．a6 and ．．．b5） 15 dxc5 Exc5！（15．．．bxc5 16 b 3 and the hanging pawns are restrained） 16 昆xc5 嵝xc5！17 㫮xc5＋
 follow．This equalizes easily．



Probably Timman，who was very familiar with the games and analysis of the Fischer－Spassky
match，was happy with this position．It＇s only natural for Black to recover his pawn by $17 . . . \mathrm{a}$ ， when he had analysed 18 全d3 4 wb 2 ，after
 the threat of 仓xe6 and $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{w} \\ & \mathrm{c} 8+\text { forces } 20 \ldots \text { ．．．d7 }\end{aligned}$

 lasting advantage．But Geller found something better：

## 17．．．206！ 18 㑒xa6

Now White can＇t castle，but he＇s trying to
 20 （a4），when the b－pawn falls in view of 20 b 3 ？発 c 8 ．

## 

The best move，defending against ．．．』c8 while threatening to exchange queens．

This bold move looks best，intending ©d4 and／or ${ }^{2} \mathrm{cl}$ ．



## 21．．．d4！

The first line－opening sacrifice．Black pitches another pawn to free his e6－bishop．

22 气xd4？
Although this capture is perfectly logical，it seems that 22 exd4 was correct．

Or $23 \ldots$ ．．．c4！，which would preserve the bishop．Now White gets rid of it and reduces material even further，but Geller manages to keep an attack going and shows his brilliance in that regard．You can enjoy the rest of the game without notes：



The defence required in such a position is al－ most impossible to conduct properly．White was probably concerned with protecting f 4.

娄c5＋0－1

## The Newer Exchange Line with 8 宣e2

 6 多 3 h6 7 宜h4 b6 8 安e2（D）


White＇s modest bishop move is considered the main line of the Tartakower．First，he waits until Black commits his bishop to b7．



White＇s paradoxical idea is to take two moves （虫h4xf6）to capture Black＇s knight instead of one．This has been played in hundreds if not thousands of games，including many from the Karpov－Kasparov world championship matches， in which both played the position with each
colour．What＇s the point？Essentially it＇s that Black＇s bishop is poorly placed for this pawn－ structure．It was actually better on c8，so the reasoning goes．And as we mentioned above， Black＇s bishop very often retreats from $b 7$ to $c 8$ and then（usually）goes to e6．So White in that case has gained one move by taking two moves to capture the knight！In the meantime，why is White giving up the bishop－pair？Essentially， he feels that it＇s a sufficiently controlled posi－ tion that he can use his well－placed knights to make progress，probably with a pawn advance before Black＇s bishops find good homes for themselves．Black on the other hand thinks that as long as his queenside pawn－structure can＇t be compromised by White（by means of b4－b5， for example），and as long as the advance e 4 isn＇t effective，he will be able to open the game at his discretion and use the bishop－pair to his advan－ tage．It＇s easiest to understand this by exam－ ples：

11 b4（D）


The main line，which is now used by a large majority of masters．White tries to hold down ．．．c5 and also prepares a minority attack by b5 in some positions．There are several fascinating alternatives（ 11 传b3，for example）that you may find it interesting to research for yourself．I think that it is more useful，however，to see 11 b4 in depth．

## 11．．．c6

Black tries the strategic approach；it is a more ambitious idea than $11 \ldots c 5$ ，which has been seen in many grandmaster games（including those Karpov－Kasparov encounters mentioned above）with a high drawing rate，especially in
the main line 12 bxc5 bxc5 13 岂bl 会c6 14 0－0
 when the verdict ranges between equal and a bit better for White．
$120-0$（D）


From this archetypal position we shall ex－ amine some games and excerpts．

Alterman－Pigusov<br>Beijing 1997

12．．．a5！？
Black could also develop more slowly than this；however，he wants to force White＇s hand and perhaps free his bishops in the meantime．

13 bxa5！？
13 b5 is met by $13 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ，when 14 e5 exerts some pressure but not enough to worry Black．

The main alternative is 13 a 3 ，when the game Speelman－Lputian，Kropotkin 1995 continued
 b5（ $D$ ）．
w


Setting up the pawns in this fashion is now a standard idea．You wouldn＇t think that Black would want to close up the position for his own bishops，but he does have the nice outpost on c 4 in return for White＇s on c5，and he can bring his bad bishop around to f5．Perhaps most sig－ nificantly，his weaknesses are masked and not likely to become a problem soon；remember that third－rank weaknesses are usually easier to defend than ones on the fourth rank．In this game it all works perfectly： 17 气el？！Db6 18

 that seems to work in all of our Exchange Vari－ ation pawn－structures！Black gets some real
楼g5 25 显d3？（Scherbakov＇s line 25 宸d2 ©c4 26 全xc4 bxc4 leaves Black better，but with


 with three pawns and active pieces for the ex－ change，Black ultimately won the game．

## 13．．．』xa5 14 a4

Now White＇s weak a－pawn is difficult to get at and he hopes to generate his own queenside pressure．

## 14．．．皿c8！

Again，Black gets the bishop off that horrible b7－square！Does anyone remember why he put it there in the first place？ $14 \ldots . .5$ ，intending to block everything off by ．．．$\triangleq$ a6／c6－b4，is an－ other way to play．



Perfect coordination．Black＇s pieces are well－ placed and he has no trouble defending his
pawns．So he prepares ．．．c5，but after that it＇s still hard for either side to make progress．
 モc8 22 主xe6 fxe6？

22．．．${ }^{\omega} x$ xe6！is more active and a better move． As it happens，Black＇s d－pawn won＇t be a prob－ lem in that situation．
 घa1 e5！？ 27 Ёe1？！

27 粍f5！would give White some chances． But it＇s fair to say that the whole variation is balanced．

27．．．娄e6 28 勾h2 e4 29 घed1 cxd4？ 30


## Karpov－Ki．Georgiev <br> Tilburg 1994

12．．．高d6 13 崖b3（D）

B


## 13．．．仓d7 14 \＃fe1

White makes a slow but useful move．Given time，he will play e4．

## 14．．．金e7

Scherbakov offers the line 14 ．．．a5！？ 15 bxa5
 satisfactory game for Black．Moving Black＇s bishop to the b8－h2 diagonal is a relatively common theme in these positions．Again the pawn on a 4 prevents White from using that square，although that doesn＇t hurt Karpov in the game that we＇re following：

15 Еab1 a5 16 bxa5 Ёxa5 17 a4 షe8 18佥f1

White seems to be doing nothing．
敕 422 完 4

Suddenly Karpov＇s pieces are getting active and aiming towards Black＇s king！

22．．．害g7！ 23 岂 2 c 524 d 5
The attack is over for the moment．But no one can handle a passed d－pawn like Karpov．
 g3 断b8？！ 28 d 6 ！気 48 （ $D$ ）


29 全 $x f 7+$
A strong move that had to be precisely calcu－ lated．I＇ll skip the details．



## Alatortsev Variation




Black often chooses this move because he wants to avoid the Modern Exchange Variation （discussed forthwith）．He still intends to play ．．．$\varrho^{2} 66$ and usually doesn＇t mind entering the Classical lines that we have been analysing via
 more，Black may be willing to go into the type of classical Exchange Variation that follows 1
 exd5 6 \＆g5．This line，with the knight commit－ ted to f 3 ，is sometimes called the＇Carlsbad Variation＇．As we shall see in the Exchange Variation section，White＇s modern set－up with Q d 3 and $\triangleq \mathrm{ge} 2$ is the one that many players fear most，and that is bypassed by the sequence


Originally this move－order＇trick＇was only used by grandmasters，but now even moderately experienced players are familiar with it；the fact is that a lot of players who use the Queen＇s Gam－ bit Declined as their regular defence don＇t like facing the Modern Exchange Variation．

Of course，White isn＇t forced to cooperate by playing 40 f 3 ．He can exchange on d 5 and then develop his pieces independently thereafter，as more than one World Champion has done．

Kasparov－Short<br>Thessaloniki OL 1988

 （D）


There could hardly be anything more natural than to place a bishop on $f 4$ ，especially when \＆g5 isn＇t available．White wants to play e3 next and develop his pieces．

## 5．．．c6

Undoubtedly the most subtle reply，and con－ sidered best by theory．Black wants to get ．．．宣f5 in as soon as he can，but 5．．．$\hat{\text { ff } 5 ? ~ l o s e s ~ t o ~ o u r ~}$ old friend 6 寝b3．

## 6 岩c2

In the next two games we shall see 6 e 3 金f5． White sometimes develops the queen first in or－ der to stop ．．．ef5．In turn，Black wants to get that move in so that he can develop（and per－ haps exchange）his bad bishop．Thus his next move．

## 6．．．g6

This has to come before White can play e3 and 金d3，preventing ．．．宣f5．

White can exchange bishops by 8 金d3，but then Black has achieved his goal and isn＇t under the slightest risk of attack．The queen move in－ tends to preserve White＇s good light－squared bishop and take advantage of the position of Black＇s on f5．

## 8．．． 96

Kasparov was on the other side of the board and played 8．．．$勹 \mathrm{~d} 79 \mathrm{f} 3$ 气b6 10 e4 全e6 in Karpov－Kasparov，London／Leningrad Wch（7） 1986．After 11 e5 White had an excellent posi－ tion with a lot of space，although Kasparov drew in the end．

9 f3（D）


White doesn＇t want Black＇s knight settling in on e4．What＇s more， f 3 prepares g 4 in some cases so as to gain space and drive away Black＇s bishop．

## 9．．．c5？！

It＇s hard to believe that this move in combi－ nation with the next constitutes a positional mistake，but perhaps Black should be more pa－ tient．The straightforward $9 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ ，stopping g4 （as well as 金h6），was played ten years later in Topalov－Karpov，Wijk aan Zee 1998．That game
continued 10 金d3 昷xd3 11 精xd3 气a6 12
 say that White has some advantage；Topalov went on to win．Needless to say there have been other games in this line before and since．

10 \＆ $\mathbf{e} 6$ ！（ $D$ ）


White ignores his development to stop cas－ tling，and he prevents ．．．h5．

10．．．cxd4？！
This exchange turns out to hurt Black badly， both on the e－file and on the kingside．Maybe moves such as dxc5 and $\hat{\ell} \mathrm{g} 7 \mathrm{xf6}$ or g 4 －g 5 were becoming problems，but probably not with White still undeveloped．Therefore Black could try $10 \ldots$. ©c6；e．g．， 11 dxc57！（ 11 是b5！a6 12全xc6＋bxc6 13 פge2 gives White some ad－ vantage due to Black＇s castling situation； 11 g 4 is well answered by $11 \ldots$ 全e6）11．．．${ }^{\text {exc5 }} 5$（or
料d6 15 e4 0－0－0 with a lot of open lines for a pawn．

11 exd4（ $D$ ）


This doesn＇t look good for Black．For start－ ers，White＇s queen protects his bishop on h6，so that it is ready to take over that square after a possible exchange．And in general White＇s de－ velopment is easy，while Black needs to untan－ gle his pieces．

## 11．．．a6

$11 \ldots .9 \mathrm{c} 612$ ． e b 5 ！opens all the right squares for White＇s pieces；for example，12．．．』．c8 13
 and Black still cannot castle．

## 12 g4！\＆e6 13 ©ge2 ©bd7

Somehow Black can＇t get his pieces out or his king to safety．Kasparov has won another opening battle．An example is $13 \ldots$ ．．． c 614 － g 2 Da5 15 b 3 前 $\mathrm{c} 8160-0$ and Black isn＇t getting anywhere．For the rest of the game I can＇t see what he should do．
 Qfd7 18 亿d1！？

Planning f4－f5．

There＇s that e－file．

B


## 20．．．g5 21 gxf5 0 ．f7

Probably not the objectively best move，but then again 21．．．全xf5 22 气g3 食e6 23 f 4 ！is un－ bearable．

22 包4官h5 23 包 3 1－0
A surprising game．The pawn－structure in particular bears notice．You will see it again．

## Kasparov－Karpov

Moscow Wch（21） 1985
 c6 6 e3 $\xlongequal{\text { eff }} 7 \mathrm{~g} 4$ ！（ $D$ ）


The stem game for this advance is Botvin－ nik－Petrosian，Moscow Wch（12）1963．Gaining space is an enormous advantage in chess，so much so that in this case it more than balances out the resulting weaknesses．

7．．．宣e68h4 ©d79h5 乌h6！（D）


Kasparov＇s successful use of the $\mathrm{g} 4 / \mathrm{h} 4$ at－ tack（in contrast to $\mathrm{g} 4 / \mathrm{h} 3$ ，as in the next game） inspired this ingenious solution．It＇s worth not－ ing that Karpov had trouble countering the set－ up with 5 亶f4，losing games to Korchnoi（be－ low）while getting into trouble in this game．Yet we saw in a note above that as White he got the better of Kasparov in the same line．In Linares 1989，he also used 7 g 4 to defeat Portisch．Al－ though it＇s not fair to speak of a forced advan－ tage，Black has yet to prove that he can equalize completely against White＇s strategy．The games in this section show the love of space that the greatest players of the ex－Soviet Union had （and have）．

10 亘e2！

Not 10 蕞h3？！g5！；the most important point of $9 \ldots . \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{h}}$ is 10 f 3 f 5 ！．

## 10．．．2b6 11 घ゙c1 宣d6

$11 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{c} 4$ would apparently have equalized， since Kasparov＇s 12 會xc4 dxc4 13 全xh6 gxh6 looks OK for Black．From here on out it＇s hard to suggest significant improvements．

## 

Kasparov gives the exotic line 14 ．．．数h 15
 घg2 数h4 18 did 2 with advantage．

## 15 hxg6 hxg6 16 홓d2！

The safest place for this piece！
16．．．娄e7 17 b 3 g 518 ©d3 0－0－0 19 Eh1 f6
 f3（ $D$ ）


Notice how Black＇s knights are restricted by White＇s pawns．
 Qe2 © 28 ？

This looks right，trying to bring his worst piece into play，but it allows White an attractive reorganization of his pieces．
 あh2 气e6 31 气d3 2 g 732 む̈ch

Kasparov has quite a large advantage，but in time pressure he failed to convert it into victory．

## Korchnoi－Karpov

Merano Wch（13） 1981



This is the more conservative move．Great players have gone back and forth between 8 h 3 and 8 h 4 ．


## 

Castling by hand．The problem with $110-0$ is nothing dramatic like $11 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ ？？，but simply $11 \ldots \mathrm{c} 412$ 安c2 $\mathrm{Dc}_{\mathrm{c}} 6$ ，when the f1－rook won＇t participate in a kingside attack．

$12 \ldots . . c x d 4$ ？！ 13 凤xd4 气xd4 14 exd4 was a poor choice in Botvinnik－Petrosian，Moscow
 （D）．


You should commit this pawn－structure to memory：it＇s almost always better for White！ Even without the move g4，the pawns will usu－ ally frustrate Black，who has difficulty finding places to attack in White＇s position，whereas his opponent has multiple ways to make prog－ ress．The game continued $16 \ldots$ ．．．c8 17 密e5 㑒d6 18 Eae1 莫xe5 19 Exe5．White＇s pieces are more active and his position is significantly better．
包d4 0 xd 4 ？

Now we can see why this is such a mistake：it gives White the very favourable pawn－structure that we just saw！Unzicker suggests $16 . .$. 喭b6！
 ©e4．

17 ※xc8 億xc8
Or $17 \ldots$ 宴xc8 18 exd4！a6 19 かc7 घe7 20断 c 2 ．

18 exd4！（ $D$ ）

B


Black loses material after 20．．．峛xe6？ 21娄f5．But now his pawn－structure is weak and he＇s facing White＇s two active bishops．

Karpov launches a sort of desperate counter－ attack．It＇s not quite sound，but Black＇s position was rather a wreck in any case．
 ©xf3 28 g 6 ？

28 要g3！wins．
28．．．hxg6 29 全g3 全e7？
 draws．





## Exchange Variation

To introduce this extremely important topic，let me go over the beginning moves once more． There are multiple paths and obstacles for both sides to get to or avoid the main lines．Fortu－ nately，most of these were already discussed in
the introduction to this chapter．Here I＇d like to fill out some variations that we＇ve missed．

## $1 \mathbf{d 4 d 5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4$ e6 3 －cc 3

 Qg5 is a possible path to the Carlsbad Varia－ tion，which is one of our two main lines in this section，but Black is not forced to play that way （as explained there，6．．．c6 and ．．． ef 5 may avoid it）．All major issues with an early 0 f 3 are gone over in the chapter introduction．There are more details at the end of the chapter．

3．．．$D \mathrm{ff} 4$ cxd5（D）


## 4．．．exd5

4．．．$\Delta \mathrm{xd} 5$ can transpose to a line of the Semi－ Tarrasch（the standard sequence for which is 4 Qf3c5，when 5 cxd5 0 xd 56 e4 0 xc 37 bxc3 can follow）．White＇s most aggressive approach （and Black＇s most confrontational reply）is 5 e 4 Qxc3 6 bxc3 c5 7 Qf3（this completes the transposition； 7 品 bl ！？is interesting，to prevent ．．．全b4＋in some lines；by targeting b7，White hinders the development of Black＇s queen＇s bishop）7．．．cxd4 8 cxd4 单b4＋9 金d2 ${ }^{\text {e } x d 2+~}$ 10 崖xd2（D）．

We＇ve arrived at the starting point of many a famous battle．Most lower－ranked players don＇t like to concede the ideal centre to White，who can advance his central pawns to make a passed pawn or aim his forces at Black＇s king．But Black has no weaknesses and can think about taking over queenside light squares as he does in the Grünfeld Defence．The variation as a whole is probably more difficult for Black to play than for White．I won＇t discuss the line ex－ cept to show the famous example Polugaev－ sky－Tal，USSR Ch（Moscow）1969，in which

the main ideas show through： $10 \ldots 0-011$ 宣c4
 （14．．．铛d6！？would keep the knight in the centre and prevent ${ }_{\text {wisf }} \mathrm{f} 4$ ；that looks like a good way to play it） 15 会d3 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{c} 8(D)}$ ．


Here White initiates the key manoeuvre 16 d5！exd5 17 e5！．For a pawn，he has blocked Black＇s bishop and freed his own，winning the d4－square for a knight while targeting Black＇s king．The last factor proved decisive：17．．．9c4
 21 h 4 ！（the star move of White＇s attack）21．．．ฏc4



 f5 36 包6 $+\mathbf{g} 837$ Exa7 1－0．The threat is Qe7＋and if Black＇s rook strays，h6－h7 decides．

Let＇s return to $4 \ldots$ exd5（ $D$ ）：
We＇ve reached the Exchange Variation of the Queen＇s Gambit，written about in great detail in countless books and articles．One reason for

this，perhaps even the main one，is that the im－ portant strategic ideas are so clear and defin－ able，and thus easy to write about．They can be presented in a relatively simple fashion or with more detail，depending upon the level of so－ phistication the writer wants to indulge in．The particular version of queenside minority attack that arises from the Exchange Variation，for ex－ ample，is the standard one given in almost ev－ ery textbook，with a host of well－known set－ups for both sides．However，the more carefully that you scrutinize the Exchange Variation，the more you appreciate the subtleties that inform its execution in practice．Right off，we see that in most variations none or only one pair of mi－ nor pieces is exchanged up to the start of the middlegame．Ordinarily，any opening with such properties allows for complex and original play． Most Tartakower Defence lines in the Classical Queen＇s Gambit fit that description，and it is no coincidence that the Exchange and Tartakower are by far the two most popular QGD variations in modern grandmaster chess．

We should start with the basics．Why would White free Black＇s light－squared bishop，his problem piece in the variations that we have ex－ amined thus far？Doesn＇t this negate the whole point of playing 2 c 4 ？White gets the c －file，to be sure，but Black gets the e－file，arguably a more important one．I think the answers to this question are more accidental than logical．It turns out that in this precise position Black nor－ mally has no particularly good square for his liberated queen＇s bishop and must be satisfied with the passive move ．．．．量e6（or－often worse －．．．b6 and ．．．定b7）．Why？In the first place White usually develops by ． O d3 and／or 嵝c2
and prevents ．．．宣f5，whereas ．．．$\hat{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{g} 4$ is either impossible，because one of the moves $f 3$ or h3 has already been played，or undesirable，be－ cause the move f 3 is extremely valuable even if Black＇s bishop retreats to $g 6$ via h5．The other strong point behind cxd5 is that now，if and when Black tries to attack White＇s centre via ．．．c5，he has to reckon with the idea of dxc5， isolating Black＇s d－pawn（this is especially true if White has occupied f3 with a knight and not a pawn）．In most variations，the arrangement of Black＇s pieces is not such that the isolated pawn is compensated for by his activity，as it so often is in other openings（in the Exchange Vari－ ation，for example，you will see a knight on d7 rather than the more active c 6 ）．Thus variables not already inherent in the pawn－structure，i．e．， the particularities of piece dispositions，happen to favour White＇s otherwise illogical exchange on d5．Note that these are exceptional charac－ teristics that are not shared by other Exchange Variations，such as those in the French Defence （1 e4 e6 2 d 4 d 53 exd5 exd5）or the Slav（1 d4 d5 2 c 4 c 63 cxd 5 cxd 5 ）．

5 \＆g5（D）


Actually，some writers call this the starting position of the Exchange Variation＇Proper＇， because 最5 is part of the standard set－up．At some point in the naming process，however， things become ambiguous and there＇s no point in fretting over subtleties．

## 5．．．害e7

There are a number of other move－orders，but in this position the most significant alternatives tend to converge upon the positions and struc－ tures that follow．An important side－variation is
 that＇s another move that is associated with nu－
全xf6 慈xf6 9 崰xf6 gxf6（D）


It looks ridiculous to allow White to get into an ending with Black having weak doubled pawns and no positive chances，but especially at master level there are players who don＇t nec－ essarily mind playing with a slightly worse po－ sition if they think they can ultimately achieve a drawn result．Fortunately most of us aren＇t like that；and anyway，in a real game there are al－ ways plenty of chances to win．The variation which seems to have the most promise for White at this point in time is $10 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~d} 711 \mathrm{D} 4$（or 11 g 3 followed by 0 hh 4 ） $11 \ldots . \mathrm{Qb}^{\mathrm{b}}$（Black in－ tends to play ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{c} 8-\mathrm{d} 6$ to protect the vulnerable f5－square） 12 g 3 ；for example， $12 \ldots . \mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{c}} 13 \mathrm{f} 3$ Qd6 14 dfard This is a position in which Black will generally have to wait around while White can try several plans in order to make progress． An example with a different move－order but not radically different strategy is Van Wely－Short， Wijk aan Zee 2005： 10 气f3 ©d7 11 صh4 定e7


 Ea5 24 घc2
 b6 31 【a4 1－0．Impressive，although naturally Black has alternatives．If he wants to enter into the 7 造f3 金g6 line，Black should study what White＇s logical set－ups are and specifically how to respond to them．For his part，White has to decide whether to avoid the ending altogether in favour of more complex play．

We now return to the position after 5．．． e e 7 （D）：


6 e3
6 䊓c2 can be played here，perhaps to prevent an early ．．．息f5．It＇s worth noting that in some variations with ${ }^{W} \mathrm{c} 2$ and $0-0-0$ soon thereafter， Black＇s most dangerous idea is to play ．．．c5， hopefully quickly，in order to exert pressure down the c－file and in some cases to put his knight on c6，where it is a bit closer to White＇s king．And there are other problems for White when he plays an early ${ }_{\mathrm{W}}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{C} 2$ ，particularly（but not limited to）situations in which he has devel－ oped his knight to f3：Black can play ．．．g6，with the idea of ．．． e f 5 ，gaining a tempo or exchang－ ing a good bishop on d3．Also，Black＇s knight will sometimes develop via a6，irritating White because of the possibility of ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{b} 4$ but also leaving open the manoeuvre ．．．$D$ c7－e6，which has the same result as the conventional route ．．．©bd7－f8－e6．For all that，Black can only try one plan at a time，and White has ways of trying to counter each one，so $6 \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ c2 is certainly play－ able．

The following game isn＇t the most pertinent example of $\begin{aligned} & \text { w } \\ & \text { c } 2\end{aligned}$ ideas，but at least it begins that way．I present it for those of you who think that the Queen＇s Gambit Declined is boring．The ac－ tual move－order of this game is slightly differ－ ent from the one we＇ve been following．

## Guseinov－Magomedov

Dushanbe 1999
 exd5 6 金g5c6 7 豊 c 2 （ $D$ ）

## B <br> 

So far so normal：White plays 館c2 so as to prevent ．．．配f5．He has also delayed e3 in order to retain the possibility of playing e4 without wasting time．

## $7 . . .{ }^{2} \mathbf{a 6}$

Black develops his knight to the side of the board．As noted，this combines the idea of
 tralization in the Exchange Variation．

## 8 a3

Before undertaking central action，White an－ ticipates Black＇s planned attack by ．．．g6，．．$\ell \mathrm{b} 4$ and ．．．全f5．By stopping ．．．Db4，however，he uses up a precious tempo．

## 8．．．g6 9 e4 气xe4！ 10 ©xe4 ©c7！！（D）

Amazing．Black remains a piece down for a moment in order to regain it favourably．This isn＇t just a clever move，but a necessity if Black wants to avoid the significant disadvan－ tage that would follow both 10 ．．．突f 11 全xa6



11 断 $c 5!?$

This move prevents Black from castling but works out badly．Both sides have options on al－ most every move，and strategy has to some ex－ tent been superseded by tactics．But there are a few points at which the game is informative in a positional sense．

11．．．dxe4 12 数e5 鳥8 13 曹xe4 含f5 14糛e3！？

It＇s not clear whether this is objectively best， but notice that 14 传xe7＋嵝xe7＋ 15 会xe7揢 $7(D)$ is the kind of position strong players will do almost anything to avoid：


White＇s d－pawn will ultimately be lost（for example by exchanging off White＇s knight on f3），and with accurate play the game will end in Black＇s favour．Hence the retreat of the queen， which at least leads to complications．

14．．． D $^{2} 15$ 崖d2 寅e4！？
This is based upon a nice combinative idea， but Guseinov points out that Black could achieve a large and safe advantage following $15 \ldots$ ．．． exg 5 ！
 $0-0-0) 16 \ldots \mathrm{f} 617$ ©f3 wd ！with a superiority based upon the moves ．．．$巳 \mathrm{f} 4$ and $. .00-0-0$ ．

## 16 鲑h6？

White plays a natural move which fails tac－ tically．He could keep his disadvantage to a minimum by 16 全xe7！幽xe7 $170-0-0$ 全xf3 （17．．．0－0－0 18 巴̃el） 18 Elel！食e4 19 f 3 f 520


## 16．．． exf $^{\text {！}} 17$ 全xf8！？

After 17 gxf 3 Eg8 18 0－0－0 ${ }^{-6} \mathrm{~d} 6$ ，White＇s pawns are shattered while even ．．．g5 is a threat．

17．．．气g5！（ $D$ ）
This is Black＇s point．White＇s king is trapped in the centre．


18 豊c2
18 类d3 loses to 18 ．．．䊦 b 6 ！；for example， 19



## 

Black is an exchange down，but it＇s not even close．The rest is for your enjoyment：




Fantastic．Now let＇s return to $6 \mathrm{e} 3(D)$ and the sanity of 150 years of experience：


6．．．0－0
6．．．c6 usually transposes to the lines that we are exploring，but Black can try to delay it． See，for example，Kasparov－Short below．Also， watch out for the tactical mistake $6 \ldots$ ef5？（D）．

7食xf6！是xf6 8 类b3 and White picks off ei－ ther the $b$－or d－pawn．I hate to bore you with the same advice for the umpteenth time，but the first thing to look for if a bishop moves from cl

（or c8）is whether a queen move to attack the opponent＇s abandoned queenside can be effec－ tive．Even if it doesn＇t win a pawn，the neces－ sity to defend b2（or b7）can create a serious concession from your opponent．The corollary is always to watch out for such queenside at－ tacks if you＇re about to move your bishop．

## 7 全d3

White plays the move that leads to the widest variety of Exchange Variation lines．For a num－ ber of reasons White may wish to play 7 轺c2 here，but we＇ll leave that to study and experi－ ence．

## 7．．．c6

Black shores up his centre and delays the de－ velopment of his pieces until he can decide where they want to go．He concedes that he＇s not going to play for ．．．c5 and accept an isolated pawn on d5．Let＇s look at a game in which Black delayed ．．．c6．It will be our first main－line Exchange Variation example，and contains a number of provocative ideas．

## Kasparov－Short <br> London PCA Wch（15） 1993




8 包2
White announces that he＇s going with the Modern Exchange Variation formation． 8 Df3 would be the Carlsbad Variation．

## 8．．．${ }^{\text {Ee8 }} 9$ 0－0 Df8

Black skips ．．．c6．As you get used to Ex－ change Variation theory，you＇ll realize how nice it would be for Black to gain a tempo in order to beat White to the punch on the kingside．

$10 \mathrm{b4}$ ！（D）
But he＇s not allowed to！


White exploits Black＇s omission of ．．．c6 to get his minority attack rolling early，without having to worry about preparatory moves such as a3 or 5 bl．Since ．．c6 will only encourage b5，and ．．．c5 has been stopped，White stands better both on the queenside and in the centre． This all depends，of course，upon whether Black can＇t simply grab the unprotected $\mathbf{b}$－pawn．

10．．．a6
Kasparov＇s tactical justification can be seen


 same idea） $13 \ldots$ 童 h 3 ！（threatening mate while defending his rook） 14 舐类a5 15 数xa5 全xa5
 Ef4，although now the knight is poorly placed on e6） 17 』fdl！（ $D$ ）．

White has a clear advantage because of Black＇s horrible f－pawns．He will stop Black＇s

queenside pawns from advancing and then im－ prove the positions of his pieces．If Black doesn＇t allow White＇s knight to f4，it may even make the journey $0 \mathrm{~g} 1-\mathrm{e} 2-\mathrm{g} 3-\mathrm{f} 5$ to Kasparov＇s favourite square for a knight．The key for White is not to exchange pieces．With the two rooks and two minor pieces each remaining，the op－ posite－coloured bishops will favour the side with the superior position．

Incidentally，there was no profound incon－ sistency or logical error in Black＇s strategy of gaining time by omitting ．．．c6．The specifics of the situation，expressed by the tactic 10 b4！， was an unfortunate accident of the position．

In the event，Black had to make an extra queenside pawn move after all（10．．．a6），and yet another follows：

## 11 a3 c6 12 昰 $\mathbf{c} 2$ g6

It＇s important to know that one of Black＇s standard freeing moves is ．．．De4，whether White＇s knight is on f 3 or e2．Here the simplest answer to $12 \ldots$ ．．．e4 is 13 祭 44 ！，keeping pieces on the board．This is a move that comes up in similar Queen＇s Gambit positions and should always be considered．

## 13 f3（D）

With White＇s knight on e2，his plan is usu－ ally f3 and eventually e4．To counter this， Black normally plays ．．．c5，as we shall see in the Modern Exchange section．That move ren－ ders White＇s d－pawn（and d4－square）vulnera－ ble should he play e4．Unfortunately，Short doesn＇t have ．．．c5 available here，so Kasparov has the best of both worlds：a queenside attack and the potential for a relatively problem－free central expansion．

## 13．．．生e6 14 备h4 气h5



It＇s generally a good idea to get rid of White＇s dark－squared bishop，because the pawn on e3 is weak，and even if he plays the move e4， White will have an interior weakness on that square．Of course，e4 also carries with it the threat to bowl Black over！

15 全xe7
15 安f2 is sometimes played to protect e3 and d 4 in preparation for e4．Kasparov decides that in this position it isn＇t necessary．See more examples of this as we go along．

## 15．．．Exe7 16 类d2 b6？！

Black＇s queenside is weakened by this un－ derstandable attempt to develop and work up counterplay．

17 Ead1 宣b7 18 金b1 曷hg7 19 e4（D）


There it is．White has won the opening battle so I＇ll show the rest with minimal details，al－ though of course it＇s never easy to win against a leading grandmaster．

A perhaps unnecessary tactic．

21．．． $0 x f 4$
政f2 turns out in White＇s favour．


 32 e5（D）

B


32．．．c5？
Desperation．White＇s 2：0 central majority now asserts itself．

33 bxc5 bxc5 34 d5 0 d4 35 包 4 新d8 36
 Ecc1 1－0

A highly instructive game，if only because it wasn＇t too muddied by tactics in the late open－ ing stage．

We return to $7 \ldots . . c 6(D)$ ：


## 8 龌c2

White delays a commitment of his knight on g1 and hints at the possibility of queenside
castling．The queen also covers e 4 and prevents Black＇s f6－knight from moving to h5 or e8 due to $0 \times x 7+8$ ge2 is also played and will usu－ ally transpose．

8．．．$仓$ bd7（ $D$ ）


In this position，still following the main line of the Exchange Variation，White makes a con－ sequential decision．Where he puts his king＇s knight will determine the nature of further play． First，we look at putting it on f3（the Carlsbad Variation），and then on e2（the Modern Ex－ change Variation）．

## Carlsbad Variation

## 9 © 3 （ $D$ ）

As explained more than once，the move Qf3 may not actually be a decision now，but one already made at an earlier stage．Because so many players use move－orders with an early Df3，even I d4 d5 2 亿f3，the Carlsbad Varia－ tion is more important in practice than the Modern Exchange Variation．It is as strategi－ cally rich as any other QGD variation．

By putting his knight on f3，White gives up the plan of $f 3$ and $e 4$ for the time being．But the knight controls e5 and can go there at the right moment，and then there can follow either f 3 or the ambitious f 4 ．Apart from that there are an array of other choices including playing e4，by which White takes on an isolated pawn in order to attack（usually after placing rooks on d 1 and el ）．The most famous strategy of all is the mi－ nority attack by b4－b5．When one includes other variations in which it occurs，thousands of games have been played using the minority

attack and thousands of pages written about it． As indicated before，the ideas behind the attack are relatively simple and easy to understand． Not surprisingly，actually putting them into practice is an art that depends upon profound understanding of the timing and therefore feasi－ bility of each plan．

The interesting thing about the Carlsbad Vari－ ation is that White can mix and match these plans，switching from one to another in mid－ stream！That factor makes it one of the most strategically complicated lines in chess and，I think，a much more difficult variation than the Modern Exchange with $\hat{\mathbf{~}} \mathrm{d} 3$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{ge}} 2$ ．

Black needs to react to all this and can do so by knowing the major themes．As is the situa－ tion with White＇s ideas，the relevant manoeuv－ res have to be timed according to circum－ stance．Black＇s most rudimentary freeing plan is ．．．De4，which White avoids in certain lines and allows in others．Another favourite idea is to try to get some sort of kingside attack．This includes the move ．．．今d6 in a majority of such cases．For example，White will often play ©xf6 in order to divert Black＇s bishop to f6 and speed up his minority attack by b4．Then，even at the cost of two tempi，Black will use the time for ．．．宣e7－d6．Another standard idea is to chal－ lenge White on his own turf on the queenside． That can involve an early ．．．a5，or ．．．a6 with ．．．b5 （perhaps with ．．． Dbb $^{\text {b }} 4$ to follow）．

## 9．．． E e8

This is almost always played．Black takes over the e－file in preparation for an eventual ．．． De $^{4}$ and clears the way for ．．． 0 f 8 in order to protect h 7 ．It＇s also very useful to play ．．．$勹 \mathrm{~g} 6$ or ．．．De6 in many variations．

100－0 苗8（D）


At this point White has many reasonable moves．Let＇s see some games．

## Karpov－Ljubojević <br> Linares 1989

## 11 马ab1

We＇ll start with one of the oldest moves，pre－ paring the direct b4－b5．Karpov plays White， which is interesting because he was the most prominent player to begin using 11 h 3 （as played in the next few games）．After you see this game you＇ll wonder why he switched！

11．．． 乌e4（ $D$ ）
This is the traditional anti－Carlsbad proce－ dure．Essentially，Black wants clarification and a strong central presence to counteract White＇s on the queenside．A good alternative is the queenside restraint plan by $11 \ldots$ a5，usually followed up by some sort of kingside attack （．．．$勹 \mathrm{~g} 6, \ldots$ ． e 6 ）．
w


## 12 客xe7 数xe7 13 b4 a6 14 a4

Not only to prepare b5 but to play a5 and ©a4 under some circumstances．

## 14．．．全f5 15 包 5 ！？

Typically creative of Karpov，if a little odd－ looking．In his notes，he analyses the obvious approach as follows： 15 b5 axb5 16 axb5 $0 \times \mathrm{xc} 3$
曹xb3 宣xd3 20 精xd3 c5 with equality．Hence he tries another strategy．

## 15．．．』ad8

Henrichs suggests that 15 ．．f6 is better，when Karpov＇s continuation 16 全xe4 酉xe4 17 Dxe4 fxe5 18 气g 3 ＇？？＇is unconvincing after $18 \ldots$ ．．exd 4
 Instead，Henrichs thinks that 16 f3 produces only an＂academic advantage＂．
 19 硅6 hxg6 20 b5（ $D$ ）

B


The ultimate minority－attack position．It looks like one of those skeleton pictures that they give in the textbooks，not something that was actu－ ally played！Henrichs mentions that it helps White to have the minor pieces off，although I m not so sure．A knight might actually help to make direct threats against Black＇s weaknesses． With some exceptions，simplification makes White＇s task more pleasant in the minority at－ tack if only because he can＇t be attacked on the kingside．

## 20．．．cxb5

 Ec7 24 Ëc5！would leave Black in a mess．A position like that may be salvageable with per－ fect defence（or it may not be），but in any event White has a clear advantage．

## 21 axb5


 advantage．It＇s funny how such a simple posi－ tion can be so good．I should note，however，that Black＇s pawn on e4 is the deciding factor in this position．Without this extra weakness it＇s un－ clear whether White could win．


## 

Now we get a little Rubinstein－like instruc－ tion from one of the all－time greats．

25 结 c 5 घّa7 26 Еa5 h4！

In this book I keep emphasizing the second front．One would think that White＇s king might head to the centre，but it＇s on the kingside that he＇ll make inroads．Black＇s exposed pawn on e4 makes his situation worse，but at this point a central advance supported by White＇s king would do the trick anyway．
 （D）


White protects his second rank against inva－ sion．The rest is pretty clear，although not nec－ essarily easy．






Superb．

Djurić－Pfleger<br>Yugoslavia 1984

## 11 h3

This unassuming move has become White＇s most popular continuation．Yermolinsky de－ scribes it as a＂useful waiting＂move，noting that the＇useful＇designation applies to covering g 4 ，providing a retreat on h 2 for White＇s bishop （following its common redeployment to f4）， and＂［underlining］how Black＇s＇liberated＇c8－ bishop suddenly finds itself deprived of activ－ ity＂．

## 11．．． D $^{2} 4$

Again Black plays the classical freeing move． We＇ll see other schemes below．
 b5（ $D$ ）


Another example of the pure minority at－ tack unmixed with central or kingside action． Leaving the pawn on c6 gives Black a weak backward c－pawn after bxc6，but ．．．cxb5 in－ stead leaves him with a weak d－pawn．Oddly enough，the first option is usually preferable for Black，following the notion that pawns on the third rank are easier to defend than ones on the
fourth rank．In this case the trade－off is the backward pawn on an open file（one on c6）ver－ sus the isolated pawn on a closed file（one on d5）．Normally an isolated pawn on a closed file isn＇t hard to defend，but this is an exception．

15．．．室d6 16 bxc6 bxc6 17 安f5！（ $D$ ）


Both defensive and offensive．Obviously the bishop on c 8 is aiming at White＇s king，and the idea of ．．．${ }^{\text {最xh3 }}$ at some point is scary．But Black＇s＇bad＇bishop（on the light squares，after all）is also an extremely valuable defensive piece when it stays on d7，so trading White＇s good bishop for it is not really a concession．

It＇s always essential for White to make sure that $19 \ldots . . c 5$ doesn＇t work．Here it won＇t succeed



## 20 美 b 7 芭 b 8

Bartashnikov shows the pretty line 20．．c5 21
 Qf6＋
全xb8（D）


The presence of minor pieces for the de－ fender isn＇t necessarily an improvement over what we saw in the last game，when there were only rooks left；what counts is their relative ac－ tivity（the rooks were passively tied down）．I think that practice shows how difficult it is to make some kind of general principle about piece combinations with this pawn－structure．Further－ more，Black＇s pieces are more actively placed here than we are used to，with the knight， bishop and queen all potentially covering c5． Presumably both of White＇s knights should be better than Black＇s bishop in this semi－closed position，but I suspect that it＇s defensible．

24 Da4（D）

B


## 24．．．西b5？

An unfortunate placement for the queen．
 dxc5 h6 with good defensive chances；e．g．， 27
 haps we shouldn＇t make too much of White＇s success with his minority attack here．

## 25 ©c5 g6？

After 25．．．0xc5 26 dxc 5 Bartashnikov says that 26．．．g6 27 D 44 gives a large advantage； that could certainly be argued after 27．．．$\frac{\omega}{\sigma^{2}}$ a6 with the idea of ．．．惫e5．Still，the basic idea is right，because 27 שb 3 ！is strong．Note that it＇s Black＇s lack of a back－rank escape－square that makes things difficult here，in a sequence such
类b3 a5 tenable？For example， 28 g3 番a7 29
 lack of an escape－square even makes this nec－ essary！） 31 axb3 直b4．See also 24．．．逍a6 in－ stead of the unfortunate $24 \ldots$ ．．谏b5．The point is that Black＇s sole weakness on c6 probably isn＇t fatal in this pawn－structure，and that relative piece placement is almost always the deciding factor．

## 26 a4！

This，however，does the trick since Black has to destroy his pawn－structure to avoid loss of material．

26．．．曹c4 27 斯xc4 dxc4 28 分xe6 fxe6 29
 33 exd4 tag7 34 dxc3

White went on to win．A thought－provoking game．

Portisch－Larsen

Rotterdam Ct（4） 1977
10 h 3 （D）
White makes this useful little advance a move earlier than in the previous game，which brings in the possibility of him not castling kingside．Black responds in traditional fashion．

Queenside castling for White usually only works if Black retreats his pieces and fails to strike back reasonably quickly against White＇s queenside．We get to see $0-0-0$ in the main game as well as in the attractive example Khen－ kin－Bischoff，Bundesliga 2002／3，given with－ out notes：11．．．26d7？！ $12000-0$－ 0 b6 13 dabl盖e6 14 h 4 f 615 登dg1 h5？！ 16 g 4 ！！hxg4 17 Dh2 曹d7 18 f 3 f 519 fxg 4 fxg 420 h 5 Øc4 21



 Eg7！胃7 32 䊕f5 1－0．Quite a picture at the fin－ ish！


B


OK，White took three extra moves just to ex－ change his dark－squared bishop，but that got rid of Black＇s good bishop．And it＇s actually better for White to have＇lost＇the tempo to ．．． Q g be－ cause g4 and h4－h5 can follow．

## 

An excellent anti－queenside－castling pawn sacrifice，but here White still has an attack and extra space for Black to deal with．So perhaps we can call this＇dynamically balanced＇．

17 真xe4 dxe4 18 粬xe4 b5 19 h4 b4 20 亿a4粠d6！？

Larsen foregoes 20．．．宣d5！ 21 畨xe7 $0 \times 1$
 24 Eggl 矣d5，when White＇s h－pawn falls，leav－ ing an equal position．
 24 速 4

 Dxg5．Black tries to show what he＇s gained by this unexpected trick in the next two moves．

24．．． 0 d 7
Versus 2 c 5 ．

## 25 \＃hel 㑒xb3！？ 26 axb3 䊦xb3

I don＇t know how sound this sacrifice is，but we＇ve covered the opening，so let＇s just look at some more moves：
 30 ニ゙xd4

 Qf3

White has obvious technical difficulties in this position，but he did win in the end．

## M．Gurevich－Akopian <br> Barcelona 1992

 f4

Yermolinsky calls this the＇post－up＇．

This is the natural counterattack against any such d4／e3／f4 structure；it should equalize．

17 鹤f2 2 b 618 2h4
Or 18 dxc 5 契xc5 190 d 40 c 4 with equality．
 Qb5！？

Dautov offers up 21 f 5 Ic8 22 g 3 with the idea of $9 \mathrm{~g} 2-\mathrm{f} 4$ ；by then ．．． $2 \mathrm{c} 4-\mathrm{d} 6-\mathrm{e} 4$ will be fine for Black．

21．．． 0 c4！ 22 b3 a6！ 23 bxc4 dxc4 24 全xc4


White can＇t be passive or Black will play ．．．巴e4 and ．．．もe6．

 ごxb7 Еxa2

Neither side made any obvious mistakes and a draw was the natural result．

Timman－Kasparov<br>London（USSR－RoW）（2） 1984

11 安xf6 宣xf6（ $D$ ）


## 12 b4

White exchanges on f 6 because he wants to speed up his minority attack．This is a standard idea which has been very popular，in part be－ cause the ideas are so clear and White has eliminated various moves such as ．．． 2 e 4 and ．．． 0 g 4 ．This raises the question of which mi－ nor pieces are best in the Carlsbad structure；as always，it depends upon time and activity． Black can＇t wait around for ${ }^{\text {Eabl }}$ abl an b，so he has to pick a plan．Since ．．．©e4 isn＇t possible， he can choose to play a restraint game on the queenside or organize an attack on the king．In
the event，Kasparov does both，but naturally looks towards the enemy king first．

12．．．車g4
One of the standard anti－Minority Attack plans was first brought to notice in the game Timman－Spassky，Tilburg 1979：12．．．a6 13 a4 g6 14 b5 a5！（D）．


The idea is to prevent White＇s knight from getting to a4 and attacking c5 and b6 as it cus－ tomarily does．Black had no troubles at all af－ ter 15 bxc6 bxc6 16 Qbl！（the right solution， to reroute White＇s knight，which is currently doing nothing，to a useful post on b3） $16 \ldots$ 湅d6
勿bd2 包 18 国fc1？！（18 巳b3）18．．．c5！with equality．



You＇ll see this regrouping idea in many Ex－ change Variation games．Black＇s dream（and sometimes it even comes true）is to play moves such as ．．．巴e6－h6，．．．当g5，．．．Dg6－h4 and mate．

White tries to neutralize this with his following move：

## 15 全f5 全h5！

Black avoids simplification and retains the bishop for attack．This option wasn＇t available when his bishop was on c8．

17 客h3？！De6 threatens ．．．$勹 \mathrm{~g} 5$ ．



19．．．a6
Kasparov gives 19．．． $\mathbf{E x e} 3$ ！？ 20 fxe 3 宸xe3＋

 as unclear．It＇s still a little strange that he didn＇t play into this promising position；he may have thought that there was more to gain by waiting． That doesn＇t prove to be the case．
幽b3 0 每 $6^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$

## Hjartarson－Short Dubai OL 1986

## 11 อael（ $D$ ）

Thomas Henrichs，a leading expert on the Exchange Variation，recommends 11 a 3 ．Much like 11 h 3 ，this is a useful waiting move．De－ pending upon Black＇s reply，White will play a minority attack，a central attack，or both．

The text is an old move that prepares e4 and tries to lure Black into playing ．．．De4．It was seen in the games of Marshall and others and was revived about 20 years ago．However，this game and still another by Nigel Short sent Carlsbad players looking for new ideas．

## 11．．． 0 e4！



White had hoped that protecting his e－pawn had prevented this move but it turns out to be justified．

## 12 直xe7档xe7 13 余xe4

White should consider 13 Ød2 here．

## 13．．．dxe4 14 乌d2 f5 15 f3

An old recommendation was 15 d 5 ．Then 15．．． ．d7 with the idea 16 紫b3 cxd5！solves Black＇s problems，as in L．Spassov－Van der Sterren，Albena 1983.

15．．．exf3 16 匂x 3 宣e6 17 e4 fxe4 18 出xe4 （D）


White has a lot of nice squares in this posi－ tion，with pressure down the e－file and potential moves such as 0 e 5 and d 5 ．The question is whether that makes up for the weakness of his isolated pawn．

18．．．h6
Short preemptively stops 0 g 5 and prepares to move his queen to，e．g．，c7．

19［fe1 山ad8（D）
20 E． 5


White would like to centralize with 类e4． Short had success in another game following 20

 ${ }^{W} \mathrm{w}$ d8 with an eye on d4，Semkov－Dokhoian，

 and the attack was over in Timman－Short，Am－ sterdam 1988．It＇s not clear what White over－ looked．

20．．．獃f7（D）


21 b4？！
Loosening．White may have been worried about his a－pawn，but ．．．是xa2 isn＇t a threat yet． Better seems 21 䊦e2 with the idea 21 ．．．金d 22 $\triangle \mathrm{m} 1$ ．

## 21．．． 0 d 722 芭 a ？

Losing the thread．


宽h2 \＃̈h $h+$ ！0－1

Modern Exchange


The Modern Exchange Variation is distin－ guished by the knight＇s development to e2， which strongly indicates White＇s desire to ex－ pand in the centre via f3 and e4．In this position most players pursue some combination of cen－ tral expansion and queenside minority attack． The many versions of this strategy give us a deeper understanding of what both sides＇ideas are and how they should be applied．

9．．．Ee8 10 0－0 ©f8（D）


White has played various moves at this junc－ ture．They all share the same goals，but initially go in different directions．In contrast to the Carlsbad Variation，White doesn＇t have De5； furthermore，the move e4 without f3 will lead to an isolated pawn position in which having a knight on f 3 would be much better than one on e2．

That leaves a series of ways to prepare for e 4 by playing f3 and，for example， $\begin{array}{ll}\text { ead } 1 ~ a n d / o r ~\end{array}$ Efe1．Alternatively，White can play a3 and／or Eab1 to enforce $b 4$ before turning his attention to the centre．We＇ll get a feel for the nature of the play by seeing the following games．This time the imbedded notes and games are partic－ ularly important because they show alternate set－ups for both sides．

## Avrukh－Lugovoi

Beersheba－Peterburg 1999

## 11 f3（D）



This has probably been played more than any other move．White makes no bones about playing for e4．But that＇s not necessarily as committal as it looks because by playing f 3 in－ stead of moving a rook or knight，White doesn＇t reveal which piece distribution Black will have to face．

## 11．．．g6

$11 \ldots g 6$ is seen in the next game．With 11．．．g6 Black prepares ．．． 0 e6，which if played at once loses a pawn to $\mathbf{~} \times 66$ and $\mathbf{~} \times x 7+$ ．

The alternative 11．．．宣e6 was seen in Van Wely－Piket，Antwerp 1996，a well－played game that includes several themes that recur in this line： 12 亘 h 4 ！？压 c 8 （in almost every line with $\mathrm{f} 3, \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ is Black＇s way to try to equalize or take the initiative；however，if White is careful，he can either prevent the advance of the c－pawn or render it harmless） 13 Ead1（a move with a double purpose：to prepare e4，and to discour－ age ．．．c5，which would expose Black＇s d－pawn



We can see this characteristic manoeuvre throughout the Modern Exchange Variation．It turns out that 12 昷 h 4 is multifaceted．One mo－ tivation is escape：with the bishop on g 5 ，the moves ．．． 0 g 6 and h6 might force its exchange． The other idea is to protect the two central pawns．The game continued $15 \ldots \mathrm{c} 516 \mathrm{dxc} 5!?$宣xc5 17 Qd4（White grabs the outpost，and his knight is so influential that Black trades it off， and then exchanges White＇s bishop on d 3 as well；the only problem is that it＇s harder for Black to attack the resulting pawn－structure） 17．．．定xd4 18 exd4 Df4！19 Efe1 ©xd3 20
 we see that White＇s bishop has more prospects than Black＇s，for example along the h2－b8 diag－ onal，and his rooks are more active；therefore Piket launches a queenside attack） 22 ．．．b5 23
 27 Dd1 wiw6 28 b3（White really can＇t allow Black＇s knight into c 4 ，so he has to create a mi－ nor weakness） 28 ．．． e d 7 （to exchange some pieces） 29 Wa5！Еxe2 30 Ёxe2 f5！（just as White was ready for 全g3－e5，he has to deal with a counterattack） 31 h 3 fxg4 32 hxg 4 玉f8
 this point White managed to generate a little pressure because of his active bishop，but the game was eventually drawn．

## 12 Ead1

This is a very common move：White wants to play e4 and therefore gives the pawn on d 4 more support so that it will be less vulnerable when that advance is played．

## 12．．． De $^{2} 13$ 宜h4 45

Black tries to swap bishops．He may want to attack the dark squares，notably White＇s weak
pawn on e3．Instead，13．．．$\triangle \mathrm{g} 7$ ？！would intend ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{f5}$ ，so White should expand in the centre to prevent that by 14 e 4 ．If he can get the move e5 in without an immediate undermining of his centre he will usually stand very well．The ad－ vance f 4 － f 5 may follow，perhaps supported by a knight on g3．

14量f2！（D）


Much as $\boxed{\text { add }}$ does，this prepares e 4 by for－ tifying d4．So Black takes radical steps to hold back the key advance：

## 14．．．f5！ 15 新h1

The vital test is 15 e 4 ．Baburin offers the variation 15．．．Def4 16 e5！？（16 $0 x f 4$ 勾f4 17 exd5 $0 x d 5$ ！ 18 gel is a strange kind of iso－ lated queen＇s pawn position in which White＇s pressure down the e－file gives him the edge） 16．．．©xd3 17 嶒xd3 0 g 7 ！．Black wants to bring a knight to the perfect blockading square on e6 and appears to stand well enough．

## $15 . . .4 \mathrm{Ef8} 16 \mathrm{a} 3$

The centre is more or less in balance so White begins a minority attack．Combining cen－ tral and queenside advances is common in these lines，just as in the Carlsbad Variation．

## 

We know this move by now！Black wants to organize a kingside attack，even though the c8－ bishop isn＇t taking part．


## 21．．．${ }^{\text {we }}$ e7

Now he＇s contemplating ．．．f4．The only other way to get developed is to kick out White＇s knight even if that would create weaknesses；
 23．．．觜e7！？（threatening ．．．ed6） 24 a5 全d6 25

axb6 是xb4 26 亚c2．This is hard to assess． White still has the idea of e4，but has to make sure that ．．．c5 doesn＇t break up his centre．

22 宜c2 f4！？ 23 ©xf4
 for White．
 © 5

White is winning the important dark squares． The opening has been over for a few moves so we＇ll take the rest of the game more lightly．
 bxa5 岩c7

29．．．exa3 30 d 3 ！？and 2 c 5 ．
30 a 4 料xa5 31 类c1 全f5？ 32 g 4 ！金e6 33金c2

Back to the correct diagonal！
 （D）


 \＃bb？

He should exchange rooks and keep the pawn．Now it gets tactical，with Black calling the shots．





51．．．2xb8？
Now the game should be drawn．Baburin



52 需xb8＋黄a3＋55 txf4？精b4？？
$55 . . . \frac{\omega}{V^{2}} \mathrm{~d} 6+!$ is correct，with winning chances．

White escapes all the checks．He won easily．

Neverov－Gelfand<br>Uzhgorod 1987

## $11 \mathrm{f} 3 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 6(D)$



12 e4！？

White has to be careful not to play this too early．More sensible is the patient 12 島ad1 全e6 13 澶h1（he gets off the dangerous $\mathrm{g} 1-\mathrm{a} 7$ diago－
 16 hxg 3 盖xe7 17 gl （another waiting move； he can play for either the minority attack via b4 or slowly prepare e4）17．．．c5？（D）（a positional mistake，as is soon evident）．


 25 Ёxd4，Tukmakov－Miladinović，Burgas 1995. We have a good knight versus a bad bishop in an IQP position，although Tukmakov failed to win after encountering tough defence．White certainly won the opening，but without the mis－ take $17 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ things weren＇t so clear；probably he had a small advantage in any case．

## 12．．．dxe4 13 fxe4 㑒e6 14 号ad1 0 g 4 ！

This is the problem．White can hardly afford to let Black control e3，so he has to retreat， which gives Black just enough time to counter－ attack in the centre with the key move ．．．c5．

## 15 皿c1

 d5 亘d7 and a knight will settle in on e5．

## 15．．．c5 16 鼻b5！？

White tries to stir things up rather than con－ cede the centre by 16 h 3 cxd 4 ．But Black has a little trick．

16．．．誈c7！（D）

## 17 g 3 Ёed8

It turns out that he wins the e5－square any－ way，because now 18 h 3 ？cxd4 19 hxg 4 dxc 3 contains the extra threat of ．．．幽c5＋，picking up the bishop on b5．

18 d 5 定c8


Now Black is simply better．
19 © 4 c4 20 sg2 © 4 e 521 d 6 ！
White attempts to activate his pieces with this pawn sacrifice；otherwise he＇s in terrible positional shape due to his bad bishop and inac－ tive knights，whereas Black retains his outpost on e5．

21．．．全xd6 22 分cd5？
A blunder． 22 \＆fd5！would have given White his own beautiful outpost and some if not suf－ ficient play for the pawn．

22．．．䊦c5 $23 \mathrm{a4}$ \＆g4！ 24 ت̈de1（ $D$ ）


## 24．．．䩳c8！

Black now has the double threat of $25 \ldots \mathrm{a}$ ， winning the bishop，and $25 \ldots .2 \times f 4+$ followed by ．．．全h3＋．The alternative $24 \ldots$ ．．．a6 25 食e3歯c8 260 b 6 is superficially more complicated but it also wins after $26 . .$. Qxf4＋27gxf4 全f3＋！


The game is effectively over：Black is win－ ning more material．

Kasparov－Beliavsky<br>Moscow（TV，rapidplay） 1987

$11 \mathrm{a3}$（ $D$ ）


A flexible move．Black doesn＇t know on which side of the board White will operate．

## 11．．．g6！？

Here＇s an entertaining snippet from a bril－ liantly－played blindfold game：11．．． 0 g 6 （prob－ ably one of the best moves） 12 b 4 a 6130 g 3宣d6 14 凹ae1 h6 15 是xh6！？gxh6 16 全xg6




 Carlo（Amber blindfold）1993，reaching a nutty position that White ultimately won．

12 b4 4 e6 13 宜h4 a6 14 f3
By an odd route，we have transposed to Kas－ parov－Short（page 51），which featured $14 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{~h} 5$ ．

14．．．仓g715 首f 2 ！h5 16 h3 ©h7 17 e4

Again，Black hasn＇t assessed any penalty for this move，so White must stand better．



Exchanging queens isn＇t bad either．
娄h8 $27 \mathrm{f4} \mathrm{f6} 28$ exf6 全f7（D）


Now the pretty stuff begins．Since this was for TV，the time allotment was short．





The key calculation is 41 ．．．${ }^{\text {Ex }}$ xe6 42 fxe 6
 and White wins the pawn endgame．


## Move－Orders in the Queen＇s Gambit Declined

Move－order issues are rife in the Queen＇s Gam－ bit Declined．That＇s true in other openings that I deal with，but not to this extent or with this sig－ nificance．Your choice of early moves directly bears upon your mastery of the opening and what positions you＇d like to head for．Depending upon what sort of thinker you are，this situation can be either fascinating or appalling．

However，you don＇t need to know about all these move－order details（or for that matter， about any of them）to go out and start playing the QGD with either colour．If you want to do so，by all means skip this section．As you grow
curious about the subject，however，you may want to return here to supplement your practi－ cal knowledge．Even what is presented below is not complete，but most of what＇s important is covered．

I＇m going to follow the same path of moves that I did at the beginning of this chapter，but I＇ll add details and expand the material．In what follows I won＇t assume that you＇ve read the other sections of this chapter，although some－ times I＇ll refer you to one or another．

1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 63 ©c3
Before getting to more complicated mate－ rial，let me repeat what I said about the immedi－ ate exchange of pawns， 3 cxd5 exd5（D），in the introduction to this chapter，adding some de－ tails．


The sequence actually called the Exchange Variation goes 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 63 气c3 0 f 64 cxd5 exd5，generally with 5 最g5．But if White tries to get to that position by 3 cxd5 exd5 4 ©c3，Black doesn＇t have to reply $4 \ldots$ ．．． 26 ．He can play 4．．．c6．Then 5 昷f4 is outside the realm of a true Exchange Variation and anyway，Black can equalize easily by 5 ．．．\＆ d 6 ，or go for more by 5 ．．．．${ }^{\text {最 } 55 .}$

Notice that this differs from the important Alatortsev Variation，which goes 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 6 3 分 3 金e7（which has its own section above）．In that case，after 4 cxd5 exd5 5 音f4，Black would have to use up an extra tempo to play $5 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {ed }}$ d， and the move 5．．．ef5？is simply bad after 6数b3．Conclusion：even though the Alatortsev order doesn＇t permit White to play an Exchange Variation，there is no automatic equalizer for Black after the moves 4 cxd5 exd5 5 \＆ 44 ．

The remaining natural move after 3 cxd5 exd5 4 cc 3 c 6 is 5 f 3 ．After this Black can still avoid the Exchange Variation by $5 \ldots$ ．．．ff， which is incidentally considered a good move that equalizes．Then it＇s important to see that 6新 63 can be safely answered by 6 ．．．wbe or 6 ．．．吰 $c 8$.

The position after 3 © $\mathbf{f 3}(D)$ is critical．It can arise from other move－orders，so sometimes White may be stuck with it．For example，one way in which this can happen us via 1 © 3 ；e．g．， 1．．．d5 2 c 4 e 63 d 4 ．Another route is 1 d 4 d 52公f3e6 3 c4．


At this point there are quite a few issues：
a）I＇m not too concerned here about trans－ positions to completely different openings，but here 3 ．．．c6 will usually transpose to a Semi－ Slav；e．g．，if White plays 4 cc 3 or 4 e 3 ．
b）Black also has $3 . . . c 54 \mathrm{cxd5}$ exd5，when 5 Dc3 is the Tarrasch Defence to the Queen＇s Gambit．In this exact position，White can devi－ ate from the＇pure＇Tarrasch Variation by skip－ ping © 3 for the moment and playing 5 盆g5！？， which gives him some extra opportunities．That may be confusing if you＇re just starting out with this opening，but if you＇re curious you can find details in the books．
c）Usually Black will play 3．．．Df6 here．Let me repeat what I said in the text and expand upon why this is an important position．The mostcommon way to get to it is not by 1 d 4 d 52 c4 e6 3 亿f3 3 f6．It comes up more often via the move－order 1 d 4 㪉 $2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{e} 6(\mathrm{D})$ ．
In this position a lot of players would like to avoid the Nimzo－Indian Defence（ 3 ©c3 ebb4）， so they play $3 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ instead of $3 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ ．Then if


Black plays 3．．．d5，we＇re back to the basic posi－ tion．

But Black doesn＇t have to play a Queen＇s Gambit．He can choose to play the Queen＇s In－ dian Defence by $3 \ldots$ b6，or the Modern Benoni by $3 \ldots \mathrm{c} 54 \mathrm{~d} 5$ exd5 $5 \mathrm{cxd5} \mathrm{~d} 660 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6$ ，intend－ ing ．．．．酎g7．There＇s more to be said about the Modern Benoni．Its standard order is 1 d 4 Øf6 2 c 4 c 53 d 5 e 64 ©c3 exd5 $5 \mathrm{cxd5} \mathrm{~d} 6$ and in most cases 6 e4 g6．However，by having in－ cluded the move ff 3，White has forfeited the chance to play popular Benoni variations such as $7 \mathrm{f4}$ 金 78 會b5 + ．Thus 0 f 3 limits White＇s options．

Now we return to 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 63 － 3 20f6 （D）：


There are probably more serious negatives than positives for White with ©f3 already hav－ ing been played，but let＇s look at a few bene－ fits for him first．Please remember that this is the complex and confusing version of the move－order presentation in the beginning of the
chapter－I＇m trying to fit in as much informa－ tion as I can！

Those who are experienced in the Queen＇s Gambit（or who have read this chapter）will see that this move－order avoids the main－line posi－ tions in which Black delays ．．．Df6 in favour of 3．．．．安e7，that is， 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e6 3 ©c3（instead of $3 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ ）3．．．曾e7，the Alatortsev Variation mentioned above．Notice also that if $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 62$
 is no longer logical as a substitute for 3．．．d5； apart from the answer 4 c3，White could play 4 d5！？．

If White gets to this basic position（1 d4 d5 2 c 4 e 63 乌f3 ff 6 ），he has the choice of playing 4 g 3 ，which is called the＇Catalan Opening＇．It is absolutely sound，although rarely used on the lower and average levels of play．The Catalan is not optimally entered by 3 g 3 f6 4 g 3 ，be－ cause $4 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$（or 4．．．． $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{b} 4$ followed by ．．．dxc4） makes it awkward for White to recover his pawn．

White will usually answer $3 \ldots$ ．．Df6 with 4 Qc3（D）．


Now consider this further move－order infor－ mation：

1）White has yet another benefit from hav－ ing included $\triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ ．If Black now plays $4 \ldots .0 \mathrm{bd} 7$ ， then 5 亶g5 c6 6 e3 wa5！？is the Cambridge Springs Defence，which is considered quite playable．This can be forced by Black if White plays the main line 3 气c3 3 f6 4 㑒g5 0 bd75 e3 c66乌f3，and now 6．．．wa5 is the Cambridge Springs．

However，if White has played 4 Qf3（instead of 4 金g5），then after $4 \ldots$ bd7， 5 cxd5 exd5 6

自f4 is a promising order（this is analysed at the beginning of the Orthodox／Capablanca sec－ tion）．So having 0 f 3 in discourages the Cam－ bridge Springs！

2）What about the negative effects for White of 4 Qf3 instead of $4 \hat{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{g} 5$ ？A couple of them are particularly significant：

2a）Black can choose to play $4 \ldots$ ．．．dxc4．Then what is considered the most challenging line goes 5 e4 全b4 6 黄g 5 c 5 （ $6 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ is also played） ． This introduces the Vienna Variation，which in contemporary chess will often lead to 7 鼻xc4


 with a real mess that is still unresolved in the－ ory and practice．


It＇s all about specifics at that point so I＇ll leave it to the reader to look up the theory．How－ ever，both players should know about the Vi－ enna Variation．For Black，it＇s an opportunity to play something different，and for White，it＇s a potential problem to deal with．You can see that the Vienna Variation isn＇t an issue if White plays 3 ©c3 and 4 全g5．

2b）After 4 §f3，Black can also continue 4．．．\＆b4，leading to other complex variations such as 5 亶g5 0 bd 76 cxd5 exd5 7 e3 c5 8
 De4 8 嵝c2．Again，it＇s the sort of thing that Black might consider playing（perhaps for sur－ prise value）and that White should be prepared for．

2c）As explained in the beginning of the chapter， $4 \ldots$ 量 75 cxd5 exd5 6 全g5 0－0 7 e3 c6 gives us an Exchange Variation，but with

White＇s knight committed to f 3 ．This is the Carlsbad Variation，given its own section．The only problem for White is that he＇s lost the op－ tion of placing the king＇s knight on e2，which is the favourite development for a majority of players．

2d）Black can still try to avoid the Carlsbad Exchange variation altogether by means of 4 Qf3 昷e7，and if 5 cxd5 cxd5 6 是g5，then 6．．．c6 （D）．


This has the idea 7 e 3 昷 f 5 with the easy play that usually comes from ．．．宣f5．To avoid this White can play 7 粞c2（to prevent ．．．会f5）7．．．g6！？ （to enforce it，but creating a weakness） 8 e3
 good alternative） 11 是xf6 宣xf6 12 b4 湢d6 13
 Belgrade 1988，and now 15 a4 seems like a good idea，countering ．．．b5 and considering a5 and ©a4 at the right moment．Nevertheless， Black should know about these details because he can gain equality or very close to equality in these positions，and they provide a way to avoid the Exchange Variation．

2e）For the sake of completeness，I should add that White can also skip 5 cxd5 and go back to a traditional line by 5 敛5 0－0 and now play 6 e 3 ，or he can try to get into another version of the Exchange Variation by 6 cxd5 exd5，but even then it＇s not easy because the books say that $6 \ldots$ ．． $2 x d 5$ is satisfactory．

2f）Finally，after $4 乌$ f3， 4 ．㙉e 7 can be an－ swered by the independent move 5 宣f4（D）．


This important option has a long history，but I＇ve had to forego an examination of it．One in－ teresting aspect of 5 亶f4 is that in the main lines Black will soon play ．．．c5，following the notion that an early move by the bishop on c 1 will be met by queenside action．For example，
 the popular 9 cxd5 $0 \mathrm{xd} 510 \triangleq \mathrm{xd} 5$ exd5 $11 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 3$ \＆b6 $120-0 \mathrm{~d} 413 \mathrm{e} 4$ ，with a standard kingside majority structure that we see elsewhere in this book） 9 ．．．䊓 $510 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$ or $100-0-0$ ．

It goes on and on．All this makes quite a case for 3 \＆c3 and its predictability．

Such a barrage of move－order details can be disheartening，but they are important if you really want to master the Queen＇s Gambit and not merely play around with it．There are three redeeming features in this situation．First，you don＇t have to learn these details all at once．By playing the Queen＇s Gambit，you＇ll soon real－ ize the importance of move－order subtleties， and either hearken back to this book or learn more by other means．The second piece of good news is that you can pick and choose what you want to do and avoid having to deal with most of these issues．That is especially true if you＇re Black．Finally，there is every chance that your opponent will know less about move－order is－ sues than you do！

## 3 Slav and Semi-Slav

$1 \mathrm{d4} \mathbf{d 5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 6$ (D)


In this chapter we'll be investigating the Slav complex, embracing all variations of the Queen's Gambit that begin with 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 c 6 . The Slav Defence is long-established as one of Black's most solid and effective answers to 1 d4. Max Euwe famously used the opening in his world championship matches versus Alekhine in the 1930s, and in the last two decades various forms of it (including the Semi-Slav, discussed in this chapter) have grown tremendously in popularity. Chess professionals in particular have found that the Slav's solidity is complemented by the dynamic counterattacks which can arise if White plays aggressively for an advantage

The Slav move 2...c6 reinforces Black's d5pawn and it therefore begs comparison with the Queen's Gambit Declined (a.k.a. 'QGD': 1 d4 d5 2 c 4 e 6 ). In the QGD, Black's second move 2...e6 blocks off his own bishop on c8, making development of that piece difficult. That is not true of the Slav Defence, although 2...c6 uses up the c6-square, which in most openings is the best square for Black's queen's knight. This elimination of a possible ... ©c 6 , Black's 'ideal' development, is considered to be a prime drawback of $2 \ldots c 6$. A comparison of these two openings' respective disadvantages, however, would
seem to favour the Slav over the Queen's Gambit Declined, since after 1 d 4 d 5 the move ... 0 c 6 tends to be fairly ineffective anyway. A much more important consideration is that in the Slav, Black has wasted a tempo if he wants to play the important move ...c5, so often a key move (or positional threat) in the QGD.

It's also revealing to compare the respective Exchange Variations of these two openings. In the Queen's Gambit Declined, 1 d4 d5 2 c 4 e 63 Qc3 $2 \mathrm{f} 64 \mathrm{cxd5}$ exd5 gives White a 2-1 central pawn-majority (a desirable feature for him); whereas in the Slav, $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 52 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 63 \mathrm{cxd5} \mathrm{cxd} 5$ leaves the central pawn count the same. Superficially that would seem to favour the Slav version over the QGD. Again, things are not so easy. First, there are many players who prefer such imbalanced structures, and in the QGD Exchange, some would argue that Black's open e-file is more useful than White's open c-file. In addition, the QGD move 2...e6 affords Black the opportunity to develop his king's bishop quickly and thus enables the desirable move ... $0-0$ in short order. In the Slav Defence, by contrast, Black will take some time to play ... $0-0$ because he has to find a place for the f8-bishop, and trying to do so by a speedy ...e6 would seem to contradict the main advantage of $2 \ldots \mathrm{c} 6$, i.e., to be able to develop the c 8 -bishop quickly.

I shall use the name 'Slav' for lines in which Black doesn't play ...e6 before bringing his queen's bishop out, or at least doesn't eliminate that option within the first few moves. By contrast, 'Semi-Slav' denotes a variation that begins with both ...e6 and ...c6 on the first few moves; for example, 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 c 63 ©c3 e6,
 ©c3 c6, etc. This will include the Meran Variation, described in its own section. Although the most common lines in the Slav and Semi-Slav include ...dxc4, Black can also play moves such as ...a6 and ...g6. The latter moves hold the d5 point and emphasize the fundamental solidity of this defence.

Solidity tends to indicate lack of ambition， but that＇s not necessarily so in the Slav，since most of the main lines are unbalanced．It is true， however，that Black will seldom be playing for an early initiative or tactical chances unless White provokes him．A reason for this can be seen by the picture after the second move．Black is certainly not ready to waste a move on the pawn－break ．．．c5，but the only other way to challenge the centre would involve ．．．e5，a move unlikely to happen soon in view of the fact that White will almost always play f 3 on this or the next couple of moves．

Nevertheless，Black＇s second move has a po－ tential dynamism that will express itself in spe－ cific situations．For example，in most variations of both the Slav and Semi－Slav，Black will play ．．．dxc4 at a fairly early stage．Then，although it may seem trivial，White needs to pay serious at－ tention to recovering his pawn，because Black may play simply ．．．b5 and hang on to it（com－ pare the Queen＇s Gambit Declined or Accepted， where this is a rare occurrence）．Should this possibility require the move 44 on White＇s part， he has used up a tempo and weakened his b4－ square．Already on his third move，then，White has a limited choice of continuations that avoid the forced loss of a pawn and yet still contain some punch．His candidates for the job are 3 cxd5（the Exchange Variation）， $3 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ ，and the main move 3 气f3．In the right situation White might prefer to gambit his c－pawn for compen－ sation elsewhere，leading of course to unbal－ anced play．

You have seen or will see that all major openings have move－order issues．The Slav is no different but the majority of important deci－ sions come on very early moves and mostly comprise independent set－ups rather than trans－ positions．The description that follows is a re－ source to which you can return after you gain some experience．

## 3 ゆf3

Although 3 \＆f3 is the main move，White sometimes uses 3 ©c3 $(D)$ in order either to by－ pass certain lines or to play independently．

Two of the most frequent continuations are 3．．．包f 4 cxd5 cxd5 5 这 f 4 ，which is considered in the Exchange Variation section，and 3．．．e6 4 e4，the Marshall Gambit，discussed in the Semi－ Slav section．


A couple of unique move－orders to watch out for as White，or to use as Black，are：
a） $3 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$ ，which is quite complex after 4
 pawn on b4；there usually follows 7 定xc4 Df6

 ory that I won＇t pursue；it＇s simply good to know it exists．Or White can play $4 \mathrm{e} 4(D)$ ．


This is somewhat more ambitious：4．．．b5（or
 prospects of a small edge for White） 5 a4 b4 6
 ter which Black has various set－ups involving ．．． $45, \ldots$ ．．．a6，or simply ．．．e6．White would like to get in the moves 0 莫 $\mathrm{d} 2,4 \mathrm{c} 1-\mathrm{b} 3$ and E cl ．
b） $3 \ldots e 5!?$ is the Winawer Counter－Gambit， about which a lot has been written．Obviously if Black can get away with this move unpunished he will have freed his game entirely．I＇ll just give a skeletal structure of the main lines and refer you to theory to get the details： 4 cxd5（ 4
 $\mathrm{e} 4!$ ？or $4 \ldots \mathrm{exd4}$ ） $4 \ldots \mathrm{cxd5} 5$ dxe5（ 5 Øf3 e4 6
 or 7 气d2 $\mathrm{D}^{2} 7$ ！？or $7 \ldots$ ．．．h6！？
c）After 3．．． Qff $^{2}$ ，White can play 4 e 3 ，often his main reason for using the $3 \$ \mathrm{c} 3$ move－order． That＇s because 4．．． 定f5？！is now a mistake due to 5 cxd5 cxd5？！（5．．． $0 x$ xd5 6 豐b3） 6 曹b3 with the idea $6 \ldots$ ．．． U b6 7 包xd5．Compare this with 3 ©f 3 \＆f6 4 e 3 below，when $4 \ldots$ ．．．f5 is perfectly playable．After 3 \＆c3 0 f 64 e 3 ，Black can in－ stead play $4 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6,4 \ldots \mathrm{a}$ or $4 \ldots$ 安g $4!?$ ，the latter of particular interest to players who want to keep the game dynamic in character．

Chess is as flexible as you want it to be，al－ though main lines usually give White the best chance to gain a lasting advantage．

## 3．．． 9 f 64 C 3

Conceding that the more aggressive varia－ tions involving $\$ \mathrm{~g} 5$ against the Semi－Slav aren＇t to their taste，players are turning rela－ tively often to the modest $4 \mathrm{e} 3(D)$ ．


If White plays 0 c 3 next he is likely to achieve a standard formation，but 4 e 3 opens up some other possibilities for both sides．Without going into detail here，this move－order com－ pares to 40 c 3 e 65 e 3 in the following ways：

1）It sidesteps $4 \mathrm{Dc}^{2}$ dxc4 5 a 4 Q f 5 （the main line of the Slav proper），because 4 e 3 dxc 4 5 exc4 is as favourable a development as White could wish for．

2）It gives White more leeway in replying to the Semi－Slav．That is，after 4．．．e6，White can revert to the main line by 50 c 3 （see the Semi－ Slav section of this chapter），but he can also use some combination of the moves $\triangleq b d 2$ ，宣d3，
$0-0$ and b3．Those last formations are not pur－ sued in this book but can hopefully be under－ stood on general grounds，i．e．by omitting $\triangle \mathrm{c} 3$ ， White avoids having to suffer the tempo－ gaining moves ．．．dxc4 and ．．．b5－b4．This last se－ quence occurs in the Meran Variation of the Semi－Slav，and will be seen in that section．

3）After 4 e3，Black may decide not to coop－ erate in returning to Semi－Slav territory．He can instead play 4．．．ef5 or 4．．． e 4 ，placing his ＇bad＇bishop outside the pawn－chain and leav－ ing White＇s bishop on c1 looking rather sad．In that case we return to the point made often in this book：in d－pawn openings，White should remember to look at ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~b} 3$ or W a a，when re－ sponding to the early development of the c8－ bishop．It＇s unlikely that any other course will yield an advantage versus Black＇s natural de－ velopment．

To demonstrate that trade－off，let＇s take a look at the common Black deviations after 4 e 3 ：
a） $4 \ldots$ ． g 45 断 b 3 hits b 7 and prepares 或 5 ． Then 5 ．．．${ }^{\text {U }} \mathrm{b} 6(D)$ is a good way to continue．
w


The game Korchnoi－Acs，Budapest 2003 saw 6 ）e5 \＆ 45 （Black wants to play ．．．e6 and ．．． 0 bd 7 with the freer development） 7 cxd5曹xb3 8 axb3 $0 \times \mathrm{xd} 5$ ，when White＇s weak b4－ square is a bother that at least compensates Black for his opponent＇s central majority： 9气a3（9 Dd2？！Db4 is awkward；e．g．， 10 Еa4 e6





Why can＇t White play the same game and get the advantage by 6 畨xb6 axb6？Because he
can＇t exploit the b5 outpost；for instance， 7 亿c3 （ 7 cxd5 $0 x \mathrm{x} 5$ and White still needs to take no－ tice of ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{b} 4 ;$ e．g．， 8 气e5？ $\mathrm{Db}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ！；also ineffec－ tive is 7 De5 置f5 80 c 3 e6 with equality） 7．．．e6 8 cxd5 exd5 9 宣e2 蕞d6 $100-0$ b5！；note that White＇s bishop on cl is bad in these lines．
b） $4 \ldots$ ．．． 55 and now：
b1）After 5 边 3 e6 6 h4，both 6．．．莫g 7
 hxg6 have been tested in high－level grandmas－ ter play，with and without the insertion of W3 and ．．．Wb6．This has generally resulted in bal－ anced play，especially after 6．．．\＆ 4 ．White has two bishops，but neither one is as good Black＇s bishop，and Black＇s open h－file can come in handy，often in conjunction with ．．．空d6 and the aggressive move ．．．g 5 to disturb things early on and force a change of structure that accommo－ dates the knights．
b2） 5 cxd5 cxd5 6 幽b3（D）．

B


In this position Black must choose how he wants to defend the b－pawn．6．．． W b6 is possible but the more ambitious set－up is 6 ．．．䊦c7，in－
 to weaken Black＇s pawn－structure by \＆xc6 （when ．．．bxc6 is normally best），trade dark－ squared bishops by 宣b4，and then mount pres－ sure down the c－file．He might，for example， play a rook to cl and occupy c 5 with a knight after the preliminary 0 a4．From Black＇s per－ spective（after 是xc6 and ．．．bxc6），he will have $^{\text {b }}$ two bishops and pressure down an open b－file， with prospects of ．．．c5 after，say，the moves ．．．管b6 and ．．．9 9 d 7 ．At the moment White seems to be getting very slight advantages in this type of position，but even those may well prove to be
illusory．The whole line is quite playable for Black in any case．
c） 4 ．．．g65 0 c 3 荲g7 is a hybrid Grünfeld／Slav variation that is known as the Schlechter Slav． This position can also arise via 1 d 4 Øf6 2 c 4 g6 3 Øc3 d5 4 ゆf3 定g75 e3c6．After，for ex－ ample， 6 全d3 0－070－0 宽g 48 h 3 定xf3 9 嵝xf3 e6 10 Ëdl 0 bd7（D）White wants to use his two bishops and space advantage and slowly make progress on the queenside，whereas Black is happy that White＇s dark－squared bishop is re－ stricted and will strive for ．．．e5．


An ultra－solid position has arisen．Some－ times Black can consider ．．．dxc4 followed by ．．．e 5 but that brings White＇s light－squared bishop to an aggressive diagonal．At the moment the desirable move ．．．e5 is difficult to implement because Black＇s d－pawn requires defence．As a general rule，the player with two bishops will have some advantage unless the opponent＇s knights already have an available outpost or he can create one by means of a forcing attack． Here，since Black cannot do so，White probably retains a small edge．Still，these positions are very resilient and one could also argue Black＇s bishop on g 7 is so much superior to White＇s on cl that he can claim full equality．Note that it doesn＇t help White to open the game immedi－ ately because that would activate his opponent＇s knights： 11 e4！？e5！ 12 dxe5（ 12 exd5 exd4 13
 equal position； 12 食e 3 dxe 413 分xe4 0 xe 414
覀xg4 18 hxg 4 f6 and Black has the advan－
 with dynamic play that appears evenly balanced．

## Slav Main Line



Now we move into the realm of the Slav proper，leaving the Semi－Slav（4．．．e6）for later． Black begins with：

## 4．．．dxc4

This is a rather strange－looking move，at least to the inexperienced player．With his first three moves，Black has carefully maintained his pawn on d 5 and prevented e4．Now he sur－ renders his control of that square and grants White a central majority to boot！Perhaps that might make sense if $4 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$ won a pawn，but White can regain the pawn on 4 fairly easily．

As is often the case，Black＇s motivation fol－ lows from a combination of the goals and the specifics of the position．He would like to de－ velop his pieces，and since $4 \ldots$ e6 cuts off the queen＇s bishop，it would be nice to place that piece on f 5 to be followed by ．．．e6 and kingside development．However，the immediate 4．．．．．f5？！ is one of those cases in which the bishop comes out a bit too early，because White has played Qc3 instead of e3（that is，as opposed to 3 \＆f3左6 4 e3 全f5 above）．Play can continue 5 cxd5！and now：

6．．．敕b6！？（ $6 \ldots$ ．．．c8 is an admission of failure but perhaps best； $6 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ is always weakening in such positions－White can take advantage of Black＇s vulnerable light squares by 7 \＆ \& 4 0c6 8 e4！dxe4 9 定b5 \＆${ }^{\text {\＆}} 710$ De5 $0 x e 511$ dxe5 with the idea 豆d1） 7 曾xb6！？（White can also grab a pawn by 7 0xd5 $0 x d 58$ 䊦xd5 e6，and return it advantageously by 9 当b3 曾xb3 10



7 ．．．axb6 8 最f4 e6 9 e3 eb4 10 曾b5＋and White stands better because Black＇s pawns are vulnerable．
b） $5 . . .0 \times \mathrm{xd} 5$ also seems to fall short of equal－ ity；e．g．， 6 粕b3（ 6 d d $2!$ ？is strange－looking， but e 4 can＇t be stopped so it probably produces some advantage；the benefit of the central ma－ jority outweighs White＇s loss of time）6．．．${ }^{W}$ bb $b$ ！ 7 0xd5（or 7 龇xb6 axb6 8 公xd5 cxd5 9 e3
 8 傢xd5！？e6 9 娄b3 当xb3 10 axb 3 余c2 11 e3


 the twin ideas of $\triangleq \mathrm{b} 6+$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{e} 5$ ．

Instead of $4 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$ or $4 \ldots$ ．．．f5？！， $4 \ldots \mathrm{a}$ ！？（ $D$ ）is an ultra－sophisticated attempt that asks White what his plan is while preparing to develop his queen＇s bishop to f5 or g4．


I won＇t go into theory，but a few features of this position are easily explained．In variations without ．．．a6，the usual response to ．．．©f5 or
．．． e g 4 involves the move 逨b3，attacking the b－pawn．But after 4．．．a6，Black can play ．．．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{g} 4$ or ．．． $\mathrm{Q} . f 5$ and answer White＇s 数b3 by either ．．．b5，which forces a resolution of the centre，or the remarkable ．．． $\mathbf{Z}$ a 7 ？？One point of the latter move is that Black needn＇t devote his queen to defence of $b 7$ and thus it is less exposed to at－ tack．To clarify that a bit you might want to compare the lines after 4 气c3 空f5？！ 5 䆥b3数c7 or 5．．．娄c8，which expose Black＇s queen to potential attack down the c－file．It＇s also worth noting that the move $4 \ldots$ a6 is a very useful one in the Exchange Variation after 5 cxd5 cxd5， since it prevents 0 b 5 as well as White＇s stan－ dard move 宣b5．In fact，we shall look at a line that comes from 4．．．a6 in the Exchange Varia－ tion section below．

## 5 a4

The Geller Gambit 5 e4 b5 6 e5 attempts to use White＇s broad centre for attacking purposes and mix in some tactical opportunism．In the process there arise positional features involving the struggle for light and dark squares by both players．To give a taste of this complex gambit， let＇s take a brief look at the old main line，which goes $6 \ldots$ ．． $\mathrm{d}^{2} 57 \mathrm{a} 4$（ D ）．


7．．．e6！（securing d5；other moves are risky－ for instance， $7 \ldots$ ．．． e 78 e6！shatters Black＇s pawn－structure） 8 axb5 $5 \times \mathrm{xc} 39 \mathrm{bxc} 3 \mathrm{cxb5} 10$
 $10 \ldots$ 曾b7 11 档h5（White creates dark－square weaknesses in Black＇s position）11．．．g6 12 Wg4
 similarly protects the light squares and accord－ ing to theory also favours Black） 14 会f3 h5 15速g 3 （most of White＇s moves have been forced）

15．．．4c6 $160-0 \mathrm{~b} 4$ ！and White＇s pawn－chain is collapsing before he can exploit Black＇s dark－ square weaknesses．Needless to say，there is more theory on this complex line，but the con－ clusion is that Black stands well，in large part because White＇s attack has to depend upon pieces alone，and he can＇t wait around too long while Black prepares ．．．a5 and ．．．b4．

## 5．．．宜f5

Just in time，Black gets his bishop out and stops White from forming an ideal centre with e4．This is the choice of most players．There are a number of valid alternatives，including 5．．．c5 and 5．．．dg4．Having to choose one，I＇ll look briefly at a third，highly interesting，alternative： $5 \ldots$ ．．．a6（D）．


What on earth is Black doing，after first giv－ ing up the centre，by wasting time and putting his knight on the edge of the board？As Graham Burgess points out，this is to some degree a waiting move．Black wants White to move his e－pawn so that he can play ．．．垔g4．But if you think about it there are few if any other useful moves by which Black can temporize！Although 5．．．© a6 doesn＇t exactly set the house on fire，it is a developing move，and sometimes the knight can occupy the b4 outpost．Otherwise it might recentralize by ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{c} 7$ or even give support to a ．．．c5 counterthrust．

White＇s most natural move is 6 e 3 ，which can be met by $6 \ldots$ ．．．g 4 ！（a trick is $6 \ldots$ ．．． e 57


 \＆g6 13 e 4 is the kind of restraint centre ex－ plored in the chapter on structures in Volume 1；
here Black＇s bishop is outside the pawn－chain， but in real danger of being cut off，whereas Black＇s knight on b4 is a definite plus）9．．．© g 6 ． At this point let＇s break off in order to explore an idea that many of you must have been won－ dering about： 10 荲xa6！？bxa6（ $D$ ）．


White captures the knight on a6 to double and isolate Black＇s pawns on a7，a6 and c6（the latter on an open file）．He also has two central pawns and a nice support point on e5 for his knight，so that moves like 2 e5 and W f3，per－ haps followed by h4－h5，are potential threats． What are the downsides？First，he has given his opponent the two bishops，which happen to have attractive open lines available．Second，he has weakened his light squares，a serious issue with this particular pawn－structure．Black has also gained the b－file along which his rook can attack the backward pawn on b2．Finally，White has lost a move capturing the knight and will have a difficult time organizing an attack down the c－file before Black plays ．．．c5 and puts pres－ sure on White＇s centre．Thus we have a typical trade－off between static and dynamic factors． White needs to respond firmly；for example， 11 Qe5 覂d6（11．．．c5！？ 12 a5！\＆d6？！ 13 畨a4＋

 and the dynamic side is getting the better of it） $12 \ldots \mathrm{hxg} 613 \mathrm{~g} 5$ with some kind of dynamic equilibrium after $13 \ldots$ ． 2 h 514 Qe4 or $13 \ldots$ ．．．d 5 14 e4 父xc3 15 bxc3 c5 16 dxc5 全xc5 17断xd8＋Exd8．

In fact，this trade of bishop for doubled a－ pawns arises repeatedly in chess openings．For example，there are several variations of the

King＇s Indian Defence in which Black plays ．．．乌a6 and White bites with 重xa6．One such is


数7 $140-0$ ene ，pitting Black＇s static weak－ nesses against two bishops and a potentially dynamic ．．．f5 thrust．Or the Glek Variation with
 6 宣e2 e5 70－0 0a6，when an early c5 and是xa6 occurs in at least one of the main lines．

Some other examples：the Pirc Defence with 1 e 4 d 62 d 4 勾 63 気 3 g 64 f 4 真 g 75 気 $30-0$ 6 安d3 §a6，inviting 国xa6．Or a similar idea in the French following $1 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{e} 62 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{~d} 53 \Omega \mathrm{~d} 2$

 $0-0$ exc6．

Of course White can also offer the trade－off． For example，a variation of the Réti Opening goes 1 乌f3 d5 2 c 4 e 63 g 3 乌f6 4 全g2c6（or 4．．．dxc4 5 分a3 亚xa3 6 bxa3！？） $50-0 \mathrm{dxc} 46$ Qa3 血xa3 7 bxa3 with the bishop－pair，dark squares，and $b$－file for the pawn．And so forth． You will find other examples as you move through the chess world．This is an example of cross－pollination：the point is that when you have a position in which your knight is about to be captured on a6 or a3，you will come to rec－ ognize the pros and cons of allowing that cap－ ture．

Returning to the main move 5．．． e 5 ，we have a major split between 6 e 3 and 6 e 5 ，with each now getting its own section．

## Dutch Variation： 6 e3

## 全f56e3e67夏xc4

The lines are drawn．Both sides are reason－ ably well developed and White has the central majority．Black＇s task，then，is to make sure that it can＇t advance（i．e．，e4 cannot be played） until he is ready to snipe at the centre and force White into some undesired change of struc－ ture．From White＇s point of view，Black＇s pawn－structure is super－solid and will only be completely broken down by e4 and d 5 ．Alter－ natively，White has the option of e4－e5，seek－ ing attacking chances．

7．．． eb4 $^{6}$

Black indirectly increases his control over e4．
$80-0$（D）

B


A basic position．We can see some general features of the game developing．White has a central majority（2：1），and Black has a restraint formation with the light－squared bishop in front of the pawn－chain（see Chapter 3 in Volume 1 on pawn－structures for an explanation of the various types of restraint centres）．In the situa－ tion before us，Black is not waiting for e4 but preventing the advance of the e－pawn by piece－ play．He has two pieces attacking e4 and a third ready to exchange off a piece defending that square．Whether or not that situation persists， he will try to gain time for the characteristic pawn－breaks ．．．c5 and ．．．e5．

Note that Black＇s bishop is on an outpost on b4 and cannot be expelled by pawns；therefore White may need to retreat his knight or divert resources to drive the bishop away．As a first plan，White would like to play f 3 in order to get e4 in，but that would require either a knight re－ treat or advance to e5，and both moves will al－ low an early ．．．c5．This leaves White with two basic approaches：
a）He can support a central advance with his pieces，the classical approach．In that case， White wants to pose Black the challenge of confronting an ideal centre；
b）He can chase down Black＇s bishop on f 5 by a variety of methods，including the direct 6 Qh4．In that case，should White decide to cap－ ture the bishop on f 5 he will have to forego e 4 for some time，but that may not be a bad trade－ off．

These goals can operate in tandem．The more specific decision about whether and when to try to expel Black＇s bishop on b4 will vary according to circumstance．

The 6 e 3 variation is probably the most in－ structive one in traditional Slav practice．It produces games permeated by strategic and positional themes that will be usable in many contexts．

## 8．．．ゆbd7

Black will normally choose between this move and $8 \ldots 0-0$ ．The decision comes down to one＇s goals and some tactical assessments． Depending upon your goals and who you＇re playing，a practical drawback of $8 \ldots .{ }^{\circ}$ bd7 is that it opens up some possibilities for an imme－ diate draw．White can play 9 婦b3，when Black has to choose between the following：
a） $9 \ldots$ a ，allowing a draw by 100 a $2(D)$ ．

B



 Ec8 with a draw by perpetual attack．

After $9 \ldots$ a5，Black can＇t really avoid this draw，but White can，and often does，playing
 last move can lead to，for example，10．．．昷g6 （ $10 \ldots$ 害 g 411 f 3 金 h 5 is a good alternative） 11 g3！？，not just protecting the knight but also in－ tending the exotic idea $0 \times \mathrm{xg} 6$ ，島 d 1 and 畠fl－ g2．
b） 9 ．．． W b b 6 is an extremely risky variation that most players would like to avoid even though it may be survivable for Black： 10 e 4


customarily say about such random positions， please consult the books！Black may stand sat－ isfactorily，but you should commit the tactics to memory if you want to live long against a strong player．

Instead of $8 \ldots \varrho$ bd7，8．．．0－0 solves that prob－ lem，because now 9 唒b3 挡e7！（protecting b7 as well as b4）is considered fine．On the other hand， Black loses some flexibility and time in some variations（compare the ．．． 0 g 4 lines below，in which Black delays castling）．Furthermore，he may not want to allow 敃5；e．g．，8．．．0－0 9 峟e2金g6 10 乌e5 ゆbd7 11 分xg6 hxg6，and here 12 Da2 is interesting．What is the solution to this quandary？Just come prepared！

9 数e2（D）


This is White＇s traditional and most popular plan，simply trying to enforce e4 while clearing dl for a rook．We＇ll examine it in some detail via games beginning with：
A：9．．．量g4；and
B：9．．．0－0．

## A）

## 9．．．8． g 4

Black has a simple idea：to eliminate White＇s f3－knight and then temporarily restrain White＇s pawns with his own on c6 and e6．I talked about this structure in Chapter 3 of Volume 1．As usual，．．．c5 and／or ．．．e5 are Black＇s long－term goals．

Lugovoi－Kovalevskaya

St Petersburg 2000
10 Еd1 潱a5

Black wants to gain a tempo to implement his plans．Sensing no immediate attack，he doesn＇t feel that $\ldots 0-0$ is necessary for the mo－ ment，and may even play ．．．0－0－0 later．

11 e4 部 h 5 （ $D$ ）
You will see this idea in several lines：Black wishes to cripple White＇s kingside pawns．But in doing so he gives White the bishop－pair and an even stronger centre．Instead 11．．．0－0 trans－ poses to a normal position，and $11 \ldots$ exc3！？ 12
 gxf3 Wa5 15 d 5 ！？gives White a lot of compen－ sation for a pawn．Regardless of the exact as－ sessment，taking on that kind of position seems impractical for Black．


12 \＃ $\mathrm{d} 3!$ ？
This interesting move avoids having doubled pawns on f 3 ．One alternative is to force Black to carry out his plan by 12 h 3 ！？全xf3 13 精xf3楼xf3 14 gxf3；e．g．， $14 \ldots 0-015$ a5（gaining space） $15 \ldots \mathrm{a} 616$ 宦e2（ 16 果f4！？） $16 \ldots$ Efd8 17道 44 食 7 （the position should be equal；White＇s structural immobility detracts from his two－ bishop advantage） 18 f 4 De 819 金e3 盖ac8 20
 and one prefers Black） 21 全e2 g6 22 苛aal 1／2－1／2 Khalifman－Ki．Georgiev，Burgas 1994. The characteristic interaction of bishop－pair and doubled pawns leads to unpredictable play，as in many openings in which Black plays ．．．息xf3．

12．．．e5 13 h 3 ！？
White expends a whole tempo on this move but he wants to attack． 13 d 5 ！？would be nor－ mal，when $13 \ldots$ ．．． c 514 気 $30-0$ ！needs to be
 refreshing that so much unexplored territory
remains in these older lines．That tends to be true when a variation is less tactical and／or forcing．

13．．．害xf3（D）


## 14 Exf3

White＇s attempt to drum up chances by sac－ rificing are typical but unless followed up pre－ cisely he can easily fail against Black＇s good development and solid structure．Instead， 14婦xf3 数xf3 15 gxf3 keeps the pawn with a bal－ anced position．Here＇s a well－played example： $15 \ldots 0-016 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{exd} 417$ घ゙xd4 c5 18 寻d1 घ゙ fd 819 e5 亿b6 20 亘xd8

 bishops provide at least enough counterplay for a pawn，Hillarp Persson－Hector，Malmö 2003. Having faith in the bishop－pair comes as you gain more experience．

## 14．．．exd4 15 g4 菷c5 16 －f5！？峟e7（D）



17 g5？

This kind of overextension is often how Black wins in the Slav．Such an attack is un－ likely to succeed without the cooperation of White＇s dark－squared bishop and centre pawn． It＇s much better to count upon the two bishops for compensation by 17 Qa 2 ！ $0-0$ ！？（ $17 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ！is a helpful preventative move） 18 e5（d5 19 安g5．

17．．．dxc3 18 gxf6 gxf6！？
Or $18 \ldots \triangleq x f 6$ with the idea 19 bxc3？！害xc3 20 童a3全b4！

19 bxc3 \＆$d 6$
There seems to be nothing wrong with play－ ing 19．．．${ }^{\text {exc3 }}$ ；Black does have two extra pawns and good squares！

20 Еb1 $0 \mathbf{c} 5$（D）
Perhaps $20 \ldots 0-0-0$ was even better．Black has a nice advantage in any case．




$28 \ldots \mathrm{e} 6+29 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{c} 1$ is simple and strong， with the idea 30 前f6？荲c5．

29 Еe $\mathrm{e} 8+$ ！ $1 / 2-1 / 2$
Black won the opening，but White had his chances to influence that situation between moves 13 and 17 ，so the verdict is unclear．

## Khalifman－Anand <br> Linares 2000


As above，Anand delays castling in order to get all of his other desired moves in．

12 良b3！？
12 d d 3 makes it more difficult to grab the pawn：12．．．${ }^{\text {Sxc3 }}$（not 12．．．0－0？ 13 e5！乌fd5 14

 b4 16 d 5 ！with a very dangerous attack．Again and again we shall see the bishop－pair used in the most dramatic fashion against a solid Slav structure．

Getting castled is half the battle in these vari－ ations！Does White have compensation？



It＇s amazing that White can waste another tempo（h3）to enter a simplified position a pawn down with such a kingside structure！This is a lesson in the bishops and central majority．As the game goes on，the majority tends to get stronger and stronger．White also has some concrete ideas involving a 5 and putting pres－ sure on the queenside down his two open files on that side of the board．

## 17．．．〇bd7 18 安a3

This knight will alternate between restrain－ ing White＇s centre and harassing him．Scherba－ kov analyses 19．．．c5？！ 20 巳゙xb7 cxd4 21 むxd4
e5 22 前 dxd 7 ！0xd7 23 是d5！（D），when White is practically winning．


White will win the f－pawn，and when a player gets a pawn and the advantage of the bishop－ pair in return for the exchange，the odds are that he＇s doing very well！A sample line： $23 \ldots$ ．．． b 6

䁇g8 30 金f6 with the idea e5 and e6，with f4－f5 and／or a king march up the board if White is de－ nied e6） 26 全d6 ©c5 27 确 1 ！and the e5－pawn will soon fall．

20 最b3
 avoiding weaknesses．

20．．．$勹$ bd7！
Black can hardly gain any activity，but it is not easy for White to find a plan either．

21 囱 4
This works against ．．．b6 because the bishop would slide into a6．

21．．． Db6 $^{22}$ 金b3 $1 / 2-1 / 2$
The knights have reasserted themselves just in time．White can probably win another pawn， but at the cost of any winning chances．The re－ sult fairly reflects the opening．

Illescas－L．Dominguez<br>Dos Hermanas 2005

## 10 h 3 exf3 11 慗xf3（D）

11．．．0－0 12 Ёd 1 ニ̈c8 13 ©
One would think that simplifying would make Black＇s life easier，and in fact it＇s rare for White to forego central expansion and attack． But when you think about it，many if not most

endings in which one side possesses the bishop－ pair are won for their owner，as long as there are pawns on both sides of the board．

## 13．．． Qxe $_{4}$

 vantage．

As Illescas indicates，this restricts Black＇s knight．

> 17...c5?! (D)
 fore，when Illescas likes 19 昷f3 c5 20 d 5 exd5 21 exd5 c4 22 酋g 5 ．


## 18 dxc5！㔬xc5

 \＃fc8 20 䀂e3：centre and bishops！

19 炭b3
Threatening to win with 20 皿d2．
19．．．䊦b4？
Black doesn＇t sense how bad the ending will become．Better was 19．．．客b4．

20 挡xb4 宣xb4 21 a5！包 8
 Black．

22 皿h6 Efd8 23 a6！（D）


The opening ideas have expressed them－ selves and you can see that Black has gone wrong．It＇s not easy to say where，but an earlier ．．．c5 or ．．．e5 was needed in order to neutralize the bishops．

23．．．bxa6
Illescas gives the charming line 23．．．b6 24
官c6 and White is winning．




When your pieces are ideally placed then the pawns frequently have to be brought into ac－ tion，either to break down the enemy structure or simply to help in the attack．

 36 血b5e5 37 b3
曹xf744 音xe5 1－0

B）
9．．．0－0 10 e4 昷g6（D）
w


From g6 Black＇s bishop keeps pressure upon White＇s centre and protects his king．Now Black is threatening ．．． $8 \times 3$ followed by ．．． $0 \times 4$ ． We＇ll look at several games from this position．

Bacrot－J．Gustafsson<br>Bundesliga 2003／4

## 11 全d3 皿 $h 5$

This funny move is quite popular and makes the game more like the lines with 9．．．\＆g4 above．Black would like to play ．．．e5，since the knight on $f 3$ is pinned．Black feels that White＇s bishop on d 3 is to his advantage because it no longer controls d5．

## 12 e5

The only logical plan left．

## 12．．．©d5 13 亿xd5 cxd5 14 畨e3！？h6

Black doesn＇t want to give up the two bish－ ops by capturing the knight on c 3 ；instead，he goes after White＇s good bishop．But first he has to protect against ideas of $\emptyset g 5$ ．White on the other hand will prepare the advance of his f－ pawn．

## 15 sel 吾g6！？

A doubled－edged move，trading weak pawns for freedom of activity．In the face of f 4 ，an alter－ native is 15 ．．．f5（seizing space） 16 exf6（ 16 Øc2盖e7 looks satisfactory for Black）16．．．${ }^{\text {Wivex }} 17$
 Lybov，Bydgoszcz 2001；Black can follow with
．．．${ }^{\text {Q }}$ g6；his lone weakness on e6 is compensated by activity．

16 良xg6 fxg6 17 ©c2！（D）
Now the knight can go to e3 where it covers key squares．Compare the older line 17 dd3
 fairly solid．


## 17．．．ea5

The natural $17 \ldots$ 宣e 7 now runs into 18 楼h 3
 \＆d2 and Black＇s position is awkward．

## 18 崖d3 浟h7 19 f4

Now the character of the game is set：Bacrot has more space and can advance pawns on both wings．Black＇s king is also vulnerable．

19．．．a6 20 b4 2ेb6 21 g4！？（D）

B


A little loosening．This could have been pre－ pared．

## 21．．．曾h4 22 气e3

We see that the move $17 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 2$ has come in handy．In what follows Black fails to react well．

22．．．』ूac8？！ 23 要d2 h5 24 घ̈ae1！hxg4 25

 （D）

䔍 $\mathrm{c} 1+36$ 事g2 1－0

Van Wely－Shirov<br>Wijk aan Zee 2004

11 貫 $\mathrm{d} 3 \mathrm{h6}$（ $D$ ）


For a while this was the main line，eliminat－ ing 0 g 5 and $\dot{8} \mathrm{~g} 5$ ideas．

## 12 르레́

The wonderful attacking game Christiansen－ Sher，Wiesbaden 1994 illustrates how decep－ tive this quiet position can be： 12 金 $f 4$ 登 813 h3 a6？！ 14 \＃fd ${ }^{\text {Whbl }} 6$ ？（after two passive moves Black already has to submit to a terrific attack）


g5 19 擞h5 gxf4 20 嵝xh6，winning） 18 公xg6

 25 \＆f2 数b4？is disastrous： 26 定el 类xf4＋27
食xc5（or 28 复 3 ；White has too many forces on the kingside） 28 ．．．${ }^{\text {Exc5 }} 29 \mathrm{f} 5 \mathrm{exf} 530 \mathrm{gxf5} \mathrm{~d} 4$
 （Black would last longer after 31．．．${ }^{\text {Wre }}$ xe5＋ 32




## 12．．．酸7

12．．． E e8 is also played here，to enforce ．．．e5 if possible．

## 13 h 3 e5？！

This may be premature，at least in practical terms．The overall impression is that Black should have no serious difficulties in this vari－ ation，but soon after this move he＇s scram－ bling．

White＇s exchanges were based upon prepar－ ing the advance of his kingside majority．In many openings this requires immediate action by Black before the pawns run him over．



Now White has weaknesses（see his back－ ward e－pawn，for one），but he＇s counting upon the miserable status of the bishop on h7．We＇re at the end of the opening and it looks like Black has more difficulties than his opponent．

18 宣 f 4 芭 fe 819 数 f 3 ！？
White sacrifices a pawn to get the attack roll－ ing．


This is the picture that White is hoping for when he expands on the kingside．As Sher－ bakov points out，even though there＇s nominal material equality，the position of the bishop on h 7 in some variations means that Black will ef－ fectively be playing a rook down．
 Eb1 獣a3！（D）


Otherwise f6 will be terribly strong．Shirov＇s legendary resourcefulness begins to show itself．

Scherbakov gives the line 27．．．崓c3？ 28 e6！

 34 全c4＋



 35 安f4 名f6
and the players went on to draw．White can－ not break through．

The same position sometimes arises if Black defers ．．． $0-0$ ，but he gains one major option．
$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 63$ ©f3 $2 \mathrm{ff} 4 \mathrm{cc} 3 \mathrm{dxc} 45 \mathrm{a4}$
 \＆g6 $10 \mathrm{e4}$（D）


White leaves the e－pawn en prise，a sacrifice that has been played in a number of famous games．Accepting the gambit hasn＇t been popu－ lar for some time；however，that may be due more to the practical defensive difficulties than any actual advantage for White．In the follow－ ing game Black holds his own and then out－ plays White in a back－and－forth contest．

> Gligorić - Beliavsky
> Belgrade 1987



By now it should be easy to see what White is up to：the bishop－pair will serve as compen－ sation for the centre pawn．Preventing Black
from castling is important；if he had played an order with ．．．0－0 earlier，this sacrifice would be unsound．

12．．．豊c7（D）
Black intends to castle queenside．At first，
 looks good．However， 15 Dd2 数c8 16 定a6

 21 单fl！我d8 22 f3！（versus ．．．全e4）22．．．a6 23 \＆ d 6 ，etc．


## 13 － fc 1

Another try is 13 包2！？©xd2（these posi－ tions are extremely difficult to handle when the attacker has two bishops；13．．． 2 d6？would be a mistake due to 14 \＆$x$ e6 and after $13 \ldots$ ．． xc 3 ？
 two pawns ahead，but totally tied up） 14 Wivd2
㔽g3 e5 19 f 4 ！with a strong attack，Razuvaev－ Levitt，Reykjavik 1990.

## 13．．．0－0－0 14 a5 部he8 15 数b2！吉b8 16

 Ecb1 离a8 17 㑒f1！（D）White stays calm，confident in his bishops． He intends g3 and 量g2 followed by a6，so Black moves quickly to disturb the central bal－ ance．



Preventing \＄g2；otherwise things are falling apart．Black plays well throughout this game．

 gested．
比c2




At this stage the bishops don＇t look so great， especially the one on al．Black＇s grip on d 5 may be enough，although $\mathrm{g} 4-\mathrm{g} 5$ is a theme．

38 䊦 5 歯d8 39 a6 b5？！（D）
39 ．．．bxa6！is probably better．The king will sit safely on b7，and I see no problems for Black．


40 金 2 ？
The turning point of the game． 40 昷b2！in－
 43 娄h8＋乌hb8 44 㝘xh7，etc．

Too late．
42．．．敕b743 \＆g5 数7
Everything is secured and there＇s no reason why the two passed pawns on the queenside shouldn＇t win．





## Modern Line with 6 e5

宣f5 6 元 5 （ $D$ ）


This main move is a strange one in many ways，since White is taking two extra moves to capture the pawn on $\mathrm{c4}$ ．But he also opens up the possibility of $f 3$ followed by e4，when Black＇s bishop would be blocked off after ．．．${ }^{\text {ig }} 6$ but has nowhere else to go．Needless to say，this calls for action．

## 6．．． Qbd $^{\text {b }}$

These days this is the most popular move． Black is loath to go into the complications that
是xc4 is everything that White wants） 9 fxe4 Dxe4，when White＇s normal winning try is 10变d2． $10 \pm \mathrm{a}$ ！？？（ $D$ ）is amazing but hard to believe．


Then the highly entertaining game R．Jans－ sen－E．Oostarom，Bussum 2001 went 10．．． e ／h4＋

11 g 3 包xg3 12 hxg 3 畨xh1 13 崰g4 気 14
 Wg 4 cxd4？，and instead of 19 家xc4？he had the beautiful combination 19 㴆xe6＋\＄h8（D）．

 hxg6 23 Exh1\＃．I couldn＇t resist showing this although，sadly，the odds of 10 at 3 working are poor．

Returning to the（relatively）sane 10 莤d2， the main line continues $10 \ldots$ ．． $\mathbf{W}$ xd4 11 Qxe4
 （D）．


This is the starting point for truckloads of theory．White will usually recover the c－pawn and the game will move into an endgame with a piece versus three pawns．The resulting vari－ ations are engrossing and will repay study． Nevertheless，their theory is worked out to an exceptional depth；reluctantly，I＇ll refer those who are interested to specialized books and databases．
$70 x \mathrm{xc}(\mathrm{D})$


## 7．．．We w

This is really the most logical move，prepar－ ing ．．．e5．Otherwise f3 and e4 will again pose difficulties．A lesser option is $7 \ldots . \mathrm{b} 6$ ，intend－ ing 8 乌e5 e6（8．．．乌bd7 9 䊦b3！） 9 f3 乌dd7． We won＇t go into that one，for which recent games are the best resource．Even Moroze－ vich＇s $7 . .9 \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！？can be played，when 8 f 3 is most interesting，or 8 要g5（8．．．h6？？9 $9 \mathrm{~d} 6+$ ！）．

## 8 g 3 ！

8 f 3 now gives Black time for 8 ．．．e5 9 e4 exd4 10 啱xd4 定e6 with a game that＇s easy to play．

## $8 . . .59$ dxe5 $5 x$ xe5 10 \＆f4 气fd7

10 ．．．．d8 11 Wel has been considered favour－ able for White going back to the earliest days of this variation．The point is that White has 数 3 as an idea，so Black has to play 11．．．2d6 12 Exd6＋wxd6，when White gets the two bishops， and after 13 亶g2 he stands somewhat better．

11 复g2（D）


This position has been a fruitful source of strategic chess ideas，with the opponents com－ peting for superiority in terms of activity，out－ posts，bishop－pairs，weaknesses，space，and king safety．Tactics are as always critical，but you will find that，even more than is usual in openings，the tactical and combinative ele－ ments flow from superior play in the positional and strategic realms．The play now divides into two paths．

## The Established Move

$$
11 . . . \mathrm{f6}(D)
$$



This is the obvious and traditional line．Black simply defends everything．The only problem is that White can get a space advantage on the queenside and along with other projects he may attempt to break down Black＇s structure on that wing．

## Anand－Morozevich

Wijk aan Zee 2000

## 120－0 2 c 5

This is the contemporary favourite，intro－ duced by Morozevich，who won $41 / 2$ of 5 points with it！

Going way back to the 1937 World Champi－ onship，Alekhine was dissuaded from 12．．．むd8 after 13 雪cl 量e6 14 ＠e4！\＆b4 15 a5 0－0 16 a6！（D）．

Here we have a common theme in any open－ ing where a bishop is stationed on g2：White is concerned with weakening the base of the pawns on the long hl－a8 diagonal．The game continued


16．．．bxa6？（16．．．b6 17 wiw w！＂would hold Black under constant pressure＂according to Kaspar－
 （Kasparov suggests 19．．．整b6） 20 囱e3 \＆d5 21


 ine，The Hague Wch（1）1937．With an extra pawn and bishop versus knight，Euwe was able to win fairly easily．

We now return to $12 \ldots$ ．． $2 \mathrm{c} 5(D)$ ：
13 包 3 ！


Certainly logical，since White aims at the weakened f5．In any event，Morozevich won games（as Black）that continued 13 匂 5 fxe5 14 宜g5 a5！，and 13 e4 \＆ 2614 安xe5？fxe5 15 f4 4 d8！．

## 13．．．宣e6

The idea behind 13 远 3 is $13 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 814$ Qcd5！．
备c5（D）


## 18 Ead1

18 bxc6！？bxc6 19 eacl（this combination of moves logically targets Black＇s c－pawn，al－ though Black＇s pieces are quite active；later Rogozenko came up with 19 cc4，but then 19．．．人 d 4 ！is equal－you can see what a benefit all Black＇s centralized pieces are！）19．．．0－0 20
是xc7皆d723 是f4 with the usual two－bishop ad－ vantage and Black＇s c－file pieces are problem－
 with equality，Gershon－Postny，Tel Aviv 2000.

18．．．0－0 19 ©c4！（D）


Without pressure on the c－pawn，White has to seek play elsewhere．This exchange sacrifice for a pawn at least mixes things up and gives enough compensation．



After 23．．． Ef 7 White plays 24 e 3 ！and cap－ tures on e 5 follow．

24 e3

Black threatened 24．．．室xf2＋and ．．．副xc4．

The rook gets exposed here． $26 \ldots$ \＆ $\mathrm{d} 6!$ holds on to Black＇s material until he reorganizes；for example， 27 a5 b6 28 axb6 axb6 29 幽d5 是c5！ with equality．

27 金f1 宣e728

B


28．．．嵝d7！？
崰xf6＋舁g8 32 包x 5 ，although $32 \ldots$ ．．．d6 isn＇t so bad．

29 Q 5

 f3 seems to favour White，again not by much．

29．．．㛭d5！？
 32 a 5 结 b ．

This pawn ties White＇s pawns and king down． The rest proceeds logically．
 axb6 37 金b5 $1 / 2-1 / 2$

## Morozevich＇s Variation

## 11．．．g5！？（D）

This was a shocking move when Morozevich offered it up for refutation，but we are still wait－ ing for its demise．Although $11 \ldots$ f6 seems to equalize（or very close to it），it is rather passive． Instead， $11 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ diverts the dangerous bishop from $\mathrm{f4}$ and tries to force a clarification in the centre．In most variations this speeds up Black＇s development．From an outsider＇s point of view， the games in this line have been particularly

engaging because of White＇s ever－changing attempts to punish such a reckless advance． The resulting contest tends to revolve around White＇s attempt to exploit Black＇s kingside weaknesses and Black＇s active play in the centre and on the kingside．We＇ll look at some lines and games with 12 2e3， 12 xe5 and 12 良xe5．

12 包 3 gxf4 13 xf5
This knight is a superb piece，whose influ－ ence will be felt in all aspects of the game．

13．．．0－0－0 14 wed（D）
14 gxf 4 ac 5 ！ 15 wc2 and now $15 \ldots .2 \mathrm{c} 4$ ！ grants Black active play．Instead， $15 \ldots \varrho g 616$ e3 $5 d 3+17$ 宫f1 is messy．


Here is a key position that began the whole ．．．g5 rage and is still unresolved．

## Kasparov－Morozevich <br> Wijk aan Zee 2000

This seminal game illustrates many of the key ideas．

## 14．．． 9 g 4

A logical move which went out of favour once it appeared that Black＇s kingside pres－ sure wasn＇t quite enough．Hübner＇s sugges－ tion 14．．．金b4 hasn＇t caught on．

## 15 a5！？fxg3

15．．．©c5 $160-0 \mathrm{fxg} 317 \mathrm{hxg} 3$ threatens De4，
 20 del！or 20 a6 fails for Black because the knight on f 5 covers everything．

16 hxg3 a6 17 玉a4！©df6 18 Øe4（D）


18．．． $0 x$ 4？！
Kasparov suggested $18 \ldots$. d5 19 D 5 with some advantage．Even then White＇s knights are superbly placed and Black＇s f－and h－pawns are weak．
 Perhaps 21．．． $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{e} 5}$ improves．


## 22 © 5 x

You have to know when to give up a good piece for a bad one！Normally it＇s done so as to
assist the win of material or the last stages of an attack．Here Kasparov does it for purely practical reasons：without exchanging Black＇s bishop it might participate actively（opposite－ coloured bishops help the attack，remember）． White has plenty of other good pieces with which to work．

## 

23．．．we6 24 wivic5 hits the weak pawn on h5，
 wins it．

 Wxd1 31 b4

We＇re getting familiar with bishop versus knight and an extra pawn，and so I＇ll take my leave．



定e6 c4 1－0

White wins the race after 46 g 6 c 347 g 7 c 2


## L．Johannessen－Shirov <br> Bundesliga 2004／5

14．．． 2 c 5 （ $D$ ）
w


The contemporary move．Black turns his at－ tention to the centre before dreaming about the kingside．One immediate idea is ．．．f3．

## $150-0$ Ce6！？

Later on Black took another and probably better course by keeping the knight active on c 5 ： 15 ．．．fxg3！ 16 hxg 3 a5 17 \＃fdl h5！ 18 \＃xd8 +
 is easier，transposing） 21 f 4 （ $21 \Delta x h 4$ 馬x4！is very complicated） $21 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 622$ e5 good game，Ivanchuk－Gelfand，Russian Cht （Sochi）2005； 23 Qd6＋？童xd6 24 玉xd6 嶙c4 followed，when Black had much the better game．Gelfand＇s treatment is consistent with the idea that active play is necessary to com－ pensate for Black＇s kingside weaknesses．

## 16 we4！？

A good move，but not the only one；on the downside，it uses up the e4－square．White has also played 16 a5 and 16 営ad1，with the same basic idea：White＇s knights are superior to Black＇s，and therefore Black＇s bishop has no square to go to without being vulnerable．On top of that，Black＇s h－and f－pawns are isolated． These factors only provide White with a mod－ erate advantage，to be sure，but the position isn＇t easy for Black to play．

16．．．fxg3 17 hxg3（ $D$ ）


17．．．a5
Black holds up two of White＇s good moves， a 5 and b 4 ．But it turns out to be slow，and even weakening．Ftačnik－Sundararajan，Pardubice 2004 continued with the better 17．．． Eg 8 （more active than $17 \ldots$ ．．．a5；still，Black has nagging po－
 20 畨 h 4 g 6 （Black goes tactical－what else？）
 would prepare e3 and 24 ，and after $21 \ldots$ ef4 22 幽h6 ©xg2 23 部xg2，the knights are very strong）21．．．We5！？（D）．

22 幽xf7？（White shouldn＇t be afraid of sim－



will find it hard to recover his pawn without losing another） $22 \ldots$ ．．．f8！ 23 崽d7＋ b 8 （all at once White has to defend） 24 e 4 有 7 ！ 25 数d 3 ！？

 18 ©b5！（D）


This time it＇s Black＇s queenside that comes under attack（a consequence of playing 17．．．a5）． Whether or not the earlier play actually estab－ lished an advantage for White，one can＇t be happy with Black＇s position．

18．．．cxb5 19 axb5 气c5！？
19．．． 2 d 7 ？ 20 Exa5！and $\# \mathrm{fa} 1$ is too much for Black＇s position to bear，but Scherbakov＇s $19 \ldots$ b6！is a brilliant defensive try．Black real－ izes that the attack via $\ddot{\text { Exa }}$ a 5 is even worse than that along the diagonal．Still，one feels that he will have a difficult time gaining full equality against 20 f 4 皿c5＋21 e3．

## 

White was attacking a5 and h 8 ．
22 晋f3！（D）


Always hold on to f5！Faced with many threats，Black returns the piece．

## 

So the whole game came back to the weak－ ness created by ．．．g5．Now Johannessen has a substantial advantage but fails to bring home the point，perhaps because he is playing against one of the world＇s top defenders．We＇ll just look at the moves．Needless to say，White had improvements：


暗x $3^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$

Here $34 . . . f 5$ ！would just about equalize．
Kramnik－Morozevich
Monaco（Amber rapid） 2002
12 ©xe5！？（D）


Since Black＇s resources may be sufficient after 12 e3（see especially the note about
$15 \ldots \mathrm{fxg} 3$ ！in the previous game），White has also tried this simple move．

## 12．．．gxf4 13 亿xd7 0－0－0！？

It＇s going to be two bishops for a pawn again！13．．．宣xd7 has been played in several games and seems to equalize．Also of interest would be 13 ．．．$\omega^{\mathrm{U}} \mathrm{xd} 7$ ，which in principle is the same idea as $13 \ldots 0-0-0$ ．However，the queen re－ capture is less risky since Black＇s bishops bene－ fit from simplification and White＇s knight is restricted．

14 湠 $\mathbf{d 4}$（D）


14．．．璔xd7！
Now this sacrifice is forced because of the threat to a7．

15 嶀xf4
 isn＇t worth playing around with．

15．．．金d6（D）
Black sacrificed a pawn but has obtained reasonable compensation thanks to the strong bishops and the lead in development．


## 16 断 1

I＇m sure that Kramnik is happy with his ex－ tra pawn，but Morozevich has some dynamic chances．Jobava－Khalifman，Bled OL 2002 seems to have a philosophy similar to that ex－ pressed in my description of 13．．．Wd ${ }^{W}$ d．It con－
是xe6 and the exchange of queens had helped Black．Khalifman had no problems equalizing and went on to win．

16．．．a5
Perhaps 16．．．h5！？ 17 a5 a6 was worth trying．
170－0 宣 e ？（ $D$ ）
Scherbakov prefers 17．．．$\quad$ 家b8！．The idea is to challenge White to find a way to his king while pursuing an attack against White＇s．


## 18 © 6 ！

White threatens ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{w} 5$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{a} 7+$ followed by Qxc6．It＇s already impossible to defend with－ out conceding something else．

## 18．．．数e7？

Not 18 ．．．．． 21 axb5；but 18．．．全b8 would hold on for a while．

## 

Here White＇s attack is too strong，especially with more pawns to add to the three already captured．He won shortly．

> Jobava - Carlsen
> Warsaw Ech 2005

## 12会xe5

In a manner typical of chess theory，we find White returning recently to a move originally condemned as harmless at best．

12．．．©xe5 13 崰d4 f6 14 0－0－0（D）

B


The starting position for many recent battles， in part because $11 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ has successfully met other challenges．

## 14．．．全e6

a）Jobava beat the $2700+$ star Grishchuk （Calvia OL 2004）following 14 ．．．ee7？！ 15 気 3 ！金e6 16 数e4！（again，f5 is the square to re－ member！If you command that，you＇re half－




There you have it．The game concluded rap－


b） $14 \ldots$ xxc4 15 䤧xc4 宜d6 is quite reason－ able for Black．Then 16 h 4 followed by e4 may be very slightly better for White；that remains to be demonstrated over the board．

## 

This time White snatches the material．We＇ll skip over the next few theoretical moves．
 sta1！©e3

This is virtually forced in view of a rook coming to fl ．
$21 \stackrel{\text { E．}}{=} \mathrm{d} 2!?(D)$


## 21．．． $2 \mathrm{c} 2+$ ？

White was greedy and now Black should be： $21 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xg} 2$ ！was analysed by Scherbakov in great detail，concluding that after 22 馬d 4 ！数f5！
䊦g8＋家e5 27 崰h8＋，the game could be drawn by repetition，but Black could also get ambitious and try $27 \ldots$ ．．． $54!?$ ．As he points out，there＇s a good chance that White（or Black？）can im－ prove！




25 2b5！
It＇s typical of these high－theory battles that once the players are truly past their analysis there are wonderful moves still to come．

 30．．．皿c4？ 31 宏f6．

## 31 寝xb3

All that White got out of his spectacular play was a pawn！But he still has threats．The game concluded：




## Exchange Slav

## 1 d 4 d 52 c4 c6 3 cxd5

3 Qc3 \＆f6 4 cxd5 cxd5 is another way to reach an Exchange Slav（see 3 ）c3 in the gen－ eral introduction to this chapter，which allows options like 3．．．dxc4）．Then 5 全f4 has conven－ tional answers such as $5 \ldots \mathrm{c} 6$ ，but an unusual one is $5 \ldots .{ }_{\xi} \mathrm{b} 6!?(D)$ ．


Black＇s idea is 6 e3！？（ 6 登c1 量d7 threatens ．．．${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{xb} 2$ and ends in some sort of equality－ check theory，or you can wing this one） 6 ．．．铦xb2
 8．．．車d7！．It all seems to work out．

## 3．．．cxd5（D）

The Exchange Variation has traditionally been a slight disincentive to the Slav Defence and over the years some big names used it effec－ tively as White．One basic idea is that White no longer has to worry about ．．．dxc4．These days Black has enough ways to defuse the resulting positions that it＇s not as much of a problem． Since the heavy pieces may be exchanged on the open c－file there can be drawish tendencies，

which might be upsetting to either party，or it might be their intended result．However，you＇ll find that if they want to，one or both players can muddy the waters enough to get to a legitimate middlegame without undue risk．I include a brief description of the Exchange Variation in this volume because we have few representa－ tives of symmetrical opening play and because some typical Slav ideas arise．

## 4 ac3

Unfortunately，there are any number of move－orders，so in the interests of brevity I＇ll just show a couple of the common resulting po－ sitions．Obviously $4 』 \mathrm{f} 3$ might have distinctive effects，possibly leading to something such as
 positions．

4．．． 2 ct （D）
4．．．乌f6 transposes to 3 乌c3 凤f6 4 cxd 5 cxd5 above．


The position after 4．．．Sc6 is the takeoff point for our games．

## Yusupov－Beliavsky <br> USSR Ch（Minsk） 1979

## 5 合 44 酉 $f 5$

5．．．乌f6 6 e3 a6！？looks like a good version of the ．．．a6 move，because Black keeps options open for his c8－bishop；e．g．， 7 昷e2（a clever move designed to prevent ．．． B 4 ；instead 7
 equal） 7 ．．．气e4（or $7 \ldots$ 宣f5） 8 包xe4 dxe4 9 f3 e5！（D）．

 fxe4 当d8 13 踣c1 h6！；forget those horrid pawns，Black will gain e5；e．g．， $14 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} 515$

 equality，Azmaiparashvili－Anand，Las Palmas 1993．Black＇s handling of the opening was ex－ emplary．

6 ） 3



 Black is already ahead，Vera－Hector，Istanbul OL 2000.

## 

This is Black＇s favourite place to break the symmetry，and the most important move to re－ member．He unpins the c6－knight and is thus in a better position to answer the typical moves Qe5 and ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{w} 4$.

## 9 䊦a4 新b6

䕎x6 11 凿xa7！is the only chance for advan－



玉a4 and $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d}$ are threats） $10 \ldots \mathrm{a} 611$ 全xc6 Exc6 12 㗊 fc 1 ，Ki．Georgiev－Khalifman，Plov－ div 1986；now 12 ．．．党b6！equalizes．

10 分h 㑒 411 0－0－0！（D）

B


An unusual move in the Slav，indicative of how the play can heat up．Now that d 4 is pro－ tected，f3 and e4 ideas will work better．

## 11．．．${ }^{\text {enc }} \mathrm{c} 8$

11．．．室e7 12 f 3 金g6 13 气xg6 hxg6 14 e4 gave White an obvious advantage in Naumkin－ Tan，Formia 1994.

12 f3 酉g6 13 气xg6 hxg6 14 \＄b1 a6 15

 22 㟴xd3 0 c 523 唒d4（D）

At first sight White has a theoretical edge be－ cause of the IQP and threats like 登c1．On the other hand White＇s bishop is of the wrong col－ our．

## 23．．．g5！

This clears g6 for a check by Black＇s queen and thus gets out of the pin．


 31 䊐b3 登d8 ${ }^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$

The alternative was $31 \ldots$ gxf4 32 exf4 $\triangleq x f 4$



1 d 4 d 52 c 4 c 63 cxd 5 cxd 54 包 3 乌c6 5 Qf3 966 皿f4a6！？（D）


The move ．．．a6 is customary in contempo－ rary Slav play；it can arise by means of 1 d 4 d 5 2 c 4 c 63 \＆f3 Øf6 4 ®c3 a6，for example． Black avoids all the many lines in which White plays 全b5．An important benefit of the ．．．a6 systems is that if Black now moves his c8－ bishop to f5 or g4，he can more easily answer White＇s ${ }^{W} \mathrm{~b} 3$ by either ．．．b5 or even ．．．${ }^{\mathbf{5}} \mathrm{a} 7$ （．．．） Q $^{5}$ can also be more effective under some circumstances）．Of course ．．．a6 also uses up an important tempo for development，a fact which White will try to exploit．We＇ll look at two games after 6．．．a6．

## Kamsky－Short

Linares 1994

## $70) 5$ e6

Here the unconventional 7．．．眯b6！8 气xc6 bxc6 9 崰d2 9 h5！looks equal．

8 e3 配d6！？（ $D$ ）

 future e4 can cause trouble．


9 合g3
 first（open file versus a backward pawn with the dark－square defender missing），but Black has the moves ．．．Eb8 and ．．．e5 at the ready，and even a well－timed ．．．c5 might rid him of weak－ nesses．

9．．．皿xe5 10 dxe5 包d7 11 f4 0－0 12 㑒 2 b5




With mutual weaknesses the game is proba－ bly about equal；it was eventually drawn．

## Illescas－Topalov

Dos Hermanas 1999

## 

This strange－looking rook move has be－ come a standard idea in the ．．．a6 lines！Black condemns his rook to temporary passivity to avoid making other concessions and to de－ velop quickly．

Now ．．．c5 is unstoppable．



Failing to see the tactics or to assess them correctly．14．．．0－0 15 dxc 5 地5 16 豊 d 4 登 b 7 ！ was equal．

## 15 dxc5 是xc5 16 b4！擞xb4

Or 16．．．皿 7 ？ 17 e4！，threatening both 18 exf5 and 18 官e3．

17 宸xb4宣xb4 18 分xd5 全c5 19 左c7＋
 （D）


23 公b4！？
23 当c1！塭xf4 24 exf4 with 气b4 next seems even better，stopping ．．． 0 e5．But White stands much better anyway，a pawn up for nothing．

## Semi－Slav

## $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \mathrm{d5} 2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{c} 6$

We＇re going to be looking at the position that
 （the Semi－Slav） 5 e 3 Qbd7．Both sides should be familiar with the various options that arise
along the way, including move-orders that lead to the same endpoint. First, the move-order 2...e6 ( $D$ ) is often used to get to the Semi-Slav, if Black wants to delay ... Df 6 on the third move.


That is, Black can get to the desired SemiSlav position by playing 2 c 4 e6 3 elc 3 c6 4 Qf3 $\triangle \mathrm{f} 65$ e3 Dbd 7 . Why would he want to play $2 \ldots$ e6 first, and then $3 \ldots c 6$ ? Because once White is committed to 3 Qc3, Black has gained in several ways:
a) He has eliminated any line in which White plays 0 d 2 ;
b) He has helped himself in certain variations with ...dxc4 and ...b5, because ...b4 will then attack the knight on c 3 with tempo.
c) Finally, Black may be happier playing the unbalanced pawn-structure that results from 2 c4 e6 3 cxd5 exd5 than the symmetrical one after 2 c 4 c 63 cxd5 cxd5.

All that sounds good, but there's always a trade-off. One drawback to this order is that it allows the Marshall Gambit, 4 e 4 . See the note on that below. A further move-order that avoids both the Exchange Slav and the Marshall Gambit is 1 d 4 Qf6 2 c 4 e 63 f 3 d 54 c 3 c 6 . The trade-off then is that Black needs to be willing to play a Nimzo-Indian.

## 3 صc3

3 )f3 might discourage the idea of delaying ... 9 f6 because White can try 3...e6 4 㑒g5!?, a rather obscure but interesting option. This would also not be possible with the 2 c 4 e 63 cc 3 order. I'm not going to give you the details about all these choices, but want to make you aware of what's out there so that you can reflect upon what suits you best.

## 3...e6

 sition of the Semi-Slav. Other options are considered in the introductory notes to $3 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ at the beginning of this chapter.

4 ) 3
An alternative is the Marshall Gambit, 4 e4!? (D).


This usually leads to the gambit 4 ...dxe 45
 when Black is a pawn up but has lost the dark squares. This is a sharp variation to which a very large amount of concrete theory has been devoted; it should probably be learned by heart. I won't go into the details here, but so far it has not deterred top players from using this moveorder as Black. On the other hand it seems that White is always coming up with new ideas, and the gambit may suit players who enjoy speculative attacks.

There is also a positional way to answer the Marshall Gambit, namely, 4...亶b4 (D).


The resulting play is very instructive and will often bear a resemblance to variations of the French Defence．A few of many possibili－ ties：
a） 5 e 5 e 7 （ $5 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ is more open but also a sound move） 6 a 3 血xc3＋7 bxc3 b6！？（7．．．c5！？）

b） 5 cxd5 exd5 6 e5 is another pawn－chain approach，when play can go $6 \ldots$ ．．． e $^{2}$（or 6．．．c5 7

 It＇s fair to call this position dynamically equal． White has space，dark squares，and the bishop－ pair．Black can either put pressure on the d－ pawn（by ．．．cxd4，．．．$\triangle \mathrm{f} 5$ and ．．．全xf3）or play for the queenside light squares（by，for exam－ ple，．．．Da5 and ．．．Ec8）．
 similar to a French Defence；here 7．．．dxe4， $7 \ldots \Xi \mathrm{~g} 6$ and $7 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ are all equal or better for Black．
d） 5 念d2 can become sharp after $5 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 46$
 modest compensation for the pawn．

Quite a few top players have used 4．．．宣b4．It avoids extensive theory，and the result depends upon the strategic understanding of each player．

4．．．$\triangle f 6$（ $D$ ）


The combined moves ．．．e6 and ．．．c6 give us what is called the Semi－Slav Variation．It has become one of the most fertile areas for investi－ gation in modern chess play．But what is going on here？First Black denies c6 to his knight and then blocks the open diagonal for his bishop on c8！Why？Obviously the answer cannot be rapid development，because he＇s making pawn
moves．And although Black has a strong point at d 5 （every one of his moves，including I．．．d5， has increased control of that square），White has more space and better control of the centre as a whole．In general Black＇s position has to strike one as passive．And yet the Semi－Slav is associ－ ated with extraordinarily exciting play．Let＇s see why．In the first place，Black has a threat： 5．．．dxc4，after which his pawn on c 4 can be de－ fended by ．．．b5．To see that this is actually a threat，take a look at the move 5 g 3 below， which turns into a gambit（not a terrible one， but still not to most people＇s taste）．So White needs to do something that prevents，neutral－ izes，or compensates for the capture on c 4 ．The obvious move for that purpose is：

## 5 e3

This is the main line that we shall explore．
I＇ll get to 5 全g5 in a short note below．Other moves have their disadvantages which are en－ lightening to look through，especially to under－ stand why the main lines are main lines．Here are a few that may be of value in that respect：
a） 5 a 4 （to prevent ．．．b5 should Black decide upon ．．．dxc4），when Black can simply develop by，e．g．， $5 . . . \vartheta^{\mathrm{bd}} 7$ ，or exploit the new hole on b4
 mind） 6 道d2 $0-0$（or 6．．．c5） 7 e3 c5．This ex－ ploits White＇s passively－placed bishop on d2， which is disconnected from the defence of d4． Black intends to play ．．．©c 6 and capture on 44 ， whereas 8 dxc5 5 c 6 takes over the centre；e．g．，
 ideal activity for an isolated queen＇s pawn posi－ tion．
b） 5 龉b 3 defends the c－pawn with the hope of developing via 貫 f 4 or 亶g5．It can be met by simple development such as ．．．$\triangleq$ bd 7 ，but the forcing sequence $5 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 46$ 畨xc4 b5！is sur－ prisingly effective and has more or less elimi－ nated 5 楼b3 as an attempt to gain the advantage （the same applies to 5 恶d3 dxc4；in that case Black has another good option in 5 粼d3 b6！）． The play can proceed 7 楼d3 b4！？ 8 勾 $0 x e 4$
 $0-0$ with equality，Korchnoi－Tischbierek，Zu－ rich 1999.
c） 5 g 3 dxc 46 覂 $\mathrm{g} 2(D)$ can turn into a real gambit．

6．．．$毋$ bd7（Black stops 0 e5 before he plays ．．．b5；the immediate 6．．．b5 7 Qe5 2 d 5 is a good

alternative；e．g．， $8 \mathrm{e} 4 \Omega \mathrm{~b} 49 \mathrm{a} 3!?$ 螧xd4 10 axb 4

 pensation for Black according to Korchnoi，in
 （White should save the pawn； $70-0$ ？！b5 8 e4昷b79 e5 气d5 10 Qg5 h6！ 11 气xe6 fxe6 12
 bxc3 气b6 16 絭h5 $\ddagger$ d7 and White lacks com－ pensation）7．．．金b480－0 0－0 9 気2 宣d6 10 Qd2 e5 11 ©xc4 全c7 with equality，Dausch－ S．Pedersen，Copenhagen 1995.
d）Now，what about $5 \hat{Q} \mathrm{~g} 5(\mathrm{D})$ ？


Then Black can play 5．．． 9 bd7，intending 6 e3 Wa5，which is the old Cambridge Springs Variation of the Queen＇s Gambit Declined（not too popular among defenders of the QGD，al－ though it is certainly playable）．He has two other moves within the Semi－Slav complex． One is $5 . . \mathrm{h} 6$ ，which can in turn lead to 6 鲁xf6业xf6，the Moscow Variation，or 6 重h4 dxc4 7 e4 g5 8 重g3 b5，known as the＇Anti－Moscow＇

Variation（see the next paragraph）．The Mos－ cow is strategically interesting，but I think less so than the Meran and Anti－Meran，to which I＇ll be devoting my attention．I have given one example of the Moscow Variation in Chapter 3 in the first volume．

The other and most popular move after 5晃g5 is $5 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$ ，usually leading to 6 e 4 b 5 （Black holds on to his extra pawn；else White plays 自xc4 with the ideal centre and better de－ velopment）．We enter the main line of the infa－ mous＇Botvinnik Variation＇once White plays 7 e5h68 全h4g59 Dxg5！hxg5 10 全xg5 台bd7 （D）．


This is one of the most thoroughly played and analysed variations in modern chess．It is characterized by lengthy tactical and sacrificial sequences，with play in which half a tempo changes the entire nature of the game．Many fans and specialists devote their time to study－ ing and extending Botvinnik Variation theory， and it would do you no good for me to rehash a selected fraction of it，since to master these lines on either side of the board requires a great deal of playing experience and very specific study of tactical sequences．The Anti－Moscow above（ $5 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 66$ 皿h4g5 7 㑒g 3 dxc 48 e 4 b 5 ）is of the same nature，being extremely theoretical． It＇s not yet worked out to the outrageous extent that various Botvinnik lines are（ $30+$ moves）， but it＇s still dependent upon hoards of posi－ tion－specific tactics．Neither variation is within the scope or intent of this book，but that should not discourage a lover of fascinating chess the－ ory．

5．．．$\triangle \mathbf{b d} 7$（D）


The natural $5 \ldots$. bd7 introduces the stan－ dard Semi－Slav lines that we＇ll examine．It is very flexible，allowing for Black＇s bishop on f 8 to go to e7，d6 or b4．In the meantime，Black de－ velops a piece and supports either ．．．c5 or ．．．e5 should the opportunity arise．

Before moving to what White plays next， let＇s examine the Semi－Slav from another per－ spective．Take the moves I d4 d5 2 Qf3 2 f 63



Do you recognize this position？It＇s the Clas－ sical Colle System，considered one of White＇s dullest variations in d－pawn chess！The Classi－ cal Colle is sound enough，but grandmasters rarely play it，and indeed I＇m not sure that a top－ten player has tried to play this form of the Colle in decades．Ironically，the Colle System with the move b3（omitting c3）has attracted a number of strong grandmasters over the last 15 years or so．But when one looks at the reversed Semi－Slav position，Black has forgone this pos－ sibility ．．．b6．So how can a notoriously dull
opening be so popular with colours reversed， played a full tempo down？We＇ll discover some concrete reasons shortly，but more general is－ sues apply．The nature of reversed openings is such that Black＇s goals can differ from White＇s in the same position．Obviously Black is usu－ ally satisfied with equality in a system where a dynamic imbalance cannot be achieved by force．Then，too，White has to commit himself to a specific 6th move versus the Semi－Slav set－up，giving Black some extra information with which to respond flexibly by choosing the appropriate counter．

Let＇s continue with our Colle example（from the diagram after 6 \＆．d3）：sometimes Black
 is a better version for Black，but we＇re continu－ ing with the analogy） 7 dxc 5 金xc5 8 b4 害d6 （D）．


Here it might be useful to jump ahead and glance at the first few moves of the Meran Vari－ ation main lines below．In this position（after 8．．．䀂d6），Black，who is a tempo down on the Semi－Slav lines，will not play ambitiously with ．．．e5 as White does in the Meran Variation． Rather，he will play something like ．．．©e5，or ．．．a5，answering b5 with ．．．De5．Such a strat－ egy is certainly dull，but it should equalize． That＇s the difference between a counterattack whose basis is the opponent＇s aggression（in the Meran，White usually plays for advantage with e4－e 5 and exposes himself to attack），and Black＇s willingness to play more solidly in or－ der to equalize in the reversed position．

Now we return to the subject of this section， 5 e3 凤bd7．At this point White has two moves
that we＇ll look at： 6 亘d3（with $6 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 4$ ），the Meran System；and 6 曹c2，the Anti－Meran System．

## The Meran




This sequence of moves defines the Meran System．

## 8 㑒d3

What is going on here？Briefly，White has a central majority，and given the chance，will play e 4 followed by moves such as 食g5，㱍 2 and the like．Or perhaps he will go for e5，Qe4 and 㑒g5．Thus White＇s play will be fairly transparent unless he is challenged in the cen－ tre．Sometimes the time－consuming move a3 might be mixed in，to counter ．．．b4．The some－ what better idea of a4 is positionally desirable， but has the particular drawback of taking away the a4－square for the knight after ．．．b4．

With 7．．．b5，Black has advanced a pawn that can be used to drive White＇s knight away from c3，from which position it controls e4 and influ－ ences the centre．The pawn on b4 might prove weak and the squares c5 and c6 may be vulner－ able if Black doesn＇t quickly assert himself on the queenside．Ideally，Black would like to counter White＇s plan of 4 by a combination of bothersome moves like ．．．b4，．．．．b7 and ．．．c5， focusing his counterattack upon d4 and e4．In some lines he can afford the time for ．．．a6 and ．．．c5，and if White doesn＇t try for much，then ．．．${ }^{\text {ed }} \mathrm{d} 6$ followed by ．．．e5 can be effective．In the position after 7 ．．．b5，we＇ll be looking mainly at the move 8 醍d3． 8 宣b3 isn＇t played much，
primarily due to 8．．．b4 and 9 Qa4？！（a move which is normally desirable in order to control c5） $9 \ldots$ ．．．a6！（preventing $100-0$ ），or 9 ゆe2 \＆$_{\text {b }} 7$ followed by ．．．sd6，．．．0－0 and ．．．c5．

However， 8 会 $2(D)$ is an important option， keeping the bishop out of the way of various at－ tacks by ．．．c4 and ．．．e4 while keeping the d－ pawn in sight of the queen．Its drawback is a lack of central protection，i．e．，after White plays e4 his pawn has limited support．Nevertheless， White will have to play e4 soon or he＇ll have no chance for advantage because Black will imple－ ment a plan with ．．．c5 or ．．．e5．


Here are two relevant games：

Hübner－K．Müller<br>Bundesliga 1998／9

## 8．．．${ }^{\text {\＆}} \mathbf{b 7}$

8．．．a6？！invites 9 e 4 ，with greater effect than in what follows．With 8．．．\＆b7，Black places his bishop on $\mathbf{b 7}$ with the faith that he will be able to achieve the move ．．．c5 and employ the bishop upon the long diagonal．White＇s next move seems overly optimistic．

## 9 e4！？

$90-0$ is seen in the next game．

## 9．．．b4 10 e5 bxc3 11 exf6 2 xf6

Safe and sound，unlike the crazy alternative $11 \ldots . . c x b 212 \mathrm{fxg} 7$ bxal曾 13 gxh8迷（ $D$ ）．

This is the＇Four Queens Variation＇，which not surprisingly is theoretically unresolved！A
 0－0 0－0－0（Vidoniak analyses $15 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{U} x \mathrm{xa}$ ！ 16 2c4 0－0－0 17 害d3 䊦f6 18 曹xh7 and now Pedersen suggests 18．．．类xd4） 16 䊑b3 ©c5






 32 c6 宣a8 33 见e5 a5 34 沟h7 1－0 Sadler－ Kaidanov，Andorra 1991．Crazy stuff that I just had to mention，regardless of its marginal in－ structional value．

12 bxc 3 \＆d6 13 0－0 0－0（D）


Black stands quite well here，because ．．．c5 is coming and his bishops will be especially ac－ tive．

## 14 c4？！

14 德g5？炭c7！（now ．．．थe4 is an issue，but doubling pawns by \＆xf6 only helps Black＇s kingside ambitions because White gives up the bishop－pair and opens himself to attack along the g－file） 15 亶d $3!?$ c5 gives Black a small edge，Potapov－Galkin，St Petersburg 1998．Per－ haps a modest continuation such as 14 h 3 （to
protect against ．．． 2 g 4$) 14 \ldots \mathrm{c}$ 15 \＆ 3 is called for．

14．．．c5 15 宣 3 3 2416 dxc 5 全xc5 17 全xc5



With White＇s isolated pawn，and Black＇s better knight and bishop，Black has a substan－ tial advantage．Notice how the ideal blockade on c5 keeps White＇s bishop out of play．

Now for our second game with 8 食e2：

Lputian－Anand New Delhi FIDE KO 2000

## 8．．．害b790－0 全e7

A solid move，and perfectly adequate．9．．．a6 is more popular；in that case Black plays di－ rectly for ．．．c5．Compare the 8 惫d3 lines．

10 e4 b4 11 e5（ $D$ ）


Already we see the shortcomings of 宣e2：if the knight on 33 moves，the pawn one 4 falls．Of
course White could have played more slowly， but he＇s hoping that forcing the pace will fa－ vour him．

## 11．．．bxc3 12 exf6 亘xf6 13 bxc $30-014$ 䒤b1

崰c715 \＆ e 4 ！Otherwise ．．．c5 will follow．
15．．．当xf4 16曾 a 519 曹 $\mathrm{C} 21 / 2-1 / 2$

Returning to the main move $8 \mathbf{d} 3$ ，there are three principal continuations，often transpos－ ing．I＇ll examine 8．．．a6 and 8．．．eb7．8．．．鄨c7 is a good transpositional tool，but it＇s easier to present the material via the other two moves．

## Classical Meran

## 8．．．a6（D）

This is the traditional move，preparing ．．．c5． White can try to take advantage of Black＇s lack of development by attacking with his central majority．


## 9 e4

Pedersen gives a＇rule of thumb＇that ．．．a6 in the Semi－Slav should be met by e4．Oddly enough，White already gives up all chances for advantage after $90-0 \mathrm{c5}$ ，when 10 䊦e2 is a sort of Queen＇s Gambit Accepted with a harmless reputation and 10 a 4 b 4 at best transposes to the next note but also gives Black options of ．．． $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d} 6$ instead of ．．．金e7．

9 ．．．c5（D）
9．．．b4？！ 10 Qa4 transposes to a Modern Meran（which we＇ll be looking at below）ex－ cept that Black has spent a move on ．．．a6， which is less than optimal for that variation．

You＇ll have to bear with me on these compari－ sons and transpositions．It isn＇t necessary to know them，but you might want to return here after you have played some games and want to make sense of them．


We come to a crossroads．White can play 10 d5 or 10 e5．

## Reynolds Attack

## 10 d 5

This introduces the wild Reynolds Variation， ideal for specialists or for anyone who thinks that his opponent won＇t be prepared for it！The move d5 is always critical in such positions be－ cause it opens lines for White＇s pieces to work with．The positional basis for d 5 also stems from the fact that if White waits for ．．．cxd4， both Black＇s bishop on f 8 and knight on d7 will have active posts，whereas now they are lim－ ited by their c－pawn．Variations stemming from 10 d 5 constantly interact with those beginning with the moves 8．．．安b7 and 8．．．粕c7，so I＇ll mix the material．

## 10．．．曾c7

The most＇flexible＇move．Unfortunately，it＇s hard to decide upon which move should be played first．For example，White can toss in the exchange of pawns dxe6 and ．．．fxe6 just about anywhere．Fortunately we＇re more concerned with the resulting positions than the details of how to get there．Of course there are alterna－ tives，such as $10 \ldots c 4$ ，which very often trans－ poses to $10 \ldots$ ．．． U c 7 ．But $10 \ldots \mathrm{e} 5$ is independent． Then 11 b 3 ！prevents ．．．c4 and prepares a 4 ． Black usually disturbs things by 11 ．．．c4！？ 12
bxc4 含b4 13 兽d2 薮c7 $140-0$ bxc4；e．g．， 15
 vantage to White，Krasenkov－Moroz，Lubnie－ wice 1994.

110－0 食b7 12 dxe6 fxe6（ $D$ ）


Black＇s pieces are active and his majority on the queenside is threatening．Given a few moves， the combination of ．．．c4，．．．0－0－0 and ．．． 0 c 5 will give Black a terrific game．But in the mean－ time White can organize for e5，play against Black＇s e－pawn，and／or attack via a4．A high－ profile game follows．

## Kasimdzhanov－Kasparov

Linares 2005

## 13 良 c 2

 16 b 3 －b6！，as in Al．Panchenko－Dreev，Kazan ECC 1997，Black will gain even more activity down the f －and d－files．He stands better．In fact， White now retreated with $17 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f} 3}$ ，not a good sign！

## 

Black must take care not to get too greedy． Capturing the e－pawn by any means exposes him down the e－file and lets White have time to pile up on e6．

## 

## 17．．．0－0！

Typical Kasparov．He gets two bishops and an attack in return for sacrificing the exchange －not such a surprising decision，in fact．

Apart from Black＇s superior development （see the rook on al，for example）and attack on

f2（by ．．．到e6 and ．．．थ）g4，for example）he has moves such as ．．．${ }^{\text {d } d 4} 4$ and ．．．b4 to look forward to．All this is hardly decisive，but very difficult to defend against in practice．

21 2）d5
Kasparov gives analysis to suggest that 21 thi and 21 a4 lead to roughly equal and／or un－ clear play．

21．．．葢xd5 22 exd5（ $D$ ）


## 22．．．宔xf2＋！ 23 安h1




## 23．．．e4！ 24 菷 2 2？

A real mistake．Kasparov suggests that both 24 a 4 and 24 d 6 were playable．

24．．．e3 25 登fd1 豊d6 26 a4 g6！
Now the idea is ．．． Qh $^{2} 5$－f4．These notes are hardly comprehensive，of course．

27 axb5 axb5 28 g 3 勾 5 ！ 29 曹g4 実xg3！ 30 hxg 3 分xg3＋ 31 宫g2




This older move，clearing the way for 04 or \＆e4，also has a lengthy history of theory and practice．It can be avoided by 8．．．㬝c 7 ，however， so we＇ll just cover one game．

Hillarp Persson－Hector<br>Malmö／Copenhagen 2004

10．．．cxd4 11 气xb5（D）


## 11．．．axb5

Recently this has been Black＇s most popular move． $11 \ldots \triangleq g 4$ has been analysed for years， with the main line being 12 灵a 4 \＆b7 $13 \Omega$ bxd4糛b6 $140-0$ 皿c5 15 宜e3 分xe3 16 fxe3 h6．In－ stead，11．．． xxe5！？ 12 ©xe5 axb5 used to be considered Black＇s safest line，although there have been quite a few challenges to that view．


At first sight Black＇s king looks completely secure；then again，it has to find a home some－ where．

15 Ёd1 合c5 16 a4！？（D）


An odd idea，but with some good points． Positionally，White gains a passed pawn which can be a tactical diversion at the right moment． Furthermore a2 won＇t be a target any more．De－ pending upon what Black does，White can also consider anchoring a bishop on b5．

16．．．bxa3！？
A tactical point of 16 a 4 ，such as it is，is 16．．．．． 17 a6！？（ 17 \＆ $\mathrm{A} \times 6$ looks as good or better，however），after which Black must avoid 17．．．全xd3？？ 18 axb6．

17 bxa3 㑒b7 18 全 $\mathbf{e}$ ！（ $D$ ）


A simple solution：get rid of the powerful bishop on b7．

18．．．嗅 66
Black refuses the offer．18．．．宣xe4 19 精xe4 $\because \mathrm{g} 4$ is a little wobbly following 20 営bl．


 has the upper hand．

The Modern Meran
8．．．点b7（ $D$ ）


By these means Black saves the move ．．．a6 in some lines and gets more active play．8．．． 最b7 $^{\text {b }}$ also signals that Black＇s main freeing move will be ．．．c5 rather than ．．．e5．Play may easily trans－ pose into the previous section；however，it does so in lines that Black is clearly satisfied with． Therefore it＇s the positional continuations that take the centre stage and White will turn his at－ tention there．With Black＇s pawn still on a7， ．．．c5 will not be available until ．．．b4 is played． Then White still has the advantage that after
 and ．．． $0-0$ before counterattacking is generally too slow．Finally，an early ．．．b4 allows White to play 0 a 4 and fight for the c5－square．Remem－ ber that if White provokes ．．．b4 by playing a4， that square will be occupied and his knight will have to retreat．

## 9 e4

In other lines Black will just shoot for ．．．c5； for example， $90-0$ a6 10 e 4 c5 11 e5 cxd4 12 $\Delta x b 5$ looks like one of our previous Classical lines，but in this case Black has the extra option

 16 当xd3 \＆ 0 c5 with equality，Piket－Kramnik， Monaco（Amber blindfold） 1996.

9．．．b4（D）


This is the idea of 8．．．${ }^{\text {\＄}} \mathrm{b} 7$ ：Black will play ．．．c5 next and save the move ．．．a6．

## 10 © 4

The knight is well enough placed here be－ cause when Black plays ．．．c5 it will be traded for an active piece．The most entertaining alter－ native goes 10 e5？！bxc3 11 exf6 cxb2 12 fxg 7 bxal䊦！ 13 gxh8曹．We＇ve reached another ＇four queens＇position，but this one＇s clearly in Black＇s favour．A cute game went 13．．．幽a5＋14


 23 象 3 是 $b 4+!$ 0－1 I．Johannsson－Z．Nilsson， Amsterdam OL 1954.

10．．．c5（D）


## 11 e5

This is the only serious try for advantage． White＇s pawn on e 4 is attacked and he needs to keep the initiative．In the meantime he sets his eye on the dark squares．

11．．． 2 d 5 （ $D$ ）


You can see how powerful this knight is on the d 5 outpost．The question is whether White can use his central space advantage and squares such as e4，c4 and g5 to launch an attack on Black＇s king or otherwise compromise Black＇s position．

## $120-0$

White would like to attack by $\hat{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{g} 5$ ，or even Qg 5 ！？．He has the choice between this calm de－ velopment or taking immediate action．Doing
 pose to the 12 dxc 5 line） 13 dxc 50 xc 514 eb5＋hasn＇t worked out well，as the two bish－ ops eventually prove their worth．For many years，White has tried to make something of 12 dxc5 $\sum \mathrm{xc5}(D)$ ，and now：


 ゅf8，castling by hand，illustrates Black＇s gen－ eral strategy，Uhlmann－Larsen，Las Palmas Ct


敬d1 颜b6 with equality，Epishin－Dreev，Til－ burg 1994.
 dex $7160-0(D)$ ．


This is an archetypal position for the Meran． Black＇s king is stuck in the centre and，given time，White could attack it by，for example， some combination of moves such as $D \mathrm{~d} 4$ ，数h5，曾cl and $\mathrm{f} 4-\mathrm{f} 5$ ．This basic advantage is signifi－ cant，and such attacks do sometimes succeed， but they are rendered difficult by Black＇s out－ post on d5 and lack of weaknesses．Further－ more，Black＇s position is superior in almost every other respect．Compare the knight on d5 to the ones on f 3 and a4，or Black＇s powerful bishop on b7 to White＇s bad bishop on g5 （about to be driven away should Black want to）． Black＇s queen is also very active，whereas White＇s is tied to a4．All in all，it＇s not surpris－ ing that variations with this kind of position have grown increasingly attractive to Black． Yusupov－Kramnik，Horgen 1995 continued 16．．．音 7 ！？（Dreev suggests $16 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ！，and in－ deed，there＇s no reason why Black shouldn＇t stand better with his bishop－pair and clearly su－ perior pieces） 17 b 3 h 618 全xe7 家xe7 19 名d2！ （heading for the weakness on d6）19．．．乌f4 20
 most equal，since White can re－route by $\mathrm{Qb}_{\mathrm{b}} 2$－ c4．Nevertheless，Black has the better pawn－ structure and can bring his rooks to the centre． Yusupov suggests $22 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{h} d 823$ 亿b2 $\triangleq \mathrm{e} 2+24$宫h1 2c3 with a small advantage．

We return to $120-0(D)$ ：
We＇ll now follow a characteristic game．


Alterman－L．Spassov<br>Munich 1991／2

## 12．．．cxd4

A natural and good move，weakening White＇s grip on the centre．On the other hand，it does give White＇s knight a good post on d 4 ．Another satisfactory move is $12 \ldots \mathrm{~h}$ ；for example， 13


 By seizing the d3－square and eliminating half of the bishop－pair，Black secures approximate equality．

## 13 Е． 1

The pawn sacrifice $13 \Leftrightarrow x d 4$ ！？leads to a dy－ namic imbalance；for example，13．．．2xe5 14



 plex position in which Black＇s problems find－ ing a place for his king are balanced by his central majority and bishop－pair，Obukhov－ Doroshkevich，RSFSR Ch（Kuibyshev） 1990.

13．．．g6 14 宣d2（ $D$ ）
14．．．食e7？
This lets up the pressure on e5．Better was
幽a5 17 分xd4 旦c7（Stoh1 suggests 17．．．a6！？ 18显xd7＋$x d 7$ ，which again shows the relative safety of Black＇s king when it is shielded by the knight on d5；then 19 Qb3 数b5 20 dd4 曹a5 21 \＆b3 新b5 repeats） 18 §c1（ $18 \mathrm{a} 3!? \mathrm{a} 619$

 in White＇s camp）18．．．0－0 19 是xd7 Exd7 20

 man－Pinter，Beersheba 1991.



An attacking move that takes advantage of the fact that Black doesn＇t have a lot of useful moves．


## 19．．．喈e7

The critical tactical line is 19 ．．．Wa5 20 h 5 ！橎xa4 21 hxg6 hxg6 22 金xg6！fxg6 23 曹xg6＋


20 h 5 登fe8 21 気ad1 a6？！ 22 食b1 嵝f8！？ 23


25 ．．．̈c7！was a better defensive try．


芭 d 736 幽f6！曹f7

 queenside pawns fall．The rest of the game is routine：

 b5
 a7 1－0

Anti－Meran（ 6 宸c2）
 6 幽c2（D）


With this move White covers the critical e4－ square，begins to clear the back rank，and dis－ courages Black＇s plan of ．．．dxc4 and ．．．b5．

## 6．．．．ed6

Black＇s idea is to enforce ．．．e5，either directly or after ．．．dxc4．White＇s job is to render that move ineffective or worse．

## 7 盖e2

White simply prepares castling．There＇s a subtle point here：White is now allowing the same move ．．．dxc4（with the loss of tempo that entails），precisely what he avoided when he played 6 䊀c2．It turns out that Black＇s bishop on d6 doesn＇t go well with ．．．dxc4 and ．．．b5．For one thing．White＇s advance e4 will threaten e5， forking the bishop and knight．

Before investigating that，here are some al－ ternatives：
a） 7 全d3 is another common order for White，when $7 \ldots 0-080-0 \mathrm{dxc} 49$ 嘼xc4 trans－ poses to the main line．But 7 音d3 has the seri－ ous drawback that Black seems to equalize if he knows his stuff after $8 \ldots .$. e5，answering 9 cxd5 with $9 . . . \mathrm{cxd5}$ ．
b） 7 e 4 is pretty well worked－out，the most entertaining line going 7．．．dxe 48 xe4 $0 \times 49$
传xe8＋13 家e3 with a kind of material equality after which Black can easily go wrong but may even stand slightly better if he plays accurately． Not surprisingly，there are other ways to equal－ ize．
c） 7 d2，intending to castle queenside fol－ lowed by an attack，comes across the most problems in the line $7 \ldots 0-080-0-0$ b5！，intend－ ing $9 \mathrm{cxb5} \mathrm{c} 5$ ！with a strong queenside attack． This line could use more attention，however．
d） 7 b3 is solid and interesting but fairly easy to meet．One well－established line goes 7．．．0－0 8 皿e2！（ 8 金b2 e5！ $9 \mathrm{cxd5} 5 \mathrm{cxd} 510 \mathrm{dxe} 5$
 Ee8＋ 14 difl wiva with excellent compensa－ tion，Korchnoi－Beliavsky，Leon 1994）8．．．dxc4 9 bxc4 e5 1000 －wiwe7；for example， 11 全b2 \＃e8 12 \＃fel e 413 亿d2 亿ff 14 f 3 exf 315
 （18 e5？©xd4！ 19 exd6 乞e5 20 Еxe5 0 xf3 + 21 mhe 9 and Black is winning，as given


w


With two pawns，far superior pieces，and White＇s four isolated pawns，Black clearly has enough if not more than enough compensation for the knight，Gelfand－Anand，Monaco 2000－ a rapid blindfold game！
e） $7 \mathrm{~g} 4!?(D)$ ．
This radical move was discovered by Sha－ balov in the early 1990s，and leading grandmas－ ters such as Gelfand and Shirov have helped to popularize the idea．I have reluctantly decided not to delve into its extensive bank of ideas and theory，but should emphasize that it is the most

aggressive and exciting way to attack the Semi－ Slav once you＇ve played 6 wick 2 ．Naturally this comes with considerable risk，since 7 g 4 sacri－ fices the g－pawn temporarily（or permanently in some lines），and weakens the kingside．The initial idea is to meet $7 \ldots .0 \times \mathrm{x} 4$ with 8 mg 1 and， after the knight moves，play ${ }^{\mathrm{Exg}} \mathrm{xg}$ ．Barring Biack＇s acceptance of the pawn by ．．． $2 x \mathrm{xg} 4$ ， White has gained space and hopes either to drive away the knight on f 6 or，if Black defends by ．．．h6，to enforce g5 and open lines．His over－ all strategy is to play for 0－0－0 and（usually）e4． Black has a large choice of counter－strategies， including variations in which he plays for an early ．．．e5，which is in line with the old saying about flank attacks being best answered by cen－ tral attacks．Alternatively，Black has had mixed success with an attempt to control e4 by 7．．．金b4， and he can also develop slowly by ．．．b6 and ．．\＆b7．Sadly the initially anarchic 7 g 4 has turned into a highly theoretical line with a heavy dose of tactics and forcing lines，so those who are tempted to play it should be sure to devote a lot of study time to its intricacies．As Black，you should master at least one defensive solution．

In contemporary chess we find a strong dis－ position towards playing g4 in many openings， even in situations where it would previously have been thought to be an amateurish error． The Sicilian Defence stands out in this respect， but the move has cropped up all over the theo－ retical spectrum．It was undoubtedly the suc－ cess of 7 g 4 in the anti－Meran that gave impetus to this surge of similar ideas．Such sharing of ideas constitutes one of the most striking in－ stances of the＇cross－pollination＇，a subject that I discuss in the first volume．

We turn to a game with 7 会e2（D）：


Karpov－Anand<br>Brussels Ct（8） 1991

For this positionally－oriented variation，I am not presenting current theoretical lines but games that show the most important ideas，or at least a clear contrast of strategies．This game in particular has been eclipsed by various refine－ ments，yet the players＇overall handling of the position holds up perfectly well．

## 7．．．0－0 $80-0$ dxc4

A logical and straightforward approach． Black wants to get ．．．e5 in without allowing White to play cxd5 and isolate his d－pawn． Nevertheless， $8 \ldots$ ．．．e5 has proven satisfactory af－ ter 9 cxd5 cxd5 10 Qb5 贯b8 11 dxe5 $2 x=5$ （ $D$ ），and now：

a） 12 金d2 8 g 413 2bd4 2e4 with equality， Karpov－Korchnoi，Amsterdam 1991 and later
games．The same old story：Black＇s active pieces around the isolated pawn make up for the potential weakness．
b） 12 Ed1 a6 $13 \Omega \mathrm{c} 3$ 䊦c7 14 g 3 包g4 15



 a winning attack，Granda－Illescas，Pamplona 1991／2．An entertaining game．

Finally，8．．．嵈e7 and 8．．． e e 8 are the other common options，intending to play ．．．dxc4 and ．．．e5，but at a time of Black＇s choosing．If White tries to play e4 against either move he gets lit－
整xe4 e5．

9 全xc4 比 e （ $D$ ）


## 10 a3

White＇s is a prophylactic strategy，specifi－ cally aimed at thwarting Black＇s intentions． First，he secures a place for the bishop on a2， both to avoid a tempo loss after ．．． 2 b 6 and to neutralize ．．．b5－b4． 10 \＆ b 3 is another way to pursue this strategy，and over time it has become favoured over 10 a 3 ．White also has tactical motivations，in that Black＇s ．．．e4 and ．．．全xh2＋ is not to be feared in that order．

## 10．．．e5 11 h3！

A good idea anyway，directed against the move ．．．2g4．Here it also prevents the tactical idea of ．．．e4 followed by ．．．全xh2＋，and allows White to maintain the central tension．In turn， Black is challenged to find a useful move，Inci－ dentally，this is precisely what is considered one of Black＇s best ways of playing against the main－line Colle System that runs 1 d 4 d 52 2f 3
 dxc5 食xc5 8 0－0 0－0 9 e4 䀯c7 10 幽e2 h6．

11．．．\＆ c 7
11 ．．．exd4？！ 12 exd4（ $D$ ）gives White an iso－ lated queen＇s pawn：

B


But as always he gets great piece activity， especially with his lead in development and rooks connected on the first rank．For exam－
重g5 with very good attacking chances．

Periodically throughout this entire book we see how important and effective a knight on 55 can be．Of course before that it threatens to go to g6．



## 15 亿b5！？

Tricky，although simply 15 金 d 2 might have been better，maintaining the positive points in his position and threatening $\otimes b 5$ for real．

15．．．金b8 16 全d2！

Now 16．．．cxb5？loses after 17 昷b4．Still， Black＇s next move stops these ideas and leads to a reasonable game．

16．．．a5 17 dxe5 真x $5 ?!(D)$
Anand gives 17．．．Exe5 18 』c3 Qc5，which looks OK for Black．


## $18 \mathrm{f4}$

Trying to mobilize his 4：3 majority．Imagine the effect of e4－e5．We are entering the middle－ game．White＇s opening has been fairly success－ ful，but nothing that would forever dissuade Black from playing the variation．

18．．．臬b8
Not 18．．．cxb5？ 19 fxe5 凤xe5？ 20 ®xh6＋ 19 ©c3 登d8！？
$19 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ and $19 \ldots$ ． e a 7 are probably better moves．Then White might throw caution to the
wind and play g 4 with the idea g 5 －g6．19．．．g6！？ is another thought．

20 㑒e1！©h7 21 全h4 ©df6（D）


22 ニad1 Ёxd1 23 Ёxd1 㑒e6？？
A huge mistake．23．．．金xf5 24 粕xf5 昷a7 is reasonable，although 25 安f2 ${ }^{\text {E }}$ e8 allows 26 Wxa5 or 26 e4，which will favour White gener－ ally，especially in a bishop vs knight ending， should one be reached．The rest is easy：




A sort of model game for the $8 \hat{2}$ e2 varia－ tion．However，it also shows how solid Black＇s defence is，in that he could still reach or come very close to equality at several points well into the game．

## 4 Introduction to the Indian Defences

The Indian Defences to 1 d 4 are at the heart of modern chess theory．The Indian systems that I shall be covering all begin with $1 \ldots$ ．．．f6．This de－ velopment of the knight stakes out a claim to e4 and forestalls White＇s intended occupation of that square．Other first moves such as $1 \ldots c 5$ and 1．．．d6 may lead to forms of Indian Systems，and the Dutch Defence with 1．．．f5 is another method of impeding 2 e4．I feel that it belongs in its own category，with the exception of an infrequent side－variation，sometimes called the＇Dutch In－ dian＇，which involves $1 \ldots$ e6 and ．．．全b4＋at an early stage．

After 1．．．$\triangle \mathrm{f} 6$ we see the move $2 \mathrm{c} 4(D)$ in the clear majority games by masters．By this move White inhibits $2 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ and prepares 30 c 3 with－ out blocking his c－pawn．The second most pop－ ular move 2 分 3 will often be followed by c4 on one of the next few moves，sharing the same basic idea．Experience has shown that 2 Qc3 lacks the punch to threaten Black＇s position， mainly because it isn＇t possible to enforce e 4 after 2．．．d5．However，I should say that this is a result of specifics and not of inviolable princi－ ples．The idea that one shouldn＇t block the c－ pawn in 1 d 4 openings has its exceptions；a cou－ ple occur as early as the second move，as in the Dutch Defence variation that goes 1 d4 f5 2 Qc3 and the Chigorin Defence， 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 ©c6．

## B



At this point（following 2 c 4 ），White would like to play e4，establishing a broad centre and laying claim to central space．Black must de－ cide upon a strategy．He can directly thwart that advance by，for example，controlling the e4－ and d5－squares；e．g．，2．．．e6 3 ©c3 全b4（the Nimzo－Indian Defence）or here 38 f 3 b 6 and ．．．㑒b7（the Queen＇s Indian Defence）．Alterna－ tively，he can allow White to play e4，setting up his strong pawn－centre．The establishment of an ideal centre would seem to be the goal of all openings and therefore advantageous for White． But for White＇s centre pawns to give him the advantage two things must hold true：
a）The pawns must actually control the cen－ tral squares，which is usually the case against the Indian Defences．
b）They must be secure against dissolution， which may or may not be the case in the Indian Defences．

Thus Black plans to attack White＇s centre and／or to arrange things such that any advance by White backfires．Even in the latter case he must eventually attack and compromise White＇s centre or suffer under a cramped and probably untenable situation．The strategy just described is usually introduced by ．．．g6 in conjunction with either ．．．d6（the King＇s Indian Defence）， ．．．d5（the Grünfeld Defence）or ．．．c5（the Ben－ oni）．The latter is a sort of hybrid solution，since 2．．．c5（threatening to impair White＇s centre by ．．．cxd4）already allows the incursion of White＇s pawn to d 5 ，and e 4 will follow if White wishes． Whether White＇s centre has been strengthened or weakened thereby is open to dispute．

Let＇s take a quick look at how the major In－ dian defences unfold in the first few moves． The King＇s Indian Defence（1 d4 0 ff 2 c 4 g 63 Qc3 客g7；e．g．， 4 e4 d6 5 』f30－0）is in one sense the most radical one：it doesn＇t put a piece or pawn on the fourth rank for the first five moves！That phenomenon doesn＇t usually continue further，although we have some funny lines in the KID like the Panno System with 4

 Black has gone 9 moves without placing anything beyond his third rank. When Black instead plays his usual ...e5 at an early stage, he blocks off his own bishop, but creates a dilemma for White about how to react. At first sight the Grünfeld Defence ( $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 62 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 63$ ©c3 d5) is superior to the King's Indian in vital respects: the move ...d5 directly challenges White's centre, so that Black gains some space to work with. His bishop on $g 7$ will be unblocked and remain so indefinitely, sometimes well into the endgame. In conjunction with the moves ...c5 and ... 0 c 6 , for example, the queen on d8 and the bishop on g7 exert strong pressure upon the key d4-square (the move ... ${ }^{\text {ig }} 4$ can also come in handy in that respect if White has a knight on f 3 ). In reality, White is compensated due to some specific features of play. If White plays 4 cxd5 Qxd5 and then makes the principled move e 4 , then either Black's knight must retreat, giving White time to bolster his ideal centre, or the knight has to exchange on c3, when of a sudden White has shored up d4 with a pawn and is able to fight for control of that square. The outcome of that engagement, combined with some other factors, produces a competitive balance which attract players on both sides of the Indian Systems.

The Nimzo-Indian was the first of the Indian openings that really caught on among the world's top players, and it's easy to see why, The Nimzo-Indian combines rapid development with central control, to the extent that

Black may even be said to be on equal footing with White in the centre proper (depending upon the variation, of course). Furthermore, he faces only rare situations like those in the King's Indian and Grünfeld in which White has a formidable set of pawns on e4 and d4. One might complain that Black therefore has no particular target, but the main action results from the exchange ... $\mathrm{Qxc}^{2} 3$, which cedes the bishop-pair to White but often saddles him with doubled c-pawns.

The Queen's Indian Defence also deploys Black's forces so as to control e4 and d5. Black receives a bit of a break in that regard because White's 3 \&f 3 not only fails to control e4 and d5, but interferes with potential central occupation that might follow from White's moves f 3 and e4. Thus the Queen's Indian has been considered a safe and solid defence. You should keep in mind, however, that White controls more space, and surprisingly dynamic play can result from the natural imbalance that entails.

The Modern Benoni involves a different set of issues. On the positive side, Black has the quasi-permanent advantages of a powerful, unobstructed bishop on g7 and an open and very useful e-file. But unlike practitioners of the other Indian Defences, he faces a powerful and almost irremovable pawn on d5 that restricts his mobility and development.

Of course there is much more to say about the Indian Defences in general, but the real differences and similarities are best shown in their individual contexts.

## 5 Nimzo－Indian Defence

## $1 \mathrm{d4} 2 \mathrm{f} 62 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{e} 6$

2．．．e6 is a quintessentially flexible move that leaves Black＇s options open while increasing his control over d5．Now he has several ways to prevent White＇s key move e4．He can：
a）play ．．．d5（usually transposing to the Queen＇s Gambit Declined）；
b）develop by ．．．b6 and ．．．$\hat{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{b} 7$ ，to strengthen control of d5 and e4；or
c）bring the f8－bishop to b4，either giving check or pinning a knight on c3．All of these moves focus on the central light squares，with the intention of precluding e4 by White．Even ．．． 2 e4 followed by ．．．f5 can contribute to this purpose．

Note that the immediate 2．．．b6？！fails in this respect because White can play 3 gc3（or 3 f 3 ） 3．．．全b7（3．．．d54 cxd5 $勹 x d 55$ e4 $0 x \mathrm{xc} 36$ bxc3 isn＇t disastrous，but compares poorly with the Grünfeld Defence of Chapter 8） 4 f 3 （or 4 岿c2 followed by e4） $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 55 \mathrm{cxd} 5$（ $D$ ）．


After Black recaptures，there follows 6 e 4 ． When White establishes the ideal e4／d4 centre and it isn＇t subject to an effective attack，you can be pretty sure that he＇ll have the advantage． For this reason one hardly ever sees an experi－ enced player make the move $2 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ ．

We return to 2．．．e6（ $D$ ）：
3 かc 3


This is the obvious move（to prepare the ad－ vance e4），but in fact White makes a major de－ cision thereby． 3 \＆c3 allows Black to play 3．．．皿b4，pinning the knight．Whether White wants to allow this determines his choice of moves．The main alternative，very often played， is $3 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ ；this also develops a piece and controls the important central squares d 4 and e5．As we shall see in Chapter 6，3 2 f 3 has its own pluses and minuses．Refer to Chapter 2 for the impli－ cations of $3 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ if Black chooses to play the Queen＇s Gambit Declined by 3．．．d5．

Other third moves are either dubious or gen－ erally less ambitious．Sometimes it helps to look at weaker moves to understand the good ones．Here are some relatively logical continua－ tions for White：
a）After 3 f 3 ？！d5！，White cannot manage to play e4 and thus has used up a move and taken away the best spot for White＇s knight on f 3 ．An example of how the play might go is 4 c3 （this amounts to a poor version of the Queen＇s Gambit Declined）4．．．c5（Black strikes back in the centre，but he can also play $4 \ldots$ ．．．e7） 5 cxd5 cxd4！（D）．

6 曹a4＋（ 6 曹xd4 ©c6！takes advantage of White＇s pinned d－pawn to gain more time by at－ tacking White＇s queen）6．．．2bd7（not the only move，but it shows up how weak White＇s dark squares are）．Then White can choose between：

a1） 7 dxe6 dxc3 8 exd7＋全xd79曹b3 全c5！ 10 bxc3 0－0 11 e4？公xe4！ 12 fxe4 曹h $4+13$


a2） 7 嫩xd4 酉c5！again targets the weakened dark squares in White＇s camp．White would be temporarily a pawn ahead after 8 恶d exd5 9


 Qe6 13 e 4 Ëd8＋and there are too many pieces attacking White＇s king．This line serves as a warning about weakening pawn moves，the importance of piece activity and the risks of early queen development．
b） 3 a 3 prevents ．．． e b 4 but doesn＇t control a central square or develop a piece．Black can equalize immediately by playing 3 ．．．d5（3．．．c5 is another aggressive move） 4 dc3 c5（or，of course， $4 \ldots$ ．．．e7，since White wouldn＇t play a3 in the first few moves of the Queen＇s Gambit

 when Black has good development whereas White＇s queen is out there a bit early；for exam－ ple，．．． E c 8 m might soon follow．Compare this with 1 d 4 热 62 c 4 e 63 分 53 b 64 a 3 ．The differ－ ence in that case is that $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ，while playable， doesn＇t go well with the move ．．．b6．
c）A much better move is 3 g 3 preparing Qg2，which contests both e4 and d5．It＇s a little too slow to give White an advantage，but it can easily transpose to another opening；for exam－ ple，3．．d5 4 Qf3 is a Catalan，comfortable enough for Black，and 3．．．c5 4 d5 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 \＆g2 g6 is a Modern Benoni，analysed in the chapter on that opening．For those wanting
an independent line， $3 \ldots \mathrm{e} \mathrm{b} 4+$ is a good alter－ native，when Black is already prepared to cas－ tle．

3．．．夏b4（D）
w


This move defines what is called the Nimzo－ Indian Defence．It is named after the brilliant and creative thinker Aron Nimzowitsch，who both played and had the most to do with pro－ moting 3．．． $\mathbf{~ W}$ b4 in the early part of the 20th cen－ tury．The Nimzo－Indian has been played by just about every World Champion and nearly every challenger going back to the 1920s．It is argu－ ably the most difficult opening to play against after 1 d 4 ．

Why 3．．．安b4？The most obvious and impor－ tant answer is that it prevents White from play－ ing 4 e 4 ．Let＇s make sure．The sequence 4 e 4
 though perhaps it should be in the opening primers，since White attacks both the e4－knight and the g 7 －pawn．The best reply is $5 \ldots .9 \mathrm{xc} 3$ （D）．


Black threatens discovered check and the knight can＇t be captured．Still，White can try 6
 mains a piece ahead），when the trap that Black has to avoid is $6 \ldots$ e．．． 5 ？ 7 曹xg7 $2 \mathrm{e} 4+8 \mathrm{~b} 4$ and after Black defends his rook，his bishop will be captured．Instead， $6 \ldots$ 全e7！ 7 bxc3 0－0 leaves Black with an extra pawn and a great position． Thus 4 e4 deserves a＇？＇after all．These moves are good to play through if you are inexperi－ enced in the opening phase of the game．Similar ideas can arise in other openings including the Sicilian Defence and French Defence．

Let＇s return to 3．．．害b4．Apart from prevent－ ing e4，this move fights for control of the cen－ tral light squares．All three of Black＇s moves have directly or indirectly helped him control d 5 ，and two of them have done the same for e4． This emphasis on light squares is characteristic of most Nimzo－Indian variations，at least for the first five or six moves．For example，Black will frequently play ．．．b6 and ．．．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{b} 7$ next，also watching over the d 5 －and e4－squares．

That＇s not all．Whether or not Black chooses to play ．．．b6，he has other light－square themes． The move ．．． d 5 is a part of many variations， staking further claim to e 4 and adding the queen to the mob of pieces defending d 5 ．In addition， occupation of the light squares by ．．．De4 is common，followed by ．．．f5 to cement control of e4．

Chess being what it is，of course，this delight－ fully simple picture proves deceptively com－ plex as Black may later turn to moves such as $\ldots . .5, \ldots 9 \mathrm{c} 6, \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ and ．．．e5 in order to challenge or defend dark squares！Still，the abundance of light－square themes lends a distinctive charac－ ter to Nimzo－Indian play that often extends well into the middlegame．

One of the most obvious features of 3．．．昷b4 is that it introduces the possibility of ．．．寅xc3＋， giving White doubled pawns．As we discussed in the introductory chapters of Volume 1，those doubled pawns are particularly bad because the forward pawn on c4 is incapable of being de－ fended by pawns，and it can easily be attacked （see below）．The better part of Nimzo－Indian variations have one or more points at which ．．．安xc3 is the best move．However，that capture usually comes at the cost of giving White the bishop－pair．In some cases White also takes
command of the centre，although if embarked upon too early，the occupation of the centre may have drawbacks，such as queenside weak－ nesses，overextension，or simply the inability to exploit extra space．Another advantage that Black has is speedy development in the first few moves．After his third move he is already ready to castle，whereas White has quite a few moves to go，perhaps e3 followed by 食d3，थf3 and $0-0$ ．In the meantime，he may throw in moves such as a3 and 書c2，which don＇t con－ tribute much to getting White＇s pieces out．

Thus White＇s policy in most variations is a cautious consolidation of his position involving protection of his centre and development．Black would like to disturb the position＇s balance in his favour，usually combining piece－play with one or more pawn－breaks．White almost inevi－ tably has to make pawn－structure concessions， either the aforementioned doubled c－pawns，an isolated pawn，weak light squares on the queen－ side，or loss of space in the centre as Black ad－ vances．The issue becomes whether the situation stabilizes enough for White＇s bishop－pair to ex－ ert itself，in which case Black can be in real trouble．The bishops＇merits vary from position to position；we＇ll see both how ineffectual they can be in some of the variations that follow，and how devastating in others．

## Sämisch and Related Lines

Under this heading we shall be covering lines where White plays an early a3，and Black cap－ tures on c3，doubling White＇s pawns．There are several forms that this can take，depending on whether White plays a 3 immediately，or after 4 $f 3$ or $4 e 3$ ，often waiting until Black has played ．．．d5 before playing a3．The traditional form of the Sämisch is as follows：
 5 bxc3（D）

The Sämisch Variation is in many ways the most instructive of all Nimzo－Indian lines．It seems odd to force Black into ．．． Qxc3＋，a move that he is likely to play anyway，and thus to ac－ cept the weak doubled c－pawns while losing time．For some rather subtle reasons，however， it turns out that there are advantages to forcing Black to commit to a strategy before he can

react to White's. Indeed, 4 a3 was one of the earliest methods of play versus the NimzoIndian and many of the best players of the time, including the long-time World Champion Botvinnik, were infatuated with possession of the bishop-pair. Remember that having two bishops versus a bishop and a knight, or two bishops versus two knights, is generally advantageous, although you have to assess each case individually (especially in this opening). White's idea is to compel Black to part with his bishop before he changes his mind and retreats, something that occurs in other lines. In contemporary chess, both 4 a 3 and its cousin 4 f 3 have once again become quite popular. Similarly, White can follow up the move 4 e 3 with 5 a 3 , or even 5 \& d 3 and 6 a 3 , often producing the same basic structure. As mentioned above, the motivation for this is often to wait for Black to commit himself to playing ...d5. The reason for this is that some of the most challenging lines at Black's disposal against the 4 a3 move-order involve him avoiding ...d5 and attacking White's c4pawn with his pieces.

The Sämisch Variation is the ideal starting point for discussing the Nimzo-Indian because it contains a majority of the fundamental themes that arise from the opening. On a simple level, we may say that the strategies resulting from 4 a3 can usually be characterized as one of the following:
a) White undertakes to gain ground with his central and kingside pawns, creating threats or forming a basis for a direct attack by pieces. Typically this involves ideas such as f 3 and e4 (or g4, which also grabs space), e4-e5 and/or f4-f5-f6. For his part, Black attempts to block
those pawns with his own, usually with moves like ...e5 and/or ...f5.
b) White tries to activate his bishop-pair, which requires line-opening pawn advances or exchanges. Black endeavours to restrict White's bishops to passive roles behind their own pawns.
c) Black wants to win White's weak forward c-pawn or expose his weaknesses on the key queenside light squares. He tends to exchange pieces in order to neutralize White's kingside efforts. White can either strain to protect his weak c4-pawn with pieces, or sacrifice it for activity.
d) Alternatively, Black will play moves to contest the centre: either ...d5, or some combination of ...d6, ...e5 and ...c5. Then he can even think about initiating play on the kingside.

I shall devote an exceptional amount of space to exploring these schemes. They will play out in the following variations:
A: Systems with ...d6 and ...e5;
B: Systems with ...d5;
C: Systems with ...c5 and/or ...b6.
As this is a book of ideas, the latest theory will not always be covered (the last section is exceptional in that respect), and I'll be using some classic games that throw the strategies and set-ups into relief. Before moving ahead I shall very briefly mention a curious move:

## 5...2e4 (D)

This received several tests in the 1961 World Championship match between Tal and Botvinnik, the latter playing White.


Black attacks White's c3-pawn but this advanced knight is subject to being driven back
with gain of time by f3．Tal is trying to make way for the move ．．．f5（emphasizing light－square control）．The immediate 6 f 3 ？fails to $6 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{W} 44+$ ， when Black wins major material，and the at－ tempt to prepare f3 by 6 Sh3 allows 6 ．．．c5！in order to answer 7 e 3 or 7 宸c 2 with 7 ．．．㟶a5．Af－ ter trying a variety of moves，Botvinnik found a fairly effective one：

> Botvinnik - Tal
> Moscow Wch (20) 1961

## 6 e3 f5

6．．． $\mathrm{Dxc}^{2} 3$ ？ 7 楼c2 traps the knight． $6 \ldots 0-0$ may be more accurate．Nevertheless，White can play 7 － d 3 f5 8 e2，when White will soon play f3 and chase Black＇s knight away with a central advance in store．

## 7溦h5＋！g6 8 断h6

Now Black＇s kingside squares are a tad weak by virtue of his 3rd and 5th moves．

## 8．．．d6

8．．．${ }^{4} \mathrm{f}$ f6 was played in later games，but note that $8 \ldots . .0 \mathrm{xc} 3$ ？ 9 f 3 ！threatens e 4 ．The most in－
 （ $D$ ），when the move 44 is coming．


This is an instructive queenless middlegame （not ending！）because Black is far away from mounting an attack on White＇s c4－pawn（in fact，c5 may become an option for White at some point）and his dark squares are weak． White＇s two bishops and centre wield consider－ able influence and guarantee some advantage．

9 f3 ©f6 10 e4！e5 11 全g5
White has achieved his main goals of expand－ ing in the centre and getting his bishops out．

## 

and Botvinnik was doing well．He should now have played $140-0$ ，when he gives the in－ structive line $14 \ldots \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 815$ 宸h4 f4 16 c 5 ！曹g7
 with a clear advantage．See how the two bish－ ops cooperate with the centre and queenside．

## Lines with ．．．d6 and ．．．e5

When the Nimzo－Indian Defence and Sämisch Variation became respectable in the 1920s and 1930s，one of the first ideas that Black em－ ployed involved the moves ．．．d6 and ．．．e5．This made eminent sense：why not prevent White from expanding in the centre before one turns one＇s attention to the queenside and its more－ or－less permanent weaknesses？

White＇s response to that question is to use his space in the centre to support an attack on Black＇s kingside．This is a difficult task．How－ ever，since Black is playing in the centre instead of attacking on the queenside，White＇s pieces will be relieved of their duty to protect his queenside（in particular，Black is not attacking White＇s pawn on c4 by means of ．．．b6 and ．．．${ }^{\text {a }}$ a6；later on you will see that this is a major strategy）．Notice too that the ．．．d6／．．．e5 defence already shifts the focus of the game from the central light squares to central dark squares．Al－ though the combination of ．．．d6 and ．．．e5 is seen less frequently than in earlier times，it still con－ stitutes a legitimate answer to White＇s ideas． What＇s more，the same structure arises in other variations of the Nimzo－Indian．

Let＇s start with two older games，because they contain mistakes that illustrate important themes．

## Gligorić－Plater <br> Warsaw 1947

## 1 d4 包62 c4e6 3 气c3 全b44a3全xc3＋5 bxc3 d6！？ 6 f3

White intends e4．Capablanca once played 6速c2？！，with the same goal，versus Ragozin in Moscow 1935．It turns out that this is inaccu－ rate，because Black could have grabbed the op－ portunity to generate counterplay against the centre by $6 \ldots$ ．．e5 $7 \mathrm{e} 4 \Omega \mathrm{c} 6$ ！．The point is that White＇s 6th move has taken protection away
from his d－pawn．Now he hasn＇t time for $\hat{\text { 人 }} \mathrm{d} 3$ ，
 lets Black take over the inviting e5－square for his knight．Indeed，the c5－square is a＇true＇out－ post，in that it can＇t be attacked by enemy pawns：


In this position it will prove difficult for White to organize any pawn－breaks，since f4 will be met by ．．．exf4 with additional Black firepower along the e－file aimed at the back－ ward pawn on e4．Furthermore，the a4－square is an inviting target for Black，who can play ．．．ed7 and ．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { eve8 } \\ & \text { e }\end{aligned}$ at some point，complement－ ing a knight on c5．Another theme that we shall see throughout this chapter concerns the possi－ ble move ．．．c6．Here Black may play ．．．c6 and ．．．cxd5 to open the c－file for his rook（．．．̈c8）， which puts considerable pressure on White＇s pawns on that file．This all stems from the inac－ curacy 6 数c2．Of course White＇s position isn＇t hopeless．He can still play for $\triangleq \mathrm{e} 2$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 3$ or f4 at some point．

But the timing of all this is delicate．After 6誛c2，Ragozin actually played 6．．0－0？！ 7 e4 e5 8 会d3！c5！？（now 8．．．』c6 9 』e2！holds the centre together nicely） $9 \triangleq \mathrm{e} 2 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 610 \mathrm{~d} 5$ Qe7 11 f 30 d 712 h 4 （here＇s the problem：left to his own devices White will launch his pawns for－ ward to take over as much space as he can；then he can mount an attack） $12 \ldots$ b6 13 g 4 ！（D）．

Compare this with the last diagram：Black has lost his outpost on c5 and can＇t play ．．．c6 to create pressure on the queenside．Staking out as much space as possible is a key element of White＇s strategy in the Sämisch．The game con－


£e8 17 f5．Black＇s king has escaped but his pieces are confined to the first few ranks and he stands poorly．

6．．．0－0 7 e4 e5 8 音d3 c5！？
Black＇s choice is important．He tries to force things in the centre and on the queenside but it＇s nice to have ．．．c6 in reserve；for example，

 （D）．


In that case Black can attack along the c －file by exchanging pawns to control the c 4 －square． Had he played ．．．c5，he would have run out of pieces able to attack White＇s pawn on c4．Of course， 10 d 5 wasn＇t forced．

## 9 包2 2 c6 10 0－0！？

An intentional pawn sacrifice．

## 10．．．b6

The question is whether Black should stand pat or win a pawn and liquidate White＇s centre． If you play either side of the Samisch or another Nimzo－Indian variation involving e3 and ．．．c5，
you will run into this issue．Accepting the sacri－ fice might lead to a line such as $10 \ldots$ ．．xd4 11
期66 14 数d2！，and in most cases 㫵f2 recovers the pawn，with White having mobile bishops on open lines） 12 豆b1（or 12 昷g 5 h 613 金h 4 g 5




What＇s going on in such positions？You＇ll notice that White has a weak pawn on c4 but Black has problems with his vulnerable d－pawn． White has the more powerful bishop and pros－ pects of advancing his pawns on the kingside． In general，Black should only win the pawn on d4 if he can hang on to it or otherwise achieve immediate counterplay．

## 11 宣g5！审a6 12 f4！

Here we have a basic idea that applies to this kind of centre：Black should not normally＇mix systems＇when he has played ．．．e5 by trying to attack White＇s c－pawns at the same time．Since Black＇s centre and kingside need to be continu－ ally monitored，it＇s risky to park a bishop on a6 and／or a knight on a5，away from the kingside action．Below we shall see a more sophisticated defence involving the same queenside moves but without ．．．d6 and ．．．e5．

## 12 ．．．cxd4 13 cxd4 exd4

After 13．．．h6，White decimates Black＇s king－ side by 14 fxe5！hxg5 15 exf6 gxf6 16 gg ©xd4（what else？） 17 寷h5 with too many threats，such as 数h6 in combination with $\Delta$ h5 or e5．

14 Qg3（ $D$ ）
White threatens both 0 h 5 and 2 f 5 ．Sud－ denly Black is lost，and it＇s only move 14 ！


## 

Once White captures on $\mathrm{f6}$ and doubles White＇s pawns，Black＇s kingside will be horri－ bly exposed．

## 15．．．慧e7 16 慧e1

There＇s no hurry，although White can also win with 16 ©xf6 gxf6 17 皿h4．Then what＇s to be done about $\frac{\mathrm{w}}{\boldsymbol{z}} \mathrm{h} 5$ and e5？

16．．．数e6 17 f5！宸e5 18 是xf6 gxf6 19 数h4 ． g 8

At this point Gligoric won relatively slowly
 was an immediate win to be had by 20 亿xf6！ Eg7 21 If 3 ．White has the standard tactical idea of 22 号 3 and 23 传xh7＋and there＇s noth－ ing that Black can do about it except to give up his queen on f 6 ．

## Lines with ．．．d5 and the Botvinnik Approach

 bxc3 d5（D）


Black plays what may seem the most natural move on the board（though is not so common via this precise move－order），staking out terri－ tory in the centre to neutralize White＇s usual advantage in space．True，White can and usu－ ally will＇undouble＇his pawns by cxd5，but that has some drawbacks after the simple reply ．exd5：
a）it frees Black＇s bad bishop on c8；and
b）gives his king＇s rook good scope along an open central file．

## 6 e 3 （D）

This move reaches a position that is more of－ ten seen via the move－order 4 e 3 d 55 a 3 食xc3＋ 6 bxc 3 ．In that sequence，White doesn＇t play a3 until Black is committed to ．．．d5．


Instead of 6 e3，it＇s tempting to bring the queen＇s bishop out before shutting it in by e3． That brings us to our first example of ．．．d5 in the Sämisch，an illustration of how White can go fundamentally wrong．

> Botvinnik - Kotov
> Groningen 1946
 bxc3 d5 6 cxd5！？

Now White could have achieved the normal position by 6 e 3 ．See the next game．

## 6．．．exd5 7 处g5？！（D）

The strongest player in the world at that time shows us what not to do when playing his own system！White＇s logic is to place his dark－ squared＇bad＇bishop outside the central pawn－ chain that will be formed by e3 and d4．How－ ever，this slows down the important development

of his kingside and weakens White＇s queenside dark squares．

7．．．c5！
A dynamic response．Black has ．．．Wha5 in mind，hitting the c3－pawn and unpinning the knight on f6．7．．．h6 is also not a bad move，be－ cause if White plays 8 ixf6 蠤xf6，he loses his most important asset，the bishop－pair．Never－ theless，Black would have used the extra tempo ．．． h 6 to achieve this and the position would be objectively equal，with a technical battle in store． By the way，the obvious alternative after 7．．．h6 is 8 䀂 44 ，but that is even worse for White be－ cause it allows the direct attack 8．．．g5 9 \＆ g 3 Qe4，when Black is attacking the c3－pawn and would like to threaten the g3－bishop by ．．．h5 or play ．．．c5 followed by ．．．Way．The point to re－ member here is that the rapid exertion of queenside pressure definitely outweighs the weaknesses created by ．．．h6 and ．．．g5．A useful old saying is＂Weaknesses aren＇t weaknesses unless they can be attacked＂．This may not be $100 \%$ accurate，but is a good rule of thumb．

## 8 f3！？

White tries to stop ．．． 0 e4 and may have ideas of playing e4 later．The move f 3 is always dangerous（great reward but great risk）．The problem is that after the natural $8 \mathrm{e} 3,8 \ldots$ ．．Wa5 forces some awkward defence like 9 类c2 2 e 4 10 是f4 cxd4 11 exd4 ©c6．Then Black is threatening ．．．$勹 \mathrm{xd} 4$ ！，and 12 昷 3 复g4！can be followed by ．．．昷xf3 and／or ．．． 5 e8，putting pres－ sure on White＇s backward c－pawn． 12 e2 2 金f5 is a perfect picture of light－square domination．

## 8．．．h6 9 余xf6

But now the dark squares are in trouble（look at that weakness on e3）！Retreat isn＇t attractive
either： 9 金h4 0－0 10 e3 Ee8 11 塭f2（11 滞d2

 is having a hard time getting his pieces to active squares．



12 我f2
A sad move to make but it will be forced soon anyway．One example is 12 崰 d 2 昷 613 dxc 5 （ruining his pawn－structure，but what else？）
 dominating position．

White has managed to get his pieces out，but e3 is still weak．

15．．．害d7 16 h3 曹d6 17 登hb1 b6 18 是f1 Ee7！（D）


## $19 \mathrm{a4}$ 玉ae8 20 Ёe1 c4！

One of those paradoxical moves that grand－ masters are good at finding．Releasing the pres－ sure on d4 in this way is generally bad but here
it stops 8 d 3 and $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 3$ while containing the ter－ rible threat of ．．．g5．The rest of the game is short and sweet：



White＇s problems stemmed from the over－ ambitious 7 英g5．

Let＇s return to the position after 1 d 4 ） 62


6 e 3 （D）


## 6．．．c5

Now for an important move－order issue． The position after $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ could also have oc－ curred via the common move－order 4 e 3 d 55 a 3昷xc3＋6 bxc3 c5 or 4 e 3 c5 5 a 3 全xc3＋ 6 bxc3 35 ．In the move－order here，however， Black isn＇t already committed to ．．．c5 and he doesn＇t have to play it yet（the trade－off，of course，is that in the 4 a3 move－order，Black is not committed to playing ．．．d5）．For example， he can insert $6 \ldots 0-0$ ，when 7 cxd5 exd5 8 \＆d3 b69 左2 2 皿 66 tries to get the light－squared bishops off the board immediately．The obvi－ ous continuation for White is $100-0$ \＆ ed 311 Wewd3．Then Black might play 11．．．鋢c8！？ 12 f3 富a6，winning light squares like c4．But that simplification doesn＇t solve the problem of the centre after 13 传xa6 $0 x a 614 气 g 3(D)$ ．Then the advance 44 will follow，emphasizing the su－ periority of the white bishop over the poorly－ placed knight on a6．

For example，14．．．تfe8 15 Ëe1 c5 16 e4 dxe4
 Eacl 0 bd 721 邑 7 and White clearly has the upper hand．In general，space and mobility are

just as much advantages in queenless middle－ games as they are with queens still on the board．

7 cxd5 exd5 8 金d3 0－0 9 e2！（D）


This move defines White＇s strategy．By de－ veloping the knight to e 2 he gives his centre pawns the freedom to advance，namely，by f3 and e4，often supported by a knight on g3．In spite of White＇s lagging development，this set－ up tends to bring out the best in White＇s centre and bishop－pair．Placing a knight on f 3 would allow Black to prevent e4 indefinitely．

The arrangement of pieces and pawns after $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 3,2 \mathrm{e} 2,0-0$ and f 3 was developed and popu－ larized by Botvinnik，and was used by him in one of his most famous victories，over Capa－ blanca in the AVRO 1938 tournament．Since then many great players have employed it，in－ cluding Kasparov．

## $9 . . . b 6100-0$ 今a6 11 全xa6

Many players prefer 11 f 3 immediately．Then after $11 \ldots$ exd3 12 峟xd3，Black can develop his knight to a square other than a6，but White
has prevented the useful manoeuvre ．．．©a6－c7． This trade－off of advantages is hard to assess．

## 11．．． Dxa6 12 f 3 ！

The beginning of a dynamic strategy to over－ run Black＇s position with pawns by e4－e5，f4－ f5，etc．Right now it＇s time to see some general strategy by examining White＇s alternatives to the move 12 f 3 ：
a）The above－mentioned Botvinnik－Capa－ blanca，Rotterdam（AVRO） 1938 was a seminal game，so we＇ll skip over the technical inaccura－ cies in the next few moves： 12 \＆ Q 2 ？！带d7！ 13 a4（13 葠d3 类a4！with the kind of queenside light－square control that White needs to avoid for as long as he can） $13 \ldots$ ．．． fe 814 W d 3 c 4 ？（D）．


With the benefit of hindsight just about every commentator agreed that this is a positional mistake because it gives up the option of ．．．cxd4 and releases the pressure on White＇s centre． Years of master games have confirmed that general view．Let＇s see how this works： 15 鄨c2



19 e 4 ！（this is the whole point of the system． White will try to blow Black away in the centre and kingside before too much damage occurs
 Wf2！（otherwise Black might employ the trick 21．．． 2 bc 5 ！） 21 ．．．g6！ 22 f 4 f 5 （White threatened f 5 with too much attack for Black to handle，but Capablanca prepared this defensive resource； it＇s not easy to defeat an immortal！） 23 exf6 Dxf6 24 f5（normally this would be decisive， but Black can reduce the attacking material）
 game；isn＇t the attack at a standstill？） 26 気e6！． This frustrates Black＇s plan and turns the tide， because now simplification comes at the cost of a powerful passed pawn．The＇opening＇phase is past and I＇ll stick with the bare moves now．The wonderful thing is that White succeeds here in spite of minimal material and an apparently aw－







b）Gligorić－Benko，Budapest 1948 solidified the idea that after ．．．c4，the e4 advance couldn＇t be stopped forever： 12 嶫d3 c 4 ？！ 13 数c2（D）．

 Efel（here we see application of brute force！ White finally gets e4 in and from there on things go well） $20 . . \varrho \mathrm{a} 521 \mathrm{e} 4$ 䉼b722 e5 $\Delta \mathrm{d} 7$ 23 f 4 f 5 （this appears to block White＇s attack yet it turns out that Black has little positive to do while White organizes another pawn－break）


24 Qf1！b5 25 气e3 亿bb 26 g 4 fxg 427 公xg4

気a6 33 f5 gxf5 34 亶h6 1－0．

Let＇s return to 12 f 3 ！．
12．．．를（D）
Without counterplay down the e－and c－files， Black would have nothing to do but wait for White＇s attack．


This position has occurred scores of times in master play，normally via 4 f 3 or 4 e 3 ．We＇ll follow a game that is instructional because we get to see White＇s plans in pure form，and also a straightforward strategy for Black．

Tisdall－Bjarnason<br>Reykjavik 1989

## 13 分 3 精d7！

As in Botvinnik－Capablanca，Black takes aim at the light squares，in this case a 4 and b5．Even ．．．W W7 might operate against the e4 push．

14 ² $\mathbf{2}$ ！（ $D$ ）

B


Strange to say，this manoeuvre of the queen＇s rook to the centre may be the only way to play for advantage．Not only does White swing over to support the 4 push，he does so while still pre－ venting ．．． W a4 and keeping his queen＇s bishop free to go in either direction．The idea of $\Xi \mathrm{a} 2$－ e2（or ${ }^{\text {en }} 2$－f2）followed by e4 in this type of po－ sition goes back to the 1940s and early 1950s （at least in terms of consistent usage）and has become a standard part of White＇s weaponry in this and even a couple of other openings，notably Queen＇s Gambits．Sometimes ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 2 is played with the knight still on e 2 ，in which case White has the new idea of $g 4$ and 0 g 3 with $g 5$ and e4 to follow．Naturally Black has his own re－ sources，and in spite of most writers＇and play－ ers＇mistrust of his position he hasn＇t scored that much worse than in other variations．

14．．．』ac8！（D）
w


Perhaps more accurate is $15 \stackrel{~}{\mathrm{E}} 2$ ，which has indeed played in a few games．Then Black＇s most successful idea has been 15 ．．．cxd4 16 cxd 4 E． c 4 ！（ $D$ ）with double－edged play．


White will have to place his bishop pas－ sively on b2 to enforce e4．Lutsko－Kveinys， Tallinn 2001 continued 17 音d3 b5 18 曾b2公 7 ！ 19 e 4 De6（this standard defensive move hits f 4 and d4） 20 ed 2 乌f4 21 We3 气6h5 22
 d 77 with advantage to Black． 26 f 4 would be met by $26 \ldots$ ．．．f6 and ．．．乌e4，and 26 䊦d 3 by $26 \ldots .0 \mathrm{~b}$ ！ 27 f 4 ©a4．In lines like these White＇s bishop on b2 really is a bad bishop！But his play is fairly easy to improve upon．

The logical follow－up to Black＇s play is
 19 昷b2，White has a mobile centre．Still，Black can play $19 \ldots 0 \mathrm{c} 7$ intending 20 e 4 dxe 421 fxe4觡5！and gain counterplay．

17 e4（D）


## 17．．． Q $^{2} 5$

Black＇s plan is logical and appropriate to the position，but a tad slow．Of course，his defence is extremely difficult．Notice that if 17 ．．．cxd4 18 cxd4 0 e 6 ，White＇s bishop can now settle in on e3，whereas if it were already committed to b2，he would have to deal with ．．．$\ 4$ ．From here on things proceed thematically，and typi－ cally easily，for White after e5 is achieved：





To balance out that one，here＇s a near－perfect strategy employed by Black against Milov，a leading advocate of the Sämisch Variation（via the 4 e 3 and 4 f 3 move－orders）：

## V．Milov－Campora <br> Andorra 2001

 6 a3 全xc3＋7 bxc3 c5 8 cxd5 exd5 9 De2 b6


Allowing Black to capture on d3，a subtle difference as compared to 食xa6．
 Ee2 Ead8！ 16 宣b2

We＇ve seen this kind of thing before，but not with the knight on c6．The move 重b2 helps to prepare e4，although right now the d－pawn would fall to ．．．dxe4 and multiple captures on d4．Thus White needs one more preparatory move，probably ${ }^{\text {didl }}$ ．It seems as though Black can do little but wait．

16．．．h5！（D）


This advance is beautifully timed and works out nicely．Normally such a pawn turns into a weakness．

17 d1
$17 \sum_{\mathrm{hl}}^{\mathrm{h} 4} 18 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 2$ is the standard idea ver－ sus ．．．h5 in such positions in order to enforce e4，but here $18 \ldots \triangleq$ a5 $19 \mathrm{e} 4 \triangleq \mathrm{~h} 5$ ！creates prob－ lems for White．When White＇s bishop goes to $\mathrm{b} 2, \mathrm{f} 4$ is a potential home for Black＇s knight．

17．．．h4 18 乌f5 c4
Or $18 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 3$ ！intending 19 g 3 g 6 ，when White＇s knight will sit very awkwardly on h4．

20．．．$\frac{\|}{6}$ xe7！holds down e4 and keeps the ad－ vantage－the move ．．．h3 is still in the air．

White in turn misses the best time for 23 e 5 ！．


23．．．h3 24 e5 0 d 5
This knight guarantees at least equality．Black went on to win after some ups and downs：






高h5

## Central Strategies against the Botvinnik

What about variations with ．．．d5 in which Black foregoes the ．．．${ }^{\text {e }}$ a6 bishop exchange and con－ centrates instead upon the centre？These lead to a difficult fight in which e4 for White is not al－ ways possible．Instead we see White expanding
on the kingside，both for the sake of attack there and to drive Black＇s pieces away so that he can play e4 after all．In the meantime Black has a greater emphasis on preventative measures against attack by White．

Many such variations arise via the move 4 f3；for example， 4 f3 d5 5 a3 金xc3＋6 bxc3 0－0 7 cxd 5 exd5 8 e3．Then the position may reach a standard Botvinnik variation if White plays会d3， 2 e 2 and $0-0$ while Black is playing ．．． $0-0$ ， ．．．b6 and ．．．空a6．But Black has a lot of alterna－ tives．For one thing，he can choose 6 ．．．c5！instead of $6 \ldots 0-0$ ，and if White plays $7 \mathrm{cxd5}$ ，respond with $7 \ldots$ ．．． xd 5 ！．Currently，at least，White＇s ex－ tensive and varied efforts to gain an advantage in that position have proven fruitless，although a robust middlegame may ensue．There are also independent moves for Black within the basic Botvinnik structure，as in this game：

## Gheorghiu－Fischer <br> Havana OL 1966

1 d4 ©f6 2 c4 e6 3 ©c3 完b4 4 f3 d5 5 a 3会xc3＋6 bxc 3 0－0 7 cxd5 exd5 8 e3（D）


## 8．．．乌h5！？

Black threatens ．．． W h $4+$ and prepares ．．．f5－ f4 under the right circumstances．

The alternative 8．．．㑒f5！？militates against 9童d3？！，because after the exchange 9．．．定xd3 10断xd3 c5（or $10 \ldots$ ．．．E8），Black has dispensed with the weakening move ．．．b6 and gained time in comparison to the plan of ．．．b6，．．．ea6 and ．．．全xd3．So instead of 9 盆d3，White will try in－ stead to exploit the bishop＇s presence by play－ ing the advance g4．Play might go 9 包 2 c5 10
g4！？（not the only idea，of course）10．．．${ }^{\text {Qg6 }} 11$ ©f4！？©c6（although Black＇s development and centralization are visually impressive，it＇s diffi－ cult to find anything to attack in the white camp；while White has to deal with his own awkwardly－placed pieces，he is counting upon his space advantage，two bishops，and potential
 ⓐ2！h6 15 ®xg6 fxg6，Malaniuk－Ivanchuk， Lvov 1988．White now played 16 曾el，and 16 ．．． ．gc6！was fine for Black，who is ready to play ．．．cxd4 and ．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { elc7 } \\ & \text { 7 }\end{aligned}$ with effect（the game was drawn）．

## 9 隠c2

This is a flexible move directed against ．．曾h4＋；it also supports 金d3．

## 9．．． $\mathrm{E} e 8$

As usual in this line，the queenless middle－
 favours White＇s space and his two bishops： $11 \ldots$ ．． ff 612 g 4 ！？（or 12 c 4 or 12 良d3 㰯 813

 prospects．

## 10 g 4

White plays on the flank in order to drive Black＇s pieces off before he attacks in the cen－ tre．The drawback in such positions is his devel－ opment，so Black will try to act quickly with his pieces．

$$
10 . . . \leftrightharpoons \mathbf{f 4 !}(D)
$$



## 11 h 4 ！

White still only has one piece out and it＇s his queen！ 11 h4 accrues more territory while pre－ venting counterplay by ．．．㴔h4＋

11．．．c5 12 dád2
＇Developing＇the king with tempo．
12．．． 2 g 613 官d3 2 c 6

 18 exf4！．



## 16 h 5 ！

Although it＇s logical and safe to retreat White＇s queen from the indirect attack along the c－file，Gheorghiu bravely ignores the pres－ sure in order to get his attack going．
亿a5 20 分 4

The opening is over and White has pretty much what he wants：two bishops，a cramping space advantage，and prospects to play e4．

20．．．c4
Trying to use b3 for his pieces．The problem is that there＇s not much else for Black to do that doesn＇t help White；for example，20．．．cxd4 21 cxd4 b6 22 斯5 5 角c4 23 \＃̈h5！．



Moving to a second front．The player who commands more space can often do this．
 b6 26 苂b5 公b7 27 e4

Finally this push，and it＇s decisive！
27．．．dxe4 28 良xe4 艺cc8 29 きe5 官g 4
White was threatening 金xb7，d5，Ehe1 and more．The alternatives were $29 \ldots$ ．．$D \mathrm{~d} 830$ 类b5
 hangs；and $29 \ldots$ ．．．d6 30 害xd6 䊑xd6 31 きxe6 Exe6 32 䓪xe6 粕xe6 33 畣f5，which is a pretty piece of geometry．The rest is easy．

30 分 5 ！Exe5 31 公xf6＋gxf6 32 dxe5 ©c5




 $50 \mathrm{~g} 71-0$

Finally，there＇s the case where Black sets up ＇normally＇by ．．．c5，．．． $\mathrm{cc}^{6}$ and ．．．巴e8．One prob－ lem that arises is where to put the c 8 －bishop．

## Kacheishvili－Jenni

Linares 2001

 bxc 3 ㄹ． 8

A roundabout way to the Sämisch structure．
$11 \mathbf{f} 3 \mathbf{b} 612$ Ea2 龟b7 13 g 4 ！（ $D$ ）

B


13．．．c4
Black is at a loss for an effective plan．
公 xc 18 霉 xc 1

In spite of losing his dark－squared bishop， White enjoys control of the centre and king－ side．It turns out that his attack almost plays it－ self．

18．．．b5 19 e5 a5 20 صh5 数b6 21 صf6＋gxf6



An interesting relationship exists between the same 密d3，$\triangleq \mathrm{ge} 2, \mathrm{f} 3, \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 3$ set－up that oc－ curs in the Nimzo－Indian and in the Exchange Queen＇s Gambit．In both cases White wants to use his central majority and enforce e4 fol－ lowed by e5．Of course，the bishop on g5 in the Queen＇s Gambit is outside the central pawn－ chain and seems to play a completely different part from that on b 2 or cl in the Nimzo－Indian， especially when 安xf6 is played with ．．． 最xf $^{\text {a }}$ as a reply．In both cases，however，White＇s main idea is e4－e5（driving a piece away from f6 with tempo），followed by f4－f5．And there is another connection，as illustrated by this po－ sition：


This comes from our section on the Ex－ change Queen＇s Gambit．The bishop has re－ treated from g 5 to f 2 via h4，in part to avoid a forced exchange that can occur if the bishop re－ mains on g5 too long（by ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{h} 5$ or ．．．$勹 \mathrm{~g} 6$ and ．．．h6，for example），but also in order to defend the e3／d4 centre and allow for 4 ．Isn＇t this pretty much the function of the＇bad＇bishop on b2 in the Nimzo lines that we have just seen？In simi－ lar fashion，Black will play ．．．c5 to increase pres－ sure on d 4 and discourage the key advance e 4 ． In both openings White may well switch to an attack via 94 ．Obviously meaningful differences
exist．In the QGD，Black hasn＇t exchanged his dark－squared bishop for a knight．But we see how White in the Nimzo－Indian can achieve an effective attack with surprisingly reduced ma－ terial，a prime example being the Botvinnik－ Capablanca game above．

## Sämisch Main Line with ．．．c5 and ．．．富a6

After the 4 a3 move－order，Black frequently adopts a set－up in which he doesn＇t move the d－ pawn or e－pawn，but plays to blockade White＇s potential advances on both sides of the board． This strategy has been strengthened by specific move－orders in recent years．First let＇s see how the issues evolved with practice．
 bxc $30-0$

For a few comments on this move－order，see the next section．

6 e3 c5
This fixes the forward doubled pawn on c4 as an easy target of attack and eliminates any idea of White freeing his pieces by pushing his pawn to c 5 ．

Amongst the large set of options in the Sämisch（and Nimzo－Indian），Black has 6．．．b6 7 \＆d3 \＆ 7 ，when 8 f 3 is the normal move and has had mixed results．Much more interesting is Vaganian＇s recent move 8 De2！？，offering a promising gambit：8．．．exg2 9 Egl 会f3（if 9．．．\＆e4，then 10 Qg3！）10 Eg3 宣e4 11 f 3 息xd3 12 Wxd3 with compensation for the pawn． Nevertheless，both sides have chances for ad－ vantage．



At first sight this gives a strange impression： Black doesn＇t develop a piece and moves the knight backwards．The retreat is justified by three considerations：Black avoids the annoy－ ing pin $\hat{\text { \＆}} \mathrm{g} 5$ ，he prepares to answer f 4 with ．．．f5， and he manoeuvres the knight towards the d6－ square from which point it will attack c4 again．

An early game Bronstein－Najdorf，Budapest Ct 1950 illustrates what not to do as Black： 1 d4
 6 e 3 包 67 \＆ $\mathrm{d} 30-08$ 亿e2 d6 9 e 4 气e8（the procedure with ．．．巳e8 and ．．．f5 works best with the pawn on d7 supporting the pawn－chain，but this is still a sensible way to play） $100-0$ b6 11 f4（11 定e3！？）11．．．害a6？（there was still time for $11 \ldots \mathrm{f5}$ ！） 12 f5！e5？（an innocent－looking move which loses the game！） 13 f 6 ！（ $D$ ）．


There is no good answer；for example， $13 . . .2 x f 614$ \＆g5！and Black will not survive Qg3－h5 and／or \＆xf6 with 8 g 3 and $\frac{\mathrm{w}}{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{h} 5$ ；and 13．．．gxf6 14 d 5 包 715 \＆h6 0 g 716 g 3 is al－ most as bad．This is why f5 is seldom allowed and f 6 almost never．You can see the rationale behind the blocking manoeuvre ．．．f5．The game

玉g7 20 亶h6 \＃g8 21 \＃h3 1－0．
$100-0$ 音 ${ }^{2} 6$
Getting to work on that c－pawn．Black＇s plan is simple and White needs to create something in the centre or kingside to counter it．

## 11 f 45

There＇s the blockading move that we men－ tioned，Notice how，by preventing f5，Black has prevented White＇s queen＇s bishop from moving to the kingside and perhaps joining in an attack．

This same idea occurs in the Closed Sicilian
 where White plays f 4 soon thereafter and Black replies ．．．f5．Similarly，in the King＇s Indian At－ tack，White will often arrange the move f 4 and run into ．．．f5．

Black has alternative approach：he can allow White to go one step further and then blockade by $11 \ldots \mathrm{f6}$ ！？（ $11 \ldots$ ．．． $5512 \mathrm{f5} \mathrm{f} 6$ is a similar idea） 12 f5（D）．


The board is full of tension．A game Tisdall－ Arnason，Husavik 1985 went 12．．．cxd4 13 cxd4
 here 17 兓c2！looks good，when $17 \ldots \mathrm{~F} 618$
 a sample line．Black has all kinds of options， however（ $12 \ldots$ ．．Ec8 is an obvious one）．I wouldn＇t bet on either side after $11 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6$ ．

We now return to $11 \ldots \mathrm{f} 5(\mathrm{D})$ ：
w


From the position after 11．．f5，we look at two games：

## Yusupov－Karpov <br> Linares 1993

## 12 صg3（D）

At almost every juncture White has tried to exchange pawns，sometimes gaining a small advantage．In this position the exchange seems to help Black＇s structure as much as White＇s； e．g．， 12 exf5 exf5 13 dxc5 bxc5 14 会e3 d6 15曾 f 2 W W d 7 is a simple example．But the assess－ ment of these and similar plans can and will change as players refine their move－orders．

B


## 12．．．g6

This move took over theory and practice for a while after Karpov won two games versus Yusupov．But $12 . . . \mathrm{Qd}_{6}$ is logical，attacking the c4－pawn and defending f5．One possible prob－ lem for Black is 13 exf5 exf5（ $13 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{xf5} 14$ ©xf5 exf5 15 dxc5 bxc5 16 躬f3；13．．．cxd4！？） 14 dxc5 bxc5 15 （ee3，when his loose pieces make the defence difficult．

## 13 \＆e3（ $D$ ）

Keeping the tension in the centre．Again White can open the position with 13 exf5 exf5 14 dxc 5 bxc 5 ．Then 15 Wa4 is very interesting， and a tactical line that has occurred several
 16．．．©f6 is unclear） 16 \＆ $\mathrm{exf5}$ ！？gxf5 17 崰d5 +
 18．．．食b7 has also been tried，with mixed re－ sults）．Black can follow up with moves like ．．．$\frac{\|}{6} \mathrm{c} 8$ and ．．． E b 8 with a complex position that like so much else may be worked out by the time you read this book！What＇s important here are not the specific moves and ever－changing theory，but the overall situation：the burden is
on White to alter the pawn－structure and／or dy－ namics of the position before Black consoli－ dates and wins positionally．This applies to a great number of Nimzo－Indian positions that involve ．．．${ }^{\text {exc }} 3+$ and bxc3，and is worth keep－ ing in mind over－the－board．


## 13．．．cxd4！？

Karpov＇s idea，designed to improve upon（or merely pose other problems than）his earlier game with Yusupov，which went $13 \ldots .2$ d6 14 exf5！？（initiating a bold sacrifice，although pos－ sibly something simple along the lines of 14
 why Karpov didn＇t repeat 13．．．©d6）14．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{xc} 4$
比h5 粽 718 登xfl hxg6！？（18．．．cxd4！is proba－ bly better） 19 粞xg6＋峟g7，and in Yusupov－ Karpov，London Ct（3） 1989 White eventually lost in the unclear complications after 20 䊦d3． The endgame after 20 粬xg7＋ $\mathbf{E x g} 721 \mathrm{dxc} 5$ ！ was a promising option．These lines should def－ initely help you to understand the opposing strategies．

## 14 cxd4 d5！

The fascinating thing here is that Black，who has the knight－pair，forces open the position，as opposed to the strategies of previous players who tried to keep everything closed．

## 

A fair alternative is $170 x$ xe4，when 17 ．．．$\frac{\|}{\bar{\sigma}} \mathrm{xd} 5$ leads to complex play that l＇d assess as equal． This whole line may be superseded in the future so I＇ll spare the details．

## 17．．．断xd5 18 曹 $\mathrm{xd5}$ exd5（D）

The basic concept remains：Black works on the light－square complex，as he has done since

the very first move．Looking at this structure for a moment we see that c 4 and e4 are weak whereas the bishop on e3 is passive．If Black could now play ．．．$巳 \mathrm{~d} 6$ ，preventing f5 and keep－ ing White＇s bishop entombed on e3，his advan－ tage would be very significant．But it＇s White＇s move：

## 19 ปّac1 घ゙c8 20 f5！厄d $\mathbf{d} 21$ fxg6

This isn＇t a theory book but 21 定h6！？\＃f7 22 fxg6 hxg6 23 包2 is also interesting and again the chances seem about equal．Something similar applies for the next two moves，but soon after that Karpov＇s well－posted knights and White＇s weak pawns turn the game in Black＇s favour．

21．．．hxg6 22 国xf8＋䐻xf8 23 h4 ©c4 24

気ac2！ 31 金 $f 6 \mathrm{~b} 5$ ！ $32 \mathrm{g4}$ b4 33 Еa2 b3 34 \＃b2

 Ee8 0－1

Here＇s another example of how White，not wanting to wait around for Black＇s queenside attack，takes drastic action：

V．Milov－J．Polgar<br>Moscow FIDE KO 2001


 De8 $100-0$ 実 $\mathrm{a6} 11 \mathrm{f} 45$

This is the same main line that we have just seen．After many years of following the same themes，a new idea appeared：

12 d5！？乌a5 13 e5！\＆xc4！？

Especially in view of what happens，it＇s logi－ cal to try to prove that White＇s centre is overex－ tended．Thus $13 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ ！，and if 14 dxe6，14．．．数e7！． Then it＇s natural to play 15 g 4 ！？（blasting away；White tries to force the position open．．．） 15．．．g6！？（．．．and Black to keep it closed！A real
 gxf5 $17 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 3$ ，but then $17 \ldots$ 䊦xe6！has the idea
 21 曹xa8 \＆ \＆$^{2} 7$ and Black has too much attack． lnstead of all this， $14 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6$ emphasizes the essential solidity of Black＇s position；for exam－ ple， 15 We ${ }^{2} \mathrm{c} 7$ ．In the spirit of the position， then，White might try 14 g 4 ！？，which is not at all clear．At any rate， $13 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 6$ would be a consis－ tent way for Black to proceed．One can see why White might choose the more straightforward lines with exf5 and dxc5 mentioned above．

14良xc4 $0 x 415$ d6（D）


Quite a position！Black is a pawn ahead with a solid pawn－structure，but the knight on e8 and rook on f 8 are hemmed in，and White has ambi－ tions to attack on the queenside．The main point is that it＇s hard to break down White＇s cramping central pawn－structure．On the other hand Black still has good control over the light squares．

## 15．．．b5

Again there have been several games that were played after this one．Since the opening is－ sues have been defined，l＇ll merely point out that Black＇s options include 15．．．g6 and 15．．．歯c8， the latter from the stem game for this line， Ziatdinov－T．Georgadze，USSR 1985.

## 

This attacks c5 once，and $2 \mathrm{c} 1-\mathrm{b} 3$ will do so once more．

## 19．．．Exa1 20 Exa1 此b6 21 ©c1 气g7 22 



The game continued and White recovered his pawn with a superior position．Some wild tactics ensued but eventually he won：




 41 包xe6 dxe6 42 Exb6 幽b2 43 曾d3！登f4 44


 te3 1－0

## 4 e3 and the Hübner Variation

## 

For most of the modern history of the Nimzo－ Indian Defence，this modest advance has been played more often than any other move．Over the last decade or so 4 类c2 has become a top－ level favourite and has recently surpassed 4 e 3 on most levels of tournament play，but not by much．Between them these moves dominate Nimzo－Indian practice．In general one can say that 4 e 3 is more flexible for both sides，leading to a remarkable number of formations，some－ times only barely related！I＇ll stick with a few popular variations whose lessons extend into other lines．We＇ 11 look at $4 \ldots 0-0$ and $4 \ldots . . c 5$ ，each in their own section．

Another important move is $4 \ldots$ ．．．b6．I＇m not devoting space to it，but compare the Queen＇s Indian Defence of the next chapter．

At this point we have some move－order is－ sues that are seldom addressed although obvi－ ously known to most masters．It says something about the technical nature of the Nimzo－Indian that tiny differences in move－order have such important positional effects．Because this is all rather confusing，I＇m going to make a compari－ son of the consequences of playing $4 \ldots 0-0$ and $4 \ldots c 5$ in terms of reaching desired positions． Otherwise，whether you＇re White or Black，it will be easy to get off on the wrong foot．

## Early Castling

4．．．0－0（D）


Castling is the most popular move at the top levels versus 4 e3．It is nevertheless a committal decision and it＇s interesting to see which of White＇s standard set－ups will achieve more or less against a castled king．White has four pri－ mary options（which are the same ones he plays versus 4．．．c5）： $5 \mathrm{a} 3,5$ §d $3,5 \mathrm{e} 2$ and 5 §f3．

I＇ll look at the knight moves as main lines． 5 a3 enters into Sämisch territory，which we＇ve been over．And 5 事d3 is a transpositional bee－ hive，as follows：
a）Should Black play $5 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ，then 6 a 3 ！？苃xc3＋7 bxc3 followed by 气e2 should be compared with the Botvinnik Sämisch．
b）Alternatively， $5 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ leads to a number of positions depending upon what White chooses． I should mention that $6 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~d} 570-0$ is one of the classic positions of the Nimzo－Indian．It can
lead to positions that are familiar to us；for ex－ ample：
b1） $7 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 48$ 定 xc 4 cxd 49 exd 4 b 610 㑒g5 \＆ b 7 ．
b2） $7 . . .0 \mathrm{c} 68 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{dxc} 4$（8．．． $\mathrm{exc}^{2} 9 \mathrm{bxc} 3$
 oldest Nimzo－Indian variations） 9 苃xc4 cxd4 10 exd4 㑒e7（after 10．．．全xc3 11 bxc3，Black has committed his knight to 66 rather than d7， which some players don＇t like；however，that＇s another story） 11 \＆g5 b6 12 Ee1 亘b7（D）．


Both＇b1＇and＇b2＇are the type of IQP posi－ tions which we discussed and of which I gave numerous examples in Chapter 3 of Volume 1.

> Torre - Unzicker
> Wijk aan Zee 1981

5 De2（D）


White plays conservatively，hoping to avoid the doubled pawns that would otherwise arise
after ．．．\＆xc3＋．He wants to drive away the bishop and then expand in the centre．The drawbacks to this knight move include hemming in the bishop on f1 and developing rather passively．

## 5．．．d5！

Because this counter is available， $4 \ldots 0-0$ is arguably the best order versus the $\mathrm{De}^{2}$ sys－ tems，or at least the easiest to play．Another strategy to exploit White＇s slow development and knight placement is 5 ．．．． E 86 a3 \＆ E 8 ！？， when a recent game continued 7 d 5 d 68 g 3 Qbd79 㑒g2 ©e5！ 10 b 3 exd5 $11 \mathrm{cxd5}$ 宜g4 12 f4 气ed7 13 崰c2 是xe2 14 韩xe2！？c6 15 dxc6
 f6 20 食xc5 fxe5！ 21 \＆ Qd1 \＆b6 with enormous centre pawns，Av－ rukh－P．Carlsson，Turin OL 2006.

6 a3 复e7 7 exd5 exd5！？
$7 . . . \triangleq x d 5$ is the safer move，equal if unambi－ tious： 8 g 3 c 5 ！ 9 dxc 5 （ 9 \＆g2 0 xc 310 亿xc3
 12 名g2 ©c6 $130-0$（ 13 side2 is an option）
 16 气d2 \＆e6 17 \＆ C 3 f6 with equality） $14 \ldots$ ．．．d7 15 b4 \＃ab8 16 定b2 \＆e5 17 乌e4 \＆c4，Ki．Geor－ giev－Sax，Warsaw Z 1987．Black has far better piece placement and a significant advantage．

In traditional Queen＇s Gambit fashion，Black shifts his eyes to the kingside and e4；moves such as ．．． $8 \mathrm{~d} 6, \ldots$ \＆ 5 and ．．．9e6－g5 are on the cards．

12 b4（D）


Here we see a conventional minority attack by White designed to weaken Back＇s queenside by b5．That takes a long time，however，so

White also tries to undercut Black＇s kingside advance by establishing the Exchange Variation pawn－structure $\mathrm{g} 4 / \mathrm{f} 3 / \mathrm{h} 3$ and prevent ．．． Q f5 or ．．．®e4．All this is obviously double－edged be－ cause of White＇s own weaknesses．

12．．．a6 13 乌f4 0 g 614 §xg6 hxg6 15 g 4
断 c 2 黄d6

A primitive yet effective attack．

## 20 ©e2 音xg4！

The move that White missed．20．．． $\mathrm{W} \mathrm{h} 2+21$ gig2 is harmless．

## 21 綀c5

White also loses after 21 fxg 4 类h $2+22$ ff





The e－pawn falls，and then the game．
Pliester－Rosten
Isle of Man 1995
5 Df3（D）


## 5．．．c5 6 \＆ d 3 \＆xc3＋

Black wants to fix White＇s c－pawns before setting up a ．．．d6／．．．e5 pawn－structure．He can also play $6 \ldots$ ．．． 6 ，when 7 d5 2 e 7 ！is consid－ ered equal，and $70-0 \mathrm{~d} 5$ will transpose to the main line above（which arose from 5 \＆d3）．In this respect，then， 5 是d3 is more flexible than 5 Qf3．As always，you might want to play a few games before you try to absorb these subtleties．

This is a Hübner Variation pawn－structure， but with Black having castled early．Castling

has cost Black a move in terms of his central re－ action to White＇s strategy and，ironically，it can make his king more exposed by eliminating the option of ．．．0－0－0．Compare the＇Hübner Proper＇below，which goes $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 55$ 配d3 2 c 66 Df3 是xc3＋7 bxc3 d6．In our position White has more options，which is not to say that he stands better．

## 11 勾h h6 12 \＃̈b1

This is an interesting move that again would count for little if Black could still castle queen－ side．Alternatively， $12 \mathrm{~g} 3!$ ？\＆ e 313 亿g2 in－ tending f 4 has also caused Black some trouble； and the fairly conservative 12 f 3 ！？was seen in Gelfand－Short，Dos Hermanas 1997，which con－ tinued $12 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 513$ gf5 $0 x f 514$ exf5．White has a small edge because Black lacks counterplay， although that＇s hardly fatal and with accurate play Black could have equalized later．

12．．．${ }^{\text {昌b8 }} 13$ 㤟 f 3 ！（D）


Here＇s the point．Upon the normal move ．．．$\Delta \mathrm{g} 6$ White will have the reply $\Delta \mathrm{f5}$ ，but Black
has to find another constructive plan in the meantime．

## 

Black＇s play deteriorates a bit but he defi－ nitely has the worse of it．

16 g 4 分h4 17 类g3 2 xf 518 exf5 f6？ 19 f 4 ！



White＇s strategy deserves attention．

## 4．．．c5 and the Hübner Proper

## 4．．．c5（D）

This is the best path to take if you want to end up in the Hübner Variation，which is the subject of this section．


## 5 空d3

Now 5 』e2 gives White some aggressive op－ tions and causes much more trouble for Black than does the position after $4 \ldots 0-05$ 气e2 d5． The extra complications that come with $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ could be a disincentive for Black in practice， but that depends upon specific variations．Here＇s an overview of 5 ゆe2 lines： $5 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 4$（ $5 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 56$ a3 \＆xc3＋7 公xc3 cxd4 8 exd4 dxc4 9 \＆xc4 is satisfactory for Black but not to everyone＇s taste；Black can also play 5 ．．．b6！？ 6 a3 \＆a5， which has traditionally been a sideline but is considered fully playable） 6 exd4 d 5 （ $6 \ldots 0-07$
 lot of theory attached to it，with difficulties for both sides，but perhaps a little more for Black if White really knows what he＇s doing） 7 c 5 ＠e4 8 金d2 $\triangleq \mathrm{xd} 29$ 曹 $\mathrm{xd} 2(D)$ ．

9．．．a5（9．．．0－0 10 a 3 ． e a5！？is also possible）



2c6 14 ©c2 and White gets genuine attacking chances．It just takes some study to catch up with this，especially by White．

## 5．．． 0 c6

5．．．\＆xc3＋ 6 bxc3 \＆c6，trying to get to a Hübner Variation，is a big positional error be－ cause White can play 7 气e 2 ！instead of $7 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ ． Then he is a full tempo ahead of a normal Sämisch position because he skipped a3．

6 亿f3 今xc3＋
Only now does Black play this way，when White＇s knight on f 3 obstructs its own pawns．

7 bxc3 d6（ $D$ ）


This is the＇real＇Hübner Variation，made fa－ mous by Hübner himself，but also by Fischer with his positionally devastating win over Spassky in their world championship match． Black has intentionally＇wasted＇a move by ex－ changing on c 3 without waiting for White to play a3．The point is that he plans ．．．e5，a move that wouldn＇t be particularly attractive if the c－pawns hadn＇t been doubled；e．g．，if White
had ${ }^{2} \mathrm{c} 2$ in before ．．． $\mathrm{exc}^{2}$ ．The irony here is that in the Sämisch Variation White is happy to ＇waste＇the tempo a 3 in order to make his ideas work；whereas in the Hübner Variation，Black does the opposite（wastes a move by not wait－ ing for a3）for much the same reason！

What are the general characteristics of the variation？Black is about to play ．．．e5，so as to partially close the position，and if White plays e4 and d5（a common set－up）then the position is blocked on at least the queenside and in the centre．Therefore we expect more action on the king＇s wing．That explains why Black may not rush to castle，as he did above；he may well play ．．．0－0－0．White may also choose not to castle， according to taste．On the one hand castling gives White an extra move to see what Black is doing．On the other hand，since the closed cen－ tre renders White＇s king safe enough，foregoing $0-0$ can give him an extra tempo to carry out other manoeuvres．

At this point the play therefore splits into 8 $0-0$ and lines in which White plays without（or delays） $0-0$ ．

## Lukacs－Stohl

Austrian Cht 1994

## $80-0$ e5 9 亿d2

A typical reorganization，going for f 4 with－ out blocking off the d3－bishop，but it＇s hard to achieve that．

9．．．0－0（D）


## 10 d 5 包 711 f 3 ！

This is better and more realistic than trying

position is too loose for this move，and he ex－ poses his internal weaknesses on e3 and d3； 12 f 3 was still the better choice）12．．．exf4 13 exf4 Еe8 14 h 3 （versus ．．． Q 4 ） $14 \ldots$ h 5 ！ 15 De4会f5 16 \＆th2 数h4（Black＇s pieces are swarm－ ing all over the place） 17 f6＋（what else？）


 ©g3＋0－1 Spiegel－Mednis，Wattens 1994.

11．．． Q $^{2} 8$
Jakab－K．Szabo，Budapest 2003 went 11．．．h6 12 घf2 ©e8 13 e 4 gg6 14 g 3 with a sound， flexible set－up for both sides．

12 e4 $\mathrm{yg} 613 \mathrm{~g} 3 \mathrm{f5}$ ！（D）


A standard counterattack．White wins e4 but Black activates his pieces against White＇s slightly weakened kingside．He also has an－ other idea．

14 exf5 \＆xf5 15 亿e4！崰d7 16 数c2 $2 f 6!17$ Qxc5！？

White intentionally falls for it．Otherwise pieces are coming off and he＇ll have to suffer a long defence．

## 17．．．定xd3 18 包xd3 光ac8 19 亿b2

Black has a good game for a pawn and espe－ cially after his next move：

19．．．b5！（D）
20 ） g 5
 sure on White＇s weak queenside．

20．．．bxc4 21 㑒xf6 熙xf6 22 畨e4 曹b5 23 Qd1 0 e7？

23．．．粕a5！would completely tie White down．
24 左3＊a5 25 f4！
The only shot：diverting the marauder．


## 25．．．垱xc3 26 fxe5 歯xe5！？

26．．．』xf1 +27 Еxf1 曹xe5 28 断xe5 dxe5 29 d6 Eg 6 is also advantageous but difficult．




Although Black failed to convert his advan－ tage into victory，his opening play is a model treatment．

## White Avoids or Delays 0－0




This is the most direct way：White closes up the centre and proceeds to reorganize his pieces as quickly as possible．

9．．．乌e710 $\subseteq \mathrm{d} 2$（D）
The knight on f 3 is blocking White＇s posi－ tion（compare the Sämisch，where the knight is on e 2 ）．so White gets it out of the way．

B


We look at two games．

## Petrosian－Ivkov <br> Nice OL 1974


A plausible sequence is $12 \ldots$ gh 13 Qe 3
 g3．
㑒d717 ©e4（D）


This looks like a King＇s Indian Defence where White has obtained his usual e4 outpost but Black has been denied his on d4．We＇ve talked about the usefulness of doubled c－pawns in covering key central squares．

Perhaps 18 f4！？could be tried．
18．．．巴ae8 19 f3 0 g 720 g 4 ！（D）


Petrosian typically wants to take the very last squares away from both the g7－and f6－ knights．

20．．．壹a4！？

The right idea，but Black apparently missed White＇s next move，which was probably too simple to see．

Or $22 \ldots . .{ }^{2} \times 3323 \mathrm{axb} 3$ ，when White is poten－ tially better on both sides of the board．White also has an edge after $22 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ！？ 23 cxb5 黄xb5 24 c 4 ．Don＇t forget that he still owns the king－ side！

23 a4 娄c7 24 h3
Now f4 is always a possibility．
24．．．a6？！（D）


He＇s got to play ．．．h6 soon．
25 a5？！
After 25 f 4 ！b5 26 axb5 axb5 27 fxe5 dxe5 28 Ea6！，White has decisively infiltrated Black＇s position．

全c2 ${ }^{\text {Hib6 }} 33$ f4！

The rest is easy．This game illustrates Petro－ sian＇s customary way of playing the slowest possible attack while preventing counterplay．
 h5

36．．．0xe4 37 日xf8＋皃xf8 38 全xe4 and Black can＇t protect the $h$－and c－pawns．
 40 金xc5＋象e8 41 登f11－0

## Knaak－Vaganian <br> Sochi 1980


 10 ็d2

A similar case of running the other way was Hübner－Timman，Tilburg 1981．When Black gets such a great game against the leading pro－ ponent of a defence he must be doing something


 ©f4 with a big advantage．What did Hübner have in mind？
 0－0－0！（D）


Now Black will be safe and doesn＇t have to worry as much about White＇s plans to expand on the kingside．

14 © e 3 h 515 g 3 ？
15 f 3 ！has to be played，to retain the knight．



Now that the smoke has cleared，White has weaknesses and Black has none．So it＇s not hard to see who has the advantage！

18 数c2 登df8 19 登b1 凿c7

This is a typically solid position for Black＇s king when White＇s c－pawns are doubled and can＇t advance to create threats．



This was a good time for $23 \ldots \mathrm{f} 5$ ！with the idea 24 鼻 5 f 4 ！．

## 24 皿e3 数a5？

He really should have played $24 \ldots$ ．．f5！．

The play isn＇t too accurate hereabouts．White can hold tight by 27 f 5 ！©e 728 \＃f1 g6 29 fxg 6



Now White can＇t hold his centre together and his king is exposed．

29 数 2 岂e8 30 exf5？exf4！ 31 金d2 包 532




Finally，we look at another，more flexible move for White．It leads to typically slow ma－ noeuvring，then White comes up with an inge－ nious plan．

## Yusupov－Lalić <br> Erevan OL 1996




White prepares 金e 3 by protecting against ．．．Dg4．

9．．．h6 10 安e3 b6 11 d5 De7 12 dd2g5！？ 13
 $0-0-017$ 类 3 包 8


Lalić offers $17 \ldots$ ．．$勹 f 4!?$ as a possibility．



There it is！This may not get an advantage by force，but White comes up with a definite plan．

19．．． 5 g 720 Ёdh1 登de8 21 全c2！
The idea is $\begin{gathered}\text { wid } \\ \mathrm{d} \\ \text { and } \\ \text { 金a4．So next you＇ll see }\end{gathered}$ White trying to close the kingside（temporarily）．

## 21．．．h5 22 h4 gxh4！

22 ．．．g4 is well met by 23 䊦d1！，because after White plays 全 44 he can return to play f 3 with f －file control．These are typically extended strategies when you play with or against the Hübner．

## 23 gxh4 $\circlearrowright f 424$ 气g2！f5 25 ©xf4 exf4

Instead，25．．．fxe4 26 数xe4 金f5？loses to the


## 26 घal！

White has much the better game．His bishops are simply too strong on the open board and he went on to win．This is an example of how the player with the bishop－pair can afford to be pa－ tient．

## Classical Nimzo－Indian： 4 断c2




This is called the Classical Variation of the Nimzo－Indian．One advantage of 4 龉c2 2 is ob－ vious right away：it prevents doubled pawns！ That is indeed its most important function．But the queen move also threatens the advance e4， which is of course the dream of every I d4 player．Another possibility is that White will develop his dark－squared bishop and clear the
 pieces and pawns can end up on a variety of squares，as needed．He can play pawns to f3 and／or e3，a knight to f 3 ，h3 or e2，and a bishop to $f 4$ or g 5 ．

From Black＇s standpoint there are some en－ couraging factors that put him on an equal foot－ ing．The most important one may well be that 4 Wc2 abandons White＇s protection of the d－ pawn．This shows up in quite a few continua－ tions；for example， 4 ．．．c5 attacks the d－pawn di－ rectly，as does the relatively rare $4 \ldots . \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}} 6$ ．More importantly，when Black plays $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ，he indi－ rectly threatens the d4－pawn，and even should White capture on d 5 ，a queen recapture will still attack that pawn．Since most lines include the move ．．．c5 and／or ．．． 0 c 6 at some point，those moves will gain in effect．Black can also look forward to his usual lead in development that accompanies the Nimzo－Indian，especially if you consider the queen on c2（or c3）as only ＇half－developed＇，since it is subject to attack by Black＇s pieces．Generally Black will get castled
quickly（in fact $4 \ldots 0-0$ is one of his main re－ plies），and variations with an early ．．．d5 are par－ ticularly likely to give him extra pieces out． Naturally，White will argue he has control of the centre and two bishops（in many lines），ma－ jor factors that compensate for Black＇s devel－ opment．He＇d be right．But whether this leads to more than equality is still an open question． Let＇s turn to a few of the many possible contin－ uations that can stem from $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ and $4 \ldots 0-0$ ．

As always I＇m being selective．A great deal of theory surrounds the move 4 ．．．c5，for exam－ ple，and $4 \ldots$ ．．． 6 has a long history behind it．I should note，however，that 4．．．b6？！lets White occupy the centre by 5 e4！in a way that is more favourable than 4 wisc $20-05$ e4 below．It can＇t be recommended．

Central Counter－Attack：4．．．d5



This is the most direct and one of the two most important replies to 4 溇c2．In many ways it is the most logical．As indicated above，White＇s queen has abandoned defence of d 4 ，so the threat of ．．．dxc4 is a serious one．If you think in terms of the Queen＇s Gambit Declined，it seems aw－ fully early for White to have his queen on c2．In fact，the first thing that we＇ll look at can turn into the equivalent of the QGD Exchange Variation， $5 \mathrm{cxd5}$ ．That can lead to a quiet game or a com－ plex tactical game depending upon what the players want．We＇ll get an overview of the mate－ rial by looking at instructive games，which as usual are mainly aimed at strategy and less at bringing you up to date with theory．

## 5 cxd5

I shall just give an outline of the alternative 5 a3 金xc3＋6类xc3．Much of its theory has be－ come a matter pure analysis，heavy on tactics． Black has a wide choice over the next few moves；for example，recently $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ has gained a lot of attention，intending 7 dxc 5 d 4 ，and if 8世幽g3，either the double gambit $8 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{c} 6$ ！？with the idea 9 嶿xg7 Eg8 or simply 8．．．0－0 9 是h6 De8．Modern chess is full of all these dynamic counterattacks．

Instead 6．．． 047 数c2 $(D)$ has produced the most analysed position of the 4 数c2 Nimzo－ Indian：


Here are mere snippets that give an idea of the complexities that await you if White chooses to go that route：
气 f5 $11 \mathrm{~b} 40-0$（ $11 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ ！？has been played in some big－name games） 12 金b2 気e8（the main alternatives are $12 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ and $12 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6!?$ ，when 13
 sacrifice；Cox draws attention to 13 数a 4 ！in－
 and ．．．a5 might follow，but for now White seems to stand better） 13 娄b3（13 哩d1 b6！throws ev－ erything into turmoil） $13 \ldots .05$ ！？ 14 凿d1 9 c 4 15 镂d4，Bareev－Zhang Zhong，Beersheba 2005， and it seems that White has the advantage．Now all you have to do is memorize all the side－ variations and check the latest improvements！
b）Kasparov－Adams，Izmir ECC 2004 tested umpteen moves of theory in the infamous line 7．．． Dc $^{\mathrm{c}} 68 \mathrm{e} 3$ e $59 \mathrm{cxd5} 5$（Cox has recently brought Sokolov＇s suggestion of 9 f 3 to the fore；it might well lead to a positional advantage for

White，but has barely been tested） $9 \ldots$ ．．． U xd5 10




16 g3（a position that has arisen with amaz－ ing frequency over the years；I＇ll skip the outra－ geously deep analysis and follow the game）



 Great stuff，but involving 50 years in develop－ ment and countless alternative paths that you may or may not want to master．

There are many fascinating opening varia－ tions whose existence is tactically based in the extreme and require many very specific moves to stay viable at all．It seems to me that when such variations survive a few decades of prac－ tice and fend off attempts at refutation，they will continue to defy other threats that come up later．That is，at some point we can almost guar－ antee that the latest＇refutation＇will lead to a satisfactory counter，however improbable，that preserves the line from extinction or even disad－ vantage．Some primary examples are the Dragon and Poisoned Pawn variations of the Sicilian Defence（as well as perhaps the Sveshnikov）， and the Marshall Attack of the Ruy Lopez． Others might include the black side of various main lines of the Exchange Grünfeld，the 7 幽g4 Winawer French，the Four Pawns Attack main lines versus the Alekhine Defence，and this last Nimzo－Indian line above（beginning with 10 ．．．營 $\mathrm{a} 5+$ or thereabouts）．In some of these cases（and I＇m sure there are more），White may be able to avoid the variation in question and
gain some advantage before the fireworks be－ gin，but he can＇t do so in the main lines．This is merely a proposition，and there may prove to be exceptions，but to me it indicates that a funda－ mental dynamic balance exists in some opening variations that cannot be overcome even by the most ingenious ideas．

We now return to the position after 5 cxd5 （D）：


This is an odd place to put a diagram as you＇d think that it would go after the recapture 5 ．．．exd5．But there are two absolutely legiti－ mate moves here：
A：5．．．刿xd5；
B：5．．．exd5．
A）
5．．．翻xd5（D）

W


Popularized by Romanishin，this has been a major line for at least 15 years．

6 © 0

Feeling dissatisfied with this simple knight development，White sometimes turns to 6 e 3 ， preparing 7 忩d2．Black replies $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ！（D）．


Black has to break up the centre immediately． This resembles the Chigorin Queen＇s Gambit line 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 公c6 $3 \mathrm{cxd5}$ 然xd54 4 e 3 e 55合 c 3 金b4 6 㑒d2 全xc3 7 金xc3．

After 6．．．c5！，the play can continue 7 昷d2

 ity，Kasparov－Anand，New York PCA Wch（2）



Again，we have the bishop－pair versus rapid development．In these situations Black has to play actively，because given time the bishop－ pair will be a decisive force．Here＇s a model treatment about how to maintain the initiative in a lifeless－looking position： 12 a3 ev ！（among other things Black would like to play ．．．eb5） 13登d1 We5 14 数b3！？Ded5 15 全d2（Gavrilov analyses 15 金d4 数5 $5+16$ 分d2 金c6 17 昷e2
$0-018$ 娄d3 a6！） $15 \ldots$ 数b6！ 16 数xb6 公xb6 17 De5（ 17 b 3 ！？tries to stop ．．．皿a4 or ．．．巳a4，but Black is quicker：17．．0e4 18 © a5 ©d5 19

 equality，Zakhartsov－Gavrilov，Vladimir 2004.

## 6．．．㧘f5

This move broke onto the scene in the early 1990s when Romanishin used it successfully． Initially，opinion was largely negative，because it seemed that Black was weakening his pawn－ structure by allowing 7 䊦xf5，while actually losing time doing so！The nature of the subse－ quent play has had influence upon chess theory as a whole，in that the resulting pawn－structure now appears in several new opening variations．

## 7 娄xf5

a）For a while，players were trying the un－ likely－looking retreat 7 wivedl！？．It doesn＇t help White＇s development，but he would like to gain his time back by e3 and $\hat{\text { e d }} 3$ ．Black can strike first by 7 ．．．e5！intending 8 xe5 0 e4 or 8 dxe5
 with roughly equal play．Black＇s idea is alive and well at the time of writing．
b） 7 西 $\mathrm{b} 3!?(D)$ is also slow but keeps the central structure intact and hits b4．


We＇ll follow the game Dreev－Bareev，Elista 1998：7．．． 9 c 6 ！？ 8 g 3 （White continues his tac－ tic of controlling key central squares，but again at the loss of time a fianchetto involves；he could also play moves such as 8 ed $2,8 \mathrm{e} 3$ and 8 a3）8．．．0－0 9 金g2 e5！（still attempting to dis－ turb the equilibrium in quick－hitting style；al－ though it looks silly，even $9 \ldots$ ．．．曹d5！？is possible） 10 d5！？（after 10 dxe5 贯e6！Black gains time
too quickly） $10 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{ec} 3+11 \mathrm{bxc} 3$＠a5！（for the next few moves，Black＇s control of the light squares takes centre stage） 12 wa4 b6 13 Qd2 粬h5！（preventing castling） 14 h 3 点d7 15
整c3 was the best chance to avoid what follows）





 $0-1$ ．Black＇s sustained initiative kept the bish－ ops in check．

7．．．exf5（D）


At first this may make a strange impression， but when you get used to the many openings in which Black is playing with doubled f－pawns these days it won＇t surprise you．Black＇s basic idea is that White＇s 2：0 central majority is re－ strained by the c－and f－pawns，so it simply won＇t be able to expand．In particular a rook on e8 renders the advance e4 on White＇s part ex－ tremely unlikely．

We aren＇t looking at many queenless middle－ games in this book，partly because the opening features can disappear so quickly．In this case it＇s different：the central pawn－structure often remains the same well into the middlegame， and we get to see the minor pieces＇relative worth．Black＇s strategy is to present a compact centre with reasonably active pieces．White＇s is to use his space advantage（and，sometimes，the bishop－pair）．

## 8 a3

Now Black has a choice of retreats．

Gagunashvili－N．Pedersen Vlissingen 2004

## 8．．．全e79 㑒f4

Another try is 9 b4 c6 10 e 3 0－0 11 是d3 g6
毋5b6 16 صc5 $\triangleq \mathrm{xc} 517$ bxc5 $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 5$ ，Arlandi－ Romanishin，Turin 1998．Black＇s strongpoint， supported by the doubled pawn and（poten－ tially）a bishop on e6，gives him close to equal－ ity，but White has space and a small advantage．

9．．．c6 10 e3 $\triangleq$ bd7 11 㑒d3 5 b6 120 0－0
White must be a little better with his space advantage．

12．．．0－0 13 聯fc1 㑒e6 14 分d2 थh5 16 b4 $थ \times \mathrm{xg} 317 \mathrm{hxg} 3$（ $D$ ）

B


When one side is conducting a classical mi－ nority attack like this，knights can be at least as useful as bishops．Nevertheless，Black should wait to see how White will make progress．In most cases b5 can be answered by ．．．c5，or even ．．．cxb5．So White needs to use piece－play in－ stead；e．g．，巴ֻabl and $\sum_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{b} 3$ ．In the game，Black tries to compromise White＇s pawn－structure：

## 17．．．a5！？

White can be satisfied after 17．．．g6 18 登abl \＆g 7 19 气e2 intending ©b3－c5／a5．

This lands Black in trouble．Accurate play is

 and Black is under slight pressure．The good news for him is that White＇s $\triangleq$ xe6 will achieve little by itself．


and White won material and the game．But as for the variation in general，it＇s likely that with good defence Black can keep his disad－ vantage to a minimum．

Dreev－Short
Reykjavik（rapid） 2004
8．．．ed6（D）
w


9 金g5
White can also play the natural and obvious 9 bb5！？，gaining the bishop－pair；e．g．，9．．．莤e6 10 e 3 Фc6 11 曾d2 a6 12 乌xd6＋cxd6 13 宣d3 Qe7（D）．


This is Timman－Yusupov，Frankfurt（rapid） 1998．We have a picture of what＇s at the heart of ．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eff } 5: ~ B l a c k ~ h a s ~ a n ~ i s o l a t e d ~ d-p a w n ~ a n d ~ d o u-~\end{aligned}$ bled f－pawns whereas White has the bishop－ pair．But both the d－pawn and f5－pawn prevent incursions，and Black will undoubtedly be able to exchange off a bishop．White has seldom
won such a position at the high levels of play， and indeed Timman－Yusupov was drawn．
金e6 13 g4 h6 14 gxf5 全xf5 15 全h4 挡d7

Here White should have simply developed， rather than play 16 f3？！exf3 17 e4？部8，when Black was distinctly better．

B）
5．．．exd5（ $D$ ）


Although 5．．． 4 wd 5 comes close to equality， the positions are a bit difficult to handle．It＇s also hard to imagine Black winning many games versus relatively strong opposition．That being the case，many players on all levels have used the older recapture with the pawn．This introduces an Exchange Queen＇s Gambit structure wherein Black＇s bishop on b4 can be either an advantage or a disadvantage．Indeed，the Queen＇s Gambit analogy continues after White＇s next move．

## 6 宣g5 h6

This poses White a stark decision between a purely positional effort（which Black may nevertheless counter actively）and a variation that has produced spectacular tactical strug－ gles on a regular basis．We＇ll look at both ap－ proaches：

## The Positional Line

## 

We have a standard Nimzo－Indian trade－ off：Black is going to castle way before White （maybe next move），but White has pressure down the c－file and the better bishop（his pawns will be on e3 and d4）．As usual，White＇s

long－term advantages，which include a minor－ ity attack，must be countered by Black＇s activ－ ity，customarily on the kingside and in the centre．To help with that，Black has a lead in development，at least in terms of being ready to castle and bring pieces out rapidly．On the other hand，he lacks a dark－squared bishop to place on d6 as part of his attack．These factors make the variation instructive and attractive to both sides．It has numerous themes，including those dealing with the minority attack in a pure form．

Petrosian－Botvinnik<br>Moscow Wch（1） 1963

## 9．．．c6 10 e3（D）

This is the Carlsbad pawn－formation，which pops up in a number of d－pawn openings but es－ pecially the Queen＇s Gambit Declined，where it is discussed in detail．By playing ．．．c6，Black has acceded to the pure form of White＇s minor－ ity attack by b4－b5 in order to solidify d5．The solid－looking 10 Øf3！？allows Black to place his bishop on f 5 where he wants it，without be－ ing challenged by White（see the next game）． On the plus side， 2 e 5 might follow．

10．．．0－0 11 ゆe2 Be e ！？
Since White wants to play $\triangleq g 3$ ，Black de－ cides not to commit his bishop to f5 yet．

12 气g 3
Not 12 居4？？$x f 4$ ．But 12 b4 would initi－ ate the queenside attack and ask Black where he＇s putting his pieces．Finally， 12 صcl！？with the idea of $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 3$（probably prefaced by 金e2） has been suggested．It would place the knight optimally at the cost of time and development．


## 12．．．g6！

With the idea of ．．．h5－h4，a plan that arises whenever the knight is on g3．Still，for once Black has no lead in development and if White consolidates，his queenside attack will take over．

13 f3？
This move，exposing the light squares，is too loosening．Ironically，Botvinnik once lost by making a similar move in the Sämisch Varia－ tion with an early ${ }^{\text {昷g5xf6，as you can see by }}$ looking back to that section．

13 企d3！h5 14 断 $\mathrm{c} 2!(D)$ is a much better idea．

 the idea of gxh3 and 0－0－0 with a terrific attack） 15 h 3 ）f8！（a nice reorganization that leaves Black in fair shape） $160-0 \mathrm{~h} 417$ ee2 0 e 618 b 4 a6 19 a 4 皿d7 20 島abl！（White doesn＇t consoli－ date by 20 b5 due to $20 \ldots$ axb5 21 axb5 ${ }^{\text {Exal }} 22$
 Beliavsky－Balashov，Minsk 1983，and now 22 ©f4 is very probably best，to protect White＇s
kingside and prepare the minority attack with b5．White should have some advantage in that case，although it＇s not much．

13．．．h5 14 皿e2 ©d7 15 宮f2
$150-0 \mathrm{~h} 416$ h 1 寝 5 ！forces a response to the attack on e3；for example， 17 e4 ©f6！ 18 e5 Qh5 and White has difficulties untangling his pieces．

15．．．h4 16 仓f1 Øf8 17 气d2金 f 5 （ $D$ ）

W


What is White＇s plan？The opening is past and we can only assess it as a smashing success for Black．

This backwards move intends ．．．थh5，and it does give Black a distinct advantage．Never－ theless，Ripperger gives analysis to show that 21．．．$勹 \mathrm{~g} 5$ ！ 22 室g1 宣xh3！ 23 gxh3 $0 x h 3+$ leads to a winning game．That might be diffi－ cult to play in the very first game of a world championship match！



24．．．Dg3？！
$24 . . . \mathrm{me}$ ！is better．
25 的g1！
250 xg 3 ？娄xg3＋and 26 gfl 数h 2 or 26


## 

Petrosian＇s defence is effective as usual，and White has put things together nicely in the face of severe pressure．Only his mistake on move 30 negates this hard work．

27．．．穿e7 28 曾b3 g5 29 金d1 金g6 30 g 4 ？


 $0-1$

Seirawan－Portisch
Rotterdam 1989
9．．．0－0！（D）


As we shall see，spending a tempo on ．．．c6 may not always be the best idea．

10 e3
$10 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ gives Black the chance to develop ideally：10．．．${ }^{\text {eff5 }} 11$ e3（not 11 蒌xc7？©c6！ 12 e3 ${ }^{\text {g fc8 }} 8$ and Black has way too much attack） $11 . .0 \mathrm{~d} 712$ 全e2 c5！ $130-0 \mathrm{c} 4$ ，Boleslavsky－ Borisenko，USSR Ch（Moscow）1950．This is an idea to remember：White can＇t effectively expand on the queenside in the face of Black＇s activity；for example， 14 b3 b5 15 a4 b4！ 16畨xb4

## 10．．．全f5！

Skipping ．．．c6 is very useful unless White can capture on c7 or attack the f5－bishop．

11 De2
$11 \ldots$ © 7 ！（ $D$ ）is the most active approach which（as the game demonstrates）is what Black needs：

迷 xd 5 手 xb 2 is very risky for White；these ac－ tive lines are exactly what he＇s trying to avoid）
 dxc5？！（14 金d3 挡g4 $150-0 \mathrm{c} 4$ ！）14．．．d4！ 15

 Pau 1988．Black＇s advantage would be within


 want to play White？

12 ©g $3!$ ？
Or：
a） 12 分 $47!\mathrm{c} 5!(\mathrm{D})$ ．


White can＇t ever allow this move without getting something valuable in return，especially when he is undeveloped． 13 dxc 5 （13 0xd5
 noi－Beliavsky，USSR Ch（Moscow）1973；and here $15 \ldots$ ．．．e6！makes White＇s weak queenside the issue．
b）A better plan for White is 12 』d 9 d 713 ©f4！c6！？（Black should also think about open－

 best a small edge，Shabalov－Dzhandzhgava， Riga 1988.

12．．．董e6？！
A passive retreat．Every long－term element favours White，as Seirawan so brilliantly dem－ onstrates．Therefore Black needs to disturb the equilibrium and look towards immediate coun－ terplay：
a）12．．．c5？！falls a bit short after 13 ©xf5
 allows White the startling trick 16 cxb6！Exc3 17 b7．Instead，14．．．a5 15 盘d3 曹e6 is prefera－ ble，but this is positionally suspect after $160-0$ b6 17 塭 c 2 ！包 xc 518 幽d3 g6 19 b 4 。
b） $12 \ldots$ 金g6！is best because Black keeps his bishop on its most influential diagonal and will achieve either ．．．c5 or ．．．h5．For example， 13 b4
 the initiative．

## 13 b4！

White＇s ideal set－up：he doesn＇t have to worry much about the kingside and Black has few op－ tions on his queenside．




## 17．．．敬d6

Seirawan got a similar advantage against Tal in Nikšić 1983：17．．．©c6 18 b5 © dd $190-0$ c5

20 bxc6 bxc6 21 压c1 金d7 22 峟a5；Black is in terrible shape positionally．
 （D）


21．．．b6？！
 have to defend for ages，and a well－timed e4 could pose big problems．

## 22 曾xd6 cxd6

From now on White is in charge．Notice the opening of a second front that is almost always necessary to win a game if the defender pas－ sively protects his weaknesses on his vulnera－ ble side of the board．













## Uncompromising Attack

After all that technical material，we turn to 7食h4，which signals a disinclination to sim－ plify．White generally gets his wish in that re－ spect．

## 7 曾h4c5（D）

This must be considered one of the most im－ portant variations stemming from $4 \omega \mathrm{w}$ c2 d5，

particularly in the main line 7 宣h 4 c 58 dxc 5 g5，etc．Like its counterpart 5 a3 客xc3＋6 Wxc3，correct play is usually dependent upon lengthy analysis in positions of mad disarray， and should only be used by those who are either very well－prepared or know full well that their opponents，by virtue of their playing strength or habits，couldn＇t know as much as they do！I have to say that this is a wonderfully entertaining variation whose tactics are of an original na－ ture．Since it is of such a forcing and heavily－ analysed nature，however，I＇ll only provide two revealing examples．

Keres－Botvinnik<br>Leningrad／Moscow 1941

We＇ll start with this famous game in order to show that from the very beginning White had to face dynamic counterattacks in this variation． That continues right up to the present．

8 0－0－0？
We＇ll see the modern 8 dxc 5 next．

## 8．．．全xc3！ 9 慗xc3

Perhaps this move is wrong already！ 9 昷xf6兽xb2＋！gives Black some advantage，but less than in the game．

## 

Now White loses a tempo and is exposed to a vicious attack down the c－file．The result isn＇t

 Black is winning，Lukin－Estrin，USSR corr．Ch 1960－3．

11．．．台c6 12 数a4金f5！ 13 e3 \＃c8 14 金d3？
A better chance was offered by Botvinnik＇s suggestion 14 气e2！a6（but $14 \ldots 0-0$ ！ 15 §c3

Qe4 looks very strong） 15 号 3 b5 16 暑xa6（16斯b3！？）16．．．b4 17 e4！盖xe4 18 全b5．

14．．．㟶d7！（D）


One move and it＇s over！The knight is un－ pinned and threatens ．．． $9 \mathrm{~b} 4+$ ．

15 \＆



As Botvinnik says，＂White＇s kingside pieces took no part in the game＂．This is a comprehen－ sible and analytically limited contest，which one cannot say about the variation that follows．

The Modern Line
8 dxe5（ $D$ ）


As indicated before，this position can and usually does lead to outlandishly complicated positions that are mostly the result of massive home analysis．There＇s nothing wrong with that －think of the Poisoned Pawn Najdorf Sicilian
or Botvinnik Variation of the Semi－Slav－but there＇s really very little for me to explain or suggest except that you hit the books，comput－ ers，or whatever scheme you might have for studying／learning．To give you a flavour of the action，I＇ll squeeze some positions into a sam－ ple game that follow the most popular and criti－ cal variations．

## R．Ibrahimov－Mamedyarov Baku 2006

## 8．．．g59 余g3 ©e4 10 e3


 goes wrong in the complications；instead， 14 e 3 is a risky winning try，while White can also try to bail out with the forcing line $14 \triangle \mathrm{f} 3$ ！？Db1！

 lows with a small edge） $14 \ldots$ ．．． e 415 凱cl
幽xh8＋did7 0－1 I．Sokolov－Aronian，Turin OL 2006．A treacherous line，as we can see by the fact that a game between such powerful players lasted less than 20 moves．

10．．．紫 a 511 De2 䀂f5（ $D$ ）


The basic position．What follows is repre－ sentative of some recurring tactical ideas．

## 12 余 5

Who knows what＇s happening in lines like 12 室xb8！？気xb8 $13 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 4$ ？Only the experts．．． maybe．You may get a feel for the nature of the tactics by 13 ．．．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{d} 7!$ ？（not a very intuitive move，
 problems in Dreev－Zhang Zhong，Moscow
（Russia vs China）2004；best play is then 15．．．整xc5 \｛15．．．©xc3 16 0－0！\} $160-0$ with some advantage to White） 14 Db3！全xc3＋15
 Da4，I．Sokolov－Van Wely，Wijk aan Zee 2005. A difficult position to assess，but in any case close to equality．

## 12．．．0－0 13 亿 d 4 䓝e8！？

This may be the most important move of all． Maybe ten years from now we＇ll know some－ thing definitive．
$13 . ., 0 \mathrm{xc} 3$ has been the most explored alter－ native；here are a few samples：
a） 14 曹xf5 气e4＋ 15 dis dl！？（Emms sug－ gests 15 雪e2 全xc5！？ 16 乌b3 世 Wa6＋ 17 事f3
 hxg5 ©g4 19 Exh6，Bu Xiangzhi－Sargisian， Moscow 2006，and here 19．．． fc 8 ！is strong．


 mega－attack，Law－Ward，London 1994； 16


旬d3愠xd422是xe4dxe423h4 当xc5 24 曹xe4曹d2 25 气xf7＋宵g7 0－1 Devereaux－Emms， British League（4NCL）2004／5．Very nice．

We now return to 13．．．巴e8（D）：

## 14 宣xb8

 $16 \triangleq g 4$ 国e6，when 17 萓d3 may be one of those fortunate moves that is effective for no logical reason．

## 14．．．生xc3！？

Giving up a piece．Some simplification took place in Atalik－Short，Sarajevo 2004：14．．．宣g6


 20 崰xe2 挡xcl 21 宣f5！with equality．
 18 f3

18 g 4 ！looks clever，cementing the super－ knight．Maybe $13 \ldots . . \Delta x \mathrm{c} 3$ is best after all？

## 18．．．曹xf5 19 fxe4

19 a 3 looks like the best try．The great thing about Black＇s combination is that it develops so slowly and without a great deal of material re－ maining．

19．．．dxe4 20 金d6 䜿ed8 21 気 e 2 （D）

B


21．．．



That＇s what＇real chess＇looks like in the sharp theoretical lines！

## Classical with 4．．．0－0

4．．．0－0（D）


By choosing this move，Black refuses to commit to a plan and waits to decide upon his choice of ．．．d5，．．．c5，or ．．．b6．Rather than cover the tens of subvariations that can follow，I＇ll give a few examples of a fairly recent and the－ matic continuation，followed by a brief look at the＇main line＇．

## Central Occupation

5 e 4 （D）


Talk about a fundamental challenge to $4 \ldots 0-0$ ！ White simply takes over the centre．This had been condemned for years and designated an error by some sources；then someone decided that it might be OK to suffer a little as long as he could win the battle of control over vitally important central turf．As of this writing 5 e 4 has been doing about as well as any other varia－ tion in the Nimzo－Indian．It is so committal， however，that I wouldn＇t be shocked if it were ＇solved＇in the sense of giving Black a clear
path to equality or even better．Whatever hap－ pens，however，an examination of 5 e 4 must be worth it，if only for the average player to under－ stand why such a natural move hasn＇t always been one of White＇s main choices and why it has become one．We＇ll first look at 5．．．d6，a re－ sponse that Black has used to avoid the most critical lines，and then $5 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ，directly challeng－ ing the centre．For the curious，other moves such as 5 ．．．c5 seem playable．

## The Slow Line

## 5．．．d6（D）

Apparently unchallenging，Black＇s simple move has its strengths．Much as in other varia－ tions of the Nimzo－Indian，he wants to block－ ade the big centre in order to attack it later．

W


## 6 a3

a）The logical alternative 6 息d3 avoids dou－ bled pawns，but anything that doesn＇t support d 4 allows Black to transform the pawn－structure to his liking：6．．．e5！ 7 \＆） 2 ！？（ 7 a3！是xc3＋8 bxc3 transposes to our main game； 7 d 5 allows for a variety of choices，since the c 5 －square has opened up for Black＇s bishop on b4 or his knight on b8；e．g．，7．．．ゆa6 8 a3 全xc3＋9䊦xc3 Qc5 10 f 3 Qh5 intending ．．．曹h4＋and／or ．．．f5） 7．．．exd4 80 xd 4 ．This position was contested as far back as the 1930s．Black can continue
 12 娄b3 $\triangleq \mathrm{b} 6$ with complications．Or he can

 pensation．Even 8．．．Dc6 9 －xc6 bxc6 has been tried with reasonable prospects．One other thing
to note is that Black can retreat to c 5 with his bishop in all these lines，especially after a3，and have satisfactory play．
b） 1 should mention that 6 e5！？is also played，when White＇s centre isn＇t as vulnerable as it looks．6．．．dxe5 7 dxe5 $\triangleq \mathrm{g} 48$ 乌f3 $\mathrm{cc}^{\mathrm{c} 6} 9$ Qf4 or 9 a 3 can follow，with complex play that 1 won＇t pursue here．

## 6．．．㑒xc3＋7 bxc3 e5

We have a Sämisch Variation in which Black has played ．．．e5，with the important difference that White has played e4 in one jump．On the other hand the move 䫜c2 isn＇t necessarily that useful，and the advanced centre is potentially ex－ posed．The resulting strategies can vary wildly． To begin with，Black threatens ．．．exd4 followed by ．．．$勹 x e 4$ ，so White＇s next move is natural：

8 气 e 3 （ $D$ ）


Here the main moves are 8．．2c6 and 8．．．c5， for which 1 shall give game examples．

Black＇s other continuation is $8 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 69 \mathrm{e} 2$全b7100－0 into playing d 5 ．This is a traditional way for Black to proceed in the Nimzo－Indian，but White doesn＇t have to oblige（perhaps having the queen on c2 is worthwhile after all！）： 11
 Qd7！？ 15 f5 0 gf8 16 f6！（a trick that keeps

 attack in Leitão－Urday，Americana 1997.

> Short - Karpov
> Dortmund 1997

8．．．巳c6 9 Øe2 b6 100－0 宣a6

Standard stuff．Black lines up to attack c4．



## 13 c 5 ！？

Although highly praised，this advance does have the problem that it will inevitably open the c－file and expose White＇s weaknesses．On the positive side，White staves off material loss and weakens Black＇s centre． 13 类a2 with the idea $\pm a d 1$ and／or m 3 is another approach．

## 13．．． ．c4？！

Black might do better with $13 \ldots$ ．．．．exd3！ 14幽xd3 dxc5！（14．．．exd4？ 15 cxd4 bxc5 16 dxc5
 big advantage）．Then 15 dxe 5 looks good at first for White due to his kingside pawn－mass．But Black can move his knight and count upon long－

 hard to assess but should be OK for Black．



16．．．点h8

16．．．余xd3 17 宸 xd 30 c 4 wins c 4 but is too slow： 18 fxe5 dxe5（18．．． 0 xe3 19 exd6） 19 宣h6！．



## 18．．．f6

Regardless of what follows，we can say that White has won the opening．Short anticipated

 Exf3，winning．At this point we＇re seeing one of those positions in which the knight on a5，the strongest minor piece on the board if Black breaks through on the queenside，is the weakest when attention turns kingside．The game re－ mains complicated．I＇ll present it with a mini－ mum of notes．

19 宣f2 b5 20 宣g3 a6 21 h4！？宣f7？！ 22
㑒e6 26 f5 gxf5 27 气xf5 气g8！？
 \＆${ }^{\text {Q }} 6$.

## 28 公xd6！

Now White is winning，although it still takes accuracy．

 Dbc4 35 h5 幽g7 36 wh4



A nice finishing touch．There＇s nothing like two bishops and a passed pawn．

Ivanisević－Nisipeanu
Istanbul Ech 2003
8．．．c5！？9 亿e2 气c6 10 d 5 乌e7（D）


## 11 f3！？

This has become a typical Hübner Variation structure（see that section），but with a knight on e2．White＇s most pointed strategy is to counter Black＇s typical development by playing 11 $\Delta \mathrm{g} 3$ ；for example，11．．．$勹 \mathrm{~g} 6$ ！ 12 Df5 $\sum \mathrm{f} 413$ $0-0$ 笽xf5！？ 14 exf5崰xd3 e4 17 fxe4 0 xe4 18 f6！，again with the idea $18 \ldots$ ．． $0 \times 619$ 昷g5．Of course this is just a sample out of scores of continuations；the flexi－ bility of strategies by both sides is an attractive feature of such positions．

11．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{g} 612 \mathrm{~h} 4$ 乌h5！？（D）


## 13 g 3 ？

The idea is to stop either knight from coming to f 4 ，but Black finds an ingenious rejoinder． Much better would be $13 \mathrm{~g} 4 \varrho \mathrm{hf} 414 \mathrm{~h} 5 \triangleq \mathrm{~g} 2+$
塭xf4 exf4 19 e5！，when White has ideas of崰e4 and e6．

```
13...b5!! (D)
14 cxb5 c4! 15 且xc4 f5
```



Black has a promising kingside attack，his amazing moves ．．．b5 and ．．．c4 serving to clear the way to White＇s weakened kingside pawns．

16 宣g5 数b6 17 exf5 䀂xf5 18 㐘d2
Emms gives the line 18 亘d3 宣xd3 19 垙xd3 e4！ 20 fxe $4 \triangleq$ ， 5 ，when White is three pawns up but he＇ll be lucky to survive．

## 18．．．e4！ 19 f4 玉ac8

Black has taken over．White＇s next move is a bit desperate，but it＇s hard to find a good one：
 Exf5 $23 \mathrm{g4}$（ D ）


23．．．ひ̈xg5！ 24 fxg5 0 hf4 25 a 4 Ёxc $26 \mathrm{a5}$ 프 2227 b6 $0 \mathrm{~g} 2+0-1$

There follows 28 承d1 ©e3＋ 29 我el De5 and checkmate．

## Challenging the Centre

5．．．d5（D）
This starts a direct assault on White＇s broad centre，leading to a set of critical variations that

have to be assessed on an individual basis．It＇s surprising that White can allow Black to de－ velop so quickly with threats；after all，he only has two pieces out and is far from castling． White＇s contention is that his central advantage will overcome temporary tactical and develop－ mental difficulties．There are many paths to consider here；I won＇t begin to try to cover all the complexities of 5．．．d5，but will follow what currently seems to be the main line：

6 e 5 分e47a3
7 皿d3 c5 8 a 3 会xc3＋9 bxc3 transposes but is less forcing．

7．．．金xc3＋8 bxc3c59 全d3（D）


A key position．We look at two games．The－ ory is exploding in this line，so they are merely examples．

Vallejo Pons－Schandorff St Vincent ECC 2005

$9 . . . c x d 410$ cxd4 岂a5＋ 11 刍f1 全d7！？

Another course is $11 \ldots$ ．．． 6 ；for example， 12
 16宣c2，Pogorelov－An．Rodriguez，Calvia open 2004；now $16 \ldots$ ．．．娄a6！is extremely complicated．



Shariyazdanov－Pikula，Biel 2002 had gone 16 h 4 ！？§d7 17 ฮّ h 3 ，and here $17 \ldots$ Eaf8！ 18 $\Delta \mathrm{g} 3 \mathrm{~m} 6 \mathrm{f}$ ！is strong，with the idea 19 xe4？？当f5．



18 h3
Vallejo Pons analyses 18 分xe4 崰f5 19 f 3崰g6 20 h 4 苗xf3！ 21 h 5 䊦g4 22 包f2 崰f5 23滞xf5 Exf5 with approximate equality．

## 

This position is called unclear by Vallejo Pons．The game was ultimately drawn．

> Vallejo Pons - Leko
> Morelia/Linares 2006

## 9．．．新 510 De2

Euwe tried 10 全xe4 versus Muhring in Jo－

 pleasant for Black．This is the sort of evidence that got 5 e 4 discarded in the first place．

## $10 . . . c x d 411$ cxd5

$110-0 \mathrm{dxc} 312$ 皿e3 ©c6 13 cxd5 exd5 14 f 3 Dd2 15 安xh7＋宴h8 16 全xd2 cxd2 was good for Black in Kelečević－Abramović，Yugoslavia 1984．Again，this was well before contempo－ rary players began to look into 5 e4 in earnest．

11．．．exd5 12 f 3 气xc3 13 包xd4 厷e4＋14受 $\mathbf{e} 2$（D）


## 14．．．f5！ 15 金e3

Kasparov suggests another crazy line： 15 e 6 ！


 Whether any or all of this is correct，it shows what fantastic play is hidden in this variation．

15．．．$\triangle \mathrm{c} 6$ ？？（ $D$ ）
15．．． D d 7 ！？both threatens the pawn on e5 and has ．．．©dc5 in mind．One line would be 16

 with compensation．Who knows what＇s hap－ pening in such a position？It＇s refreshing to have so much unknown territory．


## 16 公xc6 bxe6 17 \＃̈hc1？！

The best idea seems to be 17 Еacl！崮b8 18 Ëhdl，bringing every piece to the defence．

Or 19．．．c5！？ 20 最xc5 全e6．
 （D）


With two extra pawns and an attack，Black is winning．Only capturing the knight on e 4 gives White any hope，but then he opens lines against his own king．




暒f8 0－1

## Modern Line：Going for the Two Bishops


Needless to say，there are alternatives；e．g．． the gambit $6 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ！？ $7 \mathrm{cxb5} 5 \mathrm{c} 6$ ！tries to dominate the light squares by ．．． $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{b} 7$ in conjunction with opening lines and accelerated development．


## 7 \＆ g 5

White plays his most ambitious move，put－ ting his bishop outside a potential pawn－centre with e 3 and fighting directly for e 4 ．

## 7．．．．突b7（D）

There is a considerable body of theory and practice behind the move $7 \ldots$ ．．．a6，although it is still not considered as important as the simple fianchetto．


In grandmaster games in which 4 䋡c2 is played，this position is reached more often than any other．For the most part the variations turn technical，however，which dampens the interest of many lower－level players．I＇ll give a couple of games to indicate what both sides may be after．

## Kasparov－Timman <br> Linares 1993

## 8 f3

There＇s a logic to this move that goes beyond enforcing e4，namely，that control of the square itself lies behind most of White＇s strategies in this variation．For example，apart from 8 f 3 ， White sometimes plays $8 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ and then $9 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$ ， as in the next game．Or，in other lines，a knight will come to c 3 via e2．White does have one other idea that is specifically connected with 8 f3：his knight can develop to h3 and then per－ haps f2．But this highlights a negative aspect to White＇s whole approach：he is still a long way from developing his kingside．

8．．．d5！？（D）
Black employs the easiest idea，which is to challenge White＇s centre before it becomes mo－ bile．But $8 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ！is helpful in several lines，since after 9 最h4 it takes the bishop away from the queenside and centre，in particular e3．There＇s also a tactical point to forcing the bishop back， as seen in the note to move 10 ．


## 9 e3 Qbd7

These last two moves have become so cus－ tomary that（ignoring the omission of ．．．h6 for the moment）the majority of games with a ．．．d5 defence begin here．

## 10 cxd5！exd5

A tactic that often applies in these positions is $10 \ldots$ ．．$x d 5$ ？！ 11 宣xd8 $0 x c 312$ 是xc7 包 5 13 皿f4 $0 x f 414$ exf4．White＇s pawns are crip－ pled，but he＇s still a pawn ahead and this posi－ tion has lacked takers from Black＇s side．全d3 and $\triangleq \mathrm{e} 2$ will follow in most lines，with 安f 2 a good centralizing move．

However，it＇s important to note that if the moves 8 ．．．h6 9 曾h4 were inserted，as they usu－ ally are，then in this line Black could answer 定f4 with ．．．g5！and win the e3－pawn．That position is known to be equal．In fact，White hasn＇t been able to prove any advantage in the lines after 8．．．h6 9 貫 h 4 d 5 ，although the debate continues．

11 㐭d3（D）


11．．．

Targeting the e－pawn right away．Now it looks as though ．．．h6 is a threat．

12 ©e2！h6 13 全h4！
In fact，we＇ve transposed to a normal posi－ tion except that Black didn＇t have the opportu－ nity for an effective ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{xd} 5$ ．

13．．．c5
The point is that 13．．．巴xe3？loses to 14 全xf6！ $0 \mathrm{xf6} 15$ 宜h7 7 。

An important positional idea is that 16 ．．．cxd4 is well answered by 17 exd4！（ $D$ ），even though $17 \triangleq \mathrm{xd} 4$ places a knight in front of the isolani opposing the bad bishop．That latter position isn＇t bad，but it does give Black nice posts on e5 and c 5 for his d7－knight．

B


After the recapture by the pawn，we have a position that could come from the Queen＇s Gambit．Every key central square is covered and White，whose position looks innocuous at the moment，can slowly activate his pieces，in particular a rook to the e－file and his bishop to g3．Again，stability favours the bishop－pair．

19．．．g5 weakens Black＇s kingside and espe－ cially his f5－square．
黯2

When you have the bishop－pair you can take your time and play for the long run．Most end－ games will be winning for you．White has won the opening．

23．．．Ecd8 24 h3
24 食 $\times \mathrm{g} 5 \mathrm{hxg} 525$ 嘗g 3 g 4 is nothing special， but after 24 h 3 ，the same idea will win a pawn． So Black retreats．

## 24．．．$\searrow \mathrm{gh} 725 \mathrm{dxc} 5$

Kasparov mentions 25 曾b3！？，when 25 ．．．c4 （to stop 26 e4） 26 音c2 again prepares the ad－ vance e4．But he has a different version in mind．
25．．．bxc5 26 e4！（ $D$ ）


26．．．dxe4
$26 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 427$ e5！results in a very large advan－ tage for White．




and White eventually won the ending．
In general，however，it is difficult for White to counter Black＇s rapid development and cen－ tral counterattack after 8 f 3 ，whether Black plays $8 . . . h 69$ 最h 4 d 5 or 8 ．．．d6．So White has looked for other approaches，as in the next game．

## Krush－Shirov

Edmonton 2005

## 



Obviously，White can employ the order 7曾g5 昷b78 8 f 3 as well．

8．．．d6 9 Ød2！？（D）
White wants to control e4 by another means． the advantage of which is that his knight on d2 contributes significantly to central play，espe－ cially in contrast to 0 h 3 ．

## $9 . .2$ bd7 10 e3

10 f 3 is another matter．Then one possibility is $10 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ，to contest d 4 ．A fascinating game


Van Wely－Timman，Breda（7） 1998 continued $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 511 \mathrm{e} 3$ Ёe 8 ！？（anticipating 12 cxd5 exd5， opening the e－file） 12 念d3 h6 13 宜h4 e5！． Here＇s an example of the fundamental con－ flict：Black＇s central advances force White to alter the pawn－structure before he can consoli－ date his bishop－pair advantage．Whether or not this succeeds，both sides are pursuing their philosophic goals：White to prevent the weak－ ening of his pawns in order to win in the long run．and Black to rip into the position as best he can．

10．．．玉c8！？（D）
An odd－looking move whose point becomes clear in a moment．Instead， $10 \ldots \mathrm{c} 511 \mathrm{dxc} 5$ ！is a way to gain time for development．


## 11 f3 c5 12 dxc5！？

Played to release the pressure on White＇s centre and get castled quickly．One drawback is that White cedes a central majority to Black； the other is tactical：

12．．．Exc5！

This attacks the bishop on 95 with tempo． 13 亶 h 4 b5！
13．．．$勹 \mathrm{~d} 5$ ？fails to 14 定xd8 0 xc 315 㑒 7


14 b4 घ̈c6 15 气b3 bxc4 16 气a5 登c7 17


Now things are looking up for White．In ad－ dition to the advantage conferred by his two bishops，Black＇s pawns are a bit weak．

19．．．e5！ 20 断d3 e4！（D）


As the owner of the knight－pair，Shirov must continue the policy of disturbing White＇s pawn－ structure to open lines and create outposts for his knights．

21 誛2
21 fxe 4 ？loses a piece to $21 \ldots$ De5．
$21 . . .0$ e5 22 㑒 $b 5$ ？
It turns out that the c 8 －square needs to be covered，so 22 塭a6！is better，with a double－ edged game still in store．But that＇s certainly not easy to see at this point．

22．．．exf3 23 gxf 3 （ $D$ ）


Now White＇s kingside is weakened，but how does Black follow up？

23．．．覾 $\mathbf{c} 8$ ！（ $D$ ）


As so often，Shirov finds a creative way to seize the initiative．
$240-0$
After 24 金xf6？登c2 25 曹d1 gxf6 $260-0$
 sive threats．

26 娄e1



 exchange sacrifice for White but his king is too exposed．The rest is easy．

26．．．先c3 27 a4 a6！ 28 虫e7 axb5 29 全xf8新h3 0－1

 2f3－d2 is hard to assess，even after the ．．．Exc5 idea．My overall impression is that the play is dynamically equal．

## 6 Queen＇s Indian Defence

## Introduction to 3 a） 3

1 d 4 थf6 $2 \mathrm{c4}$ e6 3 亿f3（D）


By playing 3 ） 3 White enters into a contest about move－order choices．By omitting or put－ ting off $\approx \mathrm{c} 3$ he avoids the highly－respected Nimzo－Indian Defence（1 d4 \＆f6 2 c4 e6 3気 3 食b4）and indeed，this is generally consid－ ered the main motivation behind 3 f3 ．Other－ wise，with a few exceptions mentioned below， White gains little by delaying 3 cc 3 versus the main defences to 1 d 4 ，and he can sacrifice some popular options．Let me clarify that by examples．After 3 c 3 ，White might want to enter into a variation not accessible after 3 2 f3， such as the Exchange Queen＇s Gambit 3．．．d5 4
 ©ge2．White＇s commitment to $\ell \mathbf{f} 3$ precludes a number of popular options．In fact，even the Classical Queen＇s Gambit Declined that arises from $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \triangleq \mathrm{f6} 2 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{e} 63$ ff3 544 c 3 differs in several ways from 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 e 63 分 3 ，al－ though they will often transpose．In the latter order，for example，Black might play 3．．．．e7． These positions are dealt with at some length in Chapter 2 on the Queen＇s Gambit．

Another restriction imposed by 3 亿f3 comes up in the Modern Benoni．White has eliminated
certain options that arise after 3 Qc3 c5 4 d 5 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 e4 g ；for example， 7 㑒d3
 here 8 q f 3 ）or $7 \mathrm{f} 3 \hat{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{g} 78$ 昷g5．Obviously， none of those variations can be played after 3 2f3．

Thus，when playing 3 ）f3，it＇s necessary to build a repertoire around these limitations．In actual practice，most players are not deterred by that task．

3．．．b6（D）


The Queen＇s Indian Defence（a．k．a．＇QID＇） is defined by this move．Notice that after 3 2c3，3．．．b6？！allows 4 e4 with superior central control，something Black really doesn＇t want to let happen．After 3 ff3 b6，however，Black in－ tends to keep a determined hold on the central light squares and permit neither a successful e4 nor d 5 ．This can involve the moves ．．．d5 or ．．．f5， if necessary，and often includes occupation of e4 by either a knight or a bishop．

White for his part may strive directly to en－ force e4 by moves such as 2 c 3 （perhaps pref－ aced by a 3 to prevent ．．．8b4）and ${ }^{\text {© }} \mathrm{C}$ c 2 ．Or he can do the same，but slowly，by means of g3， \＆ g 2 and 2 c 3 or 4 bd 2 depending upon the sit－ uation．His ultimate set－up and strategy will de－ pend upon what Black specifically undertakes in the centre．The resulting tension can produce
both strategically and tactically interesting chess．This is a lesson to players everywhere， because for many years the Queen＇s Indian De－ fence had the reputation of being a dull opening that normally led to a drawish position．In fact， that reputation still holds among some lower－ rated players，although it shouldn＇t．

I＇m going present an overview of the varia－ tions and ideas of the Queen＇s Indian，with a mix of older and newer games．The emphasis will be on a few of the typically dynamic ideas that are being played in modern chess．To this end the material divides into two sections：the Fianchetto Variation（4 g3），and the Petrosian System（4a3）．

## Fianchetto Variation

## 1 d4 2 f6 2 c4 e6 3 亿f3 b6 4 g3

Historically this has been White＇s main choice and it remains so in spite of the emer－ gence of new strategies．Straight away，White＇s fianchettoed bishop anticipates opposing its counterpart on b7 and indirectly looks at the same key e4－and d5－squares as his opponent does．White also clears the way for early cas－ tling．A quick look at obvious alternatives might clarify White＇s choice：
a）White can play 4 亿c3 but then after 4．．．． e 4 we＇re back in a kind of Nimzo－Indian， which may not be the type of position most players are looking for when they play 3 居 3 instead of 3 ec3．
b） 4 生bd2 takes the sting out $4 \ldots$ 全b4，a move which would no longer threaten to double White＇s pawns as it would after 3 cc3．But this comes at the cost of blocking the c －bishop and reducing the white queen＇s influence over d 4 ． Most importantly，White chance of ever play－ ing d5 is greatly reduced．Black can continue simply by $4 \ldots$ ．．． b 7 with ．．．d5 or ．．．全e7 and ．．．0－0 next．
c） 4 宣f4 is a perfectly good move，in order to get the bishop out before hemming it in by e3．A typical sequence would be $4 \ldots$ ．．．b7 5 e 3 （5 © 3 Q b4 is a type of Nimzo－Indian，easy to play for Black because White＇s f4－bishop nei－ ther hampers Black＇s knight on f 6 nor defends the queenside；thus，for example， 6 e 3 ed 7断c2 258 是d3 0－09 0－0 \＆ ex 310 bxc 3 d 611 d 5
 2c6 with good play） $5 \ldots$ ．．．e7（ $5 \ldots$ ．${ }^{\text {eb }} 4+$ is a well－known option） 6 h3（versus ．．．$勹 \mathrm{~h} 5$ ，which would track down and exchange the bishop on f 4 and leave Black with the two bishops）6．．．0－0 7 合 3 d 5 （D）．


Here White＇s move h3（as opposed to devel－ oping）leads to a balanced version of a Queen＇s Gambit．An intriguing gambit goes 8 cxd5（ 8 Qe2 c5）8．．． Qxd5 $^{2}(8 \ldots$ exd5 is also reasonable．
 Qxc7？！，and now 10．．．柴a5＋！（ 10 ．．．全b4＋is the normal move） 11 dd2 ©d7 intending ．．．Eac8 and ．．．e 5 with more than enough play for a pawn．
d） 4 e 3 is a solid，risk－free move that pre－ pares $\hat{\&} \mathrm{~d} 3$ and $0-0$ ．I won＇t be covering the de－ tails here．White might want to look into this modest line to avoid main－line theory，and Black should be ready to respond to it．Proba－
 the easiest way to approach the position．

We now return to $4 \mathrm{~g} 3(D)$ ：

B


After 4 g 3 ，Black makes a decision as to how he wants to counter White＇s space advantage： 4．．．\＆${ }^{2} 7$ or 4．．．金a6．

## The Classical 4．．．罟b7

## 4．．．${ }^{\text {＠}}$ b7

This is the older move，which generally leads to a quieter game but not always so．

5 备g2
White＇s plan is $0-0,0 \mathrm{c} 3$ ，and then d 5 or 豊 c 2 and e 4 ．

## 5．．．\＆e7（D）

Where do the pieces belong？Basically Black has to develop his kingside，get castled，and make sure that White doesn＇t play d5．His other natural choice is $5 \ldots$ ．．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{b} 4+$ ，when 6 \＆ d 2
 2c3 sets up an old trap：9．．．थxc3？10 थg5！and Black is losing material！This is a typical tactic that is worth knowing，although Black had to make some weak moves to allow it．


## 60－0

6 \＆c3 will transpose to our main line after 6．．．2e4 7 全d2 㒻f680－0 0－0．A famous game Korchnoi－Karpov，Moscow Ct（21） 1974 went 6．．0－0 7 蔧c2 c5 8 d5！？（D）．

This is a standard break that both sides always have to be aware of．White＇s idea is to shut the b7－bishop out of play．He will play e4 next，so Black has to capture，when tactics erupt：8．．．exd5 92 g 5 （now the d－pawn is pinned） $9 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{c} 6$（best was 9．．．h6！ 10 公xd5 全xd5 11 是xd5（2c6） 10




全xg5 16 食xg5 雪xg5（16．．．粪c7 17 全f6） 17


## 6．．．0－0 7 合 3 合e4

Otherwise White will prevent this by 斯c2．

## 8 全d2！

A very instructive move．If White plays 8 d 5 instead，then $8 \ldots$ xc3 cripples his pawns．So 8全 d 2 is logical，preparing 9 d 5 ．But the interest－ ing part is that if Black captures White＇s bishop on d 2 ，White counts upon having a big centre and better development that will outweigh the bishop－pair．That is a bit unusual when there are no weaknesses in Black＇s camp，but it has been shown to be true in this particular position． Thus you will very seldom see $8 . . .2 x d 2$ ．

## 8．．．${ }^{\text {ef }} 6$

The other well－known move is 8 ．．．f5，when 9


9 E． 1 （ $D$ ）


This is the basic position of the main line． Let＇s see how a World Champion handles it：

## Kasparov－Ponomariov

Linares 2003

## 9．．．d5

White wants to play d5，so Ponomariov blocks it． $9 \ldots . . c 5$ is more frequently played，leading to a Benoni－like position after 10 d 5 exd5 11 cxd5 and，for example， $11 \ldots 2 \times \mathrm{d} 212 \mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{xd} 2 \mathrm{~d} 6$ ．

## 10 cxd5 exd5 11 \＆ 44 xc3

Korchnoi－Salov，Belgrade 1987 may have helped to inspire Kasparov．That game contin－





20 f3！（shades of the Exchange Queen＇s Gambit and Nimzo－Indian Sämisch Variation！ White intends to play e4；this came out of no－
 b5 24 h 4 ！（a sort of second front；watch how terrifically White＇s pieces coordinate over the next few moves） $24 \ldots$ a5 25 家h2 全c6 26 斯c2



A terrific combination follows： 29 ．．．hxg6 30

 tack is so slow！） $36 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{E} h 7$（it＇s surprising how little Black can do；maybe 36 ．．．b4 37 f5 bxc3 38 f6 $\Xi g 8$ ，but White has a huge attack in any
 g 4 ！b4 41 cxb 4 c 342 g 5 （White will win the


高c753 axb5c254
 1－0．A great game．

## 12 bxc3！

$12 \Xi \mathrm{xc} 3$ is the move most players would make，but $12 \ldots .$. c5 gives enough counter－pres－ sure；for example， 13 － 1 （to get out of the pin） 13．．．$\%$ a6！（a typical move in such positions：the knight doesn＇t get in the way and it may con－ tinue on to c5 in some lines） 14 总e1 䁜e8 with equality．

12．．．凤26（D）
Allowing a tactic that secures White the better centre．Black＇s problem after $12 \ldots$ ．．．d7 13 c 4 ！ dxc4 14 exc4 is that he can＇t get $14 \ldots . .55$ in due to 15 d 5 ！ $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{E}} 816$ घel b5 17 运c1．Perhaps 12 ．．．c5 immediately was correct．


13 e4！
A nice pawn sacrifice to break down the cen－ tre．Black has to accept，but then weakens his position trying to hang on to the pawn．

13．．．dxe4 14 Qd2 g5！？
14．．．c5 15 £xe4 threatens $\triangleq \mathrm{xf} 6+$ ；14．．．巴e8 15 घel also favours White．

15 全e3 ⿷e8 16 f4！

Somehow Kasparov always manages to get open lines！

16．．．exf 317 全xf3 \＆ $\mathbf{~ d 5 !}$ ？
17．．．全xf3？allows a winning attack after 18䊦xf3 峟e7 19 登cel．


B


Now both $\Xi c f 1$ and $\sum \mathrm{f} 3$ are threats．
类h5 要f8 24 分 3

What＇s that knight doing on a6？

 Efxf5

White is a clear pawn up．
 Qf5（D）


If there is ever a Kasparov decal，it should have a picture of the board with a knight on f 5 ．



 and White＇s pawn promotes．

Here＇s a well－known older game in which Black demonstrated what to do when White overextends：

Euwe－Keres<br>Rotterdam（9） $1939 / 40$




This move is logical．

Black＇s philosophy：don＇t allow e4 for free！
11 el
11 d 5 ！is more promising，based on the idea 11．．．exd5？ 12 ed 4 ！．

11．．．㪸c8！ 12 e4 ©d7 13 d5！？fxe4 14 新xe4 2c5 15 精2 2 合 $\mathrm{f} 6!(D)$


Look how active Black＇s pieces are．Now White embarks upon unjustified tactics：

16 食h3 登e8 17 全e3 崰d8！ 18 全xc5 exd5！ 19 全e6＋高h8 20 Ed

No better is 20 定a 3 数 721 cxd5 金xd5 with an extra pawn and much better pieces．
$20 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 521$ 气g2 d4 22 f4 d3！
Initiating a winning combination．



But here he slips up a bit．26．．．金xf2！ 27


27 f5？
27 纪d2！would have been a more stubborn defence．

27．．．马e5 28 f6 gxf6 29 Ёd2 官c8！ 30 थf4



## The Modern 4．．．要a6

## 4．．．宣 $\mathrm{a6}$

Black plays an＇extended fianchetto＇，the modern favourite．although it dates all the way back to Nimzowitsch himself．l＇ll mainly give illustrative examples without many detailed an－ alytical notes．

## 5 b3

The attack on the c－pawn proves annoying， and 5 鋢a4 has generally been ineffective（one
 so＇everybody＇plays 5 b3．

## 5．．．気b4＋

This check is designed to disrupt the coordi－ nation of White＇s pieces．

5．．． O 7 is rarer but playable．Adams，who is arguably the best Queen＇s Indian player around， shows us a beautiful example of how to equal－ ize and then get a counterattack in Morović－


 （D）．


The Q1D is ideally about light－square con－ trol．This is true and then some in what follows： 15 分d2 axb3 16 axb3 bxc4 17 bxc4 登a2 18 f3

 transferring from one light square to another）


knight on b3 is trapped） 30 e5 Exf2 31 家xf2





The starting point for the majority of the Queen＇s Indian battles between top grand－ masters for the last 10 years．With the extra move 5．．．全b4＋Black has lured White＇s bishop to d 2 ，from where it has less effect than on b 2 ． His next plan is to enforce ．．．d5，which will both give him space and attack c4．

## Wojtaszek－Macieja

Krakow 2006

## 7 （1） 2 c 6

This move may look strange，but Black wants to be able to play ．．．d5 and have the option of re－ capturing with the c－pawn should White play cxd5．He might also play ．．．b5 to increase pres－ sure on c4．By contrast， $7 \ldots$ ．．d5 8 cxd5 exd5 $90-0$ $0-010$ c3 with the ideas 备f and White free development and pressure along the c －file．

## 8 \＆ C 3

White＇s simple idea is to defend the d－pawn and then play $\delta$ bd 2 ，often prefaced by 2 e 5 ．

8．．．d5（D）
9 © 5
Karpov－Anand，Warsaw 2000 was a model of Black＇s strategy： $9 \triangleq$ bd2 $\omega$ bd7 1000000 11 舄1 食b7 12 Е． 1 （after 12 e 4 ，multiple ex－ changes followed by ．．．c5 will equalize） 12 ．．．c5
 Ec7（typical and equal；now maybe $17 \mathrm{dxc5}$ was best） 17 Qfl？c4！ 18 Ee3 b5 19 bxc4

dxc4！（this time the flank outweighs the centre， if only because the centre pawns will take too
 （the whole situation has changed in a few moves：Black＇s pieces are swarming all over the queenside and ．．．b4 is coming） 22 We5




 39 axb 3 axb 3 （White＇s centre pawns are finally rolling，but Black＇s are already there） 40 f1䜿b741－

9．．．थfd710 $2 x d 7$ 气xd7 11 थd2 0－0 120－0上゙c8 13 e 4 （ D ）

B


13．．．b5
This is one of Black＇s standard plans．In the next game we see 13．．．c5．

## 14 胃e1 dxe4

Both sides have tried all sorts of moves here． I＇m ignoring most of the theory．

15 气xe4 bxc4 16 㞱 2 2f6
Intending 17 bxc4 2 d 5 ！．
17 Cle5！（D）


It＇s worth putting up with some trouble to get the bishop－pair and dark－square control，even if the latter is limited．

17．．．良xc5
17．．．2d5？fails for tactical reasons： 18 会xd5！主xc5 19 吾xe6！．

## 18 dxc 5 嘼 7

 White．

## 19 b4！

Now it＇s a pawn sacrifice．Neither side has a great advantage，but White has the more attrac－ tive deployment of forces．




24．．．${ }^{\text {eb }} \mathbf{b 7}$ ！
24．．．2f6 looks right．The bishop returns any－ way．
 \＆ \＆$^{2}$ 宸f8

28．．．f6！may be better；e．g．， 29 全d6（29 要a1）
 any case，White＇s exchange sacrifice would be risk－free．




Minor pieces actually have more effect than rooks in such a position．White has better prac－ tical chances and he managed to break down Black＇s defences：



 46 c 7 1－0

Topalov－Anand
San Luis Wch 2005



This variation had been a main line for some time，but now the following 8－10 moves have been torn apart by games and analysis．No won－ der that some players keep switching to new lines in the QID．Fortunately there are a lot of ideas out there．

13 e4 c5 14 exd5 exd5 15 dxc5 dxc4（ $D$ ）
16 c6！cxb3！ 17 登e1！b2！ 18 食xb2 亿c5 19 anc

There have been several other moves played at this point and unsurprisingly，given the high publicity accorded this game，over the next sev－ eral moves as well．


虫d5！（D）


This is arguably the end of the opening！ White is a full exchange down，counting upon his passed pawn and two bishops．Normally that would be a pretty good situation，but there isn＇t a great deal of material left，and Black would love to give back the exchange for a pawn by ．．．愊xc6．As it turns out，White can pre－ vent that and tie Black down enough to prevent active counterplay．As a result，White seems to have a draw in hand，and can try for more．

曾g5 炭e8 33 炭f3 b5 34 全e3

34 楼xh5 登xc6 should be equal．

## 

Allowing the simplifying sacrifice on c6 only in order to reject it！White will now have a pawn for the exchange with very limited material．yet he＇s definitely the one playing for a win．


 （D）

B


46．．．崖a7？
46．．．蓸f8！is correct；obviously defending is a tremendous burden in such a situation．

禺g8 53 宸xb6

At this point Topalov was objectively win－ ning，but Anand kept finding clever ways to confuse things．After a late－night exchange of errors the players eventually drew．But this was a brilliant performance by Topalov and some indication of how much room for creativity ex－ ists in the QID．Let＇s try another game between the same two players in the same time period：

## Topalov－Anand <br> Sofia 2005




Instead of $7 \mathbf{~ ( ~} \mathrm{~g} 2$ ，White plays directly for e4，a natural and logical plan．The main prob－ lem is that the knight can no longer defend $c 4$ against attacks by ．．．d5 and ．．．b5．

## $7 . . . c 68$ e4 d5

Here we are again with the basic idea．The combination of ．．．c6 and ．．．d5（with perhaps ．．．b5 to come）militates against White＇s bishop straying from f 1 ，from where it defends c 4 ， even though it＇belongs＇on g2．

9 斯c2！？dxe4 10 亿xe4 全b7 11 包 5 ！？
A novelty at the time．

11．．．c5 12 d5！exd5 13 cxd5 h6 14 xf7！ （D）


This is truly incredible，as is what follows． Notice White＇s slow development and his seri－ ous weakness along the h1－a8 diagonal．It＇s in－ spiring that such ideas are possible！

## 14．．．t．xf7 15 0－0－0！㑒d6

Safest，under the circumstances．

$$
16 乌 h 4 \text { 皿c8 } 17 \text { Ee1 乌a6 } 18 \text { Дe6! }
$$

Is the opening over yet？A lot has changed when the Queen＇s Indian Defence starts looking like the Najdorf Sicilian．Ironically，Topalov＇s whole conception from start to finish depends upon the bishop on f1．This is the＇problem piece＇that wasn＇t able to get developed！

18．．．2b4
 Black would still be under a ferocious attack．

19 全xb4 cxb4 20 全c4 b5！ 21 全xb5 全e7？ 22 气g6！分xd5（D）

W


23 Exe7＋？

This turns out to be a serious mistake．Topa－
 \＆g8 25 亶c4 was winning，but decided to keep it simple and safe．He must have missed Anand＇s 25th move．

## 

After the expected capture $25 \ldots$ 嵝xh8？， 26 Ed 1 ！wins．



After all that，Topalov eventually won this pawn－up endgame．

The theory of the variation with 4 g 3 㑒 a 65 b3 真b4＋is ever－expanding．We may soon find out if Black can effectively neutralize White＇s play in this manner or will have to suffer under a long－term disadvantage．Whether the Queen＇s Indian supplies a satisfactory defence to 3 f 3 depends in part upon his solution to 4 g 3 ．

## Petrosian System（4 a3）




At first you may think that this move is a ter－ rible waste of time．Obviously，White is trying to prevent ．．．食b4，but is it worth a tempo？And what else does 4 a 3 do？To begin with，prevent－ ing ．．．昷b4 is worth a lot more than it may seem． It＇s not just that the Nimzo－Indian（ 3 赑 3 㑒b4） is such a bother to play against，but that Black has already committed himself to ．．．b6（and thus ．．．食b7 or ．．．${ }^{\text {安a6）．That is a set－up in which }}$ a knight on c3 is particularly useful．Specifically， a knight there not only supports e4（as does a knight on $\mathbf{d} 2$ or queen on c2），but also the pawn
advance d5（a move that loses its support after Qd2 or ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{C}$ c2）．This is important because once Black has fianchettoed his queen＇s bishop，a white pawn on d 5 can become particularly irri－ tating．In response to this potential threat，Black can of course play ．．．d5，but that accedes to a type of pawn－structure that Black may not pre－ fer once committed to ．．．b6．To understand this， let＇s compare that formation with the Queen＇s Gambit Declined．The only major variation in which Black plays ．．．b6 is the Tartakower．In－ deed，if play from the diagram proceeded $4 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$
 tential waste of tempo： 8 㑒xf6！），Black would have a standard position of the Tartakower Vari－ ation in which the move a 3 can justifiably be re－ garded as useless，or nearly so．But once Black has weakened his queenside squares，White has other options；e．g．， 6 cxd5！exd5（ $6 \ldots .0 x d 57$ e4） 7 鼻f4 0－0 8 e3（D）．


White has serious queenside pressure be－ cause of Black＇s weaknesses there（ ${ }_{\text {encl }} \mathrm{cl}$ and ei－
 move $\triangleq \mathrm{b} 5$ becomes an immediate theme（e．g．．
 profligate 4 a3 actually prevents ．．． 0 b4＋in re－ sponse！

## 4．．．首b7

We＇ll follow this as the main line but it＇s quite possible that other moves are as good or better：
a） $4 \ldots$ 塭a6 is very popular．Black has done well in this line，and he retains a degree of flexi－ bility in his choice of piece placements．The main line goes 5 峟c2 ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~b} 7$ ！（this is a hyper－ modern idea：first Black diverts the queen and
then wastes a move to cover e4；but what＇s the point？） 6 © 3 c 5 ！（the queen no longer sup－ ports the move d5，so Black can break up the centre in this way） 7 e 4 ！（taking over the largest share of the centre； 7 dxc 5 would concede d4） $7 . . . \operatorname{cxd} 48$ 王d4（D）．


As in the Sicilian Defence，Black has a central majority and would love to achieve ．．．d5．For the moment，that move fails to cxd5 and 食b5＋， so White has some time to strengthen his cen－ tre．The queen on c2 can either be a drawback （it sits on the open c－file）or an advantage（it al－ lows White＇s rooks to connect more quickly）． Here Black has played 8．．．9c6 and 8．．．d6 with reasonable success．A complicated alternative is
 velop quickly but he also targets the only weak－ ness in White＇s position：the hole on d4） 10 㫣g5 h6 11 帘h4 气d4！ 12 气xd4 全xd4 13 酉d3（the white e－pawn needs protection）13．．． W b8！？（or 13．．．曾e5；the dark squares are key） 14 酎g3 食e5 150－0－0 0－0 16 喜bl d6 17 㑒xe5 dxe5（D）．


There＇s that doubled e－pawn structure that we talk about periodically through the book． Whether the pawns are ultimately useful or a problem is as yet unclear，but notice that both d 5 and d4 are covered so that White can＇t put a piece on either square，and of course d 4 will serve as an outpost for Black＇s pieces．On the other hand，White has no targets for Black to attack and he has a potentially important queen－ side pawn－majority．Khenkin－Adams，Bundes－
 （White could consider some kingside attack with，say， 19 g 4 or 19 f 3 and g 4 next） $19 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 4$ ！

 placed for this，but he invites active counter－ play） 25 c 5 ！b4！ 26 axb 4 玉ّb8．This complicated position is dynamically equal．
b） $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5(\mathrm{D})$ ．


Now White＇s most ambitious move is 5 d 5 ． Then：
bl）It＇s instructive to see why 5 ．．．exd5 6 cxd5 d6（6．．．g6！？） 7 © 3 g6？！isn＇t supposed to
迤d3）10．．．0－0 $110-0(D)$ ．

Note that we＇ve arrived at a Benoni in which Black has two extra moves ．．．b6 and ．．．要d7 for White＇s one．Nevertheless，this trade－off fa－ vours White，who can handily play moves such as 兽f4 with tempo．Conversely，Black＇s plans are disrupted because he would like to play ei－ ther ．．．2a6－c7（not possible here）or ．．．ひe8 and ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{bd} 7$ ，in which case he needs to move the d7－ bishop．That points to the move $11 \ldots$ 全 g 4 ，when
 a familiar plan from the Modern Benoni of


Chapter 9．Unfortunately，White can then play 14 e 5 ！and，because of the insertion of ．．．b6，the ＇normal＇ $14 \ldots$ dxe 5 loses to 15 d 6 ．The situation is more complicated than this（as always）but those are basic indications of why Black will probably want to avoid this version of a Benoni set－up．
b2）5．．．昷a6！（ $D$ ）and now：


This leads to a better Benoni－type position． Play generally proceeds along the lines of 6 Wh2 exd5 7 cxd5 g6（7．．． $2 x \mathrm{xd5}$ ？？ 8 崰e4＋） 8

 rived at a Fianchetto Benoni in which Black has achieved a theoretically ideal set－up，in that e5 is under control and his pieces are well－placed for action；e．g．，．．．9g4－e5 is a good reorganization．However，there＇s still a ques－ tion of the specific effects of Black＇s extra moves ．．．b6 and ．．．真a6．White can try to ex－ ploit the queenside vulnerability immediately by 14 世a4 最b7 $15 \triangleq b 5$ ，when we see how
positional factors in chess suddenly devolve into tactics．Pelletier－Gelfand，Biel 2001 con－ tinued 15．．． 0 e 5 ！？ 16 包xe5 dxe5 17 d 6 ！幽d7
 Instead，Black might have gone for decima－ tion of the centre by $15 \ldots$ xd5！？with the idea
 19 Exa1 荘f6 and ．．．a6．

Let＇s return to the main move， $4 \ldots \hat{\text { \＆} b 7}(D)$ ：


Of Black＇s other moves，only $5 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6!$ ？merits a look．The underlying idea is that if White presses forward with his plan of 6 d 5 ，in order to block out Black＇s bishop on b7，Black has another strong bishop on g7 along the open al－ h8 diagonal．In turn，White has other answers to $5 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$ ；for example，developing quickly by

 In both cases，the critical reply is ．．．． $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{xf} 3$ ． White＇s bishop－pair should more than make up for his pawn－structure．This is especially so since Black has made no fewer than three moves with his bishop to remove the f3－knight（．．．b6． ．．．要 b 7 and ．．．人xf3）and that leaves him behind in development．After 6 梫c2 金xf3，White has recaptured the bishop in both ways，the safe course being 7 gxf3（doubled f－pawns have ad－ vantages that we discuss from time to time in
 bishop in front of his central pawns once e3 is played） 8 e 3 全g7 9 f 4 followed by $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 2$ and $0-0$ ．White＇s f－pawn helps to restrain ．．．e5．

## 6 cxd5

White has several other moves here，but I＇ll only mention 6 \＆$g 5$ ，when the most popular
 lenges White to enter an apparently equal end－
全d8 $10 \mathrm{cxd5}$ 气xd5 11 e 4 乌5f6；so 8 逃c $2!?$ is often played） 8 㑒xf6 㑒xf6 9 cxd5 exd5 10 e3 $0-011$ 复 $\mathrm{e} 2(D)$ ．


Recognize this？In both structure and piece placement we have a QGD Tartakower De－ fence！Play might develop along the same lines； for example，11．．．Ee8 $120-0$ 亿d7 13 Еfdl 0 f 8 14 घacl De6 15 幽 2 or something similar， with equality．At least 4 a 3 comes in handy here in order to prepare b4．More challenging is 10 g3 0－0 11 重g2，putting pressure on d 5 ；com－ pare the next note．

## 6．．．$Q_{x d 5}$

An instructive decision．6．．． $\mathrm{xd}_{\mathrm{xd}}$ is easily Black＇s most popular choice，leaving the bishop＇s path unobstructed on the long diagonal．But 6．．．exd5 has also been played a fair amount．In that case we again have a typical Queen＇s Gam－ bit．White has the mediocre move a3 in，but Black has played ．．．b6 and ．．．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{b} 7$ rather early on．Instead of transposing to a kind of Tarta－ kower，which is perfectly playable，White will sometimes put his bishop on g2，as in the last note．Then out of many possibilities a classic trade－off may occur： 7 g3 余e7 8 曹a4＋c6 （8．．．䊦d7 9 㥪xd7＋can be followed by moves like $\circlearrowright b 5$ ，会f4 and 今h3） 9 气g2 0－0 $100-0$ Qbd7 11 宜f4 ©h5 12 鳬ad1！©xf4 13 gxf4 （D）．

What are the characteristics of this position？ Black has the bishop－pair and White＇s f－pawns are doubled．Nevertheless，White light－squared bishop is better than its counterpart on b7，he

has the g －file，and a well－timed knight jump to e 5 can be useful．Black can try to gain space on the queenside（．．．b5 and ．．．a5 or ．．． 2 b 6 ，for ex－ ample）or use piece－play on the kingside（．．．巴e8， ．．．2）f8－g6）．In the meantime，White might shift his pieces to the kingside（ Bh 1 ，思1，幽c2，e3， De2－g3），especially since any attempt to play b4 can be weakening．

But $6 \ldots . .0 \mathrm{xd} 5(\mathrm{D})$ is the most important con－ tinuation：


Apart from the main lines with 4 g 3 ，this is probably the most heavily analysed position from the Queen＇s Indian Defence．By＇surren－ dering the centre＇but keeping the long diagonal open for his bishop，Black announces his policy of allowing White to form a strong centre and then sniping at it from the wings．The resulting positions resemble the Grünfeld Defence in spirit．Black＇s bishop will usually be on e7 in－ stead of g7，but Black＇s quest for the queenside light squares gives the two openings a similar flavour．

At this juncture White normally plays：
A： 7 e3 or
B： 7 崖c2．
We＇ll look at games that express typical ideas behind both moves，by no means attempt－ ing to recreate the current theoretical standing of the variation．A complex alternative is 7㑒d2，which intends a recapture with the bishop after ．．．©xc3；this idea normally appears after 7 wew w ．There are also a number of variations with 7 璜a4＋and／or 是g5 that haven＇t produced spectacular results，probably because the move a3 isn＇t so useful in that case．

A）
7 e3（D）


This is a somewhat older line that can trans－ pose to the more modern 7 楮c2 with small but significant differences．What is＇old＇and＇mod－ ern＇may be changing，however，and 7 e 3 is get－ ting some renewed attention．The variations are also deserving of study because certain ideas correspond with those in other openings such as the Grünfeld and Queen＇s Gambit．

## Kasparov－Korchnoi <br> London Ct（1） 1983

7．．．g6（D）
Ever since this high－profile game，7．．．g6 has been considered the toughest move for White to meet．

8 昷b5＋
For $8 \Delta x d 5$ ，see the next game．
8．．．c69 㑒d3 客g710 e4 2 xc 311 bxc 3 c 512



Van der Wiel suggested 14 0－0 0－0 15 呬d2： cxd4 16 cxd4 $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c} 617$ 崰f4．

14．．．0－0！ 15 exb6 axb6（D）


Black borrows a pawn sacrifice from the Grünfeld Defence．His basic idea is that White has weak pawns on the open a－and c－files． while even the e－pawn requires defence in the face of ．．．${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{c} 7$ 7 and ．．． 2 d 7 ．In the meantime．that knight on b8 could easily end up on c5 or c4 to great effect．As in the Grünfeld，Black has more than enough for a pawn and great winning chances．

## 160－0 wiv7

Black keeps the advantage with this move． but $16 \ldots$ ．．．巴a5！？may actually be better because Kasparov manages to get some counterplay here．

17 典b5！㑒xe5
Again，17．．．Еа5 is an idea；on the other hand． $17 . . .9 \mathrm{C} 618$ 全f6！isn＇t so easy．


会f1 ${ }^{\text {did }}$

White＇s weaknesses are quite serious and Kasparov went on to lose．

Portisch－Palo Kallithea ECC 2002




This exchange has been used quite a bit re－ cently．It leads to strategic／positional play that may not appeal to the attacking players who have used 4 a3 to emulate Kasparov＇s aggres－ sive style．

## 8．．．exd5（ $D$ ）

8．．．${ }^{W} x d 5$ doesn＇t look very good after simply 9 \＆d3 intending to castle quickly and play e4． A tactical melee came about after 9 金d2昷g7？
 12 金b4 \＃e8 13 包 5 a5（ $13 \ldots$ 皇xe5 14 dxe5


 pawns up in Rowson－Brunello，Verona 2006.

8 ．．．exd5 produces an interesting position． White can choose between a variety of ideas． If Black＇s bishop goes to g7，then White will play for a classic minority attack following b4． Notice that the pawn－structure is the same for－ mation that we＇ve seen in so many openings， famously the Exchange Variation of the Queen＇s Gambit Declined．The exchange of a pair of pieces may favour White under those circum－ stances．In fact，Black＇s best idea may consist of foregoing ．．．昷g7 to point his dark－squared bishop towards the kingside，perhaps from d6．


From there it also covers Black＇s queenside dark squares．

9 发d2！？
Apart from clearing the c－file，this move has an intriguing idea．A derivative game went 9



White tries to exploit the absence of Black＇s bishop from the long diagonal．11．．．c5（by no means forced） 12 dxc 5 bxc 513 息c3 0－0 14 b 4 ！ （breaking up Black＇s pawn－structure）14．．．巴ّ c 8 $150-0 \mathrm{cxb} 4$（ 15 ．．．幽e7 16 bxc5 0 xc 517 断d4
面xa6 勾xa6 19 菅d4？（19 昷xd6！曹xd6 20崰d4 with a pleasant advantage） $19 \ldots$ ．． $2 \times 6420$ axb4 紫e7 21 b5！？皆c5 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Elianov－Bologan， Sarajevo 2005.

## 9．．． 2 d 710 良b4！金g7

10 ．．．c5 11 dxc 5 bxc5 12 典 c 3 is awkward for Black．In that case，White not only forces weak－ nesses but will play b4 early on．



## 

自c4Black has equalized．It＇s hard to believe that he can stand too badly in these lines，but the金d2－b4 idea is intriguing．

Let＇s return to Black＇s 7th move and see his alternative to a fianchetto．The ．．．${ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{e} 7$ lines are especially important as similar positions may also arise from other move－orders．


We have reached a position that has occurred frequently over the years．The basics are easy to understand：White wants to protect his centre and then shift towards the kingside or，some－ times，simply push the d－pawn down the board． Black tries to counterattack on the queenside， usually via the c－file．Eliminating the light－ squared bishops goes a long way towards pro－ tecting his king．We＇ll look at two games from this position．

## Epishin－Beliavsky <br> USSR Ch（Leningrad） 1990

## 9．．．0－0 10 喽c2 h6 11 e4 0 xc3 12 bxc 3 c5 13

 $0-0$（D）
## 13．．．部c8！？

This odd－looking move has the most immedi－ ate goals，threatening ．．．cxd4 and preparing to rid the board of White＇s dangerous bishop on d3． That would leave him with one less attacking piece and weak light squares on the queenside．

After $13 \ldots .0 \mathrm{c} 6$ ，a seemingly more logical



White the better of it with his central advan－ tage．

## 14 数e2

Perhaps 14 楼a $2!$ ？could be tried in order to get
 16 d 5 ．These are more or less typical ideas of the line 4 a 3 念b75 $5 \mathrm{c} 3 \mathrm{~d} 56 \mathrm{cxd5}$＠xd5．Inter－ estingly，this conflict between White＇s good centre and Black＇s restraint of it in combination with counterplay on the queenside light squares is extremely similar to both the Semi－Tarrasch （see page 46 ）and the Grünfeld Defence．



Or 18 胃adl cxd4 $19 \mathrm{cxd4}$ 数 c 4 with equality． 18．．．cxd4 19 cxd4 䊦c6 20 馬d1 苛ac8（D）


## 21 d5 exd5

Maybe 21 ．．．$\frac{1}{6} 4$ ！？is a better try．




bxa4 36 Ea8 g4 37 ©h4 h5 38 坒xa4 气e6 39 h3 ©d4 $1 / 2-1 / 2$

Kožul－Naiditsch
Kusadasi Ech 2006

## 

Black chooses a more active square for his knight．
 exd4！？要f6 16 c 4 ！Da5 17 Qe5 金xe5 18



As so often，Black puts most of his pieces on light squares．As a consequence，White＇s dar－ ing attack on the dark squares is unobstructed．
 dxe6 ${ }^{6} \times 2$

Not 24．．．崰xb2？？ 25 皆d7．

## 25 －gfd1 Effe8 26 h3 営c6 27 f3

Because of the opposite－coloured bishops the defence is difficult．But Naiditsch，probably in time－trouble，blunders．



B）
7 眰c2（D）
A popular move，trying to get e 4 in without further ado．The queen will also exert pressure down the c－file in cases where Black doesn＇t exchange on c 3 ．

7．．． $0 \times 13$
An illustrative excerpt is $7 . .$. 安e7！？ 8 血d2！？ （ 8 e 40 xc 39 bxc 3 transposes to the main line） 8．．．0－0 9 e4 ©xc3 10 鼻xc3（this time White＇s bishop assumes an active role and Black must

be ready for the move d 5 ，creating a passed pawn） $10 \ldots$ ．．．d7 11 留 dl 粕 c 8 ！（aiming for ．．．c5 and in some cases ．．．全a6，to eliminate White＇s best bishop） 12 金 d 3 酱 $\mathrm{d} 8130-0 \mathrm{c} 5$（D）．


14 d 5 ！（a Grünfeld－like passed pawn）14．．．c4！ 15 食e2 exd5 16 exd5 蕞f6 and the play was almost equal in the game Miles－Polugaevsky， Biel 1990，since the d－pawn won＇t get any fur－ ther even if White advances it to d6．

## 8 bxc 3

Naturally 8 㥪xc3 is sometimes played， when apart from 8 ．．．h6，Black has the sequence
 In spite of the black king＇s odd placement， White isn＇t able to make any progress，and moves like ．．．Ĕ8 8 and ．．．c5 will follow，or in some circumstances ．．． $0 \mathrm{ff} 6, .$. 幽d6 and ．．．c5． Such a line reflects a master＇s pragmatism：in－ stead of worrying about the principle of king safety in the abstract，he makes a real－world as－ sessment of White＇s actual attacking chances．


$110-0$
You may recognize that this is the main line after 7 e 3 ，with the sole exception of the pawn on h6．This illustrates the consistency of ideas that follows logically from a given pawn－structure．

## 11．．．垱c8！

$11 \ldots . . \operatorname{cxd} 412$ cxd4 9 c 6 isn＇t necessarily bad， but doesn＇t actually infiltrate on the queenside and thus risks White building up his attack rela－ tively unperturbed．Here＇s a nice example： 13



14．．．©a5？！（since Black isn＇t getting through on the queenside yet，maybe it＇s better to keep the pieces centralized；14．．．${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{d} 6$ looks like a good alternative） 15 島ad1 E e 816 Øe5！金f8 17 f4 f6 18 气g4 思e7？！ 19 f5！We8 20 e5！exf5 21悤xf5 fxe5（ 21 ．．．تूd8 22 幽c2 and White＇s at－
 （from here on out it＇s really just a matter of




所cl 幽d7 34 蒋d6 1－0 Gelfand－Naiditsch，Pam－ plona 2004.



We＇ll look at two games from this position．

## I．Sokolov－J．Polgar <br> Hoogeveen 2003

## 14 h4！

Grabbing space on the kingside and prepar－ ing an advance that ultimately pays dividends．

14．．．cxd4 15 cxd4 亘xd3 16 Exd3 气d7 17



## 18．．．数b7？

A waste of precious time．18．．．幽a6！is much better．

19 h5 Eac8 20 घึad1 䊑a6 21 e5 f5 22 d5！ exd5



23 d4

Here White had the nice option 23 Wa2！



B


25．．．g5！？
$25 . .0 \mathrm{xd} 32 \mathrm{hxg} 7$ 为xg7 27 Exd 3 is dan－ gerous for Black but not completely clear．The rest of the game flows smoothly．



 a6？！

Black＇s problem is that she＇s tied to defen－
 40 We5＋；best but hopeless in the long run is

幽c3 1－0

Krasenkow－Navara<br>Antalya Ech 2004

14 e5！？食xd3 15 芭xd3 cxd4 16 cxd4 2 c 6
Black stands somewhat worse after 16 ．．．Wed

食xg5 20 芭 c 7 ．

## 17 数e4 总d5！？

Navara suggests $17 \ldots$ ．．．wd w ！ 18 Dg5 全xg5 19
 $\triangle \mathrm{xd} 823$ 暍 d 1 包 6 with compensation．A good illustration of how，because of White＇s compro－ mised centre，Black gets to have some fun in－ stead of always defending．

18 宣e3 幽e8！？

Clearing d8．Black has emerged from the opening with an inferior but defensible position．



This is the real beginning of Black＇s light－ square strategy（beyond his concentration upon d5，that is）．He wants to play ．．．b5 and ．．．©c4， and of course a4 is open to the queen．These are all Grünfeld and Semi－Tarrasch themes．

## 21 h4！

White too abandons all pretence of profound strategy and launches the kingside attack that typifies such variations．

21．．．b5！？ 22 亿 g 5 g 6
 Exd4 25 E． 8 ．This theme persists for many moves．

## 23 h5 h6！

Krasenkow gives the pretty line 23．．．2c4？ 24 hxg 6 hxg 625 畨h4 自xg5 26 㑒xg5 星xd4 27
 follows．

## 24 ©h3g5

Another aesthetic attacking sequence is Kra－


 32 楼f7＋家c8 33 公 7 7

25 f4 © 4
Everything on light squares．
26 龺 2 ？
26 fxg5 ©xe3 27 嵝xe3 ${ }^{\text {Exd }} 428$ gxh6 fa－ vours White because of Black＇s king position．

## 26．．．f5！ 27 exf6 全xf6 28 fxg5 hxg5

The game is approximately equal．It was eventually drawn．

## 7 King＇s Indian Defence

Before we even begin，I should explain that the King＇s Indian Defence（a．k．a．＇KID＇）is actu－ ally a set of moves that has no specific starting point．That is to say，Black plays I．．．$\triangle \mathrm{f} 6,2 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$ ， 3．．．${ }^{\text {eg }} 7$ ，．．．d6 and almost always ．．．0－0（nor－ mally in that order），versus almost any first move by White other than 1 e4．But we shall concern ourselves with the King＇s Indian De－ fence in its original meaning，that is，versus d4．

The best way to introduce ourselves to the KID is to take a stroll through the first few moves．

## 1 d 4

1 c 40 f 6 can transpose into a I d4 version of the King＇s Indian Defence should White play d 4 on any of the next several moves．The same applies to 1 Df3；for example， $1 \ldots$ ．．． 62 d 4 g 6 ， etc．

1．．．Df6（D）


## 2 c 4

$2 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} 6$ is another route that often trans－ poses to c4 lines．Of course that order might lead to independent systems；for example， 3单g5， 3 宣f4，and a number of lesser options that are not covered in this book．A very brief word on the first two，since I＇ll have a bishop－devel－ opment theme over the next few moves．
a） $3 \hat{\&} \mathrm{~g} 5$ is an offshoot of the Torre Attack． Black can play any number of systems and any
number of move－orders，but in deference to my lectures about ．．．c5 in these contexts，I＇ll point out that after $3 \ldots \hat{\otimes} \mathrm{~g} 74 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{bd} 2$（versus ．．．De4） 4．．．c5 is a legitimate choice，with one important line proceeding 5 曾xf6 exf6 6 e 4 exd4（or

 possible）I0 U U d2，and now $10 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ！ 11 䒼xd5 Wivic7 is an effective sacrifice，intending ．．．宣e6 and play along the c－and d－files．
b） $3 \% \mathrm{Q} 4$ is the London System，a good choice to avoid theory but perhaps not a good one to learn from．Again ．．．c5 is to be consid－ ered at most points；e．g．， 3 ．．．c5 is theoretically equal and $3 \ldots$ ．．．g 74 e 3 d 65 h 3 （to have a place to hide after ．．．$勹 \mathrm{~h} 5$ ）5．．．0－0 6 定e2 c5 can be played，among others．In both cases Black has an eye on the move ．．．寝b6．This is relevant to points that I make below．

2 ．．．g6 3 气c3
This time 3 Df3 \＆g7 4 g 3 can be of inde－ pendent significance and will generally trans－ pose to the Fianchetto System，which I shall not be covering in this book．

3．．．拿 $\mathbf{g} 7$（ $D$ ）


This position may be considered the begin－ ning point for the King＇s Indian Defence，a sto－ ried opening associated with dynamic slugfests of the highest order．It was championed by

Fischer and Kasparov, who helped to keep the King's Indian popular in spite of its reputation as a risky proposition for the defender. Kasparov in particular revolutionized the strategic and even philosophical elements of the opening. The theory of the King's Indian has undergone constant changes for 60 years including wild shifts of strategy and assessment. Flexible play and the possibility of both players working on either or both wings makes this an opening for those with an inclination towards complex strategic thinking. At the same time, attacking players can get addicted to it! Today the King's Indian is enjoying a comeback among leading masters after a temporary decline in usage; at the club and open tournament level it has never stopped being popular.

To speak briefly about some general characteristics of the King's Indian, let me take the main-line position following $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \triangle \mathrm{f} 62 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 63$ ©c3 害g74 e4d65 Df30-0(D).


It's no wonder that players of the 19th century and first half of the 20th century took relatively little interest in this opening. After all, Black has failed to move a pawn to the fourth rank within the first five moves! No respectable opening at the time had such consistent disregard for classical principles, particularly when Black doesn't even have a grip on any of the four central squares. It wasn't until the late 1940s and 1950s that creative minds from the Soviet Union, including Bronstein, Boleslavsky, Geller, and a host of other strong players and analysts, began to find merit in Black's setup. The virtues of White's position are fairly obvious and have served him well up to the
present day: space, central control, a broad and mobile centre, and convenient squares for his pieces. But what sustains Black's game? His development has been faster than White's, which is a start. More significant than any other factor, however, is the relative weakness of White's d 4 , a consideration neglected in much of the literature. The d 4 -square will never be protected by a pawn and is always a potential point of attack or outpost for Black's pieces. In some variations we see ...c5 and ... $\downarrow \mathrm{c} 6$ targeting that point, but most of the variations in the position above involve the move ...e5. Even then, only a minority of systems combine ...e5 with the direct assault by ... $\searrow \mathrm{c} 6$ and ... 8 g 4 in combination with the bishop on g7. Instead, the move ...e5 sets up a simple dynamic. Three things can happen:
a) White can capture on e5 by dxe5, but this reduces the vitality of White's centre and exposes d 4 to occupation in conjunction with the d-file. See the Exchange Variation below and similar positions in the main lines.
b) Black can capture on d 4 by ...exd 4 with unpredictable effects. But what attracts King's Indian adherents about that trade is the extension of the range of the powerful bishop on g 7 and the opening of the e-file for a rook. Moreover, Black often gains the handy squares c5 and e5 for his knights. In return, White has an ideal restriction of Black's 'surrendered' centre (the pawn on d6 can't advance), which means that Black has to operate within a limited region. Furthermore, White's pieces can take up active squares in a harmonious manner; for example, a knight on d 4 and bishop on e3.
c) White can advance his pawn to d 5 . This generally eliminates any designs that Black has on the d4-square and extends White's space advantage. But now we can begin to see why the King's Indian is viable. With d5 relieving pressure on Black's centre and rendering any direct attacks unlikely, both sides can expand along the pawn-chains on opposite wings. For White, the move c 5 leads to the opening of lines and pressure on Black's pawns on c7 and d6. For Black the move ...f5, and in the main lines ...f4 and ...g5-g4, leads to the opening of lines and pressure on White's kingside. That is at least a reasonable bargain for Black, whose bishop on g 7 is a threat to become free should White try to stop his ...f5-f4 advance by exf5 or by f4.

That＇s quite a superficial account of play in the King＇s Indian，and such positions don＇t even arise in many variations．But the＇threat＇of their occurrence underlies a lot of what both sides actually do in terms of strategy．The other positional factors that interact with this opening are too numerous to mention，so I＇ll leave that to analysis and examples in specific variations．

My emphasis will be on the major systems
 They constitute the large bulk of master prac－ tice，and along with g3 systems they account for well over $90 \%$ of grandmaster games．Be－ cause the main lines are so instructive，I＇ll only briefly examine some instructive alternatives in what follows．

## Selected Alternatives to 4 e4




There are of course many alternatives to 4 e4，but none of them except the g3 variations are very popular．Therefore I＇ll point out only a few options with ideas that you might want to be aware of．I＇ll try to tie this section together a bit by emphasizing the move $\hat{\&} 5$ in many con－ texts．

## 4 ）f3

This flexible development of the king＇s knight introduces most serious deviation from main lines．Almost any set－up following from 4 e 3 can be met by ．．．d6，．．．0－0 and ．．．e5（sup－ ported by a piece if necessary）． 4 थf4 is a legiti－ mate move and will usually transpose into some other variation after 4．．．d6．Both sides should be aware that Black＇s attempts to exchange
such a bishop by means of ．．．$Q \mathrm{~h} 5$（for example） are an important consideration．Compare lines below．

Our main theme is the development of the queen＇s bishop to g 5 at various stages．Here 4莫g 5 can be met by $4 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$（ $4 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 65$ \＆h4 d6 is normal and fine，of course；．．．c5 may follow soon anyway），and if $5 \mathrm{~d} 5,5 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 66$ 食h4 曹a5 7曾 d 2 g 58 全g3 乌h5（D）followed ．．． 2 xg 3 with equality．


What should be noted is that，unsupported by a knight on f 3 ，the move 9 ©e5 cannot be played．That is the move that White should look for if Black has not yet played ．．．d6（see the next note）．

In this kind of position，which is ubiquitous in the King＇s Indian and Benoni，hundreds of top－level games have confirmed that Black＇s two bishops at least compensate for his slightly weakened pawn－structure．Regarding the latter． we can apply the old saying：weaknesses aren＇t weak unless you can exploit them．

## 4．．．d6

Once White is committed to $4 \Delta \mathrm{f} 3$ ，castling by Black seems to have lost the disadvantages that it has in the order 4 e $40-0$ ．That doesn＇t mean that it＇s a better move than 4 ．．．d6，but it al－ lows Black a few new options；for example． $4 \ldots 0-05$ 全g5 c5（the move ．．．c5 is thematic if the c1－bishop strays to the kingside；see below） 6 d 5 ！？h6 7 食h4 d6（watch out for $7 . . . \mathrm{g} 58$ \＆g3
 d6！，when things are getting awkward for Black） 8 e4！？（not the only move） $8 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 59$ eg3 $\Delta \mathrm{h} 5$ with equality．

## 5 㑒g5

This is known as the Smyslov System，which is very solid and a good choice for White if he doesn＇t fancy too complicated a position．He opts for quick development and well－placed pieces without trying to capture too much of the centre．For his part，Black doesn＇t feel very threatened and can play to gain some space on either wing．He also hopes to chase down White＇s dark－squared bishop as above．

As for 5 飠f4，Farago－Bilek，Budapest 1965 saw a creative solution to $5 \ldots 0-06 \mathrm{~h} 3$ ，a rather irritating move which is frequently played to preserve the f4－bishop and avoid having it chased down as in the examples that we keep seeing．The game went $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5(6 \ldots . \mathrm{h} 57$ 食h 2 is the point） 7 e 3 晻a5！ 8 粕d2 cxd 49 exd 4 e 5 ！（D）


10 \＆ 3 （not 10 dxe5？dxe5 11 全xe5，when $11 \ldots \pm \mathrm{e} 8$ wins material，and $11 \ldots$ c6 is also very strong） $10 . . .2 \mathrm{c} 611 \mathrm{~d} 5$ De7 12 全d3 De8 （ $12 \ldots . . \mathrm{Cf}_{\mathrm{f}} 13 \hat{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~g} 5 \mathrm{~h} 6$ ） $130-0 \mathrm{f} 5$ with equality． This even looks like a King＇s Indian！

We now return to $5 \hat{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{g} 5(\mathrm{D})$ ：


## 5．．．h6

Black prepares yet another combination of chasing the knight and ．．．c5．After $5 \ldots 0-06 \mathrm{e} 3$ ， the obvious $6 \ldots$. bd7，to prepare ．．．e5，can be met by the sophisticated and well－tested move 7
 10 b 4 ！is White＇s idea） $8 \Xi \mathrm{~d} 1$ ！，threatening dxe5，when $8 \ldots$ h6 9 \＆ h 4 Ee8 10 食e2 leaves Black cramped．Instead of this， $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 57$ 金e2 © 05 intending ．．．$Q$ e4 is often recommended，as Smyslov himself played when Black versus Pachman．That is hardly the most incisive line， however，and shouldn＇t put anyone off playing 5 气g5．

## 

Once again both sides have to decide where to put their pieces．The following is a logical way to continue：
$8 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{c5} 9 \mathrm{d5}$（D）


Not the only move，but an instructive one．
 Qf6

With equality．
The nice thing about this position for Black is that he still has the option to castle queenside if he wants to．Of course，this is hardly all that there is to the Smyslov System．

## The Orthodox 4 e4

## 

As mentioned above，this move accounts for the bulk of games in the King＇s Indian Defence． White＇s intentions are not subtle：he wants to play with a central space advantage so as to limit Black＇s development and make more room
for his own pieces. The conflict between this wish and the vulnerability of his centre to Black's attacks underlies the players' strategies for most of the opening phase and sometimes well beyond.

## 4...d6 (D)

This is almost universally played after 4 e4. Black's intent is to restrain e5 and stake out some claim to the centre. I shall only remark upon $4 . .00-0$ in the introductory note to the Four Pawns Attack below, in the context of that variation.


Although I won't entirely neglect other variations, I shall devote most of this chapter to the Four Pawns Attack ( $5 \mathrm{f4}$ ), and the Classical Variation ( 5 Df3), with an emphasis on the latter. The King's Indian is so vast that one could devote this book to its many fascinating variations, but in line with the philosophy that understanding can only be gained by attending to details, I have decided to specialize in those two variations. The examination of the Four Pawns continues my policy of taking the most obvious attempt at refutation of an opening in order to gain some insight into its character. To do this we might ask which moves would probably be proposed by the average player if he were seeing an opening for the first time, especially if he'd heard that the opening wasn't supposed to be any good. That is, which variation would he instinctively use to demonstrate that the opening was disadvantageous? For the King's Indian Defence, the Four Pawns Variation seems the obvious choice in that respect, because it attempts to punish Black immediately for not occupying the centre.

The Classical Variation of the King's Indian Defence is one of the most subtle and complex variations in chess, but at the same time it has some broadly applicable ideas that are quite straightforward, especially about pawn-structures and their treatment. Thus the inexperienced player can gain insights that will help him begin to play the KID, and there will be material that should help players of any level to refine their understanding.

In addition to these two systems, I have included sections on the Averbakh Variation (5 Qe2 0-0 6 \&g5) and the Sämisch Variation (5 f3). They feature a few unique pawn-structures and in particular emphasize the formations with the move ...c5 that don't appear in the Classical Variation.

First, to complete the discussion that we've had about ${ }^{\text {eg }} 5$ lines, I'll focus on the move 5 Qg5 and tie it in with the earlier examples we looked at, both practically and philosophically. After 5 eg5, Black can castle, but one might argue that $5 \ldots 0-0$ isn't optimal because it justifies White's play after 6 粕d2, preventing ...h6 and preparing attack in many lines. Of course that's hardly disastrous, but $5 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ is the more ambitious choice: 6 @ h 4 (if instead 6 色e3, $6 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 4$ is a good response, and $6 \hat{e} 4$ can be met efficiently by $6 \ldots .$. c6 7 d 5 e5!); and now $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ! (D).


We've now seen this move several times; in the King's Indian and most 1 d 4 openings, an early move of White's queen's bishop to $f 4$ or g5 indicates that Black should strongly consider ...c5 as his reply (immediately or within
the next few moves），rather than ．．．e5，which is the normal KID move．You＇ll find the ．．．c5 strategy all the more effective if Black can nudge White＇s bishop to h4（via ．．．h6）or g3（via ．．．h6 and ．．．g5）．Why？Because moving that bishop removes a defender from White＇s queen－ side，so the moves ．．．畨b6 and ．．．䂞 a 5 become more attractive．Of course，the reverse is also true：from White＇s side of the board，we can say that if Black＇s bishop goes to 55 or g4，he should strongly consider playing c4．This comes up many times in the Queen＇s Gambit and Slav chapters．

A primitive example is the Trompowsky At－ tack 1 d 4 Øf6 2 㑒g5，when of course Black can play $2 \ldots$ e6， $2 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 5$ ，or even head for a King＇s In－ dian Defence by $2 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 6$（allowing 3 全xf6 exf6， which may or may not be to his taste）．But there are two major lines involving a ．．．c5 counter－at－ tack：the immediate 2．．．c5（when ．．．Wb6 will generally follow if possible），and 2．．．2e4，when play can go 3 全 h 4 c 5 ！or 3 会 44 c 5 ！followed by ．．．娄b6 or ．．．曹 $55+$ ，depending upon the circum－ stances．Another case in point is the Grünfeld Defence，in which the \＆f4 variations for White tend to be answered by ．．．c5．

The associated warning is simply that，be－ fore developing your queen＇s bishop early on in a 1 d 4 opening，take care to anticipate and pre－ pare for any queenside attack by your oppo－ nent．Naturally there are plenty of instances in which it is completely safe and even best to do so（e．g．，variations involving ．．．数b6 tend to be inferior versus 4 ©g5 in the Queen＇s Gambit Declined），so don＇t be intimidated，just proceed with caution．

At any rate，let＇s take a look at the line 5 \＆g5 h6 6 \＆h 4 c 5 （as seen in our last diagram） 7 d 5
 the bishop on h4 is not available to bother


9 道d3（to parry the threat of $9 \ldots 2 \mathrm{xe} 4 ; 9$ ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~d} 2$ is natural，but on d1 White＇s queen was watching over h 5 ，whereas with the queen on d2，9．．．亿h5！followed by ．．． $0 x$ x3 would be both playable and good；the two bishops and beautiful long diagonal are particularly strong in this case） $9 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xe} 4$ ！（a known trick，which is again successful due to the absence of White＇s dark－squared bishop from the queenside） 10


winning the bishop on e4 or trapping the other one by ．．．f4）．Then：
 in order to have the last rank available，a nice refinement） 14 h 4 （ 14 畨 $\mathrm{h} 5+$ 娄d8 15 h 4 g 416宣d3 f4） $14 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 415$ 全d3 f4 16 気2 fxg3 17
 large advantage for Black，Spassky－Fischer， Sveti Stefan／Belgrade（16） 1992.
 hxg5 hxg5 17 Qxf4？！gxf4 18 蕞h2（Black＇s

畨g7 0－1 Stein－Geller，USSR Cht（Moscow） 1966．White＇s resignation is due to 24 庴g
 great King＇s Indian players．

## Four Pawns Attack

To discuss this variation，let＇s return to the fourth move：

## 

$4 \ldots 0-0(D)$ has advantages in some lines，es－ pecially if Black wants to play ．．．c5 without a preliminary ．．．d6；Fischer played it at least once． In doing so，we run into a couple of thought－ provoking issues．

Surprisingly，Black needn＇t be too afraid of 5 e5 0 e 86 f 4 d 6 because White will have diffi－ culty maintaining his centre in the face of ．．．c5； for example， 7 Df3 c5 8 d 5 （ 8 dxc 5 Dc6 with tremendous compensation if White tries to hang onto his pawn by multiple exchanges on d6；see how the bishop on g 7 comes to life） 8 ．．． Q g 49


fxe5 Dd7！．These lines are well worth playing over if you＇re fairly new to this opening or the concept of how to undermine a large centre．

On the other hand，a conventional move such as 5 \＆ g 5 ，which we just saw is not dangerous if ．．．d6 has already been played，can be trickier af－ ter $5 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 66$ 䫜d2（now there＇s no ．．．h6／．．．g5 se－ quence）；or $5 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 66$ \＆ e 3 ！and Black lacks the normal harassing move ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{g} 4$ while he has weakened his kingside．After 5．．．c5， 6 d 5 数a5 7
 leaves Black＇s kingside vulnerable to h4－h5， ©f3－g5，etc．White could also play 6 dxc 5 嘗a5 7 龇d2 to greater effect，because an early $\varnothing \mathrm{d} 5$ can be effective．

This incomplete discussion of $4 \ldots 0-0$ isn＇t directly important（after all，hardly anyone plays the move！），but we can begin to get a feel for typical King＇s Indian considerations．

54 （D）


The Four Pawns Attack，White＇s most ambi－ tious approach and probably the first one that
would occur to a player who has never seen the King＇s Indian，but knows the importance of central control and occupation by pawns．In the 1920s when the King＇s Indian became more than a curiosity，the Four Pawns was indeed used by the likes of Alekhine，Bogoljubow． among other leading players．The dynamic possibilities and chances for a quick knockout were surely considerations in their reaction．

Most textbooks（and this one）will show some examples of the triumph of the centre in other openings；e．g．，something along the lines of 1 e4 e5 2 ゆf3 Dc6 3 全c4 全c5 4 c 3 ⿹f6 5 d 4 exd4 6 cxd4 全b6？ 7 d5 Qe7 8 e5 and Black＇s pieces are driven into passivity as a result of the unopposed onslaught by White＇s centre pawns． How much better it should be to play moves like e5 and d 5 ，when they are protected by pawns on either side！Furthermore，Black is supposed to stake a claim in the centre before he is overrun，right？Of course，it＇s not so easy for White；advantages of this nature always have their accompanying disadvantages．In the case of the Four Pawns KID，we see that the pawns on c4 and f4 are also restricting the scope of White＇s bishops on fl and cl ．Perhaps this isn＇t a dominant concern because once the pawns advance，at least one is normally ex－ changed．For example，in the main lines Black will trade off his e－pawn for White＇s c－pawn or e－pawn．Still，the cost in time to erect such a centre has to be considered．

Furthermore，the advance of pawns in any chess opening leaves open the risk that they might become weak．In the Four Pawns KID． we see that the squares e4 and d4 are no longer able to receive the support of the pawn moves c 3 and f3，so they are more exposed to attack： this is particularly true of d 4 ，since it is indi－ rectly attacked by Black＇s bishop on g7 and can be disturbed by the pawn moves ．．．c5 and ．．．e5． Furthermore，the squares e3 and d3 may be considered＇interior weaknesses＇，since they are also without support．In most situations．inte－ rior weaknesses on the third rank are difficult to exploit，being within the defensive range of so many pieces．But that can be a different matter if the centre in front of them is compromised．If and when White＇s pawns advance further to d5 and／or e5（which is the plan，after all），e4 and d 4 become interior weaknesses as well．Then
those front pawns are within range of Black＇s forces，which are waiting on the second and third ranks for just such an opportunity to tear into the ill－protected foot soldiers．That worst－ case scenario for White would result from his over－enthusiasm．It turns out that White should usually marshal his pieces to support the centre pawns and wait for the right opportunity to press forward．Or in some cases he will count upon them as cramping influences and forego a gen－ eral advance indefinitely．These methods pro－ vide the rationale for White＇s entire enterprise．


These first six moves are normally played（ 6密e2 will follow in this note）．How does Black react to this powerful front，and how does he get his pieces out to any but passive squares？There are three basic ideas．One is to grab one＇s share of the centre directly by ．．．e5，which is not im－ mediately feasible．and unfortunately the prepa－ ratory $6 \ldots$ ．．．$b d 7$ is met by either 7 e 5 or 7 㫣d3 without giving Black sufficient counterplay．So Black needs to snipe at the centre from a dis－ tance，preferably using his bishop on g7．He can therefore consider 6．．c5，which tries to extend the scope of Black＇s bishop on g 7 and attack the centre at the same time．Something similar can occur after $6 \ldots$ ．． 可6，but in that case ．．．e 5 be－ comes a major idea and piece placement is a key for both sides．The resulting battle will demon－ strate many themes universal to the KID．Taken as a whole，the Four Pawns Attack gives us a thorough course in the handling of broad centres by both sides．

Before moving on，the often－played 6 \＆e2 （presumably to avoid $6 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ 气． g 4 ）restricts

White＇s options in a number of lines，notably $6 \ldots$ ．．． 06 ．White might want to try the move－ order 7 葢e3，to control c5 after 7．．．e5 8 fxe5 dxe5 9 d 5 ．But Black can also get ambitious after 6 定e 2 by the underrated $6 \ldots$ ．．． 5 ！；for ex－ ample， 7 dxe5（ 7 fxe5 dxe5 8 d5 ©a6 with equality；compare the $6 \ldots$ ．．． 6 main line，where White has been limited to the move 定 2 in－ stead of the important options that he enjoys
 9 fxe5 0 fd 7 （ $D$ ）．


This is the first of a lot of King＇s Indian posi－ tions in which White tries to make something of his extra pawn and direct threats．Given an extra move，Black will have the advantage because of White＇s inferior pawn－structure，so White must strike first： 10 d5（10 e6 fxe6 covers d5；then Black can turn his attention to occupying the

 wise Black captures on e5 exposing the isolated pawn behind it） 14 ．．．公xe5！（a positionally－moti－ vated exchange sacrifice；instead，14．．．f5 15 exf5 Dxe5 is equal） 15 安xc8 Exc8（D）．

Black controls every important square and has
 A sequence such as 16 島d $\triangleq \mathrm{xc} 417 \mathrm{~b} 3$ 乌d6
 that White can do，but he then stands worse be－ cause Black has two pawns and superior piece placement．This is worth checking for yourself．

After $6 \triangle \mathrm{f} 3$ ，we turn to the variations begin－ ning with $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ and $6 \ldots$ ．．．） 6 ．

Incidentally，why don＇t masters play 6．．． Q 4 ？ After all，that move puts pressure directly on White＇s vulnerable d 4 －square by pinning the

knight and sometimes threatening to exchange it．In conjunction with a combination of moves like $\ldots c 5, \ldots \triangleq \mathrm{c} 6$ and／or ．．．e5 this is certainly at－ tractive．For no obvious or even logical rea－ sons，the specifics of the position interfere．A good line for White is 7 \＆ e 3 （often the bishop on this square is vulnerable to ．．．$\Delta \mathrm{g} 4$ ，but not this time） $7 \ldots$ ．．． fd 7 ！？（the thematic move in such positions，supporting ．．．e5 or ．．．c5 and un－ masking the bishop；instead，7．．．exf3 8 娄xf3 e5 9 fxe 5 dxe5 10 d 5 favours White，who will play 0－0－0；in this type of position，check to see if White has a bishop on e3 fighting for c5） 8 h3！？（White loses a tempo in order to set up the idea that follows； 8 兽e2 is also advantageous
 （ $9 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ！？ 10 d 5 㑒xc3＋！ 11 bxc 3 柴a5 has posi－ tional points in its favour，but White still has his advantage on both wings with his space and bishop－pair after simply $12 \% \mathrm{~d} 2$ ， e 2 and $0-0$ ； also， $9 \ldots .0 \mathrm{c} 6$ falls short due to 10 e5！） 10 dxe5！ （ 10 fxe5？runs into the surprise 10 ．．．c5！－a tac－ tic to remember，since it applies to other posi－ tions）10．．．dxe5 11 f5（D）．

In spite of his command of d4，Black lacks sufficient compensation for White＇s bishop－pair and the eventual attack by playing g 4 ．This is not at all obvious，so let＇s go a few moves further and look at two moves：
a） $11 \ldots$ ．．． 6 12 0－0－0 $Q \mathrm{~d} 413$ 迷f2 c6（13．．．c5 14 g 4 类a5 15 吉bl and White simply marches forward with moves such as g 5 and h 4 －h5 in some order，with $\varnothing \mathrm{d} 5$ another potential factor） 14 g 4 劃 a 515 g 5 ．The opening is basically over， with White well on top with the ideas h4－h5 and／or f6，Glek－Damljanović，Belgrade（GMA） 1988.

 $12 \ldots$ 会xe3＋13歯xe3（D）．

B


We have reached one of those fairly common cases in which exchanging a＇bad＇fianchettoed bishop（in this case on g 7 ）for the opponent＇s very good one（on e3）can be disadvantageous due to the weaknesses left unprotected；for ex－ ample， $13 \ldots$ c6 14 g 4 Ød4 and Black has a su－ perb knight versus a very bad bishop and yet after 15 h 4 he is in serious trouble in the face of g5 and h5；for example，15．．．c6 16 e2（a sim－ ple move to get rid of Black＇s best piece；also

 Black could use a bishop on g7！） 18 h 5 ªd8 （18．．．g5 19 ニ̈xd7！） 19 hxg 6 fxg6 20 \＃h6！㤟g7
幽e2 5xe4 25 fxg6！and wins．

## Central Break

6．．．c5（D）


This is Black＇s traditional main line．He at－ tacks the centre at its weakest point on d 4 ；but at the same time wants to unleash the power of the bishop on g7．

## 7 d 5

There are two frequently－used ways to devi－ ate from this natural move：
a）White might want to take the King＇s In－ dian player out of his normal channels by 7安e2 cxd4 8 气xd4（D）．


White aims for a Maroczy Bind structure （characterized by c4 and e4）．This is a safe choice，but compared to the Sicilian Defence version in Volume 1，the move f 4 instead of f 3 weakens 4 and makes it easier for Black to find counterplay；for example，8．．． Da 6 ！（an ambi－ tious move that refuses to simplify；Black has a few cards up his sleeve－the immediate ideas
 （ $10 \ldots$ ．．． h 6 is also considered equal） $110-0(\mathrm{D})$ ．
$11 \ldots \mathrm{e} 5$ ！ 12 Ddb5 exf4！ 13 是xf4 Qe5 14





 Bisguier－J．Watson，Minneapolis 1982．White＇s knight is restricted on c3 and because of e5 and the e－file Black had some advantage．These dark－square themes are ubiquitous in the KID．

The alternative $8 \ldots . \mathrm{c}^{6}(D)$ is of course play－ able but gives few positive prospects for Black．


9 定e3 0 xd 410 全xd4 e5 11 fxe5 dxe5 12
 Exd1 16 Exd1 \＆e6 17 h 3 㫣f8 with equality， Tarasov－Geller，USSR Ch（Moscow） 1961.
b） 7 dxc 5 got a short burst of attention from some top players but doesn＇t have much punch： $7 . .$. 溇 5 5！ 8 退d3（to protect the e－pawn；instead，
 rible for White：it＇s not just the doubled pawns but his weaknesses on $\mathrm{d} 3, \mathrm{e} 3$ and e4） $8 \ldots \mathrm{~W} . . \mathrm{Wc} 59$迷e2（to kick the queen out by 㑒e 3 and be able to castle；this is a little like the Austrian Attack
in the Pirc Defence from Volume 1）9．．． e 410



Both sides are fighting for d 4 ：
 sense because now that White＇s c－pawn is a target and the g7－bishop is unleashed，Black needn＇t fear any simplification） 14 घacl a5！ 15 b5？！（15 慧b2）15．．．©d4；here Black owns c5 and the c－file versus White＇s weak c4－pawn， Dlugy－Schmaltz，Internet 1999.



13．．．量xf3 14 gxf3 ©c5 15 全b1 乌a4！（a move that＇s been emulated more than once；it eliminates White＇s most annoying idea，i．e． Qd5；Black＇s position is without weaknesses， so even if White has a nominal advantage be－ cause of his space advantage，the practical chances are equal） 16 Qxa4 需xa4 17 b 3 溇a3
 Ed 1 全xf2＋22 equality，Topalov－Kasparov，Linares 1994.

7．．．e6（D）


Black has to strike back at White＇s centre．

## 8 会e2

Periodically someone tries to open up the d－ file by 8 dxe6，but 8 ．．．fxe 6 covers $d 5$ ，prevent－ ing White from landing a knight on that square． In the meantime Black has an outpost on d4． one that masks his potentially weak pawn on d6．A famous example went 9 莤d3 $2 \mathrm{c} 6100-0$ a6（or $10 \ldots . d$ d ，as Kasparov once played） 11 a4（．．．b5 would greatly benefit Black＇s pieces． so White stops it）11．．．b6 12 gg5（D）．


12．．．』a7！（an example of second－rank de－ fence，and preparation for a counterattack） 13
 17 f5（White doesn＇t want to allow ．．．exf4，but 17 fxe5 鳥xe5！also establishes an outpost on an open file） $17 \ldots$ h6 18 乌h3（ 18 fxg6 hxg5 19全xg5 堍e8！recovers the pawn with dividends）
 （Black＇s opening has been a total success and
his second－rank strategy comes in handy after
 and starting a huge attack） $21 \ldots . \varphi_{\mathrm{h} 5} 22$ 㓔 4

 the rest is easy to understand） 28 f6 Eh7 29
 Qxd6 世g6 33 Е゙b3 全xf6 34 宣g5 宣g7 35


䍚g5．Black won quickly in Hjartarson－Larsen， Reykjavik 1986.

8．．．exd5（D）


## 9 cxd5

a） 9 e5？！is still being played and has been analysed for many years．In most examples it is a classic case of overextended pawns．The easi－ est road to some advantage is $9 \ldots . \mathrm{De}^{2} 10$ cxd5 （ 10 宏d5 might be the best way to bail out，al－ though $10 \ldots$ ．．．c6 11 \＆d3 f5 12 exf6 0 xf6 fa－ vours Black） $10 \ldots \triangleq \times \mathrm{c} 311 \mathrm{bxc} 3$ Dd7！（D）．


Remarkably，White is already much worse． For example，120－0（12 e6 is weak after either
 dxe6 0 b 6 or $12 \ldots$ ．．．fe6 13 dxe6 0 b 6 and Black corrals the d－pawn；for example， $140-0$ 㝠xe6
 Fyllingen－Djurhuus，Norwegian Ch （Rorøs） 2002）12．．．dxe5（D）and now：

a1） 13 罗hl e4！（this is a key move even when the pawn can be taken！Here Black opens up the h8－al diagonal without losing a pawn）
 berg－Kopp，Hessen 1992.



金g5 Black is clearly better，Li Zunian－Gheorghiu， Dubai OL 1986.
b） 9 exd5 is also instructive．One good re－ sponse is $9 \ldots$ ．．．ff5！（this stops White＇s only real threat：activation of his c1－bishop and f1－rook by the advance f5） $100-0$ 党e8（10．．．ゆa6 11


This is White＇s idea．He wants to exchange bishops on d3，then advance his pawn to f5， bring his dark－squared bishop onto an active square，and attack．Now：
b1） $11 \ldots$ at 12 全xf5 gxf5 gives us a posi－ tion of a type that arises in other openings．Ba－ sically a knight on e4 will block off serious threats to the f－pawn，and meanwhile Black gets the g －file．
b2）11．．． $\begin{gathered}\text { did } \\ d 7\end{gathered}$（Black takes a stand on f5；un－ til White breaks down that square，his pieces

will be cramped and his interior weaknesses on e 3 and d 3 will come into play） 12 h 3 亿a6（now the idea is ．．．$勹 \mathrm{c} 7$ and ．．．b5；notice how ．．．${ }^{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{d} 7$ helps in that respect as well） 13 a 3 （ 13 g 4 酉xd3 14 催xd3 2 b 415 幅d1 h5！ 16 g 5 气e4！ 17 气xe4 Exe4 and White＇s king is exposed； 13 音xf5 gxf5！prepares ．．．©e4 with total control along the e－file）13．．．号c7 14 （W2（14 g4 allows a promising piece sacrifice by $14 \ldots$ ． Exg 4 ，which will generally give Black a perpetual check at worst；and Black can also play for queenside
 17 a4 a6 18 bxa6 0xa6；Black has excellent play on both wings） 14 ．．．b5！ 15 cxb5 ©fxd5 16 Qxd5 Qxd5（D）


17 复xf5 gxf5！（that e4 outpost again！Also， compare the remaining bishops） 18 气㐅ble

 J．Polgar，Novi Sad（women）OL 1990.

We now return to the position after 9 cxd5 （D）：


From the position after 9 cxd5，I＇ll present a short overview of the daring $9 . . .2$ bd7 and then look at two main moves， $9 \ldots . . \mathrm{E} \mathrm{e} 8$ and $9 \ldots$ ．．． g 4 ， citing games with a selection of the most essen－ tial treatments and tactical motifs of the Four Pawns．Several of these also apply to other openings．The main alternative $9 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ！？ex－ presses a different philosophy，trying to divert White from protection of his centre．The first threat is ．．．b4，which limits White＇s options．If White plays the obvious move 10 自xb5？！ Black has a tactic that you simply have to know if you＇re playing the King＇s Indian or Benoni with either colour： $10 \ldots$ ）xe4！（D）．


11 Qxe4 wa5＋with the idea 12 © c 3 （12
 White＇s king and weakens his light squares）
 on the light squares，his c1－bishop is restricted， and he can＇t even castle．

Having said all that，the drawback to $9 \ldots$ ．．．b5 is 10 e5！dxe5 11 fxe5 $\triangleq g 412$ 金g5（or 12 㑒xb5

5xe5 13 0－0 and White has a passed d－pawn and attacking pieces）；for example，12．．． w b6 $130-0 \mathrm{c} 4+14$ thl，when after $14 \ldots . \mathrm{f} 2+$ ？ 15
 gives White a great game．

## Central Provocation

## 9．．．Dbd7

Here Black attempts to restrain White＇s cen－ tre before attacking it．This is an underrated system that was held to be inferior due to 10 e 5 ， but then Black can launch a dynamic counterat－ tack．Here＇s one example：

## Kopionkin－Ulko

Russian Cht（Smolensk） 2000

## 10 e5 dxe5

Even $10 \ldots$ ．．． 8 ！？is an instructive position to play around with：is White＇s centre weak or strong？I think you＇ll find that Black is doing well．

A bold sacrifice．



Black has two mobile pawns for a piece，two bishops，and open lines against White＇s ex－ posed king．

## 16 㟶 $h 4$

 extremely strong．

16．．．h6 17 © 3 g5 18 嶫a4？（ $D$ ）
But it would be surrender to play 18 全xg5
 has the piece back with a much superior game．

 We7＋22 थ $^{6} 2$

Even worse is 22 部d1？？昷a4＋。

Black wins the queen in one way or another；


## Attack on the Centre

9．．．．．e8（D）


Black makes a direct，provocative move that attacks the pawn on e4．This brings to the fore the conflict between White＇s direct e5 and Black＇s attempts to destroy the central pawns before they strangle him．I＇ll use two exem－ plary games to investigate the nature of the re－ sulting play：

## Blokh－A．Feldman <br> USSR 1982



The slower－looking $120-0$ doesn＇t force Black＇s queen to move，but retains some attack． Here＇s a tricky example： $12 \ldots$. ．．xe5 13 㝠f4 Qbd7 14 d 6 ！（this is the move that opens up White＇s pieces：his important moves are $Q \mathrm{~d} 5$
 （heading for the important d 4 －square） 16 踾 d 2
 looks solid enough，when 18 昷d5 keeps some initiative for the pawn） 18 d 7 ！蕞xd7（18．．．甥xd2
 just a piece down）20．．．h5 21 点d5 食xc3 22粠xf7＋\＄b 23 bxc3 1－0 Vaïsser－Wohlers Armas，Cannes 2000.

12．．．暗b6（D）


## 13 幽 d 2 ！？

An exciting move invented by the Four Pawns theoretician Blokh．Instead，one of the main lines of theory goes $130-0 \triangleq$ xe5 $14 \triangleq x$ xe5



 Wid5＋with a draw，Vaïsser－Bauer，France 1992. OK，this is all theory（and was at the time），but it shows the near－perfect balance between at－ tack and defence that typifies this main line of the $9 . .$. ege8 Four Pawns Attack．

## 13．．．®d7

It＇s always a critical decision for Black whether to capture the important e－pawn．The danger is that it will take too much time from Black＇s development．For example，Blokh anal－ yses 13．．．Oxe5 14 0－0－0 c4 15 台xe5 首xe5 16
 （D）．


That essential move again！This time it＇s based upon the line 19．．．』f8 20 島de1！食xd6 21全f6 and 曾h6．

14 e6！
A typically strong thrust when Black plays too passively．

## 14．．．fxe6 15 dxe6 \＆${ }^{\text {exc }} 3$ ？

The best line may be 15 ．．．类xe6 16 分d5 莫e5
 d d ！with some advantage，or here 20 c 7 Ee4 $210-0$ ！？

16 bxc3 状xe6 170－0！勾df6



18 芭ae1 幽c6 19 h 3 ！©e4 20 潾f4 h6 21



Not surprisingly，Black could have defended better but this is a good illustration of what damage White＇s centre can do．

## Piskov－Parmentier <br> Budapest 1989

10 公d2（D）
At first this move looks passive，merely pro－ tecting e4，but it has a very dynamic plan be－ hind it：White wants to get his knight to c4 and force e5 through．Why not just leave the knight on f 3 and do the same？For one thing，advanc－ ing to e5 immediately gambits a pawn，as in the previous game．It also happens that a knight on c4 is ideally placed to attack the d－pawn（and the d6－square itself）if，for example，Black plays ．．．Dfd7 at some point．Another very important advantage to $2 \mathrm{~d} 2-\mathrm{c} 4$ is that a bishop can go to f3 to strengthen White＇s centre．Then if White

plays e5，this piece will defend the d－pawn against attack and can grow greatly in range． The formation with a bishop on f 3 and knight on c4 also allows a rook on el to provide direct support for a central thrust．Finally，it turns out that there is a dangerous sacrifice initiated by e5 and then playing 0 c 4 with tempo．

What should Black do？It＇s all a matter of timing．First of all， $\mathscr{Q} \mathrm{c} 4$ isn＇t a threat yet be－ cause the pawn on 4 hangs．Queenside activity is called for to activate his pieces before White can implement his plan．Specifically Black would like to play ．．．b5 and／or ．．．c4 to try to get a knight to squares like d3．

## 10．．． 4 a6

A good defensive example was $10 \ldots$ a6 11 a4
 Qxe4 Exe4 is equal，and has the point 15 Qxd6？？世 $\mathrm{w} 6+$ ）13．．．©c5 14 e5 dxe5 15 fxe5
 rificing the exchange in this line to maintain the initiative；otherwise White has moves like a5，
 Glek－Tseshkovsky，Budapest 1989．Black has activity for the pawn and the d－pawn is weak but the position is still hard to assess．

## $110-0$（D）

11．．．c4！？
A characteristic idea．The other strategy is to play for ．．．b5；e．g．，11．．．\＃bb 12 的hl Dc7 13 a 4 a6 14 a5 是d7！（this is a customary Benoni ma－ noeuvre） 15 亶 f 3 气b5 $16 \mathrm{e} 5 \mathrm{dxe} 517 \mathrm{fxe5}$ 鳥x 5 18 sc 4 常f5！？（ingenious；Tal introduces an elaborate exchange sacrifice） 19 気 3 घff4！ 20





USSR Spartakiad（Moscow）1967．The finish
乌h3\＃．

## 12 㘶h1！©c5 13 e5！

Again White sacrifices a pawn so that $\sum_{\mathrm{c} 4}$ can come with tempo．

## 13．．．dxe5 14 fxe5！


 ©d6 gives Black wonderful compensation for a mere pawn，Meduna－Vokač，Olomouc 1995. Every black piece is on the right square．

14．．．$D \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{d 7}$ ？
14．．．Exe5！could lead to consecutive ex－
 17 所x5！？gxf5 18 㑒g5 with complications．

15 e6 fxe6 16 ©xc4 $2 \mathrm{e} 517 \mathrm{d6}(D)$


This pawn is going to cause a lot of trouble， pinning down Black＇s pieces．

 g4！楼xg425 © 5 ！？

Or 25 』xa4 宣xa426 ©e5．



The knight is trapped．
Restraint of the Centre
9．．．量g4（D）


Black attempts to prevent White＇s centre from advancing by exchanging the piece that most supports e5：White＇s knight on f 3 ．This results in a position in which Black＇s play is almost ex－ clusively of the queenside，involving expansion and routing his pieces in that direction．White either plays in the centre by marshalling his forces to break through on the restricted square e5，or uses pawn advances on the kingside to launch a direct attack on that lightly－populated area of the board．

## 100－0 亿bd7 11 Еّe1

11 h3 全xf3 12 鍟xf3 wastes a bit of time to force the exchange．There may follow moves like $12 \ldots$ ．． $\mathrm{e} 8,12 \ldots$ Еb 8 ，or even $12 \ldots$ e $\mathrm{e} 8 \mathrm{in}-$ tending ．．． 2 c 7 and ．．．b5．

11．．．Ee8 12 h3 全xf3 13 各xf3崰a5！ 14 全e3 （D）

White is still trying to blast through in the centre．He needs 安e3 so as to answer ．．．c4 with ed4．Both sides have to keep an eye on the plan ．．．c4，．．．©c5－d3，exploiting White＇s interior weaknesses．As usual，it＇s all a matter of tim－ ing，not only tactically but also for the achieve－ ment of positional goals such as winning key squares．Here are two older games from this po－ sition，both played in the same year and still rel－ evant．


Vaïsser－Kindermann<br>Biel 1991

## 14．．．Еас8！？

Intending ．．．c4 and ．．． 5 c 5 ；this has been crit－ icized but may not be bad．

15 g 4 ！？
This aggressive advance is one of White＇s main attacking ideas in this variation．although the kingside weakening can cause it to backfire． White really does need to react to the idea of ．．．c4 and imitating the next game by 15 全f doesn＇t seem to do enough．

15．．．h6 16 h4（ $D$ ）


## 16．．．b5？！

Here＇s a trick that players of both colours should know： $16 \ldots$ h5！．Now if $17 \mathrm{~g} 5,17 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 4$ ！ 18 息xg4 hxg4 19 崰xg4 0 b 6 ！intends ．．． 0 c 4 or in some cases ．．．全xc3；White＇s attack has disap－ peared．And after 17 gxh5 $0 \times \mathrm{xh} 518$ 憲xh5 gxh5 19 幽xh5 ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{w} 4$ ！，White has to watch out for ．．．$勹 \mathrm{f} 6$ and ．．． 9 xe 4 ．These positions are unclear
but at least as dangerous for White as Black． The ．．．h5 idea is useful if it looks as though other measures will be inadequate．

17 g 5 hxg 518 hxg 5 Qh7 19 余g4！
One of the major points behind $\mathrm{g} 4-\mathrm{g} 5$ is to activate this often－passive bishop．

19．．．．̈．cd8
Now we see White explode in the centre just in time：

20 e5！（ $D$ ）


## 20．．．dxe5 21 f5！e4！

Freeing Black＇s bishop and preparing to bring pieces to e5 and d4．This is normally the best way to respond to an e5 sacrifice in the Four Pawns．

## 22 fxg6！

Kindermann＇s clever trick was 22 f6？ Qhxf6 23 gxf6 Øxf6 and White＇s extra piece means nothing because his kingside is too exposed and Black，already with three extra pawns，threat－ ens to win the d－pawn by ．．．b4．

## 22．．．fxg6 23 宣e6＋${ }^{\text {exe6t }}$

Correctly trying to keep the initiative，but it doesn＇t work；23．．．sh8 is best，and difficult to crack．

24 dxe6 ©e5 25 e7！癷e8 26 敕d5＋安h8 27
 1－0

## Kožul－Nunn

Wijk aan Zee 1991

## 14．．．b5 15 a3 $\triangle b 6$ ！

Heading for c 4 ，to target the weakness on e3 and supplement the pressure exerted by Black＇s bishop along the al－h8 diagonal．But White
still has the bishops and centre，so this isn＇t a one－way street； $15 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 416 \mathrm{axb} 4$ 崰xb4 is also played．

16 皿 $\mathbf{f 2 !}$ ？（ $D$ ）
16 e 5 is the consistent move if it works！Then a controversial line is $16 \ldots$ ．．． c 417 exf6 包e3
 experts know what＇s happening，or maybe they don＇t！


16．．． 5 c 417 娄c2 0 d 7
Black discourages e5，that square now being controlled five times！17．．． $0 x b 2$ ？fails to 18 e5！．

## 

A perfectly－timed move，avoiding the tempt－ ing $18 . . . \triangleq x b 219$ xb55．

19 a4 b4！ 20 食xc4
Later 20 Qb5！？was played．
20．．．bxc321 b3 a6 22 光ec1包b6 23 会f1 c4！ （D）


24 良xc4！？

The prettiest of winning ideas is $24 \mathrm{b4}$ 㥪 $\times b 4$





 gxh3 ${ }^{\text {Ee }} 2+0$－ 1

A classic game．Black＇s queenside attack beat White＇s central one．

## 6．．． 06 vs the Four Pawns

领3（D）


At first this may seem to be a strange way to counter a formation so imposing as the Four Pawns．As it happens，moving a knight to the rim is routine in the King＇s Indian Defence and relates directly to the centre．For one thing，the usual choices of a square for this piece are：
a）c6，where it can be attacked by d 5 ，and
b）d7，where it gets in the way of Black＇s light－squared bishop．

In this particular situation neither of those options is realistic．The strategy behind ．．．$\circlearrowright$ a 6 is to play ．．．e5 and then have the c5－square available for the knight in case White grabs the e5－pawn and tries to hold it．That will yield ac－ tive play．On a secondary level， $6 \ldots \triangleq a 6$ is a waiting move to see what White is up to．Black can still change his mind about ．．．e5 and play something like ．．．䡒g4 or ．．．c5 instead．

## 7 霓d3

This is probably the most logical continua－ tion if White is planning to answer ．．．e5 with
d5 at some point．Then his e－pawn is covered， a nice thing in view of a coming ．．．$\triangleq c 5$ ．Some of the alternatives are just as important，how－ ever．
a） 7 e 5 might be the Four Pawns player＇s in－ stant reaction，since it stops ．．．e5 and at first the knight on a6 doesn＇t look relevant with regard to central play．But the latter part isn＇t true． $7 . . . Q \mathrm{~d} 7(D)$ follows，when Black is about to play ．．．c5．


Then one of several ideas is 8 \＆ 2 （White would like to be able to play d 5 in response to ．．．c5 without losing his e－pawn；the only move that keeps the pawns intact on d 5 and e5 is 8数e2，but then 8．．．c5 9 d 5 ©b6！prepares ．．．e6 to crack open the e－file vis－a－vis White＇s queen．so 10 宜 3 是g4！could follow，with good play for Black）8．．．c5 9 exd6 exd6（ $9 \ldots . . c x d 410$ ©xd4 ©b6 $110-0$ 崰xd6 12 全e3 leaves White＇s pieces nicely centralized，and $12 \ldots .0 \mathrm{c} 5$ ？fails to 13 Qdb5）and now：
al）100－0 is reasonable．Then Black should probably attack the loose e－file squares by 10．．．包6（or maybe $10 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 411$ Dxd4 断b6 12 Qcb5 乌ac5 13 कh1 ©f6 with the same notion） 11 d 5 （ 11 \＆ e 3 g 4 ）11．．．巴e8，intending 12金d3 \＆ 6 ！with a position from 9 exd5 in our last section！
a2） $10 \mathrm{~d} 5(\mathrm{D})$ ．
Here Black has two moves of note：
a21） $10 . .0 \mathrm{Q} 7110-0$ and we should note that $11 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ？is premature（but $11 \ldots . \mathrm{f} 6$ ！should be fine，and $11 \ldots$ ．．． ec 3 is also possible）： $12 \mathrm{f5}$ ！ bxc4 13 fxg6（or 13 金g5！f6 14 金f4）13．．．fxg6 14 㑒 $g 5$ with an initiative for White，Vaïsser－ Golubev，Biel 1995.

a22） $10 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{xc} 3+11 \mathrm{bxc} 3 \mathrm{f} 5$ is better and in－ triguing－it will take White a long time to get his bishops out！Then 12 Dg5 ©f6 130－0 0c7 （13．．．h6？ 14 ©e6） 14 亶f3 気e8 seems to cover White＇s $\triangleq \mathrm{e} 6$ plan，so White might have to start an arduous preparation for g 4 ．Black could fo－ cus on achieving the advance ．．．b5（he poten－ tially has four pieces and a pawn to support that）and use his e－file control to discourage White＇s forces from straying．Of course ．．．b5 might well activate White＇s pieces（imagine a bishop on b2），so that has to be well－timed．It may be that this is simply equal．
b） $7 \hat{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{e} 2$ is the main alternative（to 7 e d 3 ）， and more frequently played．7．．．e5 and now play comes down to a struggle over the dark squares：
b1） 8 fxe5 dxe5（D）and now：

b11） $9 \triangleq x e 5$ c5！．This undermining attack is the consistent theme and justification for the ．．．©a6 lines．Then 10 d5？allows 10 ．．．©xe4！， so 10 亶e3 appears to be best，after which
 Qd5 dxe3 $140-0$ is the kind of thing White wants：while Black is scrambling to recover his pawn，White switches to the attack．But Black also has $10 \ldots$ b 4 ！？；for example， 11 d5！？ ©xe4！ 12 ©xe4 金f5 with equality，Huerta－ Arizmendi，Madrid 2000.
b12） 9 d 5 dc5 10 㑒g5（White＇s problems defending his e－pawn indicate why 7 會d3 might be preferable；a mistake is 10 嘒c2？！气fxe4！ 11 气xe4 亶f5 12 蕞d3 全xe4 13 全xe4 f5 recovering the piece with the better game） $10 \ldots$ h6 11 塭xf6 楼xf6 12 b4 ©a6 13 a3 c5！ （I＇m not sure that until this game，players in general realized how strong this move is when White＇s dark－squared bishop is gone；White＇s queenside progress is completely stifled） 14
 17 Qd5 幽d8 18 类d2，Lautier－Kasparov，Am－ sterdam 1995．This is unclear，and the game was drawn．
b2） 8 dxe5 dxe5（D）is a key type of gambit position．


Then：

宣xe5 15 fxe5 $\mathrm{E} d 4$ ，and 16 b 3 is the only idea White can try if he is to search for an advantage， but Black＇s activity always seems good enough to hold the balance：16．．．थxe4 17 db2 2 c 518
 equality，Peng Zhaoqin－M．Socko，Groningen （women） 1998.
宏e6！is similar：12 0－0（12 ©d5 Qfd7！ 13 Qxd7 ${ }^{\text {Exd }} 7$ with straightforward ideas such as
．．．Ee8 and ．．．c6；White＇s e－pawn is a problem， he has lost control of d 4 ，and is vulnerable on
 15 Qd5 c6，A．Geller－Belov，USSR 1988；White is poorly coordinated，and ．．．$勹 \mathrm{~d} 3$ or ．．． exc 4 is coming．

After all that，let＇s return to the position after 7 会d3（D）：


## 7．．．童g4

Developing quickly is usually recommended． The engaging thing about $6 \ldots$ ．．． 6 is that the play stays alive regardless of what either side does．Instead of 7．．．宣g4，for example，7．．．e5 8 fxe5 dxe5 $9 \mathrm{~d} 5(D)$ illustrates a different set of themes．


Now 9．．．©c5 10 －c $\mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{a} 5110-0$ is attractive for White，who has easy build－ups with 蕞g5 or Qe3 and U d2 available，or can pursue the tradi－ tional expansion with b3，a3 and b4．So Black usually prefers $9 \ldots . . .6100-0$ cxd5 $11 \mathrm{cxd5}$ De8

b－pawn and prepares 血e3；White＇s position makes a good impression） 12 幽e2 ©ac7 13 \＆g5 f6 14 金h4！．The bishop is well placed here，stopping ．．．f5 and ready to go to f2 when that＇s desirable．You should consider the idea全h4－f2 in other branches of the $9 \ldots . . c 6$ line． Vaïsser gives $14 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\text {Wen }} 7150 \mathrm{~d} 2$ ！，when White is better．

## $80-0$

$8 \hat{\&} \mathrm{e} 3$ is promising，trying not to waste a tempo by castling： 8 ．．．仓d7 9 h 3 ！是xf3 10 䒼xf3 （White wants to go throw everything at Black and go queenside when appropriate） $10 \ldots$ e 5 （what else？） 11 dxe5 dxe5（11．．．乌ac5 12 Ac2 dxe5 13 0－0－0！©e6 14 f5 气d4 15 粕f2 with an edge） 12 f5！©dc5（12．．．2h6！？130－0－0！銅xe3＋ 14 造xe3 is very similar to the position that we saw above in the line with 6 ．．． $\mathbf{2}^{2}$ g4；play might continue $14 \ldots \mathrm{c} 6$ and now $15 \mathrm{Ehf1}$ or 15 h 4 ！？数b6 16 世h6，etc．） 13 余e2 $0 \mathrm{~d} 3+(13 \ldots$ 全h6？ 14 甾d1；13．．．gxf5 14 exf5 e4 15 曹g3！）14

 21 Exd5 Øb4 22 気xe5，winning，J．Watson－ Becerra Rivera，Linares 1999.

## 8．．． 0 d7 9 \＆\＆ 3 e5 10 fxe5 c5！（ $D$ ）

This is a major theme of the $6 \ldots$ ．．Da6 lines． White can＇t hold his centre together，whereas $10 \ldots$ ．．．dxe 511 d 5 will favour White due to his ready－made queenside play．


## 11 d 5

11 dxc 5 accedes to breaking up the centre． which usually indicates that Black will have few problems；e．g．，11．．．dxc5 12 全e2 気7 13 h3食xf3 14 gxf3！？全xe5，Peev－Spasov，Tsarnovo 2001．A better option for White is 11 dd5，with
the possible reply $11 \ldots$ cxd4（11．．．今xf3 12 鳥xf3
 Then Black needs to take some care；for exam－ ple，13．．．dxe5 14 金e3 f5！？ 15 是g5 and his posi－ tion is under pressure．

## 11．．． 0 xe5

Now White has to press on quickly or Black will remain with a powerful central outpost at e5：

12安 e 2 （ $(D)$


## 12．．．全d7！？

This still maintains the e5 outpost but also preserves Black＇s good bishop．The accepted continuation has been $12 \ldots$ ．．．xf3＋ 13 食xf 3
 older theory in Beim－Kindermann，Bundesliga 1999／00： 15 金f4（White has to think about win－ ning the dark squares if he＇s to makes any prog－
 out of the way of inconvenient checks but also preparing the knight manoeuvre that follows） 17．．．宣d4 18 Eael $\mathrm{f6}(\mathrm{D})$ ．


19 ©e 2 ！？（ 19 h 4 might be worth playing in order to have h5 in reserve，especially if White has $0 \mathrm{e} 2-\mathrm{f} 4$ in mind）19．．．es $20 . \mathrm{gl}$（or 20 h4） 20 ．．． 全xf4 21 迷xf4 ©a6！（odd－looking，but the knight wants to cover or occupy e5，and the way to get there is via b8！In the meantime Black has the irritating plan of ．．． $0 \mathrm{~b} 4-\mathrm{c} 2-\mathrm{d} 4$ ）

 gher，British League（4NCL）2001／2）22 ．．．$\downarrow \mathrm{b} 8$




## 13 唒d2 © 07

13．．． 类 7 also appears satisfactory： 14 全g5
 18 气e2 㑒e5 19 صf4 ©c7 and White isn＇t mak－ ing progress．Black can contemplate ．．．b5 at some point．
是d4＋17 气e3！？（D）

Probably White should keep the bishops on．
嶾e7 resembles the last note．




Black has some queenside play and the chances are roughly equal，Mercadal－Buraschi， corr． 2001.

## Classical King＇s Indian


This may be considered the start of the Clas－ sical Variation of the King＇s Indian Defence．


## 5．．．0－0 6 食e2

White＇s overwhelming favourite．As always， there are many options；for example， 6 h 3 and 6金g5．

6．．．e5（D）
Also almost automatic，although 6．．． 2 bd7 can safely introduce ．．．e5，as explained in the $6 \ldots$ ．．．5 $70-0$ Qbd7 line of this chapter．6．．．c5 is outside the scope of the discussion，sometimes transposing to a Maroczy Bind Sicilian（70－0 cxd4 8 分d4）or to some kind of Benoni（ $7 \mathrm{d5}$ ）．

For a discussion of why exactly Black takes two moves to fianchetto his bishop and then promptly turns it into a bad bishop，see the dis－ cussion of this very subject in Volume 1，Chap－ ter 2.


From the position after 6．．．e5，it＇s difficult to select some variations to talk about while ig－ noring others．As always，I shall try to discuss variations that are important for practical play， but even more so ones whose characteristic positions will apply to other variations in the

King＇s Indian and openings in general．The fol－ lowing section is fitting that regard．

## Exchange Variation

## 7 dxe5 dxe5

White exchanges pawns and then queens．He either hopes that his quick development will al－ low him to get the better game，or that the sim－ plified position will suit his playing style．Some players use the Exchange Variation to obtain a draw，but that is a tricky business，to say the least．I repeatedly point out in this book that queenless middlegames are not endgames．That is all the more the case with so many pieces left on the board．

## 8 速xd8

Although $80-0$ is sometimes tried，this ex－ change is really the point of 7 dxe5．Upon slower moves，Black can develop freely and gain time to cover the d 5 －square against inva－ sion．

8．．．${ }^{\mathbf{4} \mathrm{xd}}$（D）


The Exchange Variation may appear rather dull，and even a reason to avoid playing the King＇s Indian Defence．But the variation em－ braces positional ideas that are basic to KID play．First of all，you should always keep in mind that in most chess openings，the early exchange of queens will not eliminate winning chances for either side，and in some cases may even in－ crease them．With that in mind let＇s try to under－ stand what＇s going on in general terms．Initially White counts upon his central space and rela－ tively fast development（e．g．，by 穴g5， 0 d 5 and $0-0-0$ ）．These represent short－term advantages
that might be transformed into something more permanent．But White also cedes his opponent a true outpost on d4；a knight occupying that square will generally have great influence，so much so that White will usually not be able to gain concrete advantages by＇working around＇ it．If Black successfully implements the move ．．．c6．it leaves White＇s knights and rooks without the d5 pivot point，whereas the d6 point isn＇t easily accessible to knight nor to more than tem－ porary occupation by a rook（Black responds by ．．．气e8，．．．要f8，etc．）．The situation with respect to each side＇s bishop is also significant．White＇s dark－squared and Black＇s light－squared bishops have excellent scope－they are＇good＇．But White has to deal with a very bad bishop on fl （cut off by both the c－and e－pawns），whereas Black has blocked off his own bishop by ．．．e5． The second situation is not so grave in that Black strengthens his control of d 4 thereby，and later the g 7 －bishop can be activated by a couple of different methods．A typical way to enliven
 ate．Even ．．．${ }^{\mathbf{S}} \mathrm{ff} 6-\mathrm{d} 8-\mathrm{b} 6$ puts the bishop on an ef－ fective diagonal．How these trade－offs play out will determine the course of the game．

As indicated，Black will attempt to post a piece on d4．Sometimes the move ．．．f5 can be useful but that is generally not played until the pieces are reorganized．The c5－square is also crucial：a knight occupying it hits several im－ portant points in the enemy position，and the potential for ．．． $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{e}}$ e6－d4 can cause White head－ aches．If Black gets a knight to $c 5$ he will gener－ ally try to support it by ．．．a5．Finally，．．．巴e8 （protecting the e－pawn）and ．．．©d7－f8－e6 may prove feasible．

For his part White would love to achieve the moves b4 and c5（or 0 a 4 and c5），opening up the f1－a6 diagonal for the previously passive bishop and clearing c4 for pieces（a knight，for example，might travel to it by $0 \mathrm{~d} 2-\mathrm{c} 4$ ）．An－ other set－up is with a3，b4，\＆e3 and ©d2－b3． Once Black plays ．．．c6 and ．．．a5，his b6－square can be inviting to White＇s pieces．Finally，as mentioned before，White＇s lead in development may help him to force changes in the initial pawn－structure which，if it persists，will favour Black in the long run．

Let＇s start out with a game in which White succeeds in achieving his goals．

Born－Tonneman<br>corr． 1978

9 合g5
蕞xd5 气d7 13 金g5 Еe8 transposes to the next game．

## 9．．．巴e8 $10000-0$

Again， 10 Qd5 $0 x d 511 \mathrm{cxd5} \mathrm{c} 612$ 全c4 cxd5 can transpose，but $12 \ldots$ b5 13 \＆b3 c5！？is also played．

10．．． 0 a6
$10 \ldots$ ．． c 6 is an option，aiming directly for d 4 ， with approximate equality following 11 Qd5
 with equality） $11 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xd} 512$ cxd5 0 d 413勾xd4exd4 14 宣d3 c6．

11 Del（D）


This has several points．White wants to play f 3 to solidify his centre，and by playing 0 el he avoids ．．． e g 4 （when ．．． e xf3 would strengthen Black＇s control of d4）．Most importantly，he wants to bring his knight to the queenside to support expansion on that wing．

11．．． De $^{5}$
$11 \ldots$ ． e e6 is another good move，simply de－ veloping．

12 f3 c6
$12 . .0 \mathrm{e} 6$ is also sensible．You can see that Black hasn＇t had trouble with the opening yet， but neither has White，who is slowly solidifying his position．

## 13 ©c2 ©e6！？

Reorganization by 13．．．a5 14 酉e3 宜f8 is also possible．

14 盖e3 全f8 15 b4！？

The right idea：White grabs space and the c5 idea looms．It does allow an unclear tactic，so 15 关 d 2 first might have been better．

15 ．．．a5 16 a3 axb4 17 axb4 ${ }^{\text {E }}$ d8？！
Black＇s first mistake．A better if messy idea was $17 \ldots$ ．．．d4！？ 18 公xd4 䆖xb4；for example，
 Exal f5！？with complications．

White has a small advantage because Black lacks a plan．

20．．．8f8 21 ©a4 ©d7 $22 c 5$（D）


There it is．White has finally made the de－ sired move．

The opening is over and White controls the board．He went on to win the game．

## Danailov－Kasparov <br> Dortmund jr Wch 1980

## 

 6 宣e2Before continuing，let＇s look at a different game．It＇s a model for Black，with the inclusion of 6 h 3 instead of 6 昷e2．White could have played much better，but we see Black＇s system－ atic exploitation of the dark squares in ultra－ clear fashion．Note particularly that the rook ends up on d4；that square is not exclusively re－ served for knights： 6 h 3 e 57 dxe 5 dxe 58 䊦xd8 Exd8 9 \＆g5 c6 10 食e2 Da6 $110-0$ 䍚 812
 16 a 4 金b4 17 f 3 Ød7 18 Eac1 0dc5（D）．



on the dark squares） 25 蕞xc5＋ $0 \times 526 \mathrm{~h} 4$
 30 是c2 ${ }^{\text {Ead8 }} 0$－1 Borsuk－Kaminski，Warsaw 1992.

6．．．e5 7 dxe5 dxe5 8 数xd8 ${ }^{2} x d 89$ 全g5 Qbd7 10 Ød5 c6 11 Qe7＋कf8 12 Qxc8 Edxc8 13 0－0－0 0 c5 14 全xf6 定xf6

One of the main lessons of this game is that simplification will not solve White＇s underly－ ing positional problems．

15 害d3 a5 16 Ehe（ $D$ ）


## 16．．．स्य8！

Protecting e5 and freeing the bishop on f6 to move．The future World Champion activates his last piece and makes it look easy．

 24 䒠g2 0 d 3

Threatening ．．．气b4＋．
25 a3
On top of everything else，there goes the b 3 －


 Black．This time it＇s the bishop that uses d4！

25．．．$\triangle c 5$（ $D$ ）


White＇s bad bishop haunts him to the very end．We see why either 0 d 5 or b 4 and c 5 is so desirable for White in this variation．



Zugzwang．For example， $31{ }^{〔} \mathrm{e} 3{ }^{〔} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~d} 1+32$
 knight can＇t move because of mate on el．

## The Main－Line King＇s Indian

##  6 复 22 e5 $70-0$

White normally chooses not to enter into the Exchange Variation，which can be understood from the examples in the last section． $70-0$ is the most flexible move，not committing to any central pawn－structure．

## Strongpoint Variation

## $7 . .$. 乌bd7（D）

This solid knight development was the pri－ mary way of playing for several decades after the King＇s Indian Defence first gained attention in the 1920s．During that time $7 \ldots$. Dbd $^{2}$ natu－ rally generated many new ideas and wonderful games，but now has been overtaken by $7 \ldots \mathrm{c} 6$ and 7．．．©a6．Nevertheless，specialists still find ways to benefit from it，sometimes moving be－ yond minor improvements to new methods of play．One appealing feature of $7 \ldots .0 \mathrm{bd} 7$ is that

it is a central move and thus stays in contact with c5，e5 and f6，all key King＇s Indian squares． Compare $7 \ldots$ ．．． 06 ，which only controls c 5 ；or $7 .$. ． cc 68 d 5 包7，a two－move continuation based largely upon transfer to the kingside．Situ－ ated on d7，Black＇s knight can be used to support e5 as a strongpoint，or to play ．．． 2 e 5 or ．．． 0 c 5 if Black chooses to play ．．．exd4 at some point．

The disadvantages of $7 \ldots . \mathrm{bd} 7$ relate pri－ marily to its failure to challenge White＇s space advantage and the fact that it blocks off Black＇s bishop on c8．This latter circumstance（which you＇ll note does not apply to $7 \ldots$. a6 or $7 \ldots . \mathrm{Sc}_{\text {c }}$ ） means that achieving the move ．．．f5 may be problematic，and that White can keep the centre fluid without worrying as much about immedi－ ate attacks on the kingside．Thus Black is un－ likely to dominate one side of the board or the other，although he has access to and plays on both wings．Another drawback has to do with a concrete feature of the King＇s Indian，that c7 is left unprotected，so that Black hasn＇t as much leeway to move his queen as he does after 7．．．Da6．

I haven＇t mentioned the greatest virtue of 7．．．$勹$ bd 7 from our point of view：the play stemming from this move includes a majority of themes and concepts that characterize the entire Classical King＇s Indian！After 7．．．פbd7 we see properties of the KID that don＇t appear after $7 . . .0 \mathrm{c} 68 \mathrm{~d} 5$ 左7（the main line of most of this chapter）．For example，lines in which Black plays ．．． 9 c 5 or ．．．exd4，and situations in which White plays dxe5 in a more effective way than in the Exchange Variation．For those reasons we＇ll delve into some details of three variations：

A： 8 皿e3；
B： 8 気el；
C： 8 d 5 ．

## A）

8 英 ${ }^{3}$（D）

B


This is the most common and highly－re－ garded move，of which I＇ll give two examples：

## Donaldson－Browne

Reno 1992

## 8．．．$巳 \mathrm{~g} 4$

a）8．．．a5？！was played for some time with considerable success．In fact，a great blow to 7．．．©bd7 adherents was delivered when White found the right plan： 9 dxe5！dxe5 10 畐c2（10 c5！is another way to implement White＇s strat－ egy；the tactical point is that Black can＇t win White＇s c－pawn after 10．．． 0 g 411 昷g5 f6 due to
 11 㑒d2！c6（11．．．f5？ 12 h3 ©h6 13 㑒g5！） 12 ©a4！（D）．

Here is a great example of what can happen in any King＇s Indian if the centre is fluid，as op－ posed to the $7 \ldots$ ．．． c 68 d 5 lines when a locked structure arises．If White can play dxe5 fol－ lowed by a pawn advance to $c 5$ ，it can outweigh Black＇s potential occupation of d 4 and f 4 ．The combination of $\triangle \mathrm{a} 4$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 2-\mathrm{c} 4$ with an open d－file can be deadly，because knights can end up on d6 and／or b6，whereas even the nominally ＇bad＇bishop on e2 can get into the action on c4． It should be said that with a slightly different placement of Black＇s pieces the position would be unclear；for example，if Black＇s knight were

on h5 or f 4 instead of g 4 ，or if ．．．玉e8 had al－ ready made room for ．．． Df8－e6－d4－you might $^{\text {en }}$ want to compare the Exchange Variation above． Which positions to allow or reject is a matter of judgement and specifics．In the example we follow White goes about a similar reorganiza－ tion，whereas Black simply doesn＇t have the piece disposition to counter White＇s plans： $12 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$（ $12 \ldots \mathrm{f} 5$ ？is even worse： 13 Dg5！Qdf6
登fd1 桨e7 16 g 3 ！（keeping Black＇s knight out


 26 cxb6，Uhlmann－Knaak，Leipzig 1980．With control of c5 and the d－file，White has things well in hand．
b） 8 ．．．c6 used to be considered the main line；however， 9 d 5 forces a decision about how to defend the d－pawn．Then the natural move $9 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 4$ fails tactically to 10 \＄g5 f6 11 dxc 6 ！ ©c5（11．．．fxg5 12 cxd7） 12 cxb7 全xb7 13 \＆ Cl ， winning a pawn because $13 \ldots \triangleq x e 4$ ？？ $140 x e 4$苃xe4 15 \＆d2 costs Black a piece．And $9 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ （D）establishes the kind of structure that gen－ erally favours White，particularly since Black took two moves to get his pawn there．

This is a pawn－chain situation，and the two breaks are b4 and f4．The latter is less appeal－ ing because after ．．．exf4 it opens up the long diagonal for Black＇s bishop．Play can proceed 10 己el（the standard idea to put the knight on d3 and play for b4 to break down Black＇s pawn－structure）10．．．De8（there＇s no plan ex－ cept ．．．f5）and now White can flout the older rules by playing $11 \mathrm{~g} 4!$ ？in order to discourage ．．．f5；e．g．，11．．．f5 12 exf5 gxf5 13 gxf5 ${ }^{\text {ënff5 }} 14$

 Then Black comes under attack and suffers be－ cause of White＇s outposts．He also stands worse positionally because White has penetrated to Black＇s interior weakness on e6．

## 9 亶g5 f6 10 宜d2 c6 11 d5

Normally White should play this after Black plays ．．．c6．It forces Black to commit and elim－ inates any dynamism that might result from ．．．exd4 followed ．．．d5．After d5，White is ready to expand upon the queenside．

11．．．曹e7 12 b4！富h8
 Qxc6 160 d 5 is similar．

13 dxc6！bxc6 14 b5（D）


This is almost a refutation of Black＇s play， and applies both here and in some other ．．．c6 lines．White gains the d 5 outpost by force．The moral is that once White plays d 5 ，Black should be ready to play either ．．．c5 or ．．．cxd5．

14．．．食b7 15 bxc6 定xc6 16 包d5 全xd5 17 cxd5 ©c5 18 De1 ©h6 19 f 3

White has the bishop－pair，space and open lines on the queenside．The game flows surpris－ ingly smoothly hereafter．

As good as anything．At least Black gets rid of a problem piece．But for one thing his knight won＇t be able to get back in time to defend the queenside．
 घ゙c6！f4 26 h3

See how difficult it is for Black to play the standard kingside assault ．．．g5－g4 when he has no light－squared bishop？



Placing the bishop here not only stops any ．．． E c 8 forever but threatens 金c8－e6，which can＇t be stopped for long．

## 

Even worse is $30 \ldots$ ．． 0 d 731 全c8 包 532 Qf5．
客h2

Easier was 37 wic7 with the idea 37．．．h5 38


 44 登 66

Donaldson avoids the last trick： 44 登 c 7 ？






A graceful and well－executed win．It shows what the possession of space and creation of enemy weaknesses can do for you．

## Krush－Bologan

Edmonton 2005

## 8．．．巴e8！？

As explained above，this rook move（threat－ ening ．．．exd4）has been considered inferior be－ cause after 9 d 5 ，Black＇s rook will have to return to f 8 to support the thematic ．．．f5．But Black is working with other ideas：

## 9 d5

9．．．exd4 was threatened with an attack on the e4－pawn．Instead， 9 when 0 g 410 曋g5 f6 11全d2？！exd4 12 分xd4 2 c 513 h 3 ？！f5！（a tacti－ cal theme to remember） 14 hxg 4 良xd4 15 gxf5 gxf5 16 exf5 嘴h4 is good for Black．

9．．．〇h5！？
This is a relatively recent idea（at least in its present form）．It＇s worth noting that ．．． $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{h} 5}$ is normally an inferior move if White can prevent ．．． ©f 4 by the move g 3 ，as he does here：

10 g 3 䱏 f ！（ D ）


This is the beginning of Black＇s reorganiza－ tion：he directs another piece to prevent White＇s c5 advance，and he makes room on g 7 for a knight．

11 乞e1 $勹 \mathrm{~g} 7$
Normally one would not expect to fianchetto a knight，but it supports the attacking move ．．．f5 and makes it possible to play ．．．h5－h4．Black＇s pieces are achieving a weird sort of coordina－ tion！

## 12 dd3

12 b 4 is a reasonably good move，although it didn＇t turn out well in Kutsin－Komliakov， Nikolaev 1995：12．．．⿹ff 15 f3 h5 16 気ac1？！h4！ 17 c5 气gh5 18
tig2 登f8 19 cxd6 cxd6 20 exf5 gxf5 21 gxh4 f4 22 金g1 ©g3＋！with a winning attack．

12．．．f5 13 f3（D）
13 b 4 fxe4 14 Dxe4 Df5 is fine for Black， whose knight will probably end up on d 4 once the bishop on e3 moves．


## 13．．．a5！？

A recent arrangement of pieces，not neces－ sarily superior to the old one．But it＇s intrigu－ ing because it boldly fights for the queenside， where Black is assumed to be inferior．That is in fact a common theme in the modern King＇s In－ dian．The old move was 13．．．${ }^{\text {en e }} 7$ ，when a won－ derful example was 14 b4（14 W\％W2 0 f6 15 c5 fxe4 16 fxe4 $D \mathrm{~g} 4$ with equality） $14 \ldots$ ．．．gf8 15 c 5 Df6（another idea is $15 \ldots$ 金g5！？ 16 复 f 2 h 517速b3 0 f6 18 cxd6 cxd6 with the idea ．．．h4） 16 Eac1！h5 17 Df2！（an extremely original ma－ noeuvre that depends upon material sacrifice） 17．．．h4！？（17．．．a5） 18 g4！！（Black＇s attack via ．．．hxg3，．．．©h5，etc．，is permanently stopped，at a cost of two pawns）18．．．f4 19 酸d2 dxc5 20 Qd3！cxb4 21 Øb5，Onishchuk－Florean，USA Ch （San Diego）2006．White will recover the b－pawn with a powerful queenside attack；he went on to win．

14 a3（D）
14．．． Dc $^{2}$ ！？
This is a surprising scheme for Black，to say the least．Now it will take some time for White to organize a successful queenside attack．

## 15 娄c2 0 h 516 见xc5 f4！？

Bologan is simply going for it in this game， without regard to safety or positional niceties． Perhaps this move isn＇t objectively best．


17 包家！
Not $17 \varrho_{x b 7}$ because of $17 \ldots$ 細 5.
17．．．宣xe6 18 dxe6 fxe 319 嵝d3？
After this Krush has a few problems．At this point $19 \mathrm{f4}$ ！was the right move because $\mathrm{f5}$ is threatened and 19．．．exf4 20 gxf 4 淢e6 21 食g4 prevents any surprise attacks．

## 19．．． 0 g 720 喽xe3 0 xe6

As so often in the King＇s Indian，Black has an outpost on d 4 to play with；next he denies d 5 to White＇s pieces：



## 24 h 4 ？

Again 24 f 4 was best．From here on out it proves difficult for White to find good moves．

White covers d 4 ，but the cure is worse than the disease：






By this move White develops and prepares to defend the e－pawn so that ．．．exd4 won＇t be ef－ fective．This is very solid and maintains the ten－ sion；on the slightly negative side，White hasn＇t a positive plan yet and he does weaken f 2 in some lines．

8．．．c6
Black has some alternatives，but this is a flexible move that covers d5 and allows Black＇s queen to move if needed．

Sakaev－Svidler<br>St Petersburg Ch 1997

9 金f1（D）
 （Black tries to gain a tempo for ．．．exd4 by strengthening its effect down the e－file） 11 d 5 （this is the standard remedy to ．．． E e8 in almost any setting）．Lerner－Kovalevskaya，Moscow 2002 continued $11 \ldots .0 \mathrm{c} 512$ b3 㑒d7 13 分d2． Then $13 \ldots$ ．．． h 6 worked out reasonably well，but trading the dark－squared bishop can be dicey．A good alternative would be 13．．0n5！；for exam－ ple， 14 dxc6（ 14 g 3 cxd5 15 cxd 5 f 5 ） $14 \ldots$ ．．．©xc6 （or $14 \ldots$ bxc6 with equality） 15 左3 0 f 416 g 3

 23 cxd 5 逪b6 is equal．

## $9 . . . e x d 4$

Opening the board to activate Black＇s pieces． This is one of Black＇s approaches in many KID variations．A＇strongpoint＇approach is $9 \ldots$ ．．we7 10 d5 a5 11 a3 \＆c5 12 b3 气e8 13 是b2 f5，


Mikhalevski－Sutovsky，Tel Aviv 1994．The tac－ tical 9．．．0g4！？ 10 h 3 exd4 11 巳xd4 雄b6！ 12 hxg4！ ．$x d 4$ is another main line whose assess－ ment swings between equal and slightly better for White．Black has some initiative but his $d$－ pawn is weak．In this line $12 \ldots$ exd4 can be an－ swered by 13 复e3！全xe3 14 気xe3 with the ad－
 Da4 数xa2 17 \＃ib2 traps the queen．




These last moves are well－known and logi－ cal．Now Black has to make up for his weak d－ pawn by posting his pieces more actively than his opponent＇s．

## 15 嵝d2 h5！？

Possibly Black should just get his bishop out by 15 ．．． ．e6 to connect rooks．

## 

Black is grabbing space，which is eminently logical．As it turns out，the march of the h－pawn also creates weaknesses．

18 金e2！
The＇bad＇piece peeks out！
18．．．蒖e6 $19 \mathrm{~g} 3!(D)$


Since $19 \ldots \mathrm{hxg} 3$ is answered by 20 Exg3！， the move f 4 looms．Svidler tries to become ac－ tive：

A safe space advantage on the kingside for White is not something Black wants in the KID！
 （D）


## 25．．．粽f4

This cedes a pawn；Sakaev analyses 25 ．．．${ }^{4}$ h h 8
部f 30 g 2 ，when the h－pawn will fall．

皃e7 33 e6！1－0

The forced continuation would be 33．．．金xe6

wins the ending．Along with his better pawn－ structure，White can create an outside passed pawn by h4－h5 if he needs to．This game pro－ vides an example of the fight between central control and piece activity．

## C）

$8 \mathrm{d5}$（ $D$ ）
The important position that this leads to can arise from two other variations：
a）The Petrosian System： 1 d 4 Øf6 2 c 4 g 63
 Qbd7 $80-0$ ．
b）The Glek Variation of the Main Line： 1 d4 凤f6 2 c 4 g 63 §c3 要g74e4d65 气f3 0－06 \＆e2 e5 $70-0$ ©a6 8 d 5 （assuming Black plays ．．．Dc5 next）．


Why is this obvious move relatively rare？ The first－level answer is that it＇s somewhat awk－ ward for White to answer the following direct attack on the e4－pawn：

## 8．．． 0 c5 9 数c2

White only has two reasonable ways to pro－ tect his pawn，neither without drawbacks． 9 d d 2 blocks the development of the queen＇s bishop．It is generally answered by $9 \ldots . . a 5$（secur－ ing Black＇s knight from being kicked out by b4）， when for the moment neither of White＇s knights can move，whereas Black can develop and begin to organize for his standard move ．．．f5．That＇s enough to get a feel for what＇s going on，but let＇s take this a step further．If White tries 10 Ëbl ©d7 11 a3？！（ready to play b4），Black answers with 11．．．a4！（ $D$ ）．This is a familiar positional trick described by the phrase＇one pawn holding up two＇（referring to the pawns on $\mathrm{a} 4, \mathrm{a} 3$ and b 2 ）．


The idea of＇one pawn holding up two＇is that White cannot play b3 or b4 without giving him－ self an isolated a－pawn on an open file，and in particular one that can be easily blockaded be－ cause the rook，knight，and a bishop on d 7 all control a4（for the moment，b3 or b4 would be especially awkwardly met by ．．．axb3，but bar－ ring that peculiarity the situation in general is still very good for Black）．This stratagem is ob－ vious to the advanced player，but it may not be so to the inexperienced player，who should add it to his stock of standard patterns．

Moving back，what if（after $9 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$ a5），White plays 10 岩c2？Then play can follow along the lines of our main move；e．g．，10．．．2e8，and we＇ll see that Black is doing fine．He also has the positionally double－edged move 10 ．．．全h6！？ （D），to get rid of his＇bad＇bishop．


As is often the case when exchanging off a g7－bishop，Black must take care that the squares around his king don＇t become too weakened． On the other hand the cl－bishop is quite a
valuable piece and nice to get rid of．A couple of games have continued 11 台 3 是xcl 12

 answer 14．．．f5？！（not the best）with 15 exf5 gxf5 16 f 4 ．Then the disadvantage of ．．． e h 6 is shown：it can no longer command the long di－ agonal by playing ．．．e4 or ．．．exf4．The above is a rather stylized explanation，but true in essence．

9．．．a5
Securing the knight on c5．Now White plays the most logical continuation．

10 会e 3 （ $D$ ）
Watch out for another typical trick that comes up in more than one variation： 10 ひ̈bl？©fxe4！ 11 xe4 ©xe4 12 谠xe4 全f5 and ．．．©xbl．

B


## 10．．．$\Delta \mathrm{g} 4$

This stock King＇s Indian manoeuvre has been the most popular choice here．10．．．0e8！？ （preparing ．．．f5）also leads to particularly infor－ mative lines． 11 d 2 f5 12 f3 gives White a near－ideal minor－piece configuration．His pieces retain maximum flexibility and the knights both cover the critical e4－square．In spite of those advantages，Black has scored well in practice after the usual pawn－storm attack $12 \ldots \mathrm{f} 413$最f2 g5；e．g．， 14 b3（or 14 a3！，to speed up the attack even further，when 14．．．a4！？ 15 是xc5 dxc5 16 ©xa4 ${ }^{\text {We }} 7$ provides some compensa－ tion for the pawn），and now：
 axb4 18 axb4 ©a6 19 c5？！（this looks right，but ends up bringing one more black piece to the at－ tack；regardless of the objective assessment， defending against a kingside attack over the board can be a thankless task） 19 ．．．dxc5 20


 ジbbl？数h5 27 ©f1 宣f3 0－1 Tillmann－Karl． Berne 1998.
b）A good illustration of White＇s strategy would be $14 \ldots$ ．．．f6 $15 \mathrm{a} 3 \mathrm{~h} 516 \mathrm{~b} 4 \mathrm{axb4} 17 \mathrm{axb-4}$ Exxa1 18 Exal 气a6 19 c5！（D）．


The idea is $19 \ldots . .2 x b 420$ 岂bl dxc5（20．．．巳a6 21 c 6 ！） 21 仓 xc 5 ．Otherwise，White＇s twin ideas are $c 6$ and 0 c 4 ．

Compare this piece configuration with that in the lines that follow．There White gets either a knight on d 2 or a bishop on e3，but not both．

## 11 㑒g5

Better than 11 㑒d2 f5 12 exf5？\＆ exf 13曾d1 e4！．White mustn＇t let the g7－bishop get loose．

11．．．f6（D）


Now we get an instructive split into moves that you＇ll see in the many KID variations with
this sequence（that is，．．．g4 followed by $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{g} 5$ and ．．．f6）：

Nor－Peter<br>Budapest 1997

12 亶h4g513 复g3 f5（D）


14 © 2 2！？
14 exf5 全xf5 15 挭d2 h6 16 h 3 Qf6 is equal． Black＇s pawn－structure isn＇t optimal but he con－ trols the important e4－square．
 h5 18 a3 g4 19 b4 0 a6

The position is dynamically balanced．White has to be careful not to grab meaningless mate－ rial on the queenside while he＇s getting mated on the other wing．

Costas Varela－J．Ivanov
Marin 2001



Breaking up White＇s centre．The next six or seven moves are almost forced from a posi－ tional point of view．


断g5 25 㱍2

White stands a little passively but should be OK．

Most of what is shown in this last section ap－ plies in one form or another to other systems in which White plays d5．The specifics of how both players implement their ideas determine who stands better．Nevertheless，you can see why 8 d 5 is not a terribly popular move．

## The Classical Main Line with 7．．． 0 c6

 6 安e2e570－0 巳c6（D）


With this move，by contrast with $7 . .0$ bd7， Black forces the pace．He threatens 8 ．．．exd 49


## $8 \mathrm{d5}$

White usually makes this choice without much thought．He has other moves such as 8 dxe5 and 8 定e3，when a standard sequence is $8 . . .0 \mathrm{~g} 49$ 金g5 f6 10 皿cl f5！？normally lead－ ing to a number of central exchanges in the midst of unclear complications．Nevertheless， moves other than 8 d 5 constitute a very small percentage of all master games played．

8．．．包 7 （D）

The knight moves to support a kingside at－ tack． $8 \ldots \triangleq$ b8 loses too many tempi．


This position，introducing what is often called the Mar del Plata Variation，is the most popular one in the King＇s Indian Defence，and indeed one of the best－known in chess practice．I shall examine this variation in greater depth than it would normally merit because only a close in－ vestigation can give us an insight into how deli－ cately balanced the game of chess can be．The persistence of dynamic equality in variations with frenetic activity on both wings borders on the miraculous．Indeed，variations stemming from 8 ．．． 2 e 7 have survived more than 50 years of intense scrutiny by the world＇s strongest professionals（and computers），only to remain mysteriously resistant to solution．Players of all ages are taught this variation because it exem－ plifies the pawn－chain as well as the flank at－ tacks that are designed to break it up．

From the diagram，the lines that best illus－ trate the traditional strategies arise from 9 el and 9 ¢ 2 2．In both cases White is not only initi－ ating a reorganization of forces but anticipating Black＇s plan of moving his knight and playing ．．．f5 in order to claim some territory．By moving his knight from f3，White does two things． First，by unmasking the e2－bishop，he prevents what is in general the most irritating knight move by Black，namely ．．．$勹 \mathrm{~h} 5$ ；once that is played，the knight often goes to $f 4$ and hovers uncomfortably close to White＇s king．After 9 De1 or 9 d 2 ，Black has to shelve ．．．Dh5 ideas for the time being．Black will have to be content with the move ．．．ゆd7 or ．．．仓e8 if he wishes to make way for ．．．f5．Once Black＇s knight retreats，

White is able to meet ．．．f5 by bolstering his cen－ tre with f3，though he often avoids this until ．．．fxe 4 is a real threat．The reason is that f 3 can clarify Black＇s plans，and encourage ．．．f5，．．g4． etc．

In a majority of games White will get a pawn to c5．Then he will：
a）pile up pressure on the d6－pawn，perhaps by 9 c 4 ，害 3 and 2 b 5 ；
b）infiltrate down the c－file by means of some combination of doubling or tripling on it with his rooks and queen；
c）if necessary，advance his a－pawn to fur－ ther enhance his queenside assault and in par－ ticular his threat to occupy the a7－square．

Black on the other hand will get his pawns to $\mathrm{f4}$ and g4（sometimes supported by ．．．h5），in or－ der to：
a）play ．．．g3 and dare White to find an an－ swer that avoids his sacrificial onslaught；
b）play ．．．gxf3 and open the g－file for a di－ rect assault．

Notice that in most Mar del Plata games． Black runs right past the base of the pawn－chain with the move ．．．g3，putting no pressure what－ soever on it！So much for traditional pawn－ chain theory，which would suggest ．．．h4－h3 in－ stead．

It is often said that Black gets the better of this deal because his object of attack is the king． which no one can afford to lose，whereas if White captures a few queenside pawns and queenside squares，that＇s not immediately fatal． Indeed，Black＇s attack can succeed precisely because of the extra few tempi that he can use to punish White＇s king while his queenside and centre are collapsing．But the compensating fac－ tor for White is that his attack tends to proceed just a bit faster than Black＇s while his defensive walls are harder to breach．This not－so－delicate balance creates beautiful games on a regular basis．

After 8．．．©e7，White can also forego 9 Del or $9 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$ and permit Black to play ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{h} 5$ and ．．．f5．In those lines White will often end up playing on the queenside and kingside．The most important case of this arises when White plays 9 b4，the so－called＇Bayonet Variation＇．It is very popular and also has a massive body of theory related to it．I have given it a brief treat－ ment at the end of the chapter．The themes
associated with 9 b4 are so variegated and tempo-dependent that only a thorough examination would impart to the reader genuine understanding and competence. Therefore I have concentrated upon two systems that feature pawn-chain themes in a wide variety of contexts. Such lengthy pawn-chains don't consistently appear in most chess openings, and certainly not with such clarity of purpose.

## 9 家 1

$$
9 \text { Qe1 (D) }
$$



9 el is a multipurpose move that has traditionally been White's most popular choice. On a fundamental level, it frees the f-pawn to go to f3 for defence (or to f4, but that move has become rare). Apart from the functions described above that apply to both $9 \triangleq \mathrm{el}$ and $9 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$, the retreat to el has its own virtues. It keeps a diagonal clear for White's bishop on cl. This turns out to be no small matter, since the move $\hat{\&} \mathrm{e} 3$ is the key to the favourite modern variation that 9 Del leads into. In addition, after the knight moves to d3 it supports the key pawn-break c5, attacking Black's pawn-chain. A major difference between this and $9 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$ is that White's knight on d3 can swing back to f2 for defensive purposes, i.e., to discourage Black's advance ...g5-g4. In combination with the move h3 (not always desirable because of the hole left ong3), White could actually have five defenders of g4 (queen, bishop, knight, and two pawns), with the knight being particularly important because capturing and leaving a piece on g4 is normally much better defensively than having to leave a
pawn on that square. Finally, the knight will sometimes go to g2, in cases where White tries to stake out territory by g4; that plan is useful to be aware of but is not one that White implements much these days.

There's always a drawback to any such move, and this time it has to do with the forward reach of White's knight in the two situations. Even if it has successfully supported the c5 pawn advance from its post on d3, White's knight is too far away Black's queenside and centre to put pressure on the d6-pawn, much less give weight to a further advance of White's queenside pawns. And of course from f2 it will do nothing in those respects.

## $9 . . .0 \mathrm{~d} 7$

There used to be more discussion about the merits of this retreat. Some books (and conventional wisdom?) dismiss the alternative $9 . . .0 \mathrm{e} 8$ (D) on the grounds that it does nothing versus White's advance c 5 ; others that by not covering the e5-square it encourages White's $f 4$ advance.


But in theory there isn't anything wrong with 10 f 4 exf4 11 仓 exf 4 h 6 ! with ideas of ...g5. Then 12 Dc2 f5 13 exf5 g5 followed by ...0xf5 is a standard idea, with approximate equality. Black may want more, of course, but presumably White won't be thrilled with such a result. The
 c6!?) $12 \ldots$..fxe4 and ... Qf5 is not considered bad for Black either.

When assessing 9...De8, issues connected to White's move c5 are much more interesting. In several other lines of the Mar del Plata we see the knight go from d7 to f6 (to provoke White to
play f3）and then backwards to e8（！）to defend Black＇s d6－and c 7 －squares，the latter being a common intrusion square for a rook，knight or queen．This is particularly the case when Black is defending against the $0 \mathrm{~d} 2-\mathrm{c} 4$ strategy exam－ ined in the next section，but also at a later stage of the main lines with $\emptyset$ el．Moreover，while there are some subtleties to consider in this massively complicated situation，it turns out that Black＇s defence in the older lines featuring $\sum \mathrm{d} 3$（with c5）and $\sum \mathrm{d} 2$（with c5 and 0 c 4 ） don＇t suffer with a knight on e8．

So what＇s the point of foregoing the immedi－ ate $9 \ldots . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in favour of $9 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 7$ ？In my opin－ ion，it＇s the fact that White＇s＇newer＇strategy with an early a4 and attack on the a－pawn seems to gain in strength，since both the move ．．．b6 and the c6－square are more important in those lines．You can understand this by examining， for example，9．．．©e8 10 莤e3 f5 11 f 3 f 412曾f2g513a4，when 13．．．a5（13．．．Dg6 14 ゆb5） 14 c5 $\Delta \mathrm{g} 615$ 吕5 would improve for White upon the main ．．． 2 d 7 lines that follow below． All this may sound picky，but anyone who is go－ ing to go into these heavily theoretical pawn－ storm variations should know something about such details．Whether the above is true is an－ other matter！I＇m not sure that the distinction between knight retreats has ever been truly in－ vestigated in depth，and wouldn＇t be surprising if $9 \ldots$ ．．． 8 were revived once the details were worked out．At any rate，here＇s an entertaining game with various pawn－storm themes in which it was effective for a unique reason：

## Gelfand－Kantsler <br> Israel 2001

## 

You will also see this 密e3－f2 manoeuvre in the next section，but with Black＇s knight on d7 rather than e8．

## 12．．．h5 13 c5

13 a 4 ！？would be the test that corresponds to my speculation above，because Black wouldn＇t have the handy defensive idea 13．．．a5 14 c5 $\Delta \mathrm{xc} 5$ which is available if the knight is on d7， but illegal here．OK，we can skip over that thought and have fun with the game．
 （D）


Covering e6．

The attack always takes priority over mate－ rial in this variation．

22 © $\mathrm{xa7}$（ $D$ ）


22．．．g3
This idea will become familiar to you if it isn＇t already．Unless White plays h3 and allou ， some kind of ．．．\＆xh3 sacrifice，Black＇s knights will gain squares close to White＇s king．

23 害c5
Upon 23 hxg 3 fxg 324 実c5 貫g5！ 25 亿xc8
 very least give him the better game．

## 23．．．． $\mathbf{e} \mathbf{h} 3!$（ $D$ ）

This is yet another attacking theme to remem－ ber．Since White wants to capture on c8 and play h3 to thwart the attack，Black simply occupies that square．The less glamorous $23 \ldots$ \＆ d 7 and $23 \ldots \mathrm{gxh} 2+24$ 寄hl 垂h4！？are also options，both unclear．


## 24 gxh3？

Underestimating the attack．Best was 24 hxg 3

 White escapes；a little hard to see，that one！） 25畄 2 and it＇s still unclear．

## 

Unfortunately for White，this knight always seems to get to f 4 or h 4 ．

27 熏g2
 30 起f 2 eh4 and this time there＇s no escape．



Black has the same idea two moves later！ But what can White do？
整h1＋33 名g1 仓h4

Now the threat is $34 \ldots$ ．． $2 x f 335$ 娄g2 $勹 \mathrm{~h} 2+$ ． 34 Qxg7
 second front we always look for！

34．．． $0 x$ x 3 0－1

Having learned something，we＇ll return to



At this point our focus will be on the old main line 10 d 33 ，after which we＇ll return to the＇newer＇one 10 造e 3 ．Because 10 a4！？be－ longs with the a 4 themes in the next section， we＇ll talk about it there．

## Old Main Line

10 亿d3 f5 11 官d2
This very old variation still has some life in it．
11．．． Qf6 $^{\text {C }} 12 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{f} 413 \mathrm{c5g5}$（D）


The strategies thus far should be self－evident． White is trying to infiltrate Black＇s queenside and Black is set upon an all－out assault on White＇s king．



These games are in an old and only recently revived variation．Theory only went 19 moves

deep back then before someone deviated！To－ day，frightening though it may be，we can get mutual preparation beyond move 30．That＇s OK：there are also new ideas at around move 10 for you to dip into．And ultimately，if you play one of those，you＇ll still be able to build your game around the same ideas and themes that you＇re seeing here．

We＇ll look at two games．To be fair，they arose from very different move－orders and only merged at this point．

Ftačnik－Sznapik<br>Baile Herculane Z 1982

## 19．．．全．f8（D）

Black wants to reorganize，as in thousands of Mar del Plata games，with the moves ．．． m 7 ， $\ldots$ ．．．f8，．．．घg7 and at the right moment，．．．仓f6．It sometimes seems as though ．．．g4 can be played at any time along the way．


20 © $0^{2} 7!?$

## 20．．．憲d7！

Normally（but not always），trading off the light－squared bishop on c8 makes a kingside breakthrough impossible．
$21 乌 b 5$ 邑 722 h 3
A known defensive trick is to wait until Black has everything ready for ．．．g4，and then play g4 yourself！Thus White has 22 g 4 （D）．


This advance is worth remembering，whether or not it works in the exact situation before us． Something like $22 \ldots \mathrm{fxg} 3$（ 22 ．．．hxg 4 can be an－ swered with 23 Qxg4！？or 23 fxg4 仓h4 24精b3） 23 hxg 3 h 424 g 4 might free White to pursue his queenside attack．

22．．．©h4 23 嘗b3 g4！ 24 fxg4 hxg4 25 hxg4



25．．．2f626 \＆ $\mathrm{e} 1(D)$


## 26．．．Qh5！！

This is not only visually pleasing but also necessary．For example，26．．．$x \mathrm{xg} 427$ 生g4

 33 थxd6！）or 32 むc8．It＇s typical of these posi－ tions that after simplification，White has signif－ icant positional advantages；here the big one is Black＇s awful bishop．

27 ష̈ $\mathbf{c} 3$ ！
 ELh2＋
27．．． Q $^{2} 3$
Otherwise White＇s move 歯 3 will stop things cold．

## 28 Exg3

Forced．The knight was wreaking havoc．



Improve the position of your worst－placed piece！


 ¢f5？

Finally a serious mistake，doubtless in time－ trouble．Better is 38 数g 3 ，thinking about 39 g 6 ．
 Exe1
 wins the queen．

## 

White＇s got some passed pawns but his king is too loose．The game is over，as shown by 42 ©e4？覴xh4＋！and 42 曹xe1 数xg5．



There follows 47 Dg3（47 齿g3 䊦dl +48



## Kožul－Radjabov <br> Sarajevo 2003

19．．．官d7 20 数b3 㑒f8（D）
20．．． e f6 was tried in one game．Generally this is played in order to move the queen some－ where and then the bishop to d8，finishing its trip on a more active square，such as b6 or a5． Notice that the central structure hasn＇t changed fundamentally for a long time，nor will it soon． In such positions，long journeys by single pieces are not uncommon．


21 复 c 4 ！？
This is slightly strange；normal is maybe \＃̈c3）．Perhaps White envisioned a tri－
 or ffcl ，岂 1 c 3 and 峔c2．



Not a subtle plan，but then play in this varia－ tion usually isn＇t．Black aims for ．．．g4．

23 a5

White on the other hand has a wide range of choices for his pieces．The only sure thing is that he has to move fast！

23．．． 9624 曹b6 䊓e8
An exchange of queens would cripple Black＇s kingside attack．

25 h3
The defensive effort begins．Not 25 Wb7？盆b5（discovery on the queen） 26 㪸b6 登b8．

25．．．g4！ 26 fxg4 hxg4 27 hxg4 $D$ h4（D）


## 

 were it not for the defensive bishop on el： 31
 34 娄xb7，etc．

## 30 曹b3

White＇s retreat is a moderately bad sign，be－ cause he would like to carry out a breakthrough on the queenside．Unfortunately，．．．Wh6 was threatened．Now White＇s queen maintains con－ tact with h3．It＇s hard for Black actually to get through to the king in such positions－you＇ll see both sides achieve their share of points if it gets this far．

## 30．．．$\Delta x$ x 4 ！

Centre pawns tend to be worth more than
 ruins everything．

## 31 嗺d3？

This fails miserably（or ought to）．White should get some pieces off the board by 31
 White has good control of the light squares： 33缷 4 ！数xd5 34 显d3！and 宣e4．Black could play simply $32 \ldots$ ■b8，however，with perhaps a very small advantage．


## 32 Ёb7

This time a queen exchange is welcome，be－
 Black wins material．

32．．．e4？
Black returns the favour． 32 ．．． 0 酉 5 ！is terribly strong，because 33 gxf5 $0 \mathrm{xe} 2+$ is mate in two．

Eliminating pieces is life－or－death in this variation．White usually has long－term advan－ tages that are worth a little material．



Better was 38 g 3 ．From now on almost every move can be either questioned or praised，so I＇ll leave them alone：



 Wh1＋0－1

## Modern Main Line with 10 全e3




Let＇s return to this move and look at one more idea．There＇s a lot to learn by examining games with loads of theoretical content，even if you don＇t want to play anything of the sort．But for those of you who are either tired of or fright－ ened by the theoretical wilderness， 9 a 4 ！？（D） might be of interest：


I place it in this section because it goes with the contemporary a 4 ideas that are associated with 10 宏e3，and in fact Korchnoi tried 9 a4 versus Kasparov during a period when he was experimenting with several other schemes in－ volving that move at a later stage．The main idea is simply a5，gaining important space and extra control over b6．White＇s knight or rook may also use the a3－square．There＇s little the－ ory attached to this line，but a small selection of games．Here are some ideas： $9 \ldots$ a5（after 9．．．$\searrow \mathrm{h} 5$ ，White can go ahead with 10 a 5 ，when $10 \ldots$ ． 2 f 411 是xf4 exf4 12 曹d2 favours White， and $10 \ldots f 511 \mathrm{c} 5$ ！？is also promising）and now：

 th8 and then：
a1） 17 娄b3！？气g8 18 傥xb4 气f6 with a very unclear position，Korchnoi－Kasparov，Bar－ celona 1989．When Kasparov won this game，it unjustly dampened interest in 9 a4 for years．
a2） 17 金d2！is probably best，intending sim－ ply 酉xb4 and then a5；White seems well ahead of the normal 10 E e3 lines with 13 a 4 a 5 ．In two games with this move White stood clearly better．

Even when deviating from the main lines，it still helps to study them！
b）Garcia Palermo－Flores，Pinamar 2002 saw 10 Еa3 气d7 11 Dh4！？f5 12 exf5 gxf5 13 f 4 （ $D$ ）．


Very interesting！You＇d think that more peo－ ple would be investigating this sort of thing， if only to duck theory．The game continued
 Eg3！with a clear advantage．There were few if any typical Mar del Plata themes in that one！It shows that one needn＇t be prisoner of the latest innovation on move 25.

## $9 . .0 \mathrm{~d} 710$ ． e 3

Similarly， 10 a 4 is playable and has even en－ joyed a modest popularity in the past few years． I would rather not commit my knight to el，so I feel that 9 a 4 is more promising．

10．．．f5 11 f3 f4 12 ． $\mathbf{f}$ 2（D）


It＇s ironic that of all the many systems played by White after $9 \ldots . \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{d}$ ，the line that most
grandmasters and strong players had strongly rejected from the early days on was 10 e 3 and 12 皿f2，now the most popular Mar del Plata set－up．The problem，gleaned from some horri－ ble experiences，was that White was taking two moves to put the bishop in a position where it would lose more tempi to Black＇s onrushing pawns or at least provide a target and thereby help Black to open kingside lines．One of the initial and most famous Mar del Plata games， Taimanov－Najdorf，Zurich Ct 1953，was a di－ saster for White，the game proceeding $12 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$
金f8！ 17 cxd6 cxd6 18 䔩d2？g4 19 घfc1？g3！
 （with the bishop headed for g 5 ，it＇s hard to be－ lieve that White can hold the position）．




There were similar experiences in high－pro－ file games until players started looking in an－ other direction for White．Many years later， someone decided that they were tired of having their bishop on the passive square d 2 ，which makes it so hard to get c5in，so he took the time to look at 10 首e3 again．Fairly quickly it be－ came evident that the earlier conceptions of how to attack the queenside and defend the kingside had evolved greatly due to the experi－ ences with Qd3，such that Taimanov＇s play seemed primitive indeed．Basically，White needs to play c 5 ，open up the c －file and occupy it with heavy pieces，play $\varrho$ b5，etc．True，an all－out pawn assault with b5－b6 is still rather slow but much more likely than after 10 ©d3．Remem－ ber that in the 90 d 2 variation that procedure
was a realistic one．The big difference is that af－ ter 12 旡f2，White has an attractive new target on a7，to be attacked in conjunction with Qb5． Upon ．．．a6 or ．．．a5，the idea of c5 and cxd6 cre－ ates a hole on b 6 ．The other major difference． and the reason for the fully－fledged revival of 10 食e3，came with ideas involving a4，most of them the inventions of Korchnoi，who has spent many years trying to refute the King＇s Indian （as yet，unsuccessfully）．We shall talk about them next move．

What should Black be doing？Obviously it depends upon what plan White pursues（for ex－ ample，sometimes the straightforward c5 and ${ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{cl}} \mathrm{are}$ played，omitting a4）．If there is any－ thing approaching a general philosophy of de－ fence here，it would be to leave the queenside completely alone and pursue the kingside at－ tack as fast as possible，sacrificing pawns if necessary but not being diverted by White＇s queenside activity．Timing is everything in this line，and the faster runner generally wins．so Black should be wary of queenside moves such as ．．．a6 and ．．．b6．A major exception to this is the move 13 ．．．a5 versus 13 a4，currently consid－ ered Black＇s best course．The preservation of the light－squared bishop is a priority that out－ weighs the loss of a tempo，so ．．．${ }^{0} \mathrm{~d} 7$ may be necessary in some cases．On the other hand． Black may not want to move his knight from d7 too quickly，because it is important to force White to use an extra move to get the advance c 5 in ．That is，the knight on d7 will help to stop c5 and White may need to play b4（or the clumsy 0 d 3 ）to enforce it．That seems trivial． but b4 is not the move that White would ideally spend time on if he could avoid doing so．

On the kingside itself，Black＇s normal plan is to play the conventional moves ．．．f4，．．．g5．
 and usually throwing his g －pawn forward with－ out regard to doing anything but opening lines． If White captures a few times on g4 Black may lose the g－pawn but gain both the g －and h － files．If White ignores Black＇s pawn on g4，the move ．．．g 3 can be a precursor to combinative play against the white king．The other main idea on the kingside is to omit ．．．h5 and play ．．ef6－h6 with an eye towards ．．．党e8－h5 and direct attack．This seems more effective against घlcl ideas than those with a4．

Unfortunately，both sides should probably know quite a lot of theory to play these lines． To some extent studying examples and playing as many games as possible will make up for straight memorization．Ideally one would do a little of both．

12．．．g5（D）


Black begins the usual assault．He can also play ．．．h5 first，probably because he isn＇t happy to confront White＇s defensive idea g4 and wants to be able to capture the pawn if that occurs．Of course ．．．h5 preempts any idea of ．．． $\mathbf{\Omega}$ f6－h6，but that only works in a minority of cases and may not appeal to everyone．After 12．．．g5，we have the straightforward move 13 盖 cl and the more sophisticated $13 \mathrm{a4}$ ．

The Unpretentious Rook Move
13気c1（D）


I＇ll offer two games from this position：
del Rio－Illescas
Dos Hermanas 2004
13．．．$巳 \mathrm{~g} 614 \mathrm{c5}$ ！？（ $D$ ）

B


This is a standard but adventurous sacrifice that succeeds or fails under circumstances that vary only slightly from one position to an－ other．The idea is that White gets the c －file and the initiative while Black＇s knight is stuck off－ side．At the very least White generates consid－ erable pressure on the queenside．

Another recent game continued 16．．．むf7 17 Qd3 曾d7 18 a 4 with mutual and dynamic chances，Pavlović－Fedorov，Warsaw Ech 2005.

17 a4（D）
There have actually been a lot of games from this position，including some with 17 Qxa7． Rather than dig into very technical theory we＇ll follow the main game．


17．．．数b8！？

Presumably the idea of $\triangleq x a 7$ was getting too annoying for Black＇s taste．17．．． $\mathrm{Ef7}$ has been seen in many games，with White doing pretty well．After the obvious $17 \ldots .2 x b 4$ ？！，Mikhal－ evski offers the continuation 18 气xc7 ${ }^{\text {enc }} \mathrm{c} 819$ Qb5 a5 20 Еxc8！\＆xc8 21 气c2！with advan－ tage．



Threatening b5 because Black＇s knight has nowhere to go．

19．．．曹d8 20 b5 0 b8 21 a5 a6
This looks awful but Black is a pawn ahead， so White has to prove something．The opening has come to an end and we＇ll follow the middle－ game because of its astonishing character．

## 22 b6 c5 23 dxc6 $2 x$ xc6 24 㱉d2！

A very subtle move．Instead of the direct threat of $\dot{2} \mathrm{c} 4$ ，which might have followed 24
 continuation），White keeps his knight on an ac－ tive square and plans a surprise．


Amazing．Now we can see the point of 24 U 4 d2．

## 26 ©b4 g4！？

Probably a good idea，so that White has something to think about too．Naturally ．．．g3 can＇t be allowed．Instead，26．．．畨d7 27 ゆxa6 gives White two passed pawns and guess what？ His queen protects a5 so that the knight can get out via c7！

Black protects c 6 and hits g 4 ．


29 h 3 ？！
29 gibl with the idea $\triangleq x a 6$ ！was better．

Black＇s 31st move loses the initiative since now White threatens g 3 ．



This frees the c 2 －knight to get to f 5 or d 5 ．



40 2f5 0 xf5

Mikhalevski analyses $40 \ldots$ ．． 4 d 4 ？ 41 』xd4！

 reaches the a6－square the game will be over＂．

41 exf5 案h8 42 c4
From here on out it＇s pretty easy．White owns the light squares and has the powerful passed pawn．




## Speelman－Uhlmann

Leningrad 1984

## 13．．．巴f6 14 b4

It seems generally agreed that if any attack with ．．．Ёf6－h6 works it＇s going to be in this po－ sition．Another way for White to continue is 14 c5 a6 15 c6 bxc6 16 dxc6 Qf8 17 Ød5．

## 14．．．⿹\zh26h6 15 c5 a6 16 exd6 cxd6 17 g4

If this had to be played，it may have been smarter to do so a move or two ago．

Easy to spot but still daring for one to actu－ ally play it！

20 gxf4 gxf4 21 是h4 曾f6！（ $D$ ）
Perhaps this is what Speelman missed，ex－ pecting $21 \ldots$ 䊦b $b+22$ 曼f2，etc．


22 会xf6 $2 x f 6$
Black is a full piece down but it＇s hard to know what to do for White．The first problem is ．．．迹b6＋，and ．．．． e h 3 looms as well，so Speel－ man tries to escape with the king．
 Qxf4

Or 26 气a4 b5 27 थc5 dxc5 28 bxc5 覂h3．

A last chance might be 28 fxg 4 世䉼 429 del


28．．．$巳 \mathrm{e} 5(D)$

W


A monster knight！
 Qb6 㑒xe2 33 家xe2曹g5 34 ©xa8f3＋35安f2


## Sophisticated Assault

$13 \mathrm{a4}$（D）


What is this move all about？With a4 on the board，White can more productively attack the a7－pawn（or square）with his knight on b5 and bishop on f 2 ．This is so because ．．．b6 is met by a5，whereas if Black plays ．．．a6，乌a7 has the important goal of eliminating Black＇s bishop on c8．As we have seen，that bishop is almost essential to Black＇s kingside attack．Barring
that possibility，White has direct ideas such as a5 and even a6．In other cases a 4 allows for a rook to come to a 3 both in order to defend the kingside（e．g．，Black plays ．．．g4 and White an－ swers fxg4，unmasking the rook on a3 horizon－ tally），and to double or triple major pieces along the c－file．

Right now 13．．．a5 seems to be Black＇s best solution to 13 a 4 ，which is paradoxical because it＇weakens＇the queenside with tempo．But it also stops b4，which in turn makes c5 more dif－ ficult to achieve．A few examples out of many demonstrate what 13 a 4 is about：
a） $13 \ldots a 614$ a5 $\quad$ 登 $f 6$ and now：


 the key attacking piece．Now，although Black gave it an inspired try，he wasn＇t able to get through to the king in Summerscale－Snape， Coulsdon 2002.
a2） $15 \mathrm{~g} 4!$ ？We＇ve talked about this idea， which Shirov has used before．Here Shirov－ Tkachev，Biel 1995 continued 15 ．．．fxg3 16 hxg 3
 b4 䊑e8 21 c5 峟g6 22 cxd6 cxd6 23 Øc4 g4？！ （23．．．h4！？） 24 气b6 gxf3 +25 食xf3 looks better to me，but this is too complex to say for sure） $26 \Delta x d 7 \triangleq \mathrm{xd} 727$ Еूh1 $\triangleq \mathrm{f} 628$ 刿e2

b） $13 \ldots$ ．．mf 6 ？ 14 凤b5 a6？！ 15 气a7（D）．

B

 of optimism；16．．．b6 never seems to trap the bishop in such positions，in this case because of 17 a5！全b7 18 axb6 cxb6 19 c5！包c5 20 b4气d721婁xa6，etc．）17 थd3 थf6 18 c 5 （ 18 乌f2
has also won some games）18．．．g4 19 fxg4 Qxe4 20 全f3 Qg3！？ 21 cxd6 cxd6 22 hxg3
 was able to get to the safe haven on e2．Krivo－ shei－Cherkasov，Koszalin 1999.
c） $13 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 6$ is under a cloud because after 14 a5 „f7（or $14 \ldots$ h5 15 Db5） 15 c5．Black＇s counterplay is too slow．The knight gets in the way of ．．． $\mathbf{m f 6 - h 6 .}$

We now turn to an example with 13．．．a5．

## P．H．Nielsen－Kotronias <br> Hastings 2003／4

## 13．．．a5

As mentioned earlier，this move weakens Black＇s queenside and even spends a tempo doing so，but these drawbacks appear to be outweighed by the fact that it also frustrates White＇s active plans．

## 14 式3 b6（D）

Black tries to undercut both c5 and a5．This is the structure that he＇s been aiming for．with the benefit that ．．．©c5 can be a valuable defen－ sive resource at some point．


15 全e1！？
In many ways retreating the bishop is White＇s most logical move．The reasoning is as follow s；
a）White needs to get b4 in．
b）Once he＇s made that move and Black has captured with the move ．．．axb4，White will set his eyes upon his next queenside break．which is a5．
c）Capturing on b4 with the bishop（or even aiming it that way）is the best way to achieve
a5 and continue his queenside attack．Then， whether Black captures White＇s pawn on a5 or not，White will gain targets on the queenside， such as $\mathrm{c} 7, \mathrm{~b} 6$ or d6．
d）Finally，by capturing on b4 with the bishop， White preserves his knight on d 3 to go to f 2 and protect against ．．．g4 in the traditional fashion．

Of course there are difficulties with this procedure in terms of time；the whole thing＇s rather slow．White＇s bishop has to travel to e3， f 2 ，el and b4，conceivably hurting his kingside defences thereby（for example，there won＇t be a酸g1 defence）．White＇s knight on c3 will have to get out of the way，although 5 b 5 may be a tempo well spent．And the move c5 will still be a long way from realization even after White completes the a5 plan．Nevertheless，Black＇s knight on d7 will have to move to f6（or perhaps c5）at some point in order to continue with his kingside attack，at which point White＇s queen－ side chances will inevitably improve．

For all that， 15 b4 immediately makes sense too，mainly because White must play b4 if he is going to open the queenside and he may be able to make use of the knight on b4 by，for example， going to c 6 and supporting a5．However，it should be noted that a knight on c6 in the King＇s Indian will often be stranded there as Black moves to the kingside to pursue his at－ tack．Let＇s see a couple of the main ideas in practice： $15 \ldots \mathrm{axb} 416$ Qb5（after 16 毋xb4 2 c 5 17 a5？bxa5 18 是xc5 dxc5 19 Ød3 乌c6！ 20 dxc6 ${ }^{\underline{W}} \mathrm{~d} 4+$ Black wins back the piece with an extra pawn and pressure） $16 \ldots$ ．．．f6（D）．


17 会el（17 气xb4 h5； 17 断b3！？might plot嵝 xb 4 and a5； 1 don＇t know if that＇s been tried）

17．．．g4（17．．．h5 18 Qf2！？） 18 fxg 4 （White gives up a centre pawn，usually a bad idea if you can avoid it，but 18 是xb4 g3！ 19 h 3 全xh3！ 20 gxh 3显d7 would be a dangerous standard attack；note how useful the light－squared bishop can be）

 with a powerful attack，Chabanon－Degraeve， French Cht 1999.



18 勾55 气eg8 $19 \mathrm{b4} 4$ تf7
Some recent games from this critical position have favoured White；for example， $19 . . \varrho \mathrm{h} 620$ c5 bxc5 21 bxc5 登 722 勾3！全f8 23 气c4 g4 $24 \mathrm{fxg} 4 \mathrm{hxg} 425 \mathrm{hxg} 4 \mathrm{\Xi g} 7$（ $D$ ）．

W


 tack looks dead，Zakhartsov－Voicu，Alushta 2005.

20 bxa5 bxa5 21 c5 04822 cxd6 cxd6 23


Perhaps the most critical line is the greedy 26 hxg4！？hxg4 27 §c 7 g3 28 Øxa8，N．Brun－ ner－Helstroffer，Nancy 2006，agreed drawn at this point！We＇ll just enjoy the rest of our game， in spite of the fact that White could have played better．

26．．．数e8！ 27 h 4 gxf 328 全xf3 余g4！ 29

的f2
敬 $4+0-1$

9 ©d2
9 Cd2（D）


There＇s a legitimate question whether this move or 9 el is better．As mentioned above， once White plays $\triangleq \mathrm{d} 2$ it is unlikely that he will return to defend against，say，the standard pawn attack via ．．．f5，．．f4 and ．．．g5－g4．On the other hand， $9 \triangleq \mathrm{~d} 2$ supports more aggressive inten－ tions on the queenside．White＇s idea is to play c5（ultimately this is difficult to stop）and then place the knight on c 4 ，which exerts tremen－ dous pressure on Black＇s queenside and centre， most obviously on the d6－pawn but also sup－ porting b5－b6，and infiltrating by $\sum$ a5－c6 in some lines．All the time，the knight keeps an eye on the e5－pawn，the foundation of Black＇s position．If Black lets the e－pawn go（say，by ．．．dxc5，when White also has a bishop on b2）， then the collapse of his centre causes an imme－ diate crisis（essentially，he has to get through to White＇s king immediately thereafter）．A further consideration which affects the entire course of
the play is the slow pace at which White is able to mobilize his cl－bishop and al－rook．This gives Black opportunities to play on the queen－ side as well．In fact，Black＇s play on that wing crops up in almost every major King＇s Indian system．

These ideas are best illustrated by example． We look at $9 \ldots$. e8 and $9 \ldots$ a5．There are of course numerous alternatives，with the most important being 9 ．．．c5．I＇ll forego that in favour of giving more detailed coverage of the other two moves．

## Pawn Race



After both 9 d 2 and 9 el there are dis－ agreements over whether $9 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{e} 8$ or $9 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{~d} 7$ is more accurate．

In this position I won＇t go into that except to say that：
a） $9 . . .8 \mathrm{~d} 7$ protects against c 5 ，with the ca－ veat that after b 4 White might play c 5 as a pawn sacrifice；
b） 9 ．．． Qe $^{2} 8$ supports d 6 so that a＇traditional＇ plan with c5，cxd6，Qc4 and $Q \mathrm{~b} 5$ doesn＇t threaten the d－pawn or the $\mathbf{c} 7$－square．That may be more important．

See the more detailed discussion of the same choice after 9 Del．

## 10 b4 f5

So now it＇s a pawn－race，à la 9 el 气d7．

## 11 c 5 分 6

Bringing the knight back to f6 negates the difference between $9 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{~d} 7$ and $9 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{e} 8$ ．
$12 f 3 f 413$ © 4 g 5

This is familiar territory．Here are two in－ structive and entertaining games：

## Bogdanovski－Golubev

Skopje 1991
$14.93(D)$


## 14．．． 9615 b5 2 e8！？

It＇s hard to believe that Black can get away with making this passive move twice！But he succeeds in this game，which says something about how dangerous ．．．f4，．．g5 and ．．．g4 can be even in an inferior line．More likely to be good is $15 \ldots \mathrm{dxc} 5$ ，as seen in Bunzmann－Golubev．

16 b6 axb6 17 cxb6 cxb6 18 数b3 h5 19 Eab1g4 20 包xb6 籴g5！（D）

What material？


## 21 害h1？

21 थxa8？！g3 22 h3 粞h4！ 23 登b2 全xh3 24
 for White，who might have thought he had the
game in the bag．There can follow 26 賭d7


21．．．©h4 22 气xc8？
Normally，getting rid of this bishop is key to successful defence，but now White hasn＇t even won any material！Other moves are not much easier，however．

 （D）


29 金d2
Golubev offers the charming analysis 29 迷el g3 30 h 3 ？©g4！ 31 fxg 4 hxg 42 全xg4 崰xg4！！ 33 hxg 4 品 h 7 and mates！
食e7！

Black begins a standard manoeuvre to free his bad bishop．In this case it serves a defensive function，but in many openings it will make the


33 a 4 金d8 34 a 5 富h8 35 垙e2 Eg 736 食b5


In time－trouble Golubev backs off．He wasn＇t sure about what would follow 38 ．．． Eg 3 ！．

39 ． A a ？！
This was the last chance for 39 离d7！．

## 39．．．』g3！

Black finds a very pretty and original combi－ nation．

```
40 晚xg3(D)
40...\f5!! 41 ב゙̈c1
```

Everything loses： 41 exf5 fxg $3+42$ taxg 3
新h4\＃．




Somehow this great game just feels like an－ other few hundred King＇s Indians．I guess that says something about the opening！

Instead of 14 定 a 3 ，White can also add fuel to his pawn advance by pushing the a－pawn first：

Bunzmann－Golubev
Bethune 2002



## 16．．．dxc5！？

This strange move is actually a plausible at－ tempt to get Black＇s attack going；he prefers to face the move d6 rather than b6．The game R．Hernandez－J．Gunnarsson，Santa Clara 2002 is terribly instructive： $16 \ldots$ ．．．金f8 17 a 5 ！？（ 17 b 6 is also promising，in view of the line 17．．．axb6？！ \｛17．．．dxc5！is probably the best way to defend \}
 （Martin offers $17 \ldots$ b6 18 cxb6 axb6 19 axb6 cxb6 20 ゆa2！g421 乌b4g322 2c6 and White
has made too much progress too fast） 18 b 6 axb6？！（a possible improvement is 18 ．．．cxb6） 19 axb6 cxb6 20 楼b3！登a6 21 宴b2？！（21 Efbl！must be strong；the overall impression is that White is better after 16．．．（f8） $21 \ldots 2 \mathrm{~d} 7$ ？ （Bogdanovski－Rosiak，Lodz 1989 actually fa－ voured Black after 21．．．g4！） 22 d 6 ！（D）．


After this powerful breakthrough the situa－ tion is still complex，but we may consider the opening ideas complete．So we＇ll sit back and watch how Black can get slaughtered before his attack could take effect： $22 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 523$ Qd5


 35 光 e 2 速g5 36 楅e6 1－0．

17 复xc5 h5！？（D）


## 18 d 6

The combination in Ftačnik－Cvitan，Bundes－ liga 1997／8 has been shown in umpteen books， but not everyone reads these things，so a quick
run－through： 18 a5 g4 19 b6 g3 20 क्षీhl！©h7

 27 㯰h1 g2\＃．

18．．．色f8
Or 18．．．食e6！？；this ．．．dxc5 idea isn＇t looking half bad！

断xe6 掬xe6 26 2xf 7 g ！（ $D$ ）

The typical Black KID counterattack！Not 26．．．び 8 ？！ 27 气g5！．


27 hxg 3 fxg 328 全xg3 Ec8 29 Qg5 数xb6＋





I＇m not confident that this line fully equal－ izes for Black if White plays extremely accu－ rately．However，Black＇s disadvantage will very likely be within manageable bounds in any case． The view that after 9 d d 2 ，Black can＇t compete against White in a pawn－race holds some truth， but only some．

## Queenside Manoeuvres

9．．．a5（D）
Black turns his attention to the queenside．At the very least，he wants White to spend extra time to get the move b4 in．After this minor vic－ tory，Black can rush off to pursue his kingside at－ tack，or try other ideas on the queenside，which is the strategy that most players prefer．While White gets on with a3 and b4，Black can play


．．．c6．There is more than one point to the latter move，but a major one is to play ．．．cxd5，and af－ ter cxd5 to mobilize his own pieces on the queenside and neutralize White＇s attack there． For example，a queen move may follow，clear－ ing the back rank for action，and ．．． 2 c 8 －b6 cov－ ers the key square c4．On an elementary level， ．．．c6 and ．．．cxd5 also removes a pawn from po－ tential attack should White eventually make queenside progress．In the meantime，Black can always make a few kingside motions to prepare a delayed attack on that side of the board．As you might expect，this is all stretching Black＇s forces rather thin and White is really more natu－ rally placed to make progress on the queenside． He has a much simpler task than Black：to evict all those jumbled pieces that we just referred to， or open queenside lines and bypass them．

Ftačnik－Topalov
Polanica Zdroj 1995

## 10 a3 食d7 11 b3

White wants to prevent ．．．a4，with one pawn holding down two．

11．．．c6
As described above，Black needs to clear out some pawns and open files to gain active play on the queenside and spots for his pieces．Of course for every such exchange White will also gain squares．

12 金b2
Or：
a）Black＇s forces made a pretty picture in Nemet－Gallagher，Swiss Cht 1994： 12 登 bl 幽b8 13 b 4 cxd 514 cxd 5 発 c 815 昷b2 axb4 16 axb 4 b5！（D）．

宣e2？登xc3！ 21 \＆xc3 气xe4（an exchange sac－ rifice tends to be pretty safe when you get a centre pawn and mobile majority） 22 家el 㗊c8
 Dexd5．A second centre pawn has fallen，and Black is clearly better．
b）White played a better idea in Sharavdorj－ Al Modiahki，Yangon 1999，but didn＇t get much
 haps 14 a 4 ！？intending $\%$ a 3 could be tried；then White could play on the kingside too）14．．．b5 15 cxb5（ 15 dxc6 是xc6 16 cxb5 食xe4 17 分xe4）

 equality．



Black considers getting rid of this＇bad＇ bishop，but is also covering f4．Exchanging on d2 carries with it the risk that the dark squares near his king will become too weak．

$14 \ldots$ ．．bxc6 is met by 15 b4！with a small ad－ vantage．

15 帘d3
15 b4！with the idea $15 \ldots$ 血xd2 16 䒼xd2
 pawn sacrifice，intending f4．As so often，White is winning the theoretical battle but is faced with great practical difficulties in neutralizing Black＇s activity．

## 15．．．2b6 16 b4 axb4 17 axb4

At this point White simply seems to have the better game：space and the d6 weakness are the first two reasons．

17．．．乌h5！（D）


Watch out for this knight．Topalov already sees the contours of the game．




## 22．．．全xc1！

Topalov＇s idea is simply to eliminate a poten－ tial defender．White has little left to attack with．

## 23 臤 1 全xb3！

The same reasoning．But what are those pieces defending？

24 Еxb3 9 g 7 ！
The main point of ．．．2h5： d 4 is there for the taking with nothing to challenge it．

25 c5！
He shouldn＇t wait around for ．．． 0 e6－d4 with－ out activating his bad bishop． 25．．．乌e6（D）


26 品bc3？！
 27 点c4！） $27 \mathrm{cxb6}$（ 27 全xb5 0 d 4 ） 27 ．．．※xc1＋
 Black＇s knight still looks somewhat better than



## 

But 28 単e3 might run into 28．．．b6！ 29 c6 Qc5，etc．

28．．．



Black is a pawn ahead and has a winning position．

## The Bayonet Variation

## 9 b4（D）

Here we have the popular Bayonet Variation， which I shall only briefly examine．The Bayo－ net is characterized by play on both wings．Be－ cause the move 9 b4 allows Black to claim specific squares on the queenside via ．．．a5 and attempt to block White＇s traditional advances there，we find Black concentrating upon that

region of the board before he undertakes kingside action，if indeed the latter occurs at all． Ideally，some important ideas will come across in what follows，but it is essentially an very ab－ breviated outline of today＇s favourite varia－ tions．The following game and notes may shed a little light on the ensuing themes．

Black＇s principal choice，both originally and in current practice，is $9 \ldots$ ．． 2 h ，which will be the subject of the illustrative games below． $9 \ldots$ a5 has been the primary alternative for years． Here＇s a recent example： 10 䍐a3（ 10 bxa5 has also been used extensively）10．．．axb4（Black also plays a variety of other moves such as $10 \ldots . \mathrm{d}^{2}, 10 \ldots . \mathrm{Sh}^{2}$ and $10 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ ；at the moment it seems that several are quite playable，but that none fully equalize） 11 重xb4 b6 12 a4 むe8 13 2b5（this stops ．．．c5，an important defensive idea for Black）13．．．f5 14 乌d2！包h8！？（D）．


15 \＆c3！．White＇s last two moves combine well；he can now play a5 without having to lose a critical tempo after the replies ．．．c5 and ．．．c6，
whereas the bishop on c 3 makes f 4 possible．A standard trick is 15 a5？！c6 16 dxc 6 exc6 and Black will capture on a5 with some advantage． After 15 㑒c3，Mikhalevski－Finegold，Schaum－ burg 2006 continued $15 \ldots$ ．．．6 16 dxc6 2 xc6 17 exf5 gxf5 18 f 4 ！宴b7 19 （ff3！，and White＇s creative reorganization had secured him a defi－ nite advantage．A model game．

We now move on to Black＇s main continua－ tion，9．．． 2 h 5 ．

Bareev－Polzin<br>Rethymnon ECC 2003

## 9．．．仓h5 10 Еe1

White has tried a number of moves here，par－ ticularly 10 g 3 and 10 c 5 ．But the move 10 鳥el is the overwhelming choice of masters today and indeed the reason why the Bayonet has come from relative obscurity to its current promi－ nence．Now White can meet ．．． 2 f 4 with 亘fl．

10．．．f5
There have been very many games with $10 \ldots$ ．．．a5 11 bxa5 Exa5 12 气d2 $2 f 413$ \＆f1，a re－ cent example going 13．．．巴a8 14 c5！？dxc5 15 ©c4 \＆${ }^{\text {d }} 7$（Mikhalevski offers up 15．．．b6 16 a4
 18 a5 bxa5 19 \＆e3 थd 20 是xc5 气xc4 21
 with advantage for White，Ponomariov－Bolo－ gan，Foros 2006.

## 11 Qg5 2f6（D）

This is currently the main line of the Bayo－ net，although that could always change．
w


12 f3

12 鹵 f 3 is the other important move．A line that has been repeatedly tested over the years is 12．．．c6 13 \＆e3 h6 14 かe6 宣xe6 15 dxe6 fxe4 16 分xe4 ©xe4 17 全xe4 d5 18 cxd5 cxd5；e．g．． 19 \＆ E 5 （19 \＆ c 2 is the older move，perhaps a better try） $19 \ldots$ ．．．dxe 420 慗xd8 登fxd8 21 息xe7 Еe8 22 官c5 登xe6 23 Ёxe4 むd8 24 h 4 a 625 a 4 \＃d5 with equality，Gyimesi－Baklan，Romanian Cht（Tusnad） 2005.

12．．．c6
$12 \ldots .2 \mathrm{~h} 5$ ？？and $12 \ldots$ 象h8 have also been played recently and should be researched by the serious student of this variation．

13 象h1 h6 14 乌e6 恖xe6 15 dxe6 乌e8！
With the idea ．．．分7 and ．．．©xe6．Essen－ tially，Black counts upon gaining some material or a huge centre to offset White＇s bishop－pair．

## 16 崖b3 5 c 717 c 5 d 5

So Black didn＇t get the e－pawn immediately but has the centre and can attack the pawn later． This requires a quick response by White．

## 18 exd5 cxd5 19 主b2！跎c8！？（D）

A logical move that gets to the point：re－ moval of White＇s pawn on e6．Both 19．．．${ }^{\text {eve8 }}$ and $19 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ have been tested without the final word having been said．19．．．${ }^{\omega}$ c 8 has the advan－ tage of avoiding certain problems along the e － file which are associated with $19 . . . \frac{\omega}{b}$ e8．


20 乌b5！
The best way to counter Black＇s threat of ．．．眯xe6．White＇s play is very tactical in this line．

20．．．a6
A trick is $20 \ldots$ ．．．xb5 2 ！ 21 是xb5 断xe6 22全xe5！金xe5 $23 \mathrm{f4}$ with some advantage for White．



It has come down again to centre（and central－ ized pieces）versus the bishop－pair．Assuming that the tactics work，Black should be fine．

27 \＆xa6！？
Avrukh gives deep analysis on a variety of lines，the most thematic of which is 27 f 4 （to de－ fine the central situation and exchange pieces before trying to win material）27．．．e4 28 昷xg7

 Qxc8 2 d 3 and if anyone is better，it＇s Black．If he is allowed to capture a pawn on $\mathrm{f4}$ or c 5 ，his d － and e－pawns will become extremely strong．

27 ．．．Ea8 28 b5 分d4！ 29 \＆xd4 exd4 30 迷a5



Black has achieved dynamic equality．The rest of the game is complex and full of alterna－ tives，but the bottom line is that a draw is the fair result．







## Averbakh Variation

 6 㑒g5（D）

In the Averbakh，White tries to limit Black＇s options while keeping his own development flexible．I won＇t go into all the positional

trade－offs between 5 \＆f3／6 气e2 and 5 定e2／6食g5，but one of White＇s immediate ideas is to attack Black＇s king via ${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{d} \mathrm{d} 2$ ，\＆h6 and h4－h5． For this，the bishop on e 2 is useful in that after $6 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 67$ 全e3， $7 \ldots \mathrm{Q} 4$ is prevented．Another motivation for 5 昷e2 and 6 昷g5 is to prevent the natural $6 \ldots$ ．．e5？？because of 7 dxe 5 dxe5 8
 10 ．．．ef8 11 这c7 with a winning game．Even the dynamic counter－attempt $11 \ldots$ ．． 2 xe4？falls on its face after 12 㑒e3 Ebb 13 全xa7．

I shall use the Averbakh Variation mainly to discuss ．．．c5 structures in the King＇s Indian， something that we haven＇t seen much in other parts of this chapter．We shall also examine sev－ eral Sämisch Variation games for that purpose．

6．．．c5
Naturally Black has alternatives．The popu－ lar 6．．．乌a6 is a typical modern move which can lead us back to the structures that we saw in the Classical KID lines with ．．．Sbd7．For example，



B


In this position，13．．．${ }^{6}$ b6 would be a natural move，but I like the idea $13 \ldots$ ．．．a6！？．Black in－
 example of Black abandoning ．．．f5 plans in fa－ vour of queenside action，as we saw in various lines with ．．．e5 earlier in this chapter．Of course， kingside expansion may follow later．

## 7 d5 h6 8 e．f4

8 安e3 is another idea；it allows Black to play 8．．．e6 without immediately sacrificing his d－ pawn．

## 8．．．e6！

A positional pawn sacrifice to activate all Black＇s pieces，with an emphasis on the dark squares．But White gets a free centre pawn．

9 dxe6 余xe6 10 全xd6


11 分3（D）
11 皿xc5 is another can of worms． 11 e 5 ！？is rare，but shows typically dynamic themes：
a） $11 \ldots . \mathrm{fd} 712 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{c} 6$ with the idea of an early ．．．f6 would be wild after 13 Qd5 㑒xd5！？

 with a terrific attack for the piece．
b） $11 \ldots$ 多 4 ！？ 12 全xg4 全xg4 13 喓xg4


Now（after II ©f3）Black must seek a way to use the power of the g 7 －bishop．

## 11．．．鄀b6

$11 \ldots .2 \mathrm{c} 6$ is an alternative that has been ana－ lysed out 25－30 moves to a drawish ending．I＇ll pass on that．

12 全xb8
 ical exchange sacrifices for the dark squares： 14


e5－pawn falls） $14 \ldots$ ．．．dxe5 15 分xe5 $\triangleq x e 516$ 2xa8 Ex 8 and Black has compensation．Here
 good winning attempt．

Only one of several moves，including the logical and arguably superior $14 \ldots$ ．．．． 3 ！？．That hasn＇t been tested as much，however．

## 15 数xc3

In the game Yermolinsky－Kindermann，Gro－ ningen FIDE KO 1997，White took a bold but risky decision after 15 bxc3 童g4 16 h 3 食xf3 17
 was the theoretical continuation at the time）or
 gxh5，he played $180-0-0!?$ ，to which Black re－ sponded with the dynamic $18 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ！（ $D$ ）．


19 登he1（White has options here such as 19 ©xh5 and even 19 cxb5！？；the position is hard to assess，and harder still to play） $19 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 4$ ！？
 the idea ．．．乌e6 was tried；that produced equal
 unclear position．
楝d6（D）


Preventing 0－0－0．
19 安f1

 kin－Kaminski，Wisla 1992．Hazai makes the point that White has no squares to penetrate on． Still，with bishop for knight，there＇s no risk in playing on．

## 

 sion of what follows．

20．．． 5 g 7 ！？
Black＇s ambitious idea is to bring this knight to the wonderful outpost on d 4 ，by way of either e6 or f5．This runs into some problems，however， and Golubev feels that Black is only slightly worse after 20．．．b6 21 蓖g2 a5．



This is Bareev－Golubev，USSR jr－Wch qual－ ifier（Klaipeda）1985．Black came out worse in the enticing complications introduced by

 eventually won．All in all，you can see how $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ changes the King＇s Indian dynamic．

## Sämisch Variation




The Sämisch Variation is characterized by White＇s desire to protect his central squares e4 and d4，the first by a pawn and the second by pieces．This set－up is more difficult to attack by the means that we saw in the Classical Variation． If Black plays ．．．e5 and White replies d5（by no means forced；see the first game），his favourite attack by ．．．f5－f4 and ．．．g5－g4 will achieve less for two reasons．First，White won＇t have to go through gyrations like $2 \mathrm{f} 3-\mathrm{e} 1$ in order to attack on the queenside；that is，the moves f3 and ie3 come without hindrance．Moreover，White will often castle queenside and sidestep a direct at－ tack on his king．He can then attack on either or both sides of the board，by means of h4－h5 or b4 and c5．I＇ll present examples below．

Black has many approaches to the Sämisch， but in general has benefited from remaining flexible．For some time now， $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ has been the main weapon of top players．As in the Aver－ bakh Variation，we＇ll see Black trying to pry open the long diagonal．Of course，there is a very wide variety of other strategies that both players can employ after 5 f3．My primary goal
is to show a couple of typical structures that do not arise in the Classical lines．

Sämisch with ．．．e5
Shirov－Kasparov
Dortmund 1992
 $0-0-0$（D）

B


Here White is content to keep the centre fluid for the time being，much as he does in variations such as 1 d 4 仓f6 2 c 4 g 63 Dc3 \＆ g 7 4 e4d65 乌f3 0－0 6 㑒e2 e5 70－0 凤bd7．At any point he can play d 5 ，which leads to another large set of variations and subvariations．Now the challenge is for Black to scare up play ver－ sus White＇s advantage in space．He does so by expanding on the queenside．

## $9 . . . a 610$ 䆝b1 b5 11 cle

White needs to protect c4 and clear the way for his bishop on fl ．The moves d 5 and c 5 are in the air，sometimes supported by a knight on b3． Black sidesteps them both．

## 

Kasparov voluntarily gives up his grip on the centre by ．．．exd 4 in order to open the long diag－ onal for his g 7 －bishop and the e－file for his rook．As in many variations of the King＇s In－ dian Defence（and for that matter in the Sicilian Defence），this creates a weak pawn on d6 that is a direct target down White＇s open d－file．With 12 ．．．．．e8，Black decides that it＇s not worth it to defend that pawn as yet．In fact，he also opens a square for the move ．．．ef8，which in some vari－ ations provides solid support for that pawn．


## 13 全xf6！？

Shirov accepts the sacrifice，but in doing so gives up the valuable dark－squared bishop that opposes its black counterpart．With hindsight， safer and more effective alternatives were found； for example，modern theory concentrates upon 13 晏f 2 and $13 \varrho$ b3．But I＇ll stick to my main theme rather than get lost in those options．
 （D）


Remember that attacks can be just as deadly in queenless middlegames as when the queens are still on the board．For his pawn，Kasparov has two beautiful bishops as opposed to White＇s bad bishop，and his eye is on the king．Black＇s immediate intention is to play ．．．．${ }^{\text {鳥e6，some－}}$ times in conjunction with ．．．ef8，exploiting White＇s weak dark squares．Nevertheless，White is still a pawn ahead，which is no small matter．

16 f4！？
It＇s almost impossible to resist driving away the beautiful knight，especially as what follows
isn＇t obvious．Perhaps better would be the seem－ ingly suicidal 16 cxb5！axb5 17 f 4 （ 17 \＆ 9 d 3 b 4 ！
 b4！（18．．．थf2 19 昆g1 b4 20 ゆd5 ©xe4 21 \＆b5！） 19 \＆d5 \＆b7 20 \＆b5，although Black can get enough compensation for his material in several ways．To defend this general type of position is extremely difficult，all the more so against Kasparov！

16．．． 2 g 4 ！（ $D$ ）
White was hoping for $16 \ldots .2 x c 4 ?!17$ 全xc4 bxc4 18 e5．

 f6！（ $D$ ）

Cracking open the all－important long diago－ nal or winning a pawn．


21 e6
The most spectacular line given by Kasparov is 21 g 4 ！？ $2 \mathrm{xg} 4!22$ 是 d 3 fxe $5!!23$ 是xf5 gxf5 24 h3 exf4 25 hxg4 f3 26 ＠d3（26 gxf5 f2 27




21．．．巴xe6 22 世xe6 真xe6 23 察e2 5524



Or 30 公xc4 全xc4 31 食xc6 全d4．The rest of the game is an application of technique．At the points where White is able to simplify，Black＇s two bishops will win the ending．

30．．．a5 31 分d8 2 d 2 ！ 32 食c6 余h6！ 33 g 3

 Ef1 0－1

Let＇s see what happens when White closes the centre and Black tries his standard pawn－ chain attack：

## Platonov－Gulko USSR Ch semi－final（Kiev） 1969

1 d 4 2f62 c4g6 3 © 3 要g74e4 d65f30－06 Qe3 e5

There are funny move－order issues here． Black can play $6 \ldots$ ．．．bd7，intending to set up a system that avoids weakening d6；for example， 7 潘d2 c6 $80-0-0 \mathrm{a}$ ．A popular option after 6．．．2bd7 is 7 थh 3 ！？（since ．．．是xh3 isn＇t possi－ ble），with the basic idea of $\sum \mathrm{f} 2$ and perhaps Qd3．Both sides＇strategies are typically flexi－ ble and at that point Black sometimes reverts to 7 ．．．e5 8 d 5 Qh5 ，when White＇s knight may stay on h3 to help on the kingside．And so forth．It takes some study to master these nuances．

7 d 5 ゆh5 8 遴 d 2 （D）


8．．．f5

A famous line is 8 ．．．类 $\mathrm{h} 4+9 \mathrm{~g} 3$（9 宜f2 offers

 $10 . .0 \mathrm{Xff} 11$ 慗xh4 $\triangleq \mathrm{xe} 3$ ．This is Bronstein＇s idea．Black has only two pieces and a pawn for the queen，but threatens ．．．थg2＋and will pick up the c－pawn with chances based largely upon queenside activity．With accurate play，this vari－ ation may favour White slightly，but that assess－ ment is still being debated today．
$90-0-0$（D）


9．．．f4？！
This familiar advance is Black＇s most primi－ tive approach，imitating the one that he uses against the Classical King＇s Indian．Perhaps my designation of＇？！＇is a bit harsh，but ．．．f4 is gen－ erally not a good idea for the reasons mentioned above．We shall examine it because the result－ ing positions illustrate White＇s core strategy versus ．．．e5／．．．f5 in clearest form．This situation can also arise in other variations in the Sämisch such as $5 \mathrm{f} 30-06$ 是e3 2 c 6 （with a later ．．e5）； it is also seen in the King＇s Indian Attack，and even in the Modern Defence（1．．．g6）．

Over the years，Black has had more success with the flexible $9 \ldots .2 \mathrm{~d} 7$ ，preparing ．．．$\sum \mathrm{df} 6$ or ．．． 0 c 5 ．White can react in various ways；a classic and still popular possibility is $10 \hat{\text { \＆}} \mathrm{d} 3$ （D）．

Now：
a） $10 \ldots$ df6 $11 \triangleq \mathrm{ge} 2$ introduces another classic line．It affords White multiple choices such as exf5 followed by 8 g 3 ，or simply h3， preparing g4．Ceding e4 by $11 \ldots$ fxe4 $12 \triangleq$ xe4 Qxe4 13 显xe4 亘f5 14 亿c3 gives White a small but certain advantage，in view of $14 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{f} 6$


15 名g5 and White＇s e4 strongpoint cannot be broken down．
b） $10 \ldots$ ．． c 5 ！？ 11 全c2 a6．Here Black pre－ pares a counterattack by ．．．b5；for example， 12 Qge2 b5 13 b4 0 d 714 cxb5！？axb5 15 ©xb5！？ Exa2 16 气ec3 Ea 8，which has always been considered better for White，but Ward points out that this is not entirely clear．

Intending ．．．金h4，to trade his bad bishop for White＇s good one on f2．Naturally White doesn＇t allow the exchange．

## 11 ge2

In Gheorghiu－Angantysson，Reykjavik 1986， White got in every move of his ideal plan： 11


 Dac1（D）with the idea 2 d 3 and 55 ．


Although Black could have set up differ－ ently，we see what he is up against．

[^0]By playing ．．．s．f6－h4，Black has imprisoned White＇s rook on hl．The problem is that he needs something positive to do．

## 12．．． 9 a6

Black tries to slow down White＇s idea of a pawn－storm on the queenside．As before，if not disturbed，White has the simple plan of 曾bl， $\Delta \mathrm{c} 1-\mathrm{d} 3 / \mathrm{b} 3$ ， $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ and launching his pawns for－ ward with b4 and c5．In the early game Petro－ sian－Gligorić，Zurich Ct 1953，for example， Black let White have his way by $12 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ ？ 13 c 5 g4 14 皃bl，when Black was stuck for an idea． The game isn＇t that much better：



B


19．．．$\triangle \mathrm{Cf} 20$ ©b5 今d7？
But White was getting through anyway．Now Black＇s pawn－chain collapses．

## 21 亿xc7！仓xc7

数c3！hits both c7 and e5．

22 cxd6 数xd6 23 全c5 $5 x 4$
Forced，to save the queen；otherwise $23 \ldots$ ．．．${ }_{\text {Wha6 }}$ 240 xe 5 followed by 呲xf4 is resignable．

## 24 fxe4 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wgg } 6 ~\end{aligned}$




 34 巴e1 f3 35 包c3 f2 36 Ef1 区xe4 37 Exf2
部 d 5 官f742 d7 1－0

Defence by means of ．．．e5 is still respect－ able，but these kinds of difficulties are one rea－ son why Black has turned toward systems with ．．．c5．

## Sämisch with ．．．c5

定e3c5！（D）


This variation begins with a pawn sacrifice in order to enhance the power of Black＇s bishop on g7 and to increase control of the dark squares in general．Remember that when White plays d 4 ， c 4 and e 4 ，his most vulnerable square is d 4 ，be－ cause it can＇t be supported by adjacent pawns．

7 dxc5
This variation is presented for instructional purposes；otherwise I would need to go into the alternatives 7 仓ge 2 and 7 d 5 ．Their theory is ex－ tensive，but for once it＇s fair to say that mastery of the typical tactics and positional ideas will allow you to play either side with confidence．



For his pawn，Black has both dark－square control and a lead in development．At this point we＇ll look at a few games：

## Kramnik－Shirov

Bundesliga 1992／3



This move was Shirov＇s innovation，which changed both the assessment of this particular line and the reputation of the $6 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ variation as a whole．

## 13 ©xe7＋！

 Qc5（D）illustrates the power of the two bish－ ops and open files：


In spite of White＇s temporary two－pawn ad－ vantage，he is already in trouble and all three of his queenside pawns could easily fall．Other－ wise we can get a position in which White＇s pieces won＇t be able to unravel；for example， 16

全 $\mathrm{c} 3+23$ 室 f 2 定d4＋24家e1 公 4 ，etc．

## 

Gallagher gives the line 15 䀋xb4？axb4 16 ©d5 Еa8 17 ©xb4 ©d7！and Black stands better，although this time he＇s three pawns down！Compare the game．
$15 . . .0 \mathrm{c} 2+16$ 古d2 $2 \mathrm{xa} 317 \mathrm{bxa} 3 \mathrm{b5}$ ！（D）


This key move takes the legs out from under the knight on d 5 by liquidating the pawns that support it．

18 每h $3^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$
Unfortunately，the game was agreed drawn at this point，but there＇s plenty more to say．Shirov gives the line 18．．．bxc4 19 \＆xc4 0 xd5 20 exd5
 notes，Black could well play on，since he has the better minor piece．

He also draws attention to the alternative 16 daf2（D），first analysed by Shirov and then played in the game Fritz6－Har－Zvi，Israeli Cht 2000.


16．．．Dxa3 17 bxa3 b5！（Black is two pawns down but will again rid himself of White＇s
strong knight and then either recover his mate－ rial or sweep into White＇s position） 18 Dh3 Ec8！？（Shirov shows that 18 ．．．bxc4 19 金xc4 Eic8！eventually leads to a drawn opposite－col－ oured bishop ending） $190 \times f 6$ ？！©xf6 20 cxb5
 manoeuvre，standard in many KID positions， has decisive effect） 23 食d3 食b6＋24 宵f 3 Exa3（Black is a pawn down but the a－pawn and bishops are decisive） 25 登d1 a4 26 थf2
是xa2 金xa2 and Black won easily．

## Razuvaev－Shirov <br> Bundesliga 1991／2

In this brief game we see Black＇s strategy at its most devastating．I won＇t give many notes because we＇ve seen the basic ideas．
 a5！ 13 Qe3

White can almost certainly do better in what follows，but it＇s no fun in any case．

13．．．Db4 14 亿h3 ©c5（D）


15 约2 e6
Black takes away d5．
16 安e2 b6！ 17 Qfd
$170-0$ \＆ d 4 ！（ $17 \ldots$ ．．． xa 218 घd1 is unclear） 18 气ed 1 xa2 recovers the pawn，and White is even more tied up．

## 17．．．公xa2 18 亿c2 宴a6

$18 \ldots$ ．．．$b 7$ with the idea ．．．f5 is another stan－ dard possibility，although it＇s unnecessary in this position．


害xc4 29 f4 金b3 0－1

## Ro．Gunawan－Gelfand <br> Minsk 1986

## 10 亿多2 0 d7 11 㑒e3

White tries something different，abandoning the placement of the bishop on a3．Now Black targets d3．

11．．．©de5！ 12 Øf4 气b4（D）


13 安 2 ？
Gallagher shows the lovely line 13 d 1

 b6 and White has multiple pawn weaknesses including those on the open c－file．

13．．．＠e6！？（D）


## 14 ed5

14 亿xe6 fxe6 leaves White without access to this important square．



Remarkably, Black is winning now because all the dark squares fall.

Or 21 官2 gxf4+22 gxf4 e5.

貝 e 2 \#d8 28 b3

29 act 3 Ëd 230 h 4 घّc2.





## 8 Grünfeld Defence

$1 \mathrm{~d} 4 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 62 \mathrm{c} 4 \mathrm{~g} 63$ © $3 \mathrm{d5}(D)$


With 3．．．d5，Black sets White an entirely dif－ ferent set of problems from those he does with the King＇s Indian Defence（3．．．䁇g7 followed by ．．．d6）．Black challenges the centre immedi－ ately，and temporarily prevents White＇s advance e4．The combination of a dark－square strategy （．．．g6 and ．．．且g7）with a light－square one（the move ．．．d5 will often lead to concentration upon the queenside light squares）is unusual in In－ dian systems where，at least initially，a particu－ lar colour complex is the focus of play（light squares in the Nimzo－and Queen＇s Indian，and dark squares in the King＇s Indian and Benoni）． Similarly，the Queen＇s Gambit Declined and Slav Defences begin with concentration upon light squares．

This attention to both colour complexes lends a particular flavour to the Grünfeld．In the main lines，when White captures on d 5 and Black re－ captures with the knight followed by ．．．Уxc3， he immediately focuses on the dark squares （．．．䆡g7，．．．c5，．．． 2 c 6 and ．．．eg4），but soon turns his attention to light squares，either for purposes of restraint or occupation（．．．b6，．．．食b7，．．．e6， ．．．f5 and ．．．仓c6－a5 or ．．． $2 \mathrm{~d} 7-\mathrm{f} 6 / \mathrm{b} 6$ ）．White too has a flexible set of formations that typically en－ compass both colours in the vicinity of his ex－ posed centre．The moves $\mathrm{c} 4,9 \mathrm{c} 3, \mathrm{e} 4$ ，${ }^{\boldsymbol{\omega}} \mathrm{b} 3$ and

莤c4 attend to the light squares，whereas $勹 \mathrm{f} 3 / \mathrm{e} 2$ ， \＆ e 3 and W 2 oversee the dark squares．In addi－ tion，White＇s central advances are divided be－ tween 55 and d 5 ．

All this makes it difficult for those new to the Grünfeld to get a handle on what they should be doing．As is so often true，the central situation defines the optimal piece placement．If White creates a central majority by cxd5，he will gen－ erally concentrate upon establishing and then protecting an ideal e4／d4 structure．In a large majority of Grünfeld games by masters，White plays one of two systems that establish such a centre：
A：The Exchange Variation： 4 cxd5 $0 x \mathrm{xd5} 5 \mathrm{e} 4$
 ©xc3 7 bxc3）；
B：The Russian System： 4 㗐g7 5 斯b 3 dxc4 6 曹xc4 0－0 7 e4．

These are the archetypical Grünfeld varia－ tions，which I shall call＇Main Lines＇．Black will try to undermine White＇s structure，nor－ mally by pawn attacks early on，but sometimes by piece－play first and pawn moves later（es－ pecially so in the case of the Russian System）． In both instances we have a classic situation where one side captures the centre with support from his pawns，and the other tries to control the centre from the outside of it．I shall concen－ trate upon precisely that situation．

Are there any elements common to all Grün－ feld systems？Not quite，but in most significant variations，Black plays ．．．c5 in order to break up White＇s centre．This applies to most of the main lines above but also to primary alterna－ tives such as 4 全f4 安g75 e3 c5（or 5．．．0－0 and



 bxc3 c5），etc．In addition，we have $4 \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{c} 5,4 \mathrm{~h} 4$ c5 and the like．As a practical matter，Black＇s instinctive reaction to less ambitious White play
should be an early ．．．c5．Black＇s two other stan－ dard methods for resolving central issues are ．．．dxc4（as in the Russian System）and ．．．c6．But ．．．c6 is infrequently played，and it can easily lead into variations that are properly Slav De－ fences．For example， 1 d 4 Df6 2 c 4 g 63 Dc3 d5 4 Qf3 $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{g}} 75 \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{c} 6$ can come from the Slav move－order 1 d 4 d 52 c 4 c 63 亿f3 0 f 64 e 3 g 6 5 色c3 盒g7，etc．

The move ．．．c5 is therefore key to most Grünfeld lines，for reasons that are pretty obvi－ ous．First，it＇s easier for Black to attack d4 than e4．Then，you will notice that after the ex－ change ．．．cxd4 and cxd4，White＇s d－pawn is particularly vulnerable to the bishop on g 7 and queen on d8，so that White can sometimes be pressured or even compelled to play either d5 or dxc5．The dxc5 option will of ten win a pawn， but it leaves White＇s pawn－structure shattered， with his c－pawn（s）exposed along an open c－ file；we shall see more about this below．The ad－ vance of White＇s d－pawn to d 5 is critical in a wide variety of middlegame situations，and it will be discussed in context．

Queenless middlegames appear more often in the Grünfeld than in other Indian systems． White＇s central majority may well be pitted against Black＇s queenside majority．In the Ex－ change Variation，without the c－pawns，Black is inclined to hold back his $2: 1$ majority through－ out the opening and early middlegame．A fian－ chetto by ．．．b6 is safe，and by comparison with ．．．a6 and ．．．b5，it reduces the impact of a4 and protects c5 from occupation by a knight or bishop．The further advance of Black＇s a－and b－pawns is usually weakening．In the Russian System，White is more likely to play d 5 before ．．．cxd4 occurs；in those cases，Black tempo－ rarily retains his 3：2 majority on the queenside， and we sometimes see a general advance by those pawns（for example，in the Hungarian Variation with ．．．a6 and ．．．b5 or the Prins Varia－ tion with ．．． Qab $^{2}$ with ．．．c5－see below）．The moves ．．．c6 and ．．．e6 are often employed to iso－ late or eliminate White＇s pawn on d5．

With or without the c－pawns，White＇s d－pawn can also become a passed pawn，and indeed in the queenless middlegames or endgames aris－ ing from our main lines it is very often the cen－ tre of attention．In more cases than not，the d－pawn is a strength with which Black must
contend；even in the optimal cases in which he succeeds in securely blockading it，there may result only a stand－off，and White＇s space ad－ vantage can still be a factor．Frequently，both White＇s d－and e－pawns will survive long enough to be used to assist in space－gaining and attacking opportunities．

We＇ll look at these and more specific issues as we move to the main variations．

## Exchange Variation

The most popular variation of the Grünfeld has always been the Exchange Variation：




Note that 4 亿f3 会g75 cxd5 ©xd5 6 e 4 Qxc3 7 bxc3 is a common transposition to the contemporary 7 气f3 system．

First，we shall look at an extremely instruc－ tive sequence：
A： 7 单e3c5 8 幽d2．
Then we＇ll turn our attention to the two main－line systems：
B：7 垔c4；
C： 7 ©f3．
These are examined in detail here because the positions are so fundamental to Grünfeld play：

## 7 曾e3 with 8 㴔d2

## 7 象 $\mathbf{e}$ c5 8 岂d2（D）

This system was made famous by Karpov’s repeated use of it in his 1990 World Champion－ ship match versus Kasparov．The idea is not to

commit White＇s pieces（rooks，light－squared bishop，and knight）until Black does so．This is the best place in this chapter to look at the char－ acteristics of queenless middlegames．I＇ll lay out two game excerpts with ideas that are typi－ cal of the Grünfeld in general：

## H．Olafsson－Khuzman <br> Moscow 2004

## 8．．．嘗a5 9 玉b1

The tricky 9 Øf3 transposes into 7 亿f3 c5 8


9．．．b6（D）


## 10 最b5＋

This draws Black＇s bishop away from a spot on a6，from where it could exchange a pair of bishops and win light squares．

## 10．．．㝓d7 11 气．d3

11 酉e2 © © 6 ！and it＇s awkward to defend the e－pawn．

11．．．0c6（D）


The lines are drawn．White can take com－ fort from the fact that the bishop on d7 blocks the d－file and is poorly placed to put pressure on White＇s position．However，Black is well－ developed and has the very useful threat of playing ．．．cxd4，exchanging queens，and win－ ning the d4－pawn if White castles．

## 12 ©f3

The（better？）alternative is 12 ） $20-0$（af－
 has an advantage because Black has no way to get at his centre） 13 dxc 5 （often a poor choice in positional terms，but $13 \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{~g} 4$ ！gets rid of a key defender）13．．． 2 e 514 cxb6 axb6（D）．


Here＇s a standard Grünfeld sacrifice of Black＇s c－pawn in return for pressure down the a－and c－files against weak pawns and posses－ sion of the c4 outpost．The situation is about equal： $150-0$ 数xa2（threatening ．．．葠xd2 fol－




21 㥒bl e5 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Hillarp Persson－Rõtšagov， Gothenburg 1999.

## 12．．．0－0 13 芭 c 1 ？！

$130-0$ ？cxd4 wins a pawn．Perhaps White should already be willing to accede to a line


宵xg7 19 亿e5 数e6 looks dynamically equal．



A manoeuvre to remember．Black prepares ．．．e6 and his knight will go to e5 or d 4 depend－ ing on the situation．

## 15 数c2

White steps out of the pin．150－0 宣xf3 16 $\mathrm{gxf} 3 \mathrm{e} 6!17 \mathrm{c} 4$ 嵝a3！is slightly better for Black due to his control of d4 and White＇s weaknesses．
金d2 $9 \mathrm{~d} 6(D)$


An ideal blockader．Black has won the open－ ing．It＇s important to remember that the usual
counterplay for White in these positions comes from either f 4 （and in this case e5）or a4－a5．But neither is available．

## 19 整b3

Not so much to protect the a－pawn as to dis－ courage ．．．e6．

19．．．f5 20 exf5
20 c 4 类a6 is awkward to meet，since $210-0$ fxe4 22 fxe4 f 3 ！is extremely strong．

20．．．c4！ 21 食xc4 $\mathrm{Exf5} 22$ 安e2（D）


## 22．．．．Exd5

This leaves White with five isolated pawns！

 dominates the queenside．



Black is winning．

## Yusupov－Khalifman <br> Ubeda 1997

8．．．cxd4 9 cxd4 0 c6 10 Ed1＊／45
$10 \ldots$ ．．．g 4 ！？is a tricky alternative．Then：
a） 11 f 3 ed 7 is considered equal．White can no longer bring his knight to $f 3$ ，and must watch over the light squares as usual；e．g．， 12 害b5 0－0

 tings 2000．Black has bishop vs knight and will be able to use his queenside majority before White can use her central one．
b） $11 \hat{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{e} 2$ ！$\hat{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{xe} 212 \hat{0} \mathrm{xe} 2$ and now：
b1） $12 \ldots 0-0130-0$ e6 14 d 5 ！？exd5 15 exd5 Qe5，as in Kožul－Avrukh，Belgrade 1999，is a typical d－pawn vs queenside fight．There could
have followed 16 昌 c 1 wiwd 717 d 6 ！\＃fc8 18 显f4 Dc4 19 嵝d5．
b2）12．．．©a5！？（D）．


The fight begins for c4．Lputian－Kasparov， Wijk aan Zee 2000 went 13 粕b4！0－0 14 0－0 b6 15 d 5 （White must be slightly better after 15



 to play the obvious $24 \ldots$ ．．． 4 ！ ！with much the better game．Then Black＇s bishop is stronger than White＇s knight，because ©c6 can be an－ swered by ．．．e6．Instead of this Kasparov played
 later．But the overall lesson is that with accurate play，White＇s space and d－pawn seem to give him a small advantage．
苗b1！

White intends 宣d2，so that a knight retreat would lose the b－pawn．

## 

Temporarily taking over the c－file in time to get his hl－rook out．

16．．．巴d8 17 思hc1 企c8 18 主d3！真b7 19
 exc8＋
 tending ．．．f6 and ．．． 8 a $4+$ or ．．．$\triangleq c 4$ is unclear．

22．．．exc8 23 ©c3（D）
Yusupov assesses this as clearly better for White．This is remarkable，since all he has is the big centre and centralized king．But that＇s the point：in the absence of other factors，it takes only a few small advantages for the $2: 1$

central majority to beat the $2: 1$ queenside ma－ jority．

23．．．2c624 Qb5 金a6 25 客c3！
蓖f828 0 c 8 㑒xg229 0 xb6 is hardly clear due to Black＇s two bishops and White＇s four iso－ lated pawns．

## 25．．．賭f8 26 a4！？食b7

26．．．h5 was widely recommended to stop White＇s next move but for one thing allows 27 e5！e6（27．．．㑒b7 28 昷e4） 28 会xa7！食xd3 29
 Qxb6．
$27 \mathrm{g4!}$（D）


This move，pinning down Black＇s kingside and gaining more space，allows White to con－ centrate on the queenside．



Threatening a6 and f7．
32．．．a5 33 全d5！\＆xd5 34 气xd5 e6 35 气c3


White＇s mobile centre is ultimately the deci－ sive factor．

40 宴d6！官xd6 $41 \mathrm{~d} 5+$ is a clearer path to victory．

40．．．exd5＋41 exd5＋客b742 气d6＋！葢xd6 43 金xd6

The rest is fairly simple：bishop versus knight with pawns on both sides of the board wins more often than not．




## 7 是c4 and the Classical Exchange

7 \＆c4（D）


## 7．．．0－0

Over the next few moves there are many combinations of ．．．b6 and／or ．．．©c6．We shall take time off for a couple of these because they show strategies unique to the Grïnfeld which also contain themes and manoeuvres common to other chess openings．Theory from several sources approves of some of these lines to the extent that may worry players of 7 金 c 4 ．But Black must be careful；for example， $7 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ ？！ 8
 （10 峟xa8？食xc4 with terrific compensation： the bishop－pair，light－square domination，etc．； White is undeveloped，and passive rooks in the middlegame are often worse than bishops）
 vere disadvantage after 12 h 4 ！？c5 13 h 5 cxd 4 14 cxd4，with an ideal attack，in Yusupov－Tim－ man，Tilburg Ct（7）1986；the general rule is
that h4－h5 can work if Black has made no prog－ ress against the centre）．


12 e6！（theory talks only about 12 它 2 and 12 h 4 ，which are only equal，but not this simple move，nor the seemingly effective $12 \emptyset \mathrm{~h} 3 \mathrm{e} 6$ 13 Qg5！）12．．．fxe6 13 䉼h3 置h8 14 宜xe6（or
 just bad．

8 © 2
White played the variation with 7 \＆．c4 and 8 Øe2 almost exclusively for decades．It rep－ resents a kind of classical logic：develop the bishop actively to c 4 （as opposed to e2），and put the knight on e2，a square from which it sup－ ports the centre but cannot be pinned（as op－ posed to $\triangle \mathrm{f} 3$ ）．White also castles as quickly as possible，something that is usually delayed in the variations with 70 f 3 ．

Now we briefly examine a variation without $\ldots .5\left(8 \ldots . c^{\circ}\right)$ ，and then turn to the main move 8．．．c5．

## Playing without ．．．c5

## Razuvaev－Stohl <br> Burgas 1992

## 8．．．$\triangle \mathrm{ct}$（ $D$ ）

Another option is $8 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ ．Although we won＇t look at it here，theory＇s overall verdict seems to be that the move is playable with precise de－ fence．The attacking move 9 h 4 is particularly dangerous and needs to be part of any prepara－ tion that one might make as Black．

The text－move blocks the c－pawn，but con－ tains two other ideas to disturb White＇s game：

## W <br> 

a）．．．©a5，driving away White＇s aggres－ sively－placed bishop，often with ．．．c5 to follow；
b）the central advance ．．．e5，to block the po－ sition．

90－0（D）
Natural．White has tried all kinds of things against 8 ．．． 2 c 6 without achieving a great deal． The advance h4 is sometimes White＇s reaction in the Grünfeld if Black hasn＇t challenged d4 with a pawn；but here it looks as though 9 h 4
 axb3 cxd4 13 cxd4 isn＇t much of a problem； e．g．，13．．．\＆d7！？（not the only move） 14 hxg 6 hxg6 15 喽d3 断b6，Spassky－Stein，USSR Ch playoff（1） 1964.


9．．．e5！？
An entirely different structure from in the main lines．Black＇s move restricts the scope of his g7－bishop but changes the pawn－structure so that a plan like ．．．b6，．．． 05 ，and either ．．．\＆b7－d6 or a well－timed ．．．c5 becomes possi－


11 ig 5 followed by f 4 has caused Black prob－ lems．This sort of aggressive expansion by White becomes possible when his centre pawns aren＇t attacked by ．．．c5．
$9 . . \mathrm{b} 6(\mathrm{D})$ is another popular move－order now that h4－h5 doesn＇t activate White＇s rook．


The ideas are simple：．．．昷b7 and ．．．e5 or ．．．©a5 with ．．．c5．Quick development by White can be dangerous，although with accurate play Black should be OK： 10 昷g5 金b7（ $10 \ldots . .2 \mathrm{a} 5$ 11 \＆ d 3 c 5 is about equal；Black meets d 5 with
 13 亶d3 c5 14 d 5 e6 15 c 4 （now Black has to watch out that White doesn＇t achieve $f 4$ and e5）
粠d7 19 曾fel f5？（to stop 2 ，but it creates an easily－accessible interior weakness on e6） 20
 with a dominant position，Beliavsky－Gulko， Linares 1990.

We now return to the position after $9 \ldots$ ．．．5 （D）：


## 10 d 5

This is the most instructive move，yielding a characteristic pawn－formation．
a）Suffice it to say that the controversial se－


 might even make this move－order undesirable for Black．You＇ll have to look at these particu－ lars on your own time！
 d5 ©a5 12 气d3（D）．

贯h6 16 龟el 0 c 5 （we see the advantage of
 and Black is equal with a firm blockade and the e5 outpost，Tisdall－Stohl，Gausdal 1991.


断b3 ${ }^{1 / 2-1 / 2}$

White has managed to keep the game open for his pieces，but he is also a pawn down and his centre isn＇t mobile．A possible continuation
 23 䊦xc5 㮰a4 with equality．

## Main Line with ．．．c5

## 

Qxc 36 bxc 3 道g77定c4c5
Black＇s thematic move，targeting d4．

## 8 ©e2 0－0

8 ．．．2c6 9 全e 3 will usually transpose after $9 \ldots 0-0$ ，but can also be used to get into early ．．．cxd4 lines．One such is $9 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 410 \mathrm{cxd} 4$
 （or probing move）in that White can play 12 \＆e3 and repeat．Regardless of the theoretical details，the game Kramnik－Svidler，Dortmund 1998 is a superb example of Exchange Grün－ feld themes，as well as the virtues of central pawn－masses： 12 d 5 ！De5 13 宣c3 0－0 14 金b3歯b6（D）．

啇xd2 e5（it looks as though Black has solved his central problems） 19 h 3 ！exd4（19．．．仓f6 20 fxe5 $0 x e 4+21$ de3 3 shows the power of a cen－ tralized king，yet another recurring theme in the Exchange Variation！） 20 hxg 4 g 5 ！？（20．．．空xg4
 dxd3 and in both cases the king supports the broad centre with a clear advantage） 21 g 3 ！and now：
a）Analysis by Kramnik continues 21 ．．．d3
 Exhl（D），which he assesses as winning for White：

B


The diagram position is a demonstration of the power of White＇s central pawns．Although White is a full exchange down，all of his pieces are centralized and he has available an assort－ ment of possible moves such as De4，क्षe4，d6 and 0 d 5 ，with Black＇s f 7 －pawn being particu－ larly vulnerable and his bishop on g7 shut out． Most importantly of all，the opposite－coloured bishops ensure that White＇s bishop on b3 can＇t be exchanged off．
b）The game continued $21 \ldots \mathrm{exg} 422$ e5！ \＆xe2 23 帣xe2．Again，White has the big cen－ tre，and the opposite－coloured bishops contrib－ ute to the winning scenario．As so often in the Grünfeld，we get a d－pawn marching down to


 （27．．．玉xc3 28 d 7 ） $28 \mathrm{e} 6!(D)$ ．


 e7＋© 30 是xf7＋！1－0，in view of $30 \ldots$ 象xf7 31 d 7 ．A wonderful game．

## $90-0$ ©c6 10 余 ${ }^{2} 3$（D）

Just in time．Now White has defended the d4－pawn．

What are the characteristics of this main－line position？Both kings are safe，so it comes down to several factors．First of all，there＇s White＇s central majority，with pawns ideally placed on e4 and d4．That by itself isn＇t necessarily bad for Black，who may be able to restrain and then attack them．The question is whether the pawns can advance or cause other damage．With that in mind，let＇s consider what White would do if you gave him a move．The expansion via 11 f 4

allows 11．．．今g4．Playing h3 as a preparatory move can be considered，but at this point it looks slow．The advance 11 e5 is plausible，but creates central light－square weaknesses that Black is likely to be able to occupy．The move 11 d 5 can be answered by $11 \ldots$ e5 12 皿b3 b6
 both cases White has difficulty in playing c4 to protect the centre．That suggests some ideas： Eicl，allowing d5 in many variations without the rook hanging，and／or a combination of $\Psi \mathrm{W}$ d2 and \＆h6．Some attack by f 4 may follow later， all the more so if White can eliminate the pow－ erful g7－bishop by means of 穴h6．If White achieves these things，his centre pawns and piece－play will control the board．

What advantages does Black have？First，as usual，the c4－square is loose and a potential out－ post for a knight or bishop．The fact that ．．． $\mathrm{Da}_{5}$ can be played with tempo is especially encour－ aging in that regard．Black also controls the open d－file and can play ．．．cxd4 to put pressure on the d－pawn and open his c－file．Since White is on the verge of consolidating as described above，that leads one towards two fundamental strategies．Black can get a rook to d 8 and as－ sault White＇s centre straight on．That is the classical approach，which often begins with ．．．霊c7，giving Black the subsequent choice of ．．．e5 to challenge d4，or ．．．e6 and ．．．b6 to restrain White＇s pawns．No immediate action is taken to attack White＇s side of the board．Alternatively， Black can try to exploit the enemy queenside light squares as quickly as possible，ideally by moves such as ．．．＠a5－c4 supported by the light－squared bishop，a rook on c8，pawn on b5， and so forth．One problem with this second plan
is finding a good square for the bishop on c8． Thus if Black wants to follow the light－squares strategy，he will probably require an early se－ ries of forcing moves to carry it out．Let＇s look at both plans．

## Classical System



This is sometimes called the Smyslov Varia－ tion，and elsewhere the Shamkovich Variation． The traditional reason for this move is to follow up by ．．．』d 8 ．A disadvantage to that policy is that it weakens $\mathrm{f7}$ ，not only directly but in the long term if White plays for f 4 －f5．

## 11 号 1

White is responding to an indirect threat of ．．．cxd4，although at the moment（were it Black＇s turn to move） $11 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 412 \mathrm{cxd} 40 \mathrm{xd} 413$显xf7＋and $\triangleq \times \mathrm{xd} 4$ would favour White．Never－ theless，${ }^{\text {®n }} 1$ gets off the long diagonal，protects c3，and most importantly serves as a strong dis－ incentive for ．．．cxd4．The immediate 11 曾 f 4 is also critical： $11 \ldots$ e5 12 点g3（compare 11 台 cl ed8 12 最f4 e5 13 昷g5！，hitting the rook）
 equal） 13 免d5 鬼 6 ！（grabbing light squares even if it means crippling one＇s pawns！） 14定xe6 fxe6 15 䍖bl a6，intending ．．． 4 c 4 ，is equal．

## 11．．．．${ }^{\text {ed }} \mathbf{d 8}$（D）

This position is strategically very rich and after many years no clear verdict has been reached．I＇ll give two classic but genuinely il－ lustrative game excerpts to demonstrate the main themes．


This is one of the original，archetypal games that showed the latent strength of Black＇s posi－ tion．

## 12 h3

White wants to play f 4 without facing ．．． eg 4 with the idea of ．．．豈xe2．Since 12 h 3 uses time． however，the immediate $12 \mathrm{f4}$ was nevertheless a main line for some years，with the idea 12．．．8g4 13 f5！？gxf5（or 13．．．乌a5） 14 h 3 是xe2 15 wexe2．This attack eventually seemed to peter out after，for example， $15 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 416$ cxd 4 踾d 6
 ing the attack and targeting the centre，with

 with equality．Generally the disappearance of White＇s powerful light－squared bishop means an end to Black＇s problems．

A logical alternative is $12 \| / \mathrm{d} 2$ ，when Black can play the solid $12 \ldots$ ．．Was intending ．．．cxd4，or go for more with $12 \ldots$ ．．．a6！？ 13 f4！？（White can also play 13 eh6 b5 14 金d3 数d7 15 宣xg7 あxg7 16 d5；e．g．，16．．．De5 17 c 4 or $16 \ldots \mathrm{c} 417$
 the main game） 15 exf5（ 15 Qg3 e6） 15 ．．．c4 16


 Kouatly，Cannes 1986．This is a superb dem－ onstration of determined pursuit of the light squares by Black．Nevertheless，White could have played better and the whole line is unclear．

## 12．．．b6 13 f4 e6

First，Smyslov restrains the centre．

## 14 数 1 含 $b 7$

Later the direct attack 14．．．Da5 15 气d3 f5， with the same basic idea，was played in Spas－ sky－Fischer，Siegen OL 1970.



This is the key to Black＇s game．Without the move 55 at White＇s disposal，his bad bishop on e 3 is reduced to defence and Black has greater pressure along the a8－hl diagonal．

## 17 e5

A real concession，because White no longer has an effective pawn－break．However，he main－ tains a space advantage．What＇s more，the alter－ native 17 exf5 exf5 would leave d4 vulnerable to the bishop on g 7 ．

## 17．．．c4 18 萛c2 0 c6！

Heading for d 5 via e 7 ．

White has little to do，and Black＇s opening strategy has clearly won the day．

## Spassky－Fischer

Santa Monica 1966
This game shows how White can sometimes use his centre to thwart Black＇s plans．

## 12 数e1！？

Getting out of the pin and planning some－ thing like f4－f5，斯h4，etc．

## 12．．．e6！？（D）

As with other 12th moves in this line，White has not been able to get a forced advantage after 12 数el because Black can neutralize White＇s





## 13 f4

Another promising idea is 13 畋g5！？岂d7 14 d5．

## 13．．． 0 a 514 余d3 5515 岂d1 b6

Fischer has followed the Smyslov formula and hemmed in White＇s pieces．That＇s not the end of the story，however，because White＇s large centre is a potential force．

## 16 踷f2！

Although it＇s not always the case in the Grünfeld， 17 dxc 5 is a real threat in this posi－ tion．

16．．．cxd4
16．．．fxe4 17 會xe4宣b718 0 g 3 gives White the edge．

Every light square is guarded，but．．．
$20 \mathrm{d5}$ ！（D）

B


White＇s chances in these lines depend upon central activity，which in this case means break－ ing down Black＇s blockade．

20．．．fxe4 21 dxe6 数xe6 22 f5！类f7
 25 有7＋

Another mistake would be 24 ．．．宣xe4？ 25
 attack is irresistible．
$\mathbf{2 5}$ 全b1（ $D$ ）


White has a small but definite edge．I＇ll con－ tinue the game without notes．


 35 h4！

Spassky went on to win this famous bishop vs knight ending．With pawns on both sides of the board，the bishop has a substantial advan－ tage．

Kiselev－Epishin Barnaul 1988

12 造 $\mathbf{f 4}$（ $D$ ）

## B <br> 

Here＇s the most difficult move for Black to meet．It turns out that the queen has trouble finding a good square．

## 12．．．酾d7

This awkward move is explained by a look at the alternatives：
a）12．．．Wa5？strays too far from the second rank： 13 煛b3！，when 13．．．e6 14 d5 exd5 15是xd5 is awful．
b） 12 ．．．e5？！ 13 ． m 5 puts the question to Black＇s rook and there＇s nothing satisfactory：

 （a5 15 定b5 岂d6 16 c 4 ．Generally the achieve－ ment of $c 4$ for White translates to some advan－ tage，assuming that Black＇s pieces can＇t use d 4 effectively．
c） $12 \ldots$ 㑒 e 5 ！？ 13 食g 3 ！完xg3 14 fxg 3 ！e6 15数d2 launches a nice attack．Black＇s bishop on c8 doesn＇t participate in the defence．

## $13 \mathrm{d5}$（ $D$ ）

This is purely a case of space and centre vs restraint and counterplay．As usual， 13 dxc 5 al－ lows compensation after $13 \ldots$ ．．． 5 ！ 14 \＆xe5 $\$ \times \mathrm{e} 5$.

B


13．．． 亿a $^{25}$
Or 13．．．公e5 14 宣b3 b5．
14 \＆ d 3 b 5 ！？
Black＇s most positive try．Otherwise he tends to acquiesce to more passive defence；for ex－ ample，14．．．e5 15 是e3（or 15 䀂g5）15．．．We7 （ 15 ．．．b6 $16 \mathrm{f4}$ ！exf4 17 exf4 is clearly better for White） 16 峟d2 b6 17 f 4 exf4 18 是xf4 皿g4 19包3 c4 20 食e2！食xe2 21 畨xe2，Polugaev－ sky－Tukmakov，Moscow 1985.

15 \＃̈b1 a6（D）


16 宜e3 may grant White some advantage． Again，Black should stay active： $16 \ldots$ e6！ 17
金xd5 21 axb5 axb5，when White keeps some edge by 22 Exb5 or 22 莤xb5．

16．．． $0 \mathrm{~b} 7!$ ？
Black would like to play ．．．e5 and at some point blockade by ．．．صd6．A reasonable option is $16 \ldots$ ．．． 6 ．

17 黄a3 e5！（D）
lt＇s too early for 17 ．．．c4 due to 18 亶c2 with the idea ®adl and d4．


18 皿g5 c4！ 19 皿c2是h6 精e7！？

Easier is $21 \ldots f 5$ ！ 22 exf5 gxf5 23 档g5 標e7 with no problems．


and the game is level．
Probably White has the edge in this variation by means of 12 曾f4，but Black＇s position is
certainly playable．Thus the Shamkovich Vari－ ation remains a viable option．

## Modern Main 7 \＆ e 4 Line

1 d 4 〇f6 2 c 4 g 63 气c $3 \mathrm{~d} 54 \operatorname{cxd5}$ 气xd5 5 e 4
 Qc6 10 \＆ e 3 （ $D$ ）


10．．．害g4
This sortie has always been important，but has taken over modern theory for the last few decades．First let＇s mention the alternative 10．．．©a5 11 是d 3 cxd4 12 cxd4 at this point：
a） 1 t＇s interesting that $12 \ldots$ ．．．e6 looks very much like the main line 10 ．．．\＆g 41 f 3 气a5 12食d3 cxd4 13 cxd4 莤e6 below，except that White hasn＇t strengthened his centre by f3（you may want to consider this again after you read the chapter）．So how can it be that Black almost never plays this way？1t turns out that by in－ serting 10．．．室g4 11 f3，Black gains several ad－ vantages that arise in specific lines．The main difference is that he has the options of ．．．蒋b6 + ，
 situations．Furthermore，the bishop on e3 can be attacked by moves such as ．．．$\frac{\omega}{6} 3$ or in a few cases even ．．．． e h6．
b）Black＇s attempt to force a draw by means of $12 \ldots$ c6（i．e． 13 皿c4 厷5，etc．）fails to do so after 13 宜b5（13 皿c2 b6 14 皿a4 and Ec 1 is

 an edge．
c）The most positionally interesting of devi－ ations with this order is $12 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ ？？，with the in－ tention of ．．．e6 and ．．．Ee8．White has generally
gained a small advantage here by normal means （䊦 d 2 ，島 ad 1 and 龟h6，for example），but it＇s not much．The game Gligorić－Tukmakov，Odessa 1975 illustrates another plan in the Grünfeld that we haven＇t seen．It comes up when ．．．e6 has

金b3（D）．


White has a kingside bind and the better prospects．The question is one of timing：if Black can plant and keep a piece on d 5 right away，he should be OK．The problem is that White not only threatens to attack on the king－ side but has the c5 outpost（ 2 d 3 －c5），which en－ sures his superiority．

## 11 f3 厷5

1ssues of move－order are confusing here，but if you want to understand the position they are important．If Black now plays 11 ．．．cxd4 12 cxd4 $05(D)$（this can also be arrived at by $10 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 411 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ \＆g4 12 f 3 Qa5），we reach this position：


Then 13 昷 d 3 金e6 will transpose to the main line in games below．There are，however．two differences．First，by capturing on d4，Black is allowing White the option of 13 金d5（D），which is theoretically equal but can be annoying in practice．


13．．．全d7 14 ష゙bl a6 15 全xb7（ 15 e5！？金b5
 17 a 4 （ 17 总el！？e6 18 宣b3；these untested pos－ sibilities for White are a bit worrisome to Black． which argues for delaying ．．．cxd4）17．．\＆xe2
慻xe3 总d7．Theory calls this equal．although Black still has to play accurately．
 a lot of theory is also attached．Again Black needs to be a little careful even if winning the bishop－pair can＇t be objectively worse for him． Neither of these options is a problem if the pawns on c5 and c3 are retained（see below）．

A further significant difference between $11 \ldots$ Qa5 and $11 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 412 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ 有 5 is that in the＇Seville Variation＇below with 12 \＆xf7＋． the pawns are still on c3 and c 5 ．whereas with the $11 \ldots$ cxd4 order we have 13 全xf7＋ $\mathbf{m f 7}$ 14 fxg 4 and those pawns are traded．（You＇II have to jump ahead to make sense of this de－ scription．）At this point the question becomes whether Black prefers the $\hat{\text { e }}$ xf $7+$ lines with the pawns still on the board．Unfortunately one needs loads of specific study to answer that． But there＇s a possible saving grace．If Black believes that the best defence to the Seville tor a good one）is to play ．．．cxd4 and ．．．e5 later （see below），then you will see that the move－ order is unimportant and that you don＇t need to
exchange now，thus avoiding 13 ed5 and 13 $\ddot{E} \mathrm{c} 1$ ．Hopefully some or all these nuances will become clearer as you go through the following material．

We now return to $11 \ldots$（．． $5(D)$ ：


This is the main－line position of the 食c4 Ex－ change Variation．We now have two basic vari－ ations that have thus far been taken seriously．

## Seville Variation

## 12是xf7＋！？

It＇s pretty easy to see that 12 fxg 4 ？ 0 xc 4 （with tempo！）is positionally bad．We look at 12㝠d3 cxd4 13 cxd4 宣e6 below．

## 12．．． $\mathbf{x f} 713 \mathrm{fxg} 4$

The＇Seville Variation＇was so named be－ cause of several games that Karpov played with it against Kasparov in their 1987 match in Se－ ville．The ideas behind it are intriguing，in part because they are superficially unprincipled．

13．．．』xf1＋14宴xf1（D）


White has won a pawn，which is of some value even though it consists of a doubled g － pawn．His centre is superior to Black＇s and， given time，potentially mobile．Black has his own advantages；for example，a wonderful out－ post on c 4 for his knight and some play against White＇s rather draughty kingside．

In spite of a large body of theory and experi－ ence with 12 exf7＋，the ensuing play is not of such a critical nature that one needs to commit much to memory．I＇ll look at two games that should cover the main ideas and strategies．

## Karpov－Kasparov Belfort 1988

This game has been used in many books，de－ servedly so．It is representative of White＇s ideas and beautifully played．To get a more balanced view of the opening，see the game excerpts within the notes．

## 

14．．．酾d7 15 g 5 （this is along the lines of Karpov＇s idea，to imprison the bishop on g7） $15 \ldots$ ．．．d8（ $15 \ldots$ ．．． c 4 ！and $15 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 416 \mathrm{cxd} 4 \mathrm{e} 5$ are good alternatives） 16 竄 1 e5 17 d 5 b 618 h 3 Qc4 19 幽 d 3 气d6 $20 \mathrm{a} 4!(D)$ ．


We＇ll see this more than once：the problems that Black has when he sets up this blockade with ．．．e5，．．．c5，．．．b6 and ．．． 2 d 6 tend to come from a4－a5．That can be surprisingly difficult to meet，as here： $20 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$（else White plays c4 and

 the better game for White，Sakaev－Van Wely， Internet blitz 2004.

15 e5！断d5（D）

W


What＇s this？With 15 e 5 ，White just ceded all those light squares Black loves so much！In addi－ tion，for a mere extra doubled pawn，White has exposed his king，given himself a bad bishop， and hardly attended to his development！For all that，there are some real dangers for Black．If， for example，White plays 95 ，his bishop＇s prob－ lem will be less important than that of Black＇s ＇good＇one，which is imprisoned indefinitely． Also，White has the easy－to－underestimate ad－ vantages of space and a central majority．Notice that if he gets moves like $\Phi \mathrm{gl}$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{f} 4 \mathrm{in}$ ， Black will have serious weaknesses to cover．

For his part，Black＇s advantages are fairly obvious：a beautifully centralized queen on d 5 ， an outpost for the knight on c4，and numerous possible open files for his rooks．One difficulty， however，is that he has to achieve any progress by use of his pieces alone，since he has no pawn－breaks．

16 官f2！
The immediate 16 g 5 is worse for concrete reasons：16．．．We4 17 佥f2 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ f8 with serious pressure．

Having provoked a weakness，Karpov re－ turns to cover the central squares．A recent ap－ proach with the same ideas of pawn－structure versus piece－play went 18 Df4 粃7 19 Dh3！楼e6 20 g 5 （now White has the ideal pawn－for－ mation and needs to prepare for 0 f 4 again）
 matic，with the idea 21 蒌a3？cxd4 22 cxd4




 \＆f2 with a small advantage for White in the game P．H．Nielsen－Sutovsky，Dortmund 2005， which was eventually drawn．

## 18．．．むf8

Later 18 ．．．．．．c8！was discovered to be better and fully satisfactory．The trade－off of activity for structure is a very difficult one to handle．

## 

White would like to continue with 0 g 3 －e4．


B


One of the best moves of the game and still part of the opening strategy！White wants to keep the bishop off h6 and at the same time get ready to mobilize by $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{f} 4}$ or $\otimes \mathrm{g} 3$－e 4 ．This pro－ vokes the next move which further restricts Black＇s bishop：

## 

This move，trying to prevent $\sum \mathrm{f} 4$ ，has been criticized，although the suggested $24 \ldots$ ．．．${ }^{\boldsymbol{W}} \mathrm{d} 5!$ ？

25 Df4 Now the game is positionally won for White，so here are the moves alone：





Van Tilbury－Zadrima<br>Moscow OL 1994

14．．．cxd4 15 cxd4 e5（D）


A completely different approach．In many cases Black will now play on the dark squares！

## 16 d 5

Now the game once again takes on the char－ acter of superior pawn－structure（a dangerous protected passed pawn on d5）versus active piece－play．This time it will be difficult to pre－ vent Black＇s bishop from coming quickly into play via h6 or f8．White has often tried 16 室g1 instead，when Black seems to have established equality by 16 ．．．घ． 8 ；for example， $17 \mathrm{~d} 5 ~ \& \mathrm{c} 4$ （heading for a blockade on d6） 18 会f2 U $_{6} \mathrm{~d} 719$ enc1 b5 20 g 5 a 5210 g 30 d 6 ！is equal，Van Wely－Leko，Monaco（Amber rapid）2001．You can still have fun playing this type of position in practice．

## 16．．．Dc4 17 新d3（D）

For a while， 17 会f 2 was considered a more dangerous try，retaining the good bishop，but this costs time after 17．．．数f6 18 g1 Ef8 19龂el 食h6！，leading to equality．

## 17．．．b5

This is a relatively safe move that secures the powerful knight＇s position．Kasparov played

the paradoxical $17 \ldots .0 \mathrm{xe} 3+$ ？？ 18 数xe3 数h 4 versus Kramnik in Linares 1999，this time play－ ing for dark squares and depending upon tactics in the face of White＇s extra and extremely dan－ gerous d－pawn．The theory on this line extends past move 30 and is very specific，so I＇ll ignore


 pretty line is 26 d 6 楼xe2 27 d 7 崰xe4 28 嶌 1



 34 㟯 b 8 登xh3 +35 gxh 3 粕 $\mathrm{e} 4+1 / 2-1 / 2$ ．
$18 \mathrm{g5}$（ $D$ ）


Cutting off the bishop，but Black activates it the other way．

## 18．．．今f8 19 Dg1 a6！

$19 . .2 x e 3+20$ 䊦xe3 ${ }^{\text {Whb }} \mathrm{b} 6$ has been played，
 might be a serious problem．

with a very solid position and equality．Nei－ ther side can undertake much．

## The Gambit Lines

12 全d3 cxd4 13 cxd4 全e6（ $D$ ）
w


Now White really needs to do something about incursions on c4，because ．．．${ }^{\text {C }} \mathrm{c} 4$ threat－ ens to win the light squares and ．．．』c4 would create immediate tactical problems．Since 14到a4 a6！threatens ．．．b5，White has only two se－ rious moves that protect c 4 ，both requiring ma－ terial sacrifice．The first is the gambit 14 cl Qxa2 15 What．White also has the exchange sacrifice 14 d 5 ！？食xal 15 曾xal，whose assess－ ment at the moment is not fully resolved．In spite of over 45 years of investigation into 14 d 5 by hundreds of grandmasters，a few new ideas are still being found at the top levels．Neverthe－ less，the play is dependent upon countless tac－ tics which don＇t lend themselves to general understanding，so in this case there is only a limited amount to be gained through broad dis－ cussion of the opening as such．In fact，the spe－ cifics of the attack are really in the realm of the middlegame proper．Thus I shall limit my dis－ cussions of both $14 \Xi \mathrm{cl}$ and 14 d 5 ，providing some general contours of the play．

## Geller＇s 14 Ёc1

## 14 趷 c 1

With this move White covers c4 and offers a pawn．Black must accept or be driven back by the move 15 d 5 ．

14．．．宣 $\times \mathrm{xa}$（ $D$ ）
w


This position has fascinated players and theoreticians for many decades．After many years of intense scrutiny，Black seems to have solved his problems in the very main lines with the move 15 ．．．eb3．Whether that will re－ main true is still an open question，and both sides can still experiment with little－played moves．
l＇m only going to show one game，because White hasn＇t made much progress in the last few years．

## Hillarp Persson－Rowson

Torshavn 2000

## 15 逍 $\mathbf{a} 4$（D）

This is the most popular move by a huge margin：White forces Black＇s bishop to move and gets his pieces out as quickly as possible． Black＇s light－square strategy，with which we are so familiar，should work well after the straightforward attack 15 f 4 a6！ 16 f 5 b 517 e 5. Nenashev－Notkin，St Petersburg 1995，when Notkin suggests $17 \ldots$ ．．．c4！ 18 莤g5 0 b 219
 the d4－pawn falls） 21 ．．．bxc4 22 曹xc4 䊦d $7!23$ f6 exf6 24 exf6 \＃fc8 and ．．．害f8 with a winning advantage．This is an instructive example of a queenside pawn expansion supporting Black＇s pieces．

## 15．．．気b3

This move was discovered late in the devel－ opment of theory．It has challenged White to find something new in order to justify 14 eicl as a winning weapon．The time－honoured line

is 15 ．．．全e6 16 d 5 宣d7，which may also be equal．Many years of theory and hundreds of high－level games have established the various ways to proceed in this position．Best play




This position has arisen in many games and until recently was the main line of the 14 吕 cl variation．Remarkably，my database gives a game with it that goes back to 1978！At first White＇s positional pluses－his bishop－pair， open lines，powerful passed d－pawn，and im－ prisonment of the knight on a5－led to some nice wins in spite of his being a pawn behind． Over the past few years，however，Black has been drawing most games．In the diagram， Yusupov－Leko，Istanbul OL 2000 was drawn after the simple 22 金f2 食f8 23 唒b2（others have tried 23 Wa4 here，with ongoing action）
 with the ideas here．



White＇s whole idea is that Black＇s pieces are a little loose while his own centre is mobile．Al－ though Black＇s queenside passed pawns are typically harmless for the time being，his pieces are protected here and it＇s been hard for even the world＇s best players to achieve anything； however，developments will probably continue for many years to come．

17 Qg5
Or：
a）The revival of $15 \ldots \mathrm{e} \mathrm{b} 3$ began with 17 d 5
 be met by $19 \ldots \mathrm{f} 5$ ！ 20 癸c7 fxe4 21 fxe 4 区xf1＋

 the superior game for Black，Yusupov－Anand， Wijk aan Zee Ct（2） 1994.
b）Another unclear line with a little more experimental leeway for both sides is $17 \Xi \mathrm{c} 3$



Now 19 fcl e6 20 e 5 ，as in Barkhagen－ Akesson，Stockholm 1998，is hard to assess，
 looked promising for White in Zawadski－Qui－ zielvu，ChessFriend．com 2004．Perhaps 17 邑c3 is the direction in which to look．

## 17．．．f6

Not $17 \ldots$ 点e8？ 18 全b5．
18 良h4（D）
18 还f4 e5！breaks loose from the pressure． Arguably the most interesting move is 18 （ d 2 ： 18．．．．全f7 19 d 5 e6 20 会f4！？e5！？（20．．．f5！） 21塭e3 $\triangleq \mathrm{b} 7$ ！heading for c 5 ，with equality．There are plenty of possibilities in any position like this．


## 18．．．$\frac{\text { wid }}{} \mathrm{d} 6$

 White＇s activity may compensate for a pawn， but certainly no more than that．

19 崖xd6 exd6 20 d5

㑒 $\mathrm{a6}$ 華 c 3
with equality．

## Sokolsky Exchange Sacrifice

## 14 d5！？食xa1 15 数xa1

Here＇s another sacrificial idea，again ana－ lysed in great depth but still affording new op－ portunities for both sides．Right now the attack on e6 isn＇t real（ 16 dxe6 㥪xd3），but it will be soon enough． 16 昷h6 will come next，so Black nearly always defends g 7 ：

15．．．f6（D）
White has given up a rook for a bishop．What does he have？First of all，it was Black＇s dark－ squared bishop that disappeared in the trade，so


Black＇s kingside dark squares（and even his central dark squares）have become more vul－ nerable．The move ．．．f6 is weakening，this time of the squares e6 and g6．White also has an im－ portant lever with the move e5，in order to crack open the long diagonal or at least take over the centre．The g6－pawn can also become a target after e 5 and 8 f 4 ．Remember that pieces alone． however ideally placed，won＇t generally win the game until there is some kind of supporting pawn－break．Furthermore，it＇s generally true that possession of the bishop－pair can be enough to compensate for the loss of the exchange until the endgame approaches（and sometimes even thereafter）．So there＇s no hurry to win back ma－ terial or even to blast through to the king．With all that，is Black simply lost？Not really．It＇s up to White to find a way to break through． which is hard enough，but Black also has poten－ tial counterplay on the queenside（ $\ldots \mathrm{\Xi}_{\mathrm{c}} 8, \ldots \mathrm{a} 6$ ． ．．．b5，etc．）and the important defensive／offen－ sive moves ．．．e6 and ．．．e5，which can sometimes neutralize the attack altogether．

Those are the basic ideas．Unhappily for the average player，this particular sacrifice requires considerable knowledge and specific memori－ zation for which there is simply no substitute． Thus I＇ll give just a single game with one of the variations that seems topical and leave the reader to his own devices（＝serious study）．

## Van Wely－Sutovsky <br> Dortmund 2005

## 16 寝d4

鲁h6 was the most important move for years．
so thoroughly analysed that it could easily be the subject of a whole book．The main defence
 （otherwise ．．．©c4－e5 would cut off the attack） 19．．．©c4 20 e6 莫a4 21 各xg6！hxg6 22 宴xg6 De5（the computer says instantly that after
 out any complications） 23 全e4 食c2！（always light squares！） 24 金xc2 当xc2 25 数d1 宽h7 26 f 4 ©xh6 27 fxe5 䡼c7（I＇m skipping loads of burdensome games and analysis here，such as
 with further messy analysis to follow．

These are all the best moves，according to theory．Maybe 19 峀e3 would establish a new direction．

$$
\text { 19....еe7 } 20 \mathrm{f4} \text { ! (D) }
$$

Way back in 1974，Gligorić played 20 宣e3 versus Portisch，unsuccessfully． $20 \mathrm{f4}$ is now considered the only move．


## 20．．．』． E ！ ？

An indication of the power of the bishops is that getting the queens off only enhances their effectiveness： 20 ．．．儓b6 21 㟶xb6 axb6 22 fxe5比x 5 （ $22 \ldots$ ．．．fxe5 230 c 1 ！renders the a5－knight
 fxe4 26 d 6 and the d－pawn is very strong，Deg－ tiarev－Ernst，Hamburg 2005.

Nevertheless，Black can play 20．．．exf4 21

 ECC 2005；then，oddly enough，Black might be doing well enough after the seemingly best line
 Qg3 E゙d 8 。

##  24 Exf6

Here White has more than enough compen－ sation for an exchange，and Black＇s practical difficulties make the situation worse for him． Van Wely went on to win．

Right now the 16 数d line of the exchange sacrifice seems to have more possibilities than others，although this is a situation that is subject to change with the next novelty．One point of the last two sections with $14 \ddot{ } \mathrm{c} 1$ and 14 d 5 is to show the sort of compensation required when one sacrifices material in a weakness－free posi－ tion．

## Modern 7 © 0 f3 System

##  



In spite of the continuing popularity of the traditional 7 \＆ c 4 among experts in the lines， 7 Df3 has taken over as the main line of the Ex－ change Variation at the grandmaster level．Its dynamic nature has led to many beautiful games and its appeal will be obvious when you see some games．Once again there＇s a tremen－ dous amount of raw material in the main lines that defies unified description and can＇t really be expressed in terms of strategic principles． Recently a 208 －page book has been written solely about specifically recommended lines for White in the line $7 \Delta \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{c} 58$ ．Whether playing White or Black，average and／or aspir－ ing players needn＇t therefore avoid 7 d $\mathbf{f}$ vari－ ations，which are as rich as any in chess，but those who are less theoretically inclined may
wish to specialize in less volatile lines．Once you＇ve seen enough of the recurrent motifs in this variation you＇ll understand how to apply them to original positions．

In the early days of the Grünfeld Defence， 7 Qf3 received little attention because it was sup－ posed that Black could pin the knight with ．．．） e 4 at some point and nullify its role as pro－ tector of the centre．But it turns out that White＇s centre can stay intact in such situations and that ．．． e g 4 can have some serious drawbacks． Knowing that，White can settle back and enjoy the fact that his knight is more aggressively placed on f3 than e2．There＇s of course much more going on，as I＇ll try to indicate as we move along．

## 7．．．c5

7．．．0－0 8 金e2 only reduces Black＇s options if he plays $8 \ldots$ c5 next．Instead，it makes the most sense to combine $7 \ldots 0-08$ 愠e2 with 8 ．．．b6，because the bishop on e 2 would have to move again to protect e4．Then $90-0$ 置b7 10雨 22 is a fairly comfortable position for White， who can play moves like 莫g5，党d1，d5，etc． But Lautier actually assigns 8．．．b6 a＇？！＇and I can＇t resist showing the game Lautier－Miral－ lès，Swiss Cht 1995，with a selection of his notes： 9 h 4 ！（D）．


White＇s attack is seemingly innocuous，and yet Lautier shows that it＇s not so easy for Black：

 tack is already on the verge of winning．
b）Lautier also mentions 9．．．今g4！？without comment，after which I suspect that he had planned the surprising 10 h 5 ！，and $10 \ldots$ ．．gxh5 11

Qe5！or 10．．．金xh5 11 e5！？with quite a good attack．
c）The game proceeded $9 \ldots \mathrm{c} 510 \mathrm{~h} 5$ ！Qa6 11 hxg6 hxg6 12 食h6！金xh6（12．．．全xe2？ 13


 14 幽d2 当h8 15 De5！（just in time to keep the attack going，based upon $15 \ldots$ ．．．$x$ xh6 16 䊦xh6＋！



 idea ${ }^{\text {E }} \mathrm{c} 7$ and d5） $22 \ldots \mathrm{f} 5(22 \ldots$ ．．． 23 d 6 ） 23


 game．

After 7．．．c5，the variation that has dominated practice has been 8 ebl．But the flexible bishop development 8 害e3 is also fascinating．

## Qf3 and 㑒e3

8 皿 $\mathbf{e}$（ $D$ ）


This keeps a lot of White＇s options open．Now the f1－bishop may still go to 44 or d3 instead of the conventional e 2 ．White might also like to play a quick 皆cl．Finally，it would be great to get 娄d2 and 會h6 in，eliminating White＇s great－ est enemy．That isn＇t likely，but Black needs to react．He normally does so by entering some kind of endgame via ．．．${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{F} 5$ and ．．．cxd4，but can also play slowly to restrain and ultimately break down White＇s centre．We＇ll follow two games．

Lagowski－Shishkin<br>Kazimierz Dolny 2001

## 

The famous game Kramnik－Kasparov，Lon－ don BGN Wch（2） 2000 went 9．．．旺g4 10 登b1 a6 11 玉xb7 显xf3 12 gxf3 ©c6 13 是c4 0－0 （the immediate 13．．．cxd4 14 cxd 4 䔩xd2＋ 15
 favours White because of his active pieces and centralized king） $140-0 \mathrm{cxd} 415 \mathrm{cxd} 4$ 宣xd4
 the two bishops） 16 金d5（ $D$ ）．


The bishop will remain a monster in the cen－
 （Black wants an endgame with opposite－col－ oured bishops，but maybe $17 \ldots$ ．．． ．ac8 is better）

 （blocking the dark squares） $24 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ ！？ 25 肥 1 ！㷌xf4 26 迷xf4 gxf4 27 e6 fxe6 28 总xe6．Now White goes a pawn up．The opening is certainly over，so I＇ll just leave you with the moves：



 most important game in Kramnik＇s chess ca－ reer．

## 10 胞 1

10 造bl has the drawback of allowing a tricky line stemming from Adorjan： $10 \ldots 0-011$ \＃b5 cxd4！ 12 घxa5 dxe3 13 幽xe3 $0 x a 5(D)$ ．

Black has a rook and bishop for the queen， with the advantage of the bishop－pair and no weaknesses，while White＇s queenside pawns

are weak．I＇d be amazed if this weren＇t at least equal： 14 h 4 （the only move that has had any
 ter either $14 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 5$ or $14 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 6$ ） 15 h 5 （or 15 gg5
 e5 \＆c4 17 定xc4 登xc4！ 18 hxg 6 hxg 619 Qg5
 tov－Svidler，Istanbul OL 2000.
 d5 登d8 14 䒠 1 色b4！

Black needs some tempi so that he can orga－ nize the destruction of White＇s centre．
a）Kramnik won an attractive and highly in－ structive game versus Leko in Budapest 2001 after 14．．．包e5？ 15 包xe5 是xe5 16 f 4 是d6
 （breaking Black＇s hold on the centre）．


18．．．昷xc5＋（18．．．exf4！？ 19 宫f3！has some deceptively simple ideas，one of them being 19．．．g5 20 㫣xd6！登xd6 21 h4 h6 22 hxg5 hxg5
 after 18．．．f6 19 宣xd6 馬xd6 20 fxe5 fxe5 21

全e2，White＇s rook can＇t be stopped from com－ ing to c 7 －all this with White＇s bad bishop op－ posing Black＇s good one！） 19 Exc5 exf4 20

 Eb4 全dl＋28 8 （the king has done a lot of wandering，and now finds a dominant position） 28．．．萝g7 29 h4 f6 30 hxg5 hxg5 31 e5！！（D）．

B

$31 .$. fxe5（White＇s 31st depends upon the
宜bl！！；for example，33．．．fxe5 34 （6） 32 果xe5 f3 33 gxf3 䀂xf3 34 d6（as always，it＇s the d－ pawn in the end！） $34 \ldots$ ．．．ed8 35 皿f5 宜c6 36 d 7 －f8 37 岀 d 4 1－0．
b） $14 \ldots \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{D})$ tests White＇s large centre against Black＇s attempts to undermine it．


It seems to work out satisfactorily for Black． Kramnik－Kasparov，Astana 2001 continued 15



（Black＇s pieces are activated just in time） 25



We now return to $14 \ldots$ ．．． b 4 （ $D$ ）：
w


15 金c5？
Underestimating Black＇s queenside pawns．
 when 16 皿xa6 bxa6 pits Black＇s poor structure versus the two bishops．Generally doubled a－ pawns aren＇t so bad until a true ending arises． and the undermining move ．．．f5 will become a factor，so Black stands satisfactorily： 17 人ेb4
 21 dxe6 員7，Atalik－Karr，Cappelle la Grande
 fxe4 21 Qd2 Qf5 with equality，Zlochevsky－ Egiazarian，Ohrid Ech 2001.
全xe7皆e8 19 d 6 a ！（ D ）


Starting the queenside pawn advance．What＇s to stop them？


定 $\mathrm{e} 7+31$ りg5 $0 \mathrm{xg} 50-1$

Black has his share of fun in these endings．

> Karpov - Kasparov
> New York/Lyons Wch(17) 1990

## 8．．．0－0 9 数d2 黄g4

Kasparov liked playing this move in various contexts．

10 Øg5！？cxd4
The trick is $10 \ldots \mathrm{~h} 611 \mathrm{~h} 3$ 貫h5 12 g 4 ！．
11 cxd4（D）


11．．．生c6
Again，11．．．h6 is answered by 12 h 3 ．

As so often，Black skirts around White＇s centre and eyes c 4 ．
莤g5 a6（D）


## 19 発be1 余xd3

Black has systematically conquered the light squares but taken a lot of time．
 $23 \mathrm{d5}$ ！（D）


Here＇s Black＇s greatest enemy in the Grün－ feld：White＇s d－pawn marching steadily up the board！

23．．．0c424 थd2 Qxd2 25 全xd2


 37 d 6 g 538 d 7

Through the breach！

One nice continuation goes 40 ．．．h4 41 金a5！
 d8

## Modern Main Line with Ëb1

8 䔍 $\mathbf{1}$（ $D$ ）


This move is played in the majority of con－ temporary games with 7 ©f3．It＇s odd to move a rook instead of developing the kingside，espe－ cially when you＇ve left your pawn on a2 unde－ fended versus ．．．${ }^{\text {Whas．}}$ But it＇s consistent with气f3 to discourage ．．．今g4，which 营bl does by aiming at the b7－pawn．The other convenient advantage is that now the rook is off the long a1－h8 diagonal，so the important move d 5 can occur without losing a rook！

## 8．．．0－0

There are countless minor lines along the way and we won＇t look at most of them．Here
曹xc3＋？（9．．．粕xa2 10 Exc5 0 d 711 Ёb5 leaves White with some advantage because the pres－ sure has been released from his centre） 10 （1）d2
 pretty awful） 12 常b3 1－0 Polovodin－Maslov， USSR 1984.

## 9 全e2

At this juncture the material divides．I＇ll take a look at selected lines stemming from the two major moves： $9 \ldots 9 \mathrm{c} 6$ and $9 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 4$ ．
a）A solid variation that many strong play－ ers have used and avoids massive theory，is 9．．．b6 $100-0$ 金b7（ $D$ ）．


White＇s most common and logical response is to defend the d－pawn by 11 慗d 3 （although 11楮c2 deserves a closer look）．Then：
a1）11．．．e6 can be countered in several ways but the obvious one is 12 \＆g5．Then Black
 12．．．䠉 713 曹e 3 气d7 can be met by 14 e 5 ！？，to restrict the knight on d7，or simply 14 \＃fc 10 ff 15 e5 0 d 516 wiver 2 ．Notice that the move e5
makes much more sense when ．．．e6 has been played．
a2）11．．．宣a6（Black wants to exchange the light－squared bishops as soon as possible） 12粕e3 e6！？（one of various moves designed to re－ strain the dangerous d－pawn；instead，12．．． E d d 7 ！ would both prepare ．．．e6 and eye the a4－square）
 Mitropa Cup（Bükfürdo）1995，and either 15 Qg5 or 15 Ed1 might be played，probably with some advantage．But $9 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 6$ is a good practical choice．
b） 9 ．．．Wea 5 is purely tactical，rather messy． and maybe better than its reputation．The game Shirov－Akopian，USSR U－26 Ch（Tbilisi） 1989 gives a brief indication of its risks： $100-0$ ！曹xa2（10．．．㬐xc3 11 d5 曹a5 12 \＆g5 with com－
 14 e 5 ！（this is the essence of 8 mb Grünfeld



Shirov has sacrificed two pawns in exchange for some dark squares！The game continued






## Direct Central Attack

## 9．．．©c6 10 d 5 ！©e5

Black can also accept the sacrifice．Here＇s one of the games that got the whole $8 \boldsymbol{m}$ bl rage
 Qd4（later $12 \ldots$ ．． 05 was played more often． White attacking by 13 h 4 宜g4 and now 14 h 5 ！？

金xf3 15 gxf 3 ，or 14 Qg5！？全xe2 15 我xe2， which isn＇t clear at all！） $13 \triangleq x d 4$ cxd4 14
 17 家e3（the key to these endings is whether White＇s king can step out of the way of Black＇s central attack and at the same time connect rooks；here Kasparov achieves both）17．．．b6 18
 d6！（ $D$ ）（invasion of the Grünfeld killer！）．

B



 parov－Natsis，Malta OL 1980．A seminal game．

11 थxe5 金xe5（ $D$ ）


This used to be the main line before 9 ．．．cxd4 10 cxd4 当a5＋took over．Not everything is fully resolved here，but the top players don＇t seem to trust it any more．I＇ll give two games il－ lustrating the main ideas．As always，the battle is between White＇s large centre and Black＇s at－ tempts to immobilize and undermine it．

## S．Ivanov－Mikhalevski <br> St Petersburg－Beer－Sheva 1999

12 党 $\mathbf{b} 3$（ $D$ ）

B


This is a fascinating alternative to the main move 12 数d2．It has the following features：
a）It protects the c－pawn；
b）It intends to play c 4 ，after which the rook may swing over to the centre or kingside；
c）Unlike $12{ }^{W} \mathrm{~W}$ d2，it keeps the path of the cl－bishop free．

On the negative side：
a）The move ．．．c4 can force the rook to an awkward square with tempo．If it occurs，the rook will want to go to b4，when the move 膤d2 will be necessary to protect the c－pawn．But that blocks off the cl－bishop anyway！
b）Whereas 12 嶙d2 gives extra support to White＇s attack with f4，the rook on b3 does nothing in the centre．

12．．．e6
Natural，but this simple move allows White＇s centre to go on a rampage．Black can gain dy－ namic counterchances by $12 \ldots$ ．．． W c 7 with the idea of ．．．c4．White must either stop this or be able to play ${ }^{\text {Eb }} 4$ in response．For example， 13 （d2！？ （the other move is $13 \mathrm{c4}$ ，when 13．．．e6 tries to open files before White gets castled and brings his pieces out） $13 \ldots$ ．．．e6 $14 \mathrm{f4c4}$ ！ 15 訕 b 4 气d6！ 16
 temporarily stopped White from castling and


曹xb6 \＆ exb 6 and White was hopelessly tied down in S．Ivanov－Greenfeld，Bugojno 1999.

## 

14．．．exd5 15 cxd5＠d4 16 ＠e3 gets rid of the intrusive bishop．

15 e5！？f6 16 f5！（ $D$ ）


The ultimate centre vs undermining pic－ ture！

## 16．．．gxf5？！

The situation is not necessarily hopeless for Black，but he surely must have been intimi－ dated！I won＇t even begin to go into the pages of notes that can accompany this game，but will just toss in a variation or two that shows how vi－ tal the initiative and two bishops are to White＇s attack．Here 16 ．．．exd5 can be met by 17 e6！？or 17 fxg6，neither leading to a clear assessment． From now on White＇s initiative is almost im－ possible to deal with．

## 17 実h5 ※e7

 \＆xg721 是xe5＋\＄g8 $220-0$ gives White and his bishops a terrific attack．



## 20．．．t． 88

Other moves like 20 ．．．${ }^{\text {did }} 88$ may be somewhat better，but here are two that are entertainingly
 Exd1 +23 \＆xdl and White wins；and 20．．．e4？
 Eg5，etc．

21 0－0 0 Exd6
Not 21．．．b6？ 22 速a1！．

24．．． $\begin{aligned} & \text { wiff } \\ & \text { is better but ultimately loses to } 25\end{aligned}$鷘xc5 e5 26 宣xd4。

## 

Even prettier is 26 宣xd4 cxd4 27 鳥4！．
余a3＋

Kiriakov－Sowray<br>Hastings 1998／9

## 12 数d2 e6 $13 \mathrm{f4}$ \＆ c 7

Retreating the bishop to help on the queen－ side is a more modern approach than the origi－ nally－played 13．．． e g 7 ．The latter move is just as important，but full of lines worked out to 25 moves and more（one famously extends to move 50 or so！）．You really need to memorize this sort of thing to succeed，and there are books to help you do so．I＇ll just point out that it＇s the same story of White trying to blast through with his central pawns and Black trying to break them up．Wherever the bishop retreats． Black must beware of the white pawn if it reaches d6 and is still well－supported．Some－ times it takes 20 more moves，but it＇s a very good bet that you＇ll see it on d7！
$140-0$ exd5 15 exd5（D）


## 15．．．d． 5 （ $D$ ）

It is strange to see a bishop so far away from the kingside and at such cost of time，but now that White＇s e－pawn is gone Black wants to combine forces on the c－pawn and White＇s queenside in general．Giving up a pawn by 15．．．\＆f5？！ 16 酋xb7 \＆b6 hasn＇t panned out af－ ter 17 d6（uh－oh）17．．．幽f6 18 登d1！The fatal move $d 7$ won a piece for White on move 31 in Van Wely－Timman，Breda rapid playoff（1） 1998.


## 16 ⓑ5

Not the most popular move but it brings home the point that the bishop－pair and some enemy weaknesses can be worth the exchange． Instead，White can get open lines at the cost of allowing Black rapid development by playing
 heavily tested for a change）．Or you can do a lit－ tle studying and plunge into the main line 16 d 6 （I＇m going to skip mountains of notes and alter－ natives） $16 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 617$ 金f3（D）．

 （19．．．金f5！leads to one of those long－winded lines，a bit better for White） 20 \＄${ }^{\text {b }}$ 2 \＆xa2？！ （20．．． $\mathbf{\text { Qf5 }}$ ） 21 c 4 ！（now White is clearly better）
 （whoops）24．．．宣xd7 25 定xd7（Black has three pawns for the piece，but it＇s two bishops－no
显d8 29 全e5 完e7 30 Exa6 and White won shortly in Van Wely－Van der Werf，Netherlands 1998／9．

## 16．．．b6 17 旺b2

17 Еxa5！bxa5 18 c 4 is a better move－order， to avoid ．．．㑒a6．

17．．．啙d6 18 Exa5！bxa5 19 c4（ $D$ ）


Again we have a situation in which White is an exchange down but has the two bishops and some attack on Black＇s weakened dark squares． The position isn＇t clear and Black can definitely improve upon what happens，but we＇ll just fol－ low the moves：

19．．．f6 20 g 4 Ёb8 21 全a1






## 8 登b1 Exchange with 10．．．粩a5＋

## 9．．．cxd4 10 cxd4 黄a5＋ 11 全d2 楆xa2 12

 0－0（D）This is the very main line of the Modern Ex－ change Grünfeld．Given the back－and－forth na－ ture of chess fashion，that probably won＇t be the case in a few years；still，we have to work with

what we know．As with most main lines，theo－ retical analysis has developed over many years and is extremely dense．Therefore I＇m going to cover only certain characteristic ideas，basic and otherwise，with little pretence to following the＇best＇lines．My concentration is upon the variation that has been Black＇s favourite solu－ tion for some time．

I shall present more White wins，not only be－ cause they tend to be more thematic（advance the pawns，sacrifice，etc．），but because at the top levels White has such an overwhelming score after $11 \ldots$ 粠xa2（ $66 \%$ ，with very few losses）． Nevertheless，my notes indicate Black＇s possi－ bilities of equalizing in key lines，so the reader shouldn＇t be overwhelmed by White＇s brilliant victories．

OK ，what is going on in the diagram posi－ tion？First of all，White has gambited a pawn and he has given Black two connected passed pawns on the queenside．Why？One reason for doing so is simply a practical one：years of ex－ perience have shown that 11 敝d2（in response to 10 ．．．楼 5 5＋）results in a queenless middlegame （after $11 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{Wd} 2+$ ）in which there are no pros－ pects for White to win if Black plays even mod－ erately good moves．As might be expected， Black can even get the advantage in such an ending if White overextends his centre pawns． So with his pawn sacrifice by $11 \hat{8} \mathrm{~d} 2$ ，White is trying to win．But upon what basis？First，he has more pieces out．Black＇s queen＇s knight and bishop have reasonably good squares to go to when they get out，but the presence of the rook on bl means that at some point Black will have to use a tempo to defend $b 7$ ．And although the b8－knight can be aggressively developed to
c6，it may well be kicked around by d 5 ．A sec－ ond advantage for White is our favourite one： the ideal Grünfeld centre．Not only does it re－ main a great threat to advance，but because of White＇s faster development it＇s less likely to be broken up．As indicated above，Black has to watch out for the d－pawn getting to d6．In addi－ tion，White can make use of the traditional ad－ vantage of having more space under control． i．e．，he has the freedom to shift his pieces more easily from side to side and front to back than Black does．In our games you will see White＇s pieces occupying aggressive posts on the fourth and fifth ranks．

Other aspects of the position offer food for thought．Black＇s queen，for example，might seem to be exposed to attack，and in particular to time－gaining attacks that will help White＇s pieces to better posts．That is sometimes true． but in fact，the queen can also interfere with White＇s ability to place his pieces where he wants them．Right off you can see that White＇s passively－placed bishop would like to go to c 4 but can＇t，and just as importantly，White＇s queen can＇t get to $\mathrm{c} 2, \mathrm{~b} 3$ or a 4 ．Sometimes those bish－ ops on d2 and e2 will be targets that prevent White from straying too far．This issue varies from position to position，but it＇s worth noting how often the retreat of Black＇s queen（to e6． for example）is advantageous for White．

Another subtlety has to do with those passed a－and b－pawns．Often players assume that con－ nected passed pawns are a cause to panic and that one needs to undertake immediate action against them．However，the pawns here can hardly advance without creating serious weak－ nesses，and would have to be well up the board before their aggressive potential would out－ weigh their vulnerability．Nevertheless，all this does point to some positive features of Black＇s position：he has no weaknesses at the moment and none of his pawns are past the third rank． nor are they likely to be so soon．It is notori－ ously difficult to break down such a structure： consider，for example，the many variations of the Sicilian Defence which share this character－ istic．And in the long run the passed $a$－and $b$－ pawns will become of decisive importance，es－ pecially in a simplified position．

A good way to think about White＇s strategy is in terms of piece placement．You will find
that White＇s queen＇s bishop tends to go to e3， but sometimes to g5 or b4 to harass Black＇s e－ pawn．The other bishop is an opportunist，head－ ing to c 4 if possible，but being quite content on the long diagonal if there is an exchange of Black＇s bishop on g 4 for a knight on f 3 ．White＇s rook on b1 likes to swim around on the fourth rank via b4，and his other rook on f 1 will often go modestly to el to protect the e4－pawn，or to dl to help advance the d－pawn．

For his part，Black simply needs to develop his pieces safely．His bishop on g 7 is ideally placed，and his knight would usually like to at－ tack White＇s centre from c6，although ．．． $\mathrm{Dd}^{\mathrm{d} 7-}$ b6 is also played．Obviously，Black＇s rooks be－ long on open files，but the one on a8 may stay there in order to support and advance the a－ pawn．Finally，we have Black＇s light－squared bishop，which can go to d7 or b7，but in view of White＇s attack will usually go to g 4 in order to exchange off a white piece．

The ideas above are only starting points，and you＇ll need to look at a lot of games in various books to get a deep understanding for how to play these lines．

12．．．${ }^{\text {eg }} 4$
This is（or seems to be）the most important move because it gets a piece out and indirectly attacks d4．Let＇s see game excerpts from two other tries：
a）With $12 \ldots$ ．．．d7，Black is simply trying to get his pieces out．One instructive game contin－ ued 13 甾el（ 13 \＆b4 is more common）13．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{b} 6$ （the rook on el protects the e－pawn so，for ex－ ample，13．．．Df6 might be met by 14 苞 b 4 ！？䊦e 6 $\{14 . .2 \times \mathrm{V} 4$ ？？ 15 金c4\} 15 昷c4 with a growing initiative） 14 胃 1 （ 14 单b4！？puts the bishop on a promising diagonal） 14 ．．．Whb 15 h 3 （versus
 Ea5！米e8 19 全b4（Black has managed to elim－ inate the e－pawn and thus reduce the danger of a passed d－pawn，but in return every one of White＇s pieces except the queen is very active）

 Exa8，etc．）21．．．Wd $\mathrm{d} 822 \mathrm{~g} 50 \mathrm{c} 4(D)$（Black is hoping that this trick saves him）．

23 Ea6！！（a lovely move）23．．．W్W8（23．．．bxa6





 will follow） 33 当xe7 登xd5 34 苞 b 7 （from now on it＇s a fairly easy win） $34 \ldots$ ．．．d1 +35 क्62





 corr． 2000.
b）12．．．a5 used to be played quite a bit，but it doesn＇t develop a piece and the a－pawn is a long way from queening．Here＇s an example of ag－ gressive attack： 13 鱼g5 a4 14 癷el 崰e6 15 d 5 ！
 （D）．


For a second pawn，White wins the dark squares and opens lines：every one of his pieces gains in terms of activity．Gelfand－Leko，Cap d＇Agde（rapid） 1996 continued 16．．．．${ }^{\text {．}}$ xe5 17



断c3．White is clearly better and still owns the dark squares．It took him some time to win but he eventually did so．

We return to $12 \ldots$ 曾 $\mathrm{g} 4(\mathrm{D})$ ：


We＇ll now look briefly at two of the classic games from this position．

Kramnik－Svidler<br>Linares 1999

## 13 余 ${ }^{3}$

The obvious line 13 笜xb7 全xf3 14 企xf3食xd4 15 食b4，initially considered harmless， has recently been reappraised．Cox analyses $15 \ldots$ ．．．d8！？（ $15 \ldots$ ．．． c 616 exe7 \＃fe8 has the idea ．．．宴b6 and ．．．©d4，although White must
 winning for White．However，18．．．We6！ 19 曾g4世xe7 20 Exe7 Exe7 leaves Black with the powerful bishop on d 4 and a dangerous a－pawn．




Our main game here is an eye－opener when it comes to the power of a mobile central major－ ity in the hands of great masters．

## 13．．． 0 c6 $14 \mathrm{d5}$ ©a5！？

Black can also try to simplify，and indeed may equalize by doing so．There have been sev－ eral games with $14 \ldots$ 㬝xf3 15 最xf3 气e5 16
 also drawn games） 18 ニ๊xb7 ©d6 19 』b4 a5 20気a4 噛b2 21 皿c1 $1 / 2-1 / 2$ Lorentzen－Krueger，

Chessfriend．com 2005．In the final position， White still seems to have a small advantage．



Another pawn sacrifice！The fun of this vari－ ation is in the reckless advance of White＇s cen－ tre．

## 17．．．包4

There are some very nice variations that are also typical of the kinds of tactics you get in this variation；for example，17．．．\＆xe5 18 d 6 ！㖹xd6 （18．．．exd6 19 金d5 wa3 20 宣d2！，threatening


18 d6 ©xe5 19 宔d5！幽a3
Another pretty idea is 19 ．．．崾a5 20 全xe7
 dexf7 24 登xa5．

20 金xe7 金f8 21 主xb7全xe7 22 全xa8


Threatening f4－f5．White is ahead materially but it takes some technique to win．
 Qd7（D）


## 28 全xf7！

A wonderful finishing touch．White heads for a winning ending．

## 28．．．$\pm x f 7$

The very same idea follows 28 ．．． $6 x$ xf7 29






If we know anything about lines like this we know that their theory will evolve，perhaps rap－ idly．Various innovations will leave the exam－ ples that I＇m using marginally relevant if that． But $I$ doubt if the nature of the play will be such as to invalidate the basic concepts we shall see．

The following is one of the most compli－ cated and brilliant games in recent years．Again 1 won＇t delve into the details（which would fill a pamphlet！）but present a picture of White＇s space and centre battling Black＇s passed a－pawn．

Gelfand－Shirov<br>Polanica Zdroj 1998

## 13 金g5 h6 14 官h4

After 14 全e3 0 c 615 d 5 another beautiful game went 15．．．乌a5？！ 16 金c5 垂f6 17 e5！ （there＇s that sacrifice again，and White doesn＇t even get the bishop－pair）17．．．金xe5 18 光b4！ （you get used to these ideas）18．．．exf3 19 金xf3
 （D）．

$23 \mathrm{~d} 6!$ 员ac8（23．．．exd6 24 全xb6；23．．．bxa5



 （zugzwang！）1－0 Kramnik－Timman，Novgorod 1995.

But again，Black is hardly forced to go into this sort of defensive nightmare．He should play
 both $17 \ldots$ a 5 and $17 \ldots$ e6 give reasonable chances．





White＇s rook looks trapped．
23 ゴd7！！主xd7 24 ©xg5
Threatening mate by wh $\mathbf{w}$＋

 Exg7 31 粕d6 安h7

31．．．a2 32 粕d5＋

 1－0

White can promote to a knight！

## Russian System


This is known as the Russian System．Now the vast majority of games continue as fol－ lows：

## 5．．．dxc4

Black prefers to play actively． 5 ．．．c6 is a rare al－ ternative in master play（a sort of Grünfeld／Slav mix with a passive reputation）．

6 溇xc4 0－07e4（D）


This position introduces all main lines of the Russian System．What are its features？First， White has established his ideal centre and the usual free development associated with it．More－ over he has a fair number of pieces out，and he can quickly bring a rook to dl to shore up the centre after developing his dark－squared bishop． What can be wrong with that？Simply that one of those developed pieces is the queen on c 4 ， and it is subject to attack with loss of time． Moreover，White is still two moves away from castling．Thus Black would like to combine the idea of rapid development with attacking White＇s centre．He can do this with pawns or pieces．Notice too that White＇s central majority is inherently more vulnerable than it is in the Exchange Systems，where it is supported by a pawn on c3．Thus White＇s advantage in space is extremely important so as to keep Black＇s pieces off aggressive squares．In the face of such restriction，Black needs a set－up which de－ velops some of his pieces without blocking off the rest．Of the various eligible strategies，I＇ve chosen to examine the Hungarian Variation 7．．．a6 and the traditional 7．．．要g4，sometimes known as the Smyslov Variation．

Among other possibilities，7．．．c6 doesn＇t challenge the centre，and Black is unlikely to equalize after the simple 8 䊦b $b$ ！with the idea 8 ．．．b5？！ 9 a4！．Black has better moves than $8 \ldots \mathrm{~b} 5$ ，but White can ignore flank advances and gain a nice lead in development with his centre intact．

There are two major alternatives to $7 \ldots$ a6 and 7．．．定g4．The Prins Variation 7．．．』a6（D） has been used by many of the great Gruinfeld players and has devoted advocates．


Why would the knight move to the edge of the board？Simply to support the move ．．．c5 without getting in the way of Black＇s other pieces．That applies most obviously to the c8－ bishop which would like to go to e6 or g4．but also to the knight on f 6 ，which will appreciate having d7 free in case of White＇s e5．I won＇t give any examples，but the situation can be de－ scribed in general terms．Assuming that ．．．c5 is played early on，White will usually respond with d 5 and it will not surprise the reader that this potentially passed pawn can prove bother－ some for Black．This d－pawn advance is usually better than dxc5，which invites Black to de－ velop with tempo by ．．．${ }^{\text {e．e6，}}$ ，and can lead to tac－ tical difficulties．After d5，Black counters with ．．．e6，and after the exchange of pawns on d 5 we have the usual situation of White＇s passed．iso－ lated d－pawn trying to advance down the board while Black attempts to render it harmless and work around it．White hopes to tie Black down to defence while he exploits his greater space to rush his pieces forward（ $\& \mathrm{f} 4$ and $\triangleq \mathrm{b} 5$ are com－ mon，to escort the d－pawn if possible，or just at－ tack），whereas Black will tend to stay active． Rather than rush to blockade by，say，．．． 5 c 7 － e8－d6，he can play aggressive moves such as
 terattack and／or simplification．The timing of all this is crucial and most authors warn of the degree of memorization that is required to play these lines well．

Obviously White needs to be ready for the Prins，and if this description of ideas appeals to you as Black you may wish to take up 7．．．』a6． The ideas are relatively straightforward，and you can probably learn on the job．

Finally，the modern line 7．．．©c6（D）is a log－ ical attack on the centre that entails some risk．It is often combined with ．．．害g4．


Most players find 7．．． 0 c 6 a little hard to be－ lieve at first，since it walks directly into d5 now or later．That was the conventional wisdom for some years until，armed with computer analy－ sis，some players decided that a knight on a5 （for that is where it＇s headed after d5）would be in no great danger，and that its influence over the board will be significant if Black can open the c－file by means of ．．．c6．Such a modern view of flank knights is not so unusual．Black is say－ ing：＂I don＇t care where my pieces end up as long as I can break up your centre．＂Another consideration：Black is giving up the ．．．c5 break for a while，much as in the 7．．．要g4 lines．If White isn＇t provoked to play d5 soon then Black may find himself without a meaningful central pawn－break．One reaction to this situation is to aim for ．．． 2 d 7 －b6（as in the Smyslov Variation below），when apart from ．．．e5 it may even be possible to use the move ．．．f5 effectively．Let＇s briefly examine how the respective strategies can collide：
a）Black＇s idea is shown by lines such as 8


宜xf3 14 宜xf3 0 de5（already with a real ad－
 fc8 with the powerful idea of ．．．Dac4．Black grabbed the seventh rank after 18 dd5 exc2 19 \＆d 2 Dec4 and eventually converted his clear advantage into victory in J．Richardson－S．Ernst， Lichfield 2000.
b） 8 昷f4！？provokes the sequence $8 \ldots .0 \mathrm{~h} 59$官e3 全g4，when Black aims his forces at d 4 and the dark squares in general．Things can get hot after $100-0-0$ exf3 11 gxf 3 e5！？ 12 d 5 ！？（12 dxe5 楼h4 13 e6 has to be considered） $12 \ldots . \mathrm{d}_{4}$ 13 f 4 ！and an aggressive course would be
 eac8 with an attack．But this line looks shaky and is the sort of thing that may leave players nervous about 7 ．．． 0 c 6 ．
c）Speaking of which，advocates of $7 \ldots$ ．．． 6 always said that one of the main points was that White＇s most natural plan with 8 全e 3 and 9 $0-0-0$ could be ruined by the powerful $8 \ldots . \mathrm{g} 4$ ， and indeed， $90-0-00 x e 310$ fxe3 e5！ 11 dxe5
 e5！．But someone noticed that you can change orders by the simple 9 e5！（ $D$ ）．


For example，9．．．©xe3！？（9．．．金e6 10 崰c5 a5 \｛10．．．$勹 x$ xe3 11 fxe3 a5？ 12 d5\} 11 a3 a4 12

 vours White；these are just typical ideas－if White＇s basic central advantage goes untouched， Black＇s pieces usually can＇t do it on their own） 10 fxe3 a5（10．．．©a5！？ 11 宸d5！？©c6！ 12 是b5 is another possibility） 11 a 3 a 412 岂d1 Ea5？ 13 h4！？（13 Dxa4！）13．．．曾d7 14 h5 b5（14．．．e6 15 De4 with a clear advantage） 15 ©xb5 兴b8 16 hxg6 hxg6 17 ©g5！e6（17．．．©xe5 18 dxe5㑒xb5 19 党h8＋！！食xh8 20 慈h4 and wins） 18
 Dutch Ch（Leeuwarden）2001．White is win－ ning．

Of course，Black could settle for $8 \ldots 9 \mathrm{~d} 7$ af－ ter all，abandoning one of the major points of
$7 . .0 \mathrm{c} 6$ ．This would be a sad but hardly fatal outcome．

The Hungarian Variation
7．．．a6（D）


This little move is undoubtedly the most radical way to meet the Russian System．Black intends a direct attack by ．．．b5 and further dis－ ruption to regain the centre，ideally by ．．．c5．If White plays e5 Black can reply ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{fd} 7$ followed by moves such as ．．． 0 b 6 and ．．．宴e6 to control the d5－and c4－squares．Against slow moves such as 害e2 and \＆e3，the idea of ．．．${ }^{\text {\＆}} \mathrm{b} 7$ and an early ．．．c5 is typical，even if it involves the sacri－ fice of the c－pawn．As usual，White would like to advance his central majority．If Black can lure White＇s pawn to d 5 without playing ．．．c5，how－ ever，it can be attacked by both ．．．c6 and ．．．e6 （which is not the case in the Exchange Varia－ tion）．In fact White will generally prefer e5 to d5．It gains a tempo and is sometimes followed by e6 with an attack．Barring that，the e5－pawn still serves to limit the scope of Black＇s Grünfeld bishop on g7．More than any other Russian Sys－ tem variation，the Hungarian tends to be forcing， tactical，and aggressive．As is the case with some such lines，I＇ll use a game and excerpts to illus－ trate important attacking and defending ideas．

> Lautier - Leko
> Tilburg 1997

## 8 数b3

a）As usual there are some move－order is－ sues to be understood． 8 e 5 can be met by $8 \ldots$ ．．．b 5

9 U3，transposing to the main line．However． 8．．．Qfd7！？is a serious alternative；for example．
 12 a3 8 d 5 with equality，Gershon－Kariakin． Moscow 2002，shows what Black is up to） $9 . .$. b5 10 峟d5（it＇s important to see that 10 数b3 c5！transposes into the 8．．．b5 9 类b3 0 fd 710 Qe3 line without allowing White to play other 10th moves－a trick worth knowing for both
 13 0－0 b4 14 Qd1 c5！ 15 dxc5 乌d5 16 Ecl Qc6 17 \＆${ }^{\text {Q }}$ d2，Kožul－Mikhalevski，Bugojno 1999，and 17．．．©xe5！？ 18 ©xe5 \＆xe5 19 c6 \＆ c 8 has been suggested with the assessment of ＇unclear＇．
b） $8 \stackrel{\mathrm{e}}{ } \mathrm{e} 2 \mathrm{~b} 59 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{w} 3$ transposes to the unin－ spiring 9 \＆e2 below，when Black plays $9 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ．
c）And how about 8 \＆f4？Especially after the slow move $7 . . . a 6$ this would seem to be an excellent option because it develops with tempo． The problem is that Black sacrifices the c－ pawn，which is customary in this dynamic vari－



Black＇s bishops and initiative are quite suffi－ cient to balance the play if not more．For start－ ers，Black hits the e－pawn twice and threatens ．．．b4 as well．Thus： 11 e5（11 童d3 b4 12 ＠a4 Qxe4 and White is saddled with a fairly use－ less isolated queen＇s pawn）11．．．乌d5 12 Øxd5 \＆xd5 13 \＆ e 2 \＃c8 14 宣 $b 6$ 䔍 c 215 b 3 \＆c6 16
 ．．．娅xf3 and ．．． $0 x \mathrm{xd} 4$ ） 19 良b6 Eb8 20 定c5 b4 （Black rejects the draw by repetition；20．．．\＆xf3
 \＆xf6 with adequate compensation for the pawn， but no more than that．

## 8．．．b5

Continuing with the move－order discussion， Black can play $8 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ！？（ $D$ ）here，which White could have avoided by 8 e5．


White seems to be on top after $9 \mathrm{dxc5}$（9 e5 ©g4！）9．．．数5 5 ！（ $9 \ldots .$. Dbd7！ 10 c6！bxc6 11 金e2 Eb8 12 Wtc2 is only slight worse for Black） 10
定e3 $勹 \mathrm{bd} 714$ 乌d4 $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{c} 5} 15 \mathrm{f} 3$ ．This type of po－ sition arises fairly often in d－pawn play．Black＇s inferiority in the centre means that he has a hard time finding good squares for all of his pieces． Kasparov－Leko，Frankfurt（rapid） 2000 contin－


9 e5（D）
By far the most important move．White can play slowly by 9 量e2，but then $9 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$ ！ 10 dxc 5 Q b 7 gives good play． 9 a 4 c 5 ！ 10 dxc 5 \＆ e 6 also shows Black＇s teeth；e．g．， 11 䊦a3 b4！ 12 崰xb4



9．．．$勹 \mathrm{dd} 7$

Two alternatives illustrate the aggressive na－ ture of the play：
a）After 9．．．\＆e6 10 exf6！\＆xb3 11 fxg 7禺xg7 $12 \mathrm{axb} 3(D)$ ，White has only three pieces for a queen and pawn，and he has doubled iso－ lated b－pawns to boot．Yet he has more than enough compensation because of his better de－ velopment，bishop－pair，and dark－square pres－ sure．


Several games have proven this，an early one being Bronstein－Poutiainen，Tallinn 1977：
 pawns provide an excellent open file） 14 ．．．${ }^{\text {W．}} \mathrm{d}$ d

 White is controlling the queenside．Now Bron－ stein opens a second front： 21 ．．．昔b6 22 \＆ d 2 a 5

公f5＋宽f731 h6！Ec7 32 官h5＋室g8 33 b4a4 34 Еel
乌d6 当f8 40 定xd5 cxd5 41 公xb5 1－0．
 the dissolution of White＇s centre，runs into 11
 14 气xd4 Wxd4 15 䒠e3） 14 蚛e3 c6 $150-0-0$ with a substantial advantage，V．Milov－Svidler， Haifa 1995．In a variation like this in which White has so much space，it＇s unlikely that the loose squares resulting from a flank advance will hurt him．

We now return to $9 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{fd} 7(D)$ ：
10 h 4 ！？
Going for broke．Other moves can lead to wild play；for example：

a） 10 e6 fxe6 11 畨xe6＋额8 12 粠e4（12
毋xc7 $\Xi \mathrm{a} 716$ Qce6 $\triangleq \mathrm{xd} 4$ ！and the tactics fa－ vour Black） $12 \ldots$ ．． 0 b 613 䊦h4 9 c 614 \＆ d 3


 pov－Kamsky，Elista FIDE Wch（5） 1996.
b） 10 Q $\mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{c} 5 \quad 11 \mathrm{e} 6 \mathrm{cxd} 4$（ $11 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ ！？） 12 \＆xd4 定xd4 13 气xd4 0 c 514 exf7＋玉xf7 15

 18 かc3 e5！19 气dxb5 axb5 20 bxc5 b4 21 气b5

 multiple threats，Piket－Timman，Dutch Ch（Rot－ terdam） 1997.

## 10．．．c5！（D）



W


## 11 e6！fxe6！？

A safer and relatively＇positional＇line is $11 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4!12$ exf7 + Exf7 13 带d1 气b6．This has
held up for Black and may be described as un－ resolved but dynamically equal．

12 h5
12 北xe6＋？宴h8 leaves White＇s queen in poor shape．

12．．．cxd4 13 hxg6
The usual spectacular and chaotic play fol－ lowed 13 宸xe6＋ Bass－Larouche，New York 1985：



勾6？（24．．．wd5） 25 \＆h5＋Dxh5 26 数h7＋
 with a draw．

13．．． 2 c 5 （D）


## 14 数 2 ！

 Black has the dynamic defence 15．．．$x$ xh 7 ！！ 16



## 14．．．岂xf3？！

This most aggressive move comes up short． Probably $14 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 315 \mathrm{gxh} 7+$ 家h8 is the best try， but 16 岂d d followed by 17 安 e 3 also favours White．

## 15 gxh7＋！？

Or 15 gxf 3 d 316 巍d2！．
15．．．t： t 8 16 gxf 3 d 3
Black tries to compensate for his material by dominating the centre．He has to avoid 16．．．dxc3 17 巒g6！．

## 17 峟d1 ©c6 18 完h6？

18 食e3！is correct，when Black is in major trouble．

w


## 21 \＃h3？！

Losing the thread．White maintains the bal－ ance by 21 暑d 2 ．

## 21．．．斯d4！

Everything in the centre．The rest of the game isn＇t cleanly played，but eventually Black＇s d－ pawn and pieces combine for victory：






## The Smyslov Variation

## 

Whereas $7 . . . \mathrm{a} 6$ and 7 ．．． ． La a tend to lead into disorderly channels，the Smyslov Variation（or ＇System＇）is characterized by positional issues that can last throughout and beyond the open－ ing stage．Black＇s plan is as yet undefined but

can consist of a combination of ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{fd} 7$－b6 and ．．．©c6．He has the flexibility of a change of plans if necessary，by，for example，．．．Dbd7， ．．．c5 or ．．．e5．The logic is essentially that the bishop on g4（usually exchanged on f3）and the knight on c6 combine to put pressure on d 4 ，pressure which is increased when ．．． $0 \mathrm{fd} 7-$ b6 unmasks the g 7 －bishop．Black will some－ times choose to restrain White＇s centre by ．．．e6． Against all this White will normally play d5 at some point．But then we have the ideal under－ mining situation for Black：as opposed to many other variations in which Black plays ．．．c5 and White plays d 5 ，here Black has both the c 7 －and e7－pawns in reserve to challenge the pawn on d 5 or at least prevent it from becoming a passed pawn．

That＇s not a bad collection of virtues．What does White have in return？Of course，the cen－ tre．In this case it＇s a centre that is reasonably easy to support with pieces and for the mo－ ment not attacked by any pawns．Furthermore， Black＇s ．．．Dfd7－b6 is slow，so White has the luxury of castling queenside or playing Ed1， and／or＇wasting＇a tempo on h3 in order to fol－ low up ．．．exf3 with attack on the kingside along the open file．Some specifics are also of interest：when Black plays ．．．乌c6 and ．．．Øb6， White doesn＇t always have to retreat but can make the more aggressive move |  |
| :---: | :---: | 5 ．All this makes for intriguing play．Now let＇s explore these ideas by exemplary games：

Sosonko－Smejkal<br>Amsterdam 1979

9 0－0－0

Castling queenside isn＇t traditionally the main move here but has always been around and has attracted some attention in recent years． Previously，a majority of players may have felt that White＇s king was too exposed on cl ，par－ ticularly to pawn and knight attacks on the queenside．Whether that is true or not，the play proceeds along lines that aren＇t much different from after $9 \pm d$ ．

## 9．．． 0 b 6

9．．．©c6 10 h 3 是xf3 11 gxf 3 ＠b6 12 㟴c5 f5 yielded double－edged play in the game Smys－ lov－Botvinnik，Moscow Wch（6）1957．The ．．．f5 break is relatively rare because of the weak－ nesses it creates．But if by threatening ．．．f4 Black can force White to exchange on 55 ，his e－file weakness are a reasonable trade－off for halving White＇s centre and gaining activity．In the game Botvinnik avoided this by means of 13 Qe2．

## 10 数c5

Now Black can play the thematic 10 ．．．e $5!?$ ；
 a5 14 今b5 笽f8，Sosonko－Liberzon，Amster－ dam 1977．In the game he tries something more interesting：

10．．．e6（D）


There are several ideas behind this flexible move．The obvious one is to restrain d5．Black also wants to see what White is doing before he commits to ．．． 8 d 7 or ．．． 2 a 6 ，and he has the sly idea of ．．．Ee8 and ．．．\＆f8．And then there＇s what happens in the game：

11 h3 全xf3 12 gxf3 08 d 713 曹a3 挡h4！
Claiming the dark squares．
14 형b1 䆖h6

Simacek－Jansa，Brno 2006 saw Black mix it
 sign of belligerence） 17 Q g 5 ！？粼xf2 18 气e2 c4 19 w c2 and the game slipped into obscure complications．

## 

Black puts his queen on f4 and chases the knight back，achieving dynamic equality．This game and notes seem to offer a fair representa－ tion of 10 ．．．e6．

Vaganian－Hübner<br>Rio de Janeiro IZ 1979

## 9 数 $\mathbf{b} 3$（D）

White makes a useful move that attacks b7 and in some special cases prepares to develop White＇s f1－bishop to a more active square than e2．As a transpositional tool，it avoids 9 edl Dc6 10 娄b3 e5！？below，but at the cost of al－ lowing 9 ．．．c5 as described in the next note．


## 9．．．$\triangle \mathrm{b} 6$

As so often in the Grünfeld，Black has a stark choice between active play and solidity．The pseudo－sacrificial 9．．．c5！？exemplifies the for－ mer： 10 d5（ 10 獘xb7 定xf3 11 崰xa8 cxd4 12 gxf3 dxc3；in spite of a nominal material ad－ vantage，few players would want to be White here） $10 \ldots$ ．${ }^{2}$ a6 11 自e2（there is probably a
是xf3 ©e5，Bareev－Kasparov，Novgorod 1994. Here Black has ideas of exploiting White＇s weakness on d 3 by $14 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ and ．．．Sc5．Follow－ ing 14 \＆ e 2 ，to prevent $\ldots \mathrm{c} 4,14 \ldots$ ．．．55 reintro－ duced the idea to good effect．

10 芭d1 e6！？

Black employs the strategy of restraining White＇s centre．

11 \＆ e 2 乌c6 12 e5！？（D）


Remember that when Black plays ．．．e6 in this and the Exchange Variation，White＇s move e5，weakening in other variations，becomes more viable．First，White gains opportunities to control important dark squares around the king by means of $\hat{Q} 55$ and 0 e4．Moreover the ad－ vance ．．．f6，effective when there＇s still a pawn on e7，can simply weaken Black＇s e6，poten－ tially on an open file following exf6．

## 12．．． ， 7 ！

On the flip side， d 5 has become a natural out－ post for Black＇s knights，and f5 has been freed for another，to put pressure on d 4 or e3．



## 15．．．c6

Again，a choice：the ．．．c6／．．e6 structure is seen here in an almost idealized form，forever preventing d5 and affording one of Black＇s
rooks a nice view of the backward d－pawn．The problem is that Black has no pawn－break，an example of the case where all of one＇s pieces are well－placed but there＇s little to do with them． By contrast，Black played dynamically in Hert－ neck－Birnboim，Munich Z 1987：15．．．${ }^{\omega} \mathrm{e}$ e7！？（to play ．．．c5） 16 金xb7 【ab8 17 定e4 仓xe3 18
 terribly complex position．
 19 g 40 xd 4 ！？ 20 Exd4 全xe5

This is a dynamic position that is difficult to assess，with Black＇s pawns apparently consti－ tuting sufficient compensation for the piece．

## Mikhalevski－Dvoirys <br> Hoogeveen 2000

## 9 䒤 d 1 （ $D$ ）

The time－honoured continuation，although 9娄b3 often leads to the same position．


9．．．2c6
$9 . . \varrho \mathrm{b} 6$ allows 10 wc5！，and Black would rather not deal with that option．

10 幽b3
This is a sort of archetypal Grünfeld posi－ tion．But the other approach also has a long his－
宧xf3 12 gxf 3 f 5 ！is one of those cases in which ．．．f4 is a problem for White） 11 ．．．嵈d6 12 e 5 ！
 16 安xc6 bxc6 17 変d4 看f4 $180-0$ and after 18．．．a5？ 19 \＃fe1 a4 20 Ee4 宴h6 21 㑒e5 a3 22
 White won quickly in Karpov－Kasparov，Lon－ don／Leningrad Wch（17）1986．However，Black
later improved in Karpov－Timman，Tilburg 1986 by 18．．．e5！ 19 食e3 害xe3 20 fxe3 它 7 ！
 equality．Whether or not this line evolves fur－ ther，you could do worse than to study those games．

## 10．．．0b6

The older 10 ．．．e5！？strives for immediate free－ dom；for example， 11 dxe 5 \＆cxe5 12 \＆e2 客e6 （or even 12．．．挡c8 13 包 5 ！？th8 14 Dxe5


 Sosonko－Smyslov，Biel IZ 1976.

Note that White can avoid this by 9 U ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~b} 3$ ，but at the cost of $9 \ldots . . .5!$ ？．These trade－offs have to be understood in order to anticipate what can hap－ pen in any given position of the Russian System． You simply can＇t afford to be surprised．

11 d5 亿e5 12 宜 2 2 $\mathrm{xf} 3+13$ gxf3 会h5（D）
w


Black tries to tie White to f 3 and prevent h4－ h5．On the other hand that bishop could be far out of play in an ending．

## 14 gig

White intends to play ${ }^{\mathrm{Eg}} \mathrm{g} 3$ to protect h 3 and f3，keeping Black＇s bishop in his role as a spec－ tator．Of course，this is committing a big piece to a little role！Of the various other moves here the most direct one is 14 f 4 \＆ xe 215 公xe2 c6！？ （15．．．wd w ？） $16 \mathrm{~h} 4 \mathrm{cxd5} 17 \mathrm{~h} 5$ 部c8！with equal－ ity，Forintos－Jansa，Budapest 1970.

## 14．．．粕d7 15 をg3

A common continuation is $15 \mathrm{a} 4!$ ？，when

 se2 dxe4 23 Exd7 e5 was utterly unclear in Ehlvest－Ernst，Tallinn 1989．You can see that the relative stability of the Smyslov Variation （compared to the anarchic nature of Black＇s other variations）begins to break down at about this point．

## 15．．．c6（D）

There are ．．．f5 plans over the next moves． One is the immediate $15 \ldots \mathrm{f} 5$ ！？ $16 \mathrm{~d} 6+5 \mathrm{~d} 817$ dxc 7 暑xc7，about equal．


16 a4！？
A bold exchange sacrifice followed 16 dxc 6
 Sosonko－Timman，Bergen（2）1984：19．．．Exa2！
 de2？（this ends up making the bishop on h5 relevant again）23．．．数f6 24 島d3 䊦h4 25 鹤d2
 b 5 ！with a winning game for Black．

16．．．数c717 Ec1 bxa6 20 宣xa6 9 e5！

Activating all of his pieces．
21 f4
 Exc8 leaves White struggling to defend．

## 

The accuracy of the play that follows isn＇t important，but suffice it to say that Black＇s opening was a strategic and tactical success．


幽 $\mathrm{h} 1+33$ क्षे 2 cxd5 0－1

## 9 Modern Benoni

1 d 4 Cf62c4c5（D）


With this aggressive move Black strikes at the centre with the positional threat of 3．．．cxd4 4 Wxd4 ©c6，which would win time by attack－ ing White＇s queen．The Benoni is one of very few defences to 1 d 4 that counterattacks within the first few moves．Some of its other properties will become clear in a moment．

## 3 d5

White takes up the challenge and stakes out a large chunk of the centre．This is by far the most common move，and certainly the most interest－ ing，because it sets up a classic imbalance．In view of move－order issues，it＇s important to see a few of the alternatives：
a） 3 e 3 e 6 （3 ．．．cxd44 exd4 d5 transposes to a Panov Caro－Kann；3．．．g6 is also possible） 4 Ec3 d5（another transposition is 4．．．cxd4 5 exd4 d5 6 df 3 and again we have a Panov Caro－Kann；refer to Chapter 12 in Volume 1 for an analysis of these positions） $5 \triangleq f 3$ dc6 is a Semi－Tarrasch Queen＇s Gambit．
b） 3 dxc5 e6 4 死3 全xc5 5 e3（and not 5
皆xh4！\} 6...全xe3 7 fxe3 $0-0$ ，when White＇s pawn－structure is awful and his development retarded）5．．．0－0 6 气c3 ©c6（6．．．b6 7 宣e2 金b7 is equal） $7 \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{e} 2 \mathrm{~d} 5$ with equality．This a sort of Queen＇s Gambit Accepted with colours reversed
in which Black has no problems．As is true of so many colours－reversed openings，Black sim－ ply needs to hold back from playing the ambi－ tious systems that White is able to get away with when he has a tempo more．
c） $3 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ is what most people play if they don＇t want to go into 3 d 5 ．Then Black can play
 lish Opening variation．Its theoretical status is quite satisfactory for Black，so most grand－ masters won＇t play 3 Df 3 unless they＇re partic－ ularly intent upon avoiding risk；however，the move is more popular among non－masters．An－ other option for Black after 3 \＆f3 is 3．．．e6， when $4 \triangleq c 3 \operatorname{cxd} 45$ Qxd4 is another Symmetri－ cal English line．The latter can even transpose into the Nimzo－Indian Defence after 5．．．定b4（a good move in any case） 6 g 3 ，i．e．， 1 d 4 f6 2 c 4


We now return to $3 \mathrm{~d} 5(D)$ ：


## 3．．．e6

This is the move－order associated with the Modern Benoni；it immediately attacks the cen－ tre． $3 . . . e 5$ followed by ．．．d6 has been identified by several names，including the Czech Benoni． $3 . . \mathrm{b} 5$ ！？is the Benko Gambit，not discussed here．

Black can also play 3．．．g6（or 3．．．d6 4 صc3 g6） 4 』c3 \＆${ }^{\text {Q }} 75 \mathrm{e} 4 \mathrm{~d} 6$（versus e5），but then it
may be less productive to challenge White＇s centre later by means of ．．．e6 for a few reasons， among them the fact that after an eventual ．．．exd5 White has the additional option exd5． There may also be times at which White＇s dxe6 is a good move．

4 2c3
$4 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ is an important continuation，although it will usually arrive from the popular move－

 That move－order is particularly significant be－ cause White＇s choices are limited by the knight on f 3 ，i．e．，he can＇t play popular variations with the moves f 4 and f 3 ．See also the discussion of
 chapter．

4．．．exd5（ $D$ ）


## 5 cxd5

Instead，White can play the rare move 5 Qxd5，when 5．．．Dc6 6 金g5 宽e7！？ 7 Qxe7 wive7 gives up the bishop－pair but may be satis－ factory for Black because of his faster develop－ ment；e．g．， 8 乌f3（versus ．．．鹤e5）8．．．0－09 e3 ed 8 ！？，intending ．．．d5．The normal and reliable line is $5 \ldots .9 \mathrm{xd} 5$ ．Then Black equalizes after 6数xd5 d6（or 6．．． 4 c 6 ；also，6．．．${ }^{\text {e．e }} 7$ ，to meet 7 b3 with 7 ．．． ．f6，is considered fine for Black） 7
 White has created the $d 5$ outpost but a piece is a long way from occupying it（ $\sum \mathrm{d} 2-\mathrm{f} 1-\mathrm{e} 3-\mathrm{d} 5$ ） and a piece on d 5 might be exchanged anyway．

White＇s rarely－chosen option 5 ©xd5 $0 x d 5$ 6 cxd5 $(D)$ is rather instructive：

It turns out this particular simplification fa－ vours Black．White＇s knight is one of his best

pieces and after Black plays ．．．g6 and ．．．\＆g7（or ．．．（e7－f6），the exchange of the f6－knight will give Black＇s bishop an open view along the al－h8 diagonal．Indeed，in the main lines with 5 cxd5 d6（i．e．，without 5 ©xd5 0 xd 5 ）Black of－ ten has to move the knight from f6 to a medio－ cre square，precisely to increase the bishop＇s range and in order to hold up e4－e5．

5．．．d6（D）


Black intends to play ．．．g6 and ．．．${ }^{\text {ig }} 7$ 7，but first he stops White＇s advance d6，and he also opens a diagonal for the c 8 －bishop．At this junc－ ture，we＇ll look at the main moves， 6 e4 and 6 ©f3（with g3）．It＇s also worthwhile to consider some of the lines involving the move 宣 f 4 as we go along．At this point 6 音f4 develops quickly and targets Black＇s weakest pawn on d6．A third－rank pawn that isn＇t on an open file is nor－ mally pretty easy to defend，but in the Benoni， Black＇s can be vulnerable，at least enough to disturb his development．A case in point is 6．．．g6 7 㟶a $4+$ ！？（the most forcing continuation，
but probably not best；White can play into other



By attacking the pawn on b7，White is at－ tempting to force Black to delay his develop－ ment，since 8 ．．． 8 g 7 would lose the d－pawn to 9 exd6．Thus Black would like to stay active，and a good way to do that is $8 \ldots$ b5！（ $D$ ）（this is a typ－ ical dynamic pawn sacrifice for development and open lines；the alternative 8．．．数c7 9 e4是 $\mathrm{g} 710 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3$ leads to a main－line position but is not necessarily to everyone＇s taste as Black）．


9 xb5（otherwise Black has expanded on the queenside for free，and remember that ．．．b5 is the move that Black strives so hard to achieve
 Wdd3（Black was threatening both ．．． $0 x \mathrm{xd} 5$ and ．．．Eb8）11．．．䊅b6 12 b3 全g7 13 日ld 0－0 14 ©f3；in Hausner－Belaska，Prague 1991 Black played $14 \ldots \mathrm{c} 4$ ？！at this point，but $14 \ldots$ ．．．efe 8 was very strong because of the cute tactic 15 e3？？实b4＋！followed by ．．．㐘xf4，winning a piece．

Black is able to employ this typical ．．．b5 sac－ rifice in similar situations throughout the Ben－ oni．However，other versions of White playing an early $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{~} \mathrm{f} 4$ were underestimated for years and are quite testing．See，for example， 7 实f4 in the section that follows．

We now move on to White＇s standard choice on move 6：

6 e4（D）


Although the move 6 e 4 is by no means the only one，White plays it in most games for the obvious reasons of development（allowing the f1－bishop to move）and central control．

6．．．g6
Black prepares ．．． ig 7．At this point we again come to a major fork in the road．We shall look at 7 f3，the Classical main line in which White develops normally and without delay； this generally leads to relatively quiet posi－ tional play．The resulting positions have been debated in more detail than any others in the Modern Benoni．Then we look at the pawn－ storm systems that follow from the aggressive 7 f 4 ，which itself leads to several distinct attack－ ing formations．

These lines will get their own relatively de－ tailed sections．I have made that decision based upon the desire to address the most fundamen－ tal issues inherent in the Benoni without clut－ tering the presentation．Keep in mind that the Modern Benoni is considerably less popular than the other 1 d 4 openings that we deal with in this volume．Nevertheless，there are several other consequential variations that need to be addressed，if only in a selective manner：

7 盢f4 is a complex move based upon the bishop＇s pressure on d6 and e5．Without going into a lot of detail，here are some lines that illus－ trate both White＇s development and Black＇s flexibility：
 versial line that has served White well over the past decade，although with care Black should equalize or come very close to equalizing．
b） $7 \ldots \mathrm{ab} 8 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{b5}(\mathrm{D})$ and now：

b1）9 全d3（g4！？－not necessarily best－is an example of Black＇s strategy to exchange his bishop for a knight in order to reduce White＇s control over e5．Palliser－Bates，British League （4NCL）2003／4 went 10 h 3 垂xf3 11 塐xf3 重g7 $120-00013$ घfel，and here Palliser recom－ mends a manoeuvre that pops up in several Benoni variations： 13 ．．．$\boxed{\square} 7$ ！？，with the idea ．．．ごe7 and ．．．ëfe8．Although White looks better in that position，Black should always be on the lookout for the ．．．$\boxed{\text { ª }} 7$ resource．
b2） 9 数e 2 ？？食 e 7 ！（not $9 \ldots$ ．．．．g7 due to 10 e5） 10 e5（ 10 wive2！？0－0 11 a 4 b4 12 勾bl b3！？is a typical Benoni device to ruin the coordination of White＇s pieces） $10 \ldots$ ．．dxe5 11 曾xe5 ©bd7 12 $0-0-0$ exe5 13 气xe5（D）．

White＇s position looks powerful indeed；for example，d6 is threatened．Nevertheless，his kingside pieces are undeveloped，and 13．．．${ }^{\mathbf{y}} \mathrm{d} 6$
 sults in a surprisingly good position because that pawn can be weak and Black has an effec－ tive queenside majority．Naturally White has a lot of options（the move 9 全．d3 above is promis－ ing），although Black has done well in this varia－ tion as a whole．


Although I won＇t be examining the＇Modern Main Line＇with 全d3 and h3，I＇d like to take a quick look at it in terms of pawn－chains．There are numerous orders to get to the basic position； for example， 1 d 4 Qf6 2 c 4 c 53 d 5 e 64 ®c3 exd5 5 cxd5 d6 6 e 4 g6 7 ゆf3 全g78h30－0 9垔 $\mathrm{d} 3(D)$ ．


White has the mini－chain e4／d5，and Black has d6／c5．Let＇s talk about attacking these chains．White would like to get e5 in，attacking the base of the chain．Moves that might assist that are Ele and 監f4．The alternative f4 is weakening and hard to implement，but not out of the question in the long run．On the queen－ side，White has the option of b4 to attack the front of the chain，a favourite positional device， most appropriate after Black plays ．．．b5．For his part，Black can＇t legally make pawn contact with the front of White＇s e4／d5 chain，and ．．．f5 in such a position tends to be risky（the more so in this particular variation）because of the giant hole created on e6．Grabbing space by 9 ．．．a6
and ．．． b 5 would be nice but White simply plays 10 a4．Black may therefore feel that he is re－ stricted to＇counterplay by hook or by crook＇， which is why the move most often played is 9．．．b5！？（D）．


Black is counting upon the tactic 10 全xb5
 ©xd6 ${ }^{W}$ a6 gives Black compensation for his pawn，in large part based upon the weakness of White＇s d－pawn．An extraordinary amount of analysis has been devoted to $9 \ldots b 5$ ．For the re－ cord，Black needn＇t be so brash and there are other legitimate ways to approach the position， but this line says something about the nature of the opening as a whole．In many Modern Ben－ oni variations，Black＇s main strategy is to hold up White＇s breaks such as e5 while using his pawn－mass in combination with an open file and powerful g7－bishop to create havoc on the queenside．This is often necessary because in terms of fundamental pawn－structure White has the advantage．

## Classical Main Line

## 7 气f3 全g7（D）

We＇re headed for the older but still worth－ while main line for White．Since White can get to one of the key positions via the move－order 6
 easily avoid the whole variation，and he needs to understand the ideas．

Here we pause for a discussion of Modern Benoni strategies and themes，many of which apply to the pawn－storm systems（ 7 f 4 ）as well．


You can see right away from the pawn－struc－ ture that White has more space and that his main pawn－break in most cases will be e5．With the knight on f 3 ，the move $\mathrm{f4}$ ，which directly supports e5，is unlikely to happen soon；but by utilizing his greater command of territory White can reorganize his pieces so that eventually the e5 advance will be a real problem for Black． This can occur，for example，after $\stackrel{\text { St }}{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{f} 4$ with Ee1，and／or the exotic－but－typical $\searrow \mathrm{d} 2$－c4．The latter manoeuvre is difficult to answer because it attacks so many key squares like b6，d6，e5， and even a5（if Black plays ．．．b5）．White can also harass Black with the move \＆g5，which can be awkward to answer because Black may be reluctant to weaken himself with ．．．h6（al－ though to be fair，．．．h6 is the correct response in most instances）．Note that White has a central pawn－majority and，as usual，such a majority tends to assert itself in the long run．Therefore Black will want to upset the equilibrium at a fairly early stage of the game．

How is he going to do that？Black has a num－ ber of plans，but the larger story is that he must attend to the problem of limited space，which in turn means some problems with efficient development．In particular，his bishop on c8 can be a problem piece，even though it is a ＇good＇bishop．That＇s for several reasons：
a）．．．$\$$ ．f5 is either not on the cards because e4 is already in or will lose a tempo to that move；
b）．．． $\mathbf{m} . \mathrm{g} 4$ is sometimes not a good move， losing the bishop－pair．In situations where it would be desirable to exchange off that bishop （the timing can be delicate），White will often play a preemptive h 3 ，denying it access to g 4 ；
c) on b7, the bishop will run into White's well-guarded d5-pawn;
d) even on d7, it can obstruct Black's developmental scheme, in which ... Dbd7 is very often involved, and sometimes ... ©fd7 will be desirable to prevent White's e5 advance.

The good news for Black is that in any given opening situation, one of those squares tends to be both available and useful. For example, ...) g 4 is played in several main lines in order to release the pressure on e5. After an exchange on f 3 , it turns out that a combination of knights of d7 and f6 with a rook on e8 and bishop on g 7 produces a harmonious set-up in which the knight-pair is often as good as the bishop-pair, and even better in terms of supporting Black's goals in the centre and on the queenside. And a bishop on d7 can be surprisingly useful in supporting ...b5 after Black's knight makes a typical journey from a6 to c7. Here's a common picture:

W


Black has been trying to enforce ...b5 and White to prevent it. Now that this advance is imminent, White will often play a5, after which Black can play ... 0 b 5 (supported by the bishop on d7), a good move that eyes d 4 and even the exchange on c3. Alternatively, after a5, ... \& b5 is sometimes played to contest the c4-square.

## 8 全. 2 0-0 9 0-0 (D)

We've made a couple more moves. There arises a crucial issue: will Black be able to mobilize his queenside majority? The move ...b5 is his most likely pawn-break, mainly to prepare ...c4 and/or ...b4, but also giving his pieces some space to work with. The less frequent move ...c4 (by itself, that is, without ...b5) can sometimes

provide enough piece-play to compensate for the loss of the important d 4 -square. Then ... 2 c 5 attacks the e-pawn and puts pressure on the interior weaknesses on d 3 and b3 (assuming that White has played a4).

Barring either ...b5 or ...c4, Black will suffer a cramped position on the queenside. Thus White will concentrate his efforts in this area and of course look to e5, with the emphasis depending upon the specifics of the position. White normally plays a4, which in conjunction with his light-squared bishop and knight on c 3 is meant to hold down ...b5, at least until White implements his own goals. If White can suppress Black's principal freeing moves, he will have time to organize an attack of his own.

Assuming that White has control of the situation just described, then Black will have to look to the kingside. Remember that waiting around is usually bad for him since White has the best long-term weapon, the central majority. The other break against White's pawnchain is ...f5, difficult to organize because it weakens the interior square e6 so badly. Nevertheless, Black does succeed in breaking up the centre with ...f5 in a minority of positions, mainly because White's move exf5 will activate Black's bishop to f5, from where it can create threats. Finally, Black can try to launch some kind of effective kingside attack by, for example, ...g5-g4 or by some combination of
 The latter attack arises surprisingly often because White is so concerned with the queenside and moves his pieces in that direction.

Thus in the Modern Benoni we are faced with a situation that arises in many d-pawn
openings：Black＇s flank threats pitted against White＇s long－term advantages of space and cen－ tral pawn－structure．

From the position after $90-0$ ，we＇ll look at a few games that involve ．．．©a6．In this situation play tends to be entirely on the queenside．

## Kelečević－Burgermeister <br> Lenk 2000

## 9．．．© $\triangle$ a6

This may not be the most accurate move，be－ cause White can play 10 兽f4 and the knight is perhaps not best－placed on a6．Nevertheless， numerous masters have played $9 \ldots 2$ and this game introduces and illustrates the key ideas of the ．．． $\mathrm{D}^{2} 6$ strategy in general．

To begin with，Black aims for ．．．b5 and White tries to prevent it．

## 12 f3

White feels that he can prevent ．．．a6 and ．．．b5 with a timely 0 c 4 and 真． 4 ，sometimes in con－ junction with a5．Black will have a hard time defending 16 and getting anything at all started on the queenside．

12．．．b6！（D）


In response，Black introduces an alternate strategy．His c8－bishop can＇t find a good square in this position，so he simply trades it off and then expands on the queenside．

13 صc4
Why does White assent to Black＇s plan？For one thing，it may be that he will retain the ad－ vantage by doing so．Furthermore，a slow move like 13 sh1 might encourage Black to return to
the standard plan by $13 \ldots$ a6 14 Qc4 b5 15 פa5霊d7 with quite an interesting position in which White needs to find a way to proceed．

## 13．．．．量 $\mathbf{a} 6$

White＇s knight is awfully strong on c4，so it＇s worth giving up the bishop－pair to get rid of it．

14 全．g5 h6？！
This has been played in many games，but will lose a critical tempo．See the next game for a similar position in which Black plays ．．．䊦d7， a move which should probably be considered at this point．

15 血e3 磑d7 16 楿d2！
Even in a slow－looking positional line，every tempo counts．White attacks h6 and develops．

## 

Now how will White stop Black from ex－ panding by ．．．a6 and ．．．b5，which is his main goal in most Benonis？

18 ت゙abl！（ $D$ ）


He won＇t，but he＇ll stop the black pawns cold by playing b4．The combination of $\ddot{\square} \mathrm{b} 1$ and b 4 is another manoeuvre that is characteristic of Benoni positions．Note that this attacks the front of the pawn－chain，a mode of play quite as common as attacking the base．In the mean－ time，White＇s queen protects the knight on c 3 ， so all his pieces are safe and Black has no funny tactics along the a1－h8 diagonal．

## 18．．．a6 19 b4！b5 20 axb5 axb5 21 舌d3 c4

White was threatening the c－pawn．

## 22 密． 2 （D）

Let＇s take a tally of the opening and early middlegame：White has a space advantage and can use the d4－square for his pieces．He can also operate with the idea of e5．In return，Black

can brag about his passed pawn and great bishop on g7 compared to White＇s poor one on c2． This piece comparison is a bit of a wash，how－ ever，when we consider that Black＇s knight on c7 also has no good moves．Finally，the rooks are equally able to use the a－file if needed．Alto－ gether，White has more advantages than Black and he will show how to use them．

## 

This isn＇t subtle：White is aiming for an eventual e5．
 h5

To stop an attack by g 4 ．



It＇s a bad sign when Black is shuffling back and forth．

## 30 崰 3

Slowly but surely，White prepares for the as－ sault．He has a won game．

30．．．』а3？
What can be wrong with a pin？

## 31 全xf6！会xf6 32 e5！dxe5 33 气e4！

It wasn＇t much of a pin after all！ 34 幽xa3 is threatened and the fight is suddenly over．



The final blow is $39 \ldots$ ．．．d8 40 芭e6＋
Instead of $9 . . .2 \mathrm{a} 6$ ，Black＇s more accurate order is：

9．．．E88
Then White defends his pawn and prepares to head to the queenside：

10 ©d2（D）


We have arrived at an important and thematic variation that for years was the main battle－ ground for the Modern Benoni．As mentioned above，White can get to this position via the move－order 6 Øf3 g67 ©d2 \＆g78 e4 0－0 9食e2 ${ }^{\text {Ee }} 8100-0$ ．In order to focus on explain－ ing ideas rather than covering as many lines as possible，I shall continue to examine the ．．．$\searrow$ a6－c7 defensive scheme．It is probably the best of Black＇s options．

10．．． 26 （ $D$ ）
This is a stable variation，marked by ma－ noeuvring rather than tactics．As in the last game，Black is aiming for ．．．b5 via the moves ．．． $0 \mathrm{c} 7, \ldots \mathrm{~m}$ b and ．．．a6 in some order．If White plays a4－a5，the knight on c7 may move to b5， exerting influence over d 4 and c 3 ．By playing
 avoided the move 会f4 which might have dis－ turbed his plans in the last game（ $9 .$. Øa6 10 \＆f4）．With the order played，however，White has permitted the move ．．．\＃e8 in return for $\sum \mathrm{d} 2$ ，speeding up his plan of playing $\mathrm{f} 3,8 \mathrm{c} 4$ ．

Q．f4，and perhaps e5．He has an advantage in space and freer pieces，so he hopes that the re－ sulting positions will force Black on the defen－ sive．Neither side is consumed by the kind of tactics that characterize many main－line Benoni variations，so the underlying clash of ideas will be apparent throughout the opening stage．


## $11 \mathrm{f3}$ ©c7 12 a4 b6

Again，this is the distinguishing move．Pre－ paring ．．．b5 by ．．．a6 would allow ©c4 and ．．f4， attacking d6，and let White invade on b6（per－ haps with a5 first）．To preface this with ．．．巴̈b8 and ．．．b6 is slow and invites the move 兽 f 4 fol－ lowed by e5，when the bishop on f 4 strikes at the core of Black＇s position，through to c7 and b8．Therefore，as above，Black switches to the idea of a quick ．．．${ }^{\text {e }}$ a6，both to get rid of the bishop that he can＇t use and to eliminate White＇s powerful knight．Of course this costs time and the bishop－pair，so a kind of positional balance results．We follow two games：

## Beliavsky－Portisch <br> Szirak IZ 1987


Black avoids ．．．h6，which only gives White a target．

## 15 皆b1 金xc4 16 宔xc4 a6 17 b4

This is White＇s standard idea that we ex－ plained above；its purpose is to immobilize Black＇s pawns．

## 17．．．b5 18 密d3

18 axb5 axb5 would open the a－file for Black， who could fight for the initiative by ．．．』a3． Then the unprotected state of the knight on c3

makes it impossible to contest the a－file by Ea1，so Black could double or triple pieces on it．

## 18．．．c4 19 量c2

Here White has achieved his goal of limiting Black＇s pawn advance．As in the last game，his bishop on c2 is as bad as Black＇s knight on c7． The difference is that the latter piece isn＇t stuck where it is，and White＇s knight on c3 is unpro－ tected．

19．．．bxa4！（ $D$ ）


This is a common theme：if Black can＇t win the a－file（in the case where White plays axb5）， then he can often play ．．．bxa4 himself and win the b5－square for his knight on c 7 ．

20 齿xa4
 might follow $21 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 3$ 勾5 22 分b5 axb5 23

 IIal ©d7，when Black＇s knight is better than White＇s light－squared bishop；for example， 26
 vantage．

20．．．〇b5 21 क́ h1
Nothing stands out here for White；e．g．， 21

 ©xa7 是e3＋wins material．

w


This kind of pawn－structure will often fa－ vour White if Black still has his light－squared bishop（on d7，for example）．But here Black has no such bad pieces and he does have key advan－ tages：he controls the a－file，has great pressure down the long diagonal，and his c－pawn has the potential to be mobile if White needs to rear－ range his pieces in defence．

23 呈 3
Black＇s g7－bishop finally shows its stuff af－
 26 幽a3 气c3 27 范be1 0xd5 with two passed pawns for the exchange．This is close to win－ ning already．Black also stands better after 23幽cl 2d7！with the idea ．．．De5－d3．

23．．．$\triangle \mathrm{g} 4$ ！（ $D$ ）
24 㑒d4
White has major positional problems after
 with a beautiful outpost in front of the back－ ward pawn．


## 断xd4 数a7！

Black shows that he has won the opening． The exchange of dark－squared bishops has re－ vealed the superiority of Black＇s pawn－struc－ ture．White＇s pawn on b4 is a target and he is vulnerable to the break ．．．f5 because after exf5

his d－pawn will need tending．Those factors wouldn＇t be decisive but the passed c－pawn＇s power has grown with simplification．

## 28 米 3

a）After 28 喽xa7昆xa7，Black not only con－ trols the a－file but has ．．．f5 as a break in order to weaken White＇s e－pawn（after ．．．fxe4）or reach the seventh rank（after exf5）．
b）The same trick works in seemingly less fa－





This is an instructive ending，so I＇ll take it a


 33．．．tg7！and the king will take over e5： 34 \＆gl fxe4 35 fxe4

 and ．．．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{d} 4$.


After 29 fel ${ }^{2}$ a 2 Black takes over the file．

30 Еxal f5！ 31 Е्el 1 We5 and Black＇s advan－ tage is growing．


 Black picks up a pawn．


 Eb2 41 䊦f 2 曹e4 42 新h2 曹xd5 0－1

Pinter－Brynell<br>Elista OL 1998

13 \＆ $\mathbf{~ h}$（ $D$ ）


Again，this seems better than 15 ．．．h6．
16 当 e 1
16 数d2 would resemble Kelečević－Burger－ meister above．Then 16．．．两xc4 17 食xc4 a6 is

natural．The fact that White never gained time by forcing ．．．${ }^{\mathbf{G}} \mathrm{h} 7$ would mean that he doesn＇t get time for $\lfloor\mathrm{bl}$ and b 4 ．Therefore he should leave his rook on the a－file and try to make prog－ ress on the kingside；for example， 18 ． d 3 ！？ b5？（a mistake； $18 \ldots$ ．．．5！？with the idea ．．．2h7 is a good prelude to this queenside advance，and $18 . . .$| $\boxed{m}$ |
| :---: |
| $b$ |
| 7 | ？to cover the 2 nd rank is also useful） 19 axb5 axb5 20 घa7！b4 21 乌a4 雪d8 22 b3！ and White has a strong grip on the queenside squares．

16．．．全xc4 17 定xc4 a6 18 全f1 h6
18．．．． E b 7 would prepare ．．．b5 without allow－ ing White＇s rook to a7．Black plays this in two more moves，but by then White has prepared for the event．Timing is the essence of strategy！
 b4！（D）


## 22．．．c4

Crucially，there＇s no time to keep things open by $22 \ldots$ ．．．bxa 4 ？because of 23 bxc5 登xbl 24 亘xbl
 has no centre to oppose White＇s pawns with．

23 a5！（D）
The difference between this and Beliavsky－ Portisch is clear：with the queenside closed， White can play in the two areas where he has superiority，the centre and the kingside．At this point the respective opening strategies have been played out，and White＇s has been the successful one．

## 23．．．we7 24 全d4

It＇s always nice when this square is avail－ able．If Black is going to play ．．．c4 in the Ben－ oni and give up d4，he needs to be able to put a piece on c5 or otherwise open up the game．

 $f 4$ क्रh7

27．．．f5 28 e 5 dxe5 29 d6 $₫ \mathrm{e} 630$ fxe5 illus－ trates the dream position for White＇s centre．He even has a good bishop after g3 and 童g2（if necessary）．

## 

Normally White＇s worst piece in the Benoni， the bishop is now active and could even be ex－ changed to good effect．

Coming to d 4 ．In fact， 32 e5！was already strong due to $32 \ldots$ dxe5 33 d 6 ！©e6（ $33 \ldots$ ．．．．a8 34 莫xd7！営xd7 35 fxe5） 34 fxe5，when White dominates the position．



35 f5！？
A rather strange way of doing things，ceding an outpost to Black on e5．White feels that with his h3－bishop gone this will suffice，since it would take Black＇s knight five moves to get to

clear；e．g．， 37 exf5 Еxe3 38 fxg6 + 曹xg6 39 Exe3崰f7．

## 35．．．gxf5？

Giving away the f5－square is generally bad policy！He may as well make White prove that he has anything，by playing $35 \ldots$ ．．Wh W 6 ，for in－ stance．

36 公xf5 岂g6 37 h 3 ！
This threatens ${ }_{\text {玉e }} \mathrm{e} 2-\mathrm{h} 2$ ．Black has no good defence．
 1－0

A model game for White．

## Pawn－Storm Systems

7 f 4 （D）


This move defines the basic starting posi－ tion for pawn－storm variations．Like other In－ dian systems that permit White to construct a large centre，a fundamental test of the Benoni is whether White＇s centre pawns can be used sim－ ply to roll over Black＇s position or at least cramp him beyond acceptable bounds．For ex－ ample，the King＇s Indian Defence essentially passes this test when confronted with the Four Pawns Attack．The Grünfeld Defence holds up well if，having established a d4／e4 centre，White plunges ahead with f4．In the Sämisch Nimzo－ Indian，and in the 4 Uc2 0－0 5 e4 variation， Black can defend against the blind advance of White＇s centre（with great care，to be sure）．For all of these defences we find that White＇s ultra－ ambitious play can produce no more than dy－ namic equality．But interestingly，the Modern

Benoni has real difficulties with White＇s most primitive attacks via e4 and f4．We can ascribe this to various reasons，one of which is his de－ lay in castling．In the King＇s Indian，Black tends to castle on move 5，and in the Nimzo－ Indian as early as move 4．In the Benoni，not only is Black unprepared to castle until move 8 ， but his king can be subject to harassment in some lines．

7．．．量g7（D）


From this position，we＇re going to look at the Mikenas Attack， 8 e5，and the Taimanov At－ tack， 8 最b5＋． 8 乌f3 is the Four Pawns Attack of the King＇s Indian Defence；see that chapter． However，with this move－order Black is com－ mitted to a ．．．c5－based approach，and doesn＇t have option of an early ．．．0a6（and ．．．e5）as he did in the King＇s Indian Defence．

## The Mikenas Attack

$8 \mathrm{e5}$（D）


In the days when the Modern Benoni first gathered a steady following，this radical ad－ vance was used regularly．It comes very close to blowing Black＇s position apart．By investigat－ ing why it fails to do so，we can understand why the Benoni is possible at all．

## Bozinović－Cebalo

Pula 2002

## 8．．． Vfd7 $^{\text {d }}$

Black thinks that White＇s centre is overex－ tended and takes care not to open things up．In－ deed，e5 is attacked three times already，so White has to react．8．．．dxe5 9 fxe5 ©fd7 10 e6 is riskier．

## 9 ©b5！

a） 9 exd6 0－0 results in a broken centre for White and a large lead in development for Black．
b） $9 \triangleq \mathrm{e} 4$ dxe5 $10 \varrho \mathrm{~d} 6+$ transposes to the line we＇ll be looking at．
c）After 9 Qf3 0－0 10 量e2 dxe5 $11 \quad 0-0$乌a6！？ 12 定e3 E．e8，Black threatens ．．．e4 and remains a pawn ahead．
d） 9 e6？！fxe6 10 dxe6 0 b 6 is a model of overextension！White＇s e－pawn is vulnerable and he trails in development．



Black＇s king is stuck in the centre，which is a serious disadvantage，but this situation would be a lot more convincing if White had more de－ veloped pieces and he weren＇t a pawn down！

## 11 分c8＋

11 b5 is the main alternative，with the idea $12 \mathrm{~d} 6+$ and 0 c 7 ．After $11 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{a} 612 \mathrm{~d} 6+$ gff

White again lacks enough pieces out to cause Black＇s king any serious difficulties．

11．．．数xc8 12 Øf3 e4！（D）


Not the only move，but an important one to remember in a number of fianchetto openings like the King＇s Indian，Pirc，and Modern．Black prevents the opening of lines by fxe 5 with tempo and leaves the f4－pawn looking particularly stu－ pid because it only gets in the way of the cl－ bishop and a rook on fl （after 0－0）．Now Black would like to＇castle＇in peace by means of ．．．${ }^{\text {e }} 8$ and ．．． 8 f 8 ．From White＇s point of view， however，．．．e4 uses a tempo while Black＇s king is still exposed，and a pawn on d6 could be a powerful influence on the game．Finally，f7 presents itself as a target．

## 13 صg5

$13 \mathrm{~d} 6+6 \mathrm{~d} 8140 \mathrm{~g} 5$ transposes．

In the current position， 15 W $15 \ldots c 4$ ，so White tries to dislodge the trouble－ some knight on b6．


15．．．h6
$15 .$. a 5 ？？is a blunder because Black loses a piece after 16 新b3．

16 公xf7？
16 a5 hxg5 17 axb6 0 c 6 or $17 \ldots \mathrm{a}$ is hard to assess；however，Black＇s pieces are active and White doesn＇t have any of his own in play yet．

16．．．dxf7 17 a5
Returning the piece to block everything off． Now it＇s White who can＇t castle！

18 axb6 0 c 619 bxa7 断e6！？ 20 \＆ 2 2 g $_{6} 7$


The alternative $23 \ldots .0 \mathrm{~b} 4$ is also strong be－ cause ．．．$\triangle \mathrm{d} 3+$ will force open more lines．Black wins fairly easily from this point onwards：

 تxh4 gxh4 32 紫xh4 $9 \mathrm{~d} 4+33$ del e3 34
宣xh6＋0－1

## Taimanov Attack

8 㿾 $\mathrm{b5}+(\mathrm{D})$


Here we have the contemporary main line of
 early 0 f 3 ）．This simple check has proven to be a reliable weapon．Its point is that，by contrast with $8 \mathrm{e} 5,8$ 㤅b5＋speeds White＇s development and assists in castling before over－committing White＇s centre．In fact，the move e5 may be greatly delayed or it might never even happen． But the constant threat of e5 can force Black into convoluted piece placements and passive play．Because of the Taimanov Attack，some players intending to use the Benoni wait for

White to commit to $\Delta_{\mathrm{f} 3}$ ，as in the line $1 \mathrm{~d} 4 D_{\mathrm{f} 6}$ 2 c 4 e 63 合3，before playing $3 \ldots \mathrm{c} 54 \mathrm{~d} 5$ exd5， etc．

Nevertheless，the play resulting from 8 eb5＋ is terribly double－edged and unresolved in the－ oretical terms．Although facing the difficulties just described，Black may be able to create play on the queenside，and White is also running some risks due to his exposed centre．

## 8．．．$\triangle \mathrm{fd} 7$

Apart from general considerations，there is a specific problem for Black：how to answer the check！8．．．0bd7 can be met by 9 e5，threaten－ ing the knight on f 6 as well as e6．This leads to extreme complications following 9．．．dxe5 10
 you will see throughout the whole of chess practice） 13 hxg 3 ！（ 13 ©f3 2 xc3＋14 bxc3
 14 酉 $\mathrm{e} 3(D)$ ．


You need to be aware of this kind of thing if you play 7 f 4 and 8 e b5＋．White will end up with two pieces for a rook，but his king is ex－ posed．This is something that you＇ll have to look up and／or study．But I shall say that exist－ ing theory begs for improvement．Likewise with the variation 8．．．量d79e5 dxe5 10 fxe5 th5 11

 $\mathrm{b5}$ ，and so on and so forth．

Fortunately，8．．．乌fd7，to which we now re－ turn，is very likely better and more strategi－ cally－based than the alternatives．

## $9 \mathrm{a4}$（D）

Having drawn the knight back to d7，White can return to a Four Pawns Attack set－up by 9
\＆．e2（9 气 d 3 is also possible；note that both 9宜e2 and $9 \hat{\text { en }} \mathrm{d} 3$ run away from Black＇s planned ．．．a6 and ．．．b5 so that ．．．a6 can now be met by a4， quashing any notion of ．．．b5 for a long time to come）9．．．0－0 10 号3．Whether this is advanta－ geous or disadvantageous is unclear，because a knight on d7 serves a prophylactic function versus e5 but doesn＇t attack the centre．You should definitely consult the King＇s Indian chapter in order to understand this one．Typical Benoni moves might follow，such as ．．．${ }^{\text {Ee8 }}$ ， ．．．Da6－c7，．．．a6，．．．』b8，etc．，with ．．．b5 as the goal．White plays for the e5 break，typically prepared by moves such as a4，\＃̈el，贵f1 and h3．


With 9 a 4 ，White obviously wants to pre－ vent Black from playing ．．．a6 and ．．．b5，but he also wants to see what his opponent is doing so that he can react accordingly．From this point we＇ll look at games with $9 \ldots 0-0,9 \ldots .0^{6} 6$ and 9．．．类h $4+$ ，beginning with two famous perfor－ mances by Kasparov．They made 8 \＆ $\mathrm{b} 5+$ the centre of attention，and it has remained so ever since．

Development by 9．．．0－0

> Kasparov - Kuijpers
> Dortmund jr Wch 1980

## 9．．．0－0 10 §f3 a6

10．．．$\triangle \mathrm{a} 6110-0 \triangleq \mathrm{c} 7(D)$ is a common se－ quence：

12 בel（ 12 皿e 2 and 12 宜 4 have also been
 b5 16 axb6 $0 x b 617$ \＆ e 3 凤b5！？and here

instead of 18 h3？！，played in Bermejo Marti－ nez－Oleksienko，Peniscola 2002，Emms recom－
 he adds that $19 \ldots$ 玉xe4！？ 20 辳xe4 崽f5 21
 compensation．In fact，even the materialistic ma－ chines think that Black is equal in this position．

11 㑒e2！
11 全c4 is also possible．The idea is that after White plays e5 and Black responds with ．．．dxe5， then White＇s move d6 will open up a wonderful diagonal for the c4－bishop，aiming directly at f7．But after 11．．．©b6！，Black has had no trou－ ble holding his own because he gets．．．． i 4 in ． Compare other lines in this section．

11．．． $0 \mathrm{f6}$ ？
This has a bad feel to it．White gets a KID Four Pawns Attack a full tempo ahead with a4 and ．．．a6 inserted．This is a significant advan－ tage in a violent attacking line．
$120-0$（D）


12．．．䡼c7？！

This can＇t be best，but what is？It has been claimed that $12 \ldots$ ．．．e8 is better，but then 13 e5 dxe5（13．．．©fd7 14 e6 fxe6 15 dxe6 0 ff 16 f5 gxf5 17 g 5 and the attack is worth much more than a pawn） 14 fxe5 0 g 415 皿g5（or 15 e 6

 yields a winning game．

13 e5 ©e8 14 e6！fxe6 15 萓c4！（ $D$ ）

B


## 15．．．整e7

Black still can＇t get developed（15．．．exd5？ loses to 16 色xd5）．

16 dxe6 ©c7 17 f5！©c6（D）
Some of White＇s characteristic tactics are
 Exf6 and one fascinating winning line is 20 De4






The main alternative would be 20 ．．． $\mathbf{E x f 5} 21$
 give White a winning advantage．
 （D）


24 分xf5！分x5 25 气xe6 气xe6 26 Еxe6


Development by 9．．．仓a6

## Kasparov－Nunn

Lucerne OL 1982

## 9．．． $0 \mathrm{a6}$

Black wants to move rapidly on the queen－ side．For a similar idea，see 9．．．0－0 10 气f 3 气a6 above．

## 10 Øf3 0 b4！？ 11 0－0 a6？

This move just doesn＇t work out due to an unexpected idea by Kasparov． $11 \ldots 0-0$ is the natural alternative，though then White has time to provide the f1－square as a convenient spot for his bishop to drop back to when hit by ．．．a6．

12 食xd7＋！食xd7 13 f5！（D）
It＇s surprising but logical（with hindsight！） to give up the bishop－pair，because White gives up his bad bishop while extending the range of his good one．In the meantime，although the knight on b4 hits some good internal squares，it doesn＇t manage to return to the centre，from where it would protect his kingside．

## 13．．．0－0

After 13．．．gxf5， 14 exf5 0－0 15 gg5 sets up a nice attack，while 14 余g5！？金f6 15 全f4 0－0 16 e5 dxe5 17 ©xe5 is also possible．

## 14 気g5 f6


 e5 dxe5 17 ©xe5 gives White an obvious ad－ vantage．

15 这 4 gxf5？！
But 15 ．．．We 7 is uninspiring： 16 fxg 6 hxg 617 ©h4 tith718要g3！．

## 16 复xd6 良xa4

Kasparov offers the line 16．．．巴e8 17 宣xc5 fxe4 18 Ød4 0 d 319 气xe4！

## 17 コxa4 敞xd6 18 ゆh4！

The point．White captures f 5 （Kasparov＇s fa－ vourite square），which can＇t be challenged by Black＇s pieces．He also plays against an en－ tombed bishop．

## 18．．．fxe4 19 亿f5 鄨d7 20 包xe4 家h8 21

包xc51－0The finish might be $21 \ldots$ 岺xd5 22 婦xd5气xd5 23 这．This short game contains re－ markably many positional and tactical themes．

## The Queen Check Variation

## 9．．．崰h4＋

This check has become one of Black＇s most popular moves．9．．．a6 is often played in order to see where the bishop is going before decid－ ing upon the desired set－up．That＇s a technical move－order issue，however，and we want to get a feel for the broader ideas．In this section some of the games actually transposed from $9 \ldots \mathrm{a}$ ．

10 g 3 楼 $\mathbf{d 8}$（ $D$ ）

 From e7 the queen puts direct pressure on e4 but is somewhat more vulnerable to the e5 ad－ vance，because ．．．dxe 5 might be answered by d6．


Black has had fair success from this position and similar ones with ．．．a6 in．What on earth is going on？He sacrifices two tempi to provoke the little move g3．This has several ideas behind it：
a）First，it asks White exactly what his plan is if Black doesn＇t expose his pieces to direct at－ tack．Often the answer to that question in other lines is the manoeuvre 暻d2－el－h4（or 金g3）， but that is eliminated here．
b）The move g 3 weakens White＇s kingside pawn－structure．
c）Black＇s light－squared bishop，his main problem in this variation，now has good chances to get to g4（or h3）．Once that occurs he can play ．．．全xf3 and neutralize White＇s threat to advance by e5．White can only stop ．．．量g4 by further weakening himself．

Or so the theory goes．Of course，White isn＇t crying over his fate and is glad to get the oppor－ tunity to use two extra tempi productively－es－ pecially in an attacking position！

11 gf3 0－0 12 0－0 a6（D）


Now there are various bishop retreats．I＇ll give some sample games．

## Van Beek－Gofshtein

Tel Aviv 2001

## 13 食 2 2

Covering h 3 against intrusion by a black bishop．Black did well after 14 荘c2 0 f 615 e 5

 a pawn，two bishops and tremendous pieces，in Tikkanen－de Firmian，Swedish Cht 2001／2．
$14 . . .2 \mathrm{f} 615 \mathrm{e} 5$ ！？dxe5 16 fxe5 0 g 417 e6
A good try to seize the initiative． 17 亶g5

 White has enough for his pawn，but no more than that．Theoretically，this line ends in equal－ ity．

## 17．．．fxe6 18 亿g5 亿f6？！

Probably best is 18 ．．．$D \mathrm{e} 5$ ！ 19 dxe6 曹xd1 20 Exdl b6 with equality．

19 㑒 $\mathbf{c} 4$ ？
 Eaxd8 $22 \hat{\mathbf{Q}} 55$ with some edge for White， Muir－E．Peicheva，Copenhagen 1990.

## 19．．．b5！

The hl－a8 diagonal is weak．
20 axb5 全b7 21 包ge4？
21 bxa6！？Øxa6 isn＇t so clear．
21．．．थxe4 22 ©xe4 axb5 23 㑒g5 Exa1 24

## 

With two extra pawns，Black went on to win．

## Bareev－Gelfand <br> Khanty－Mansiisk（FIDE WCup） 2005




17 e5？！
White lashes out．The question is whether he has any better options．It＇s likely，but not with 17 a5？，when a typical trick followed：17．．．\＆xc3
 21 did 2 and Black was a pawn up for noth－ ing in Laine－Paavilainen，Helsinki 1990.

17．．．dxe5 18 fxe5 \＆xf3 19 皆xf3 08 d 720



Or 25 ．．． 2 e 5 with advantage．




Black stands better，even though these two world－class players eventually drew．

We conclude with two games of interest：

## Palo－de Firmian

Copenhagen 2001

## 13 量d3

This third retreat is the most popular one．
$13 . . . \searrow \mathrm{f6}(D)$


## 14 Еe1

We shall see 14 f 5 in the game that follows this one．
$14 \hat{\&} \mathrm{~d} 2$ is another possibility，but $14 \ldots$ \＆ e 3 ！

 game in Hammer－Bronznik，Rommelshausen 2002.

14．．．金g4 15 㑒 e 2

I suspect that 15 㑒f1 ©bd7 16 h3 全xf3 17帰xf3 is better，as in Brasoy－Vik Hansen，Alta 2003．Now Emms suggests that $17 . . . \omega^{W}$ a5 is best，when Black will aim to advance with ．．．b5 or，less often，with ．．．c4．
 Qc4 $0 \mathrm{~b} 6(D)$


A knight on c 4 tends to be so powerful that Black challenges it more often than not．The op－ posing knight on b6 is particularly well－placed to do so．
 22 a5 亿bd7 23 Øc2
 capture on c3 and e4，and 24 e5 dxe5 25 fxe5
 White anything for his pawn．

23．．．莫xc3！ 24 bxc3（D）


## 24．．．c4！

A characteristic sacrifice．


26 副b4 Qxd5 isn＇t exactly inspiring either


26．．． 0 c5
Black＇s pieces are in their ideal Benoni spots，and he is even getting his pawn back．
 30 Ee1 $0 x a 531 \mathrm{c} 4$

Otherwise White＇s bishop remains passive．
31．．． 0 xc4 32 全a1 包b6？
$32 . . . \triangle x d 5!$ is good，with three extra pawns； perhaps this didn＇t look safe enough．Now he gets only two doubled pawns but they are suffi－ cient．
 W Wb5 axb5
and Black went on to win．

M．Carlsen－K．Lie<br>Norwegian Ch（Molde） 2004

## 13 全d3 ©f6 $14 \mathrm{f5}$ ©g4！？

The obvious $14 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{bd} 7$ protects the outpost （which is in front of a backward pawn）and needs more tests．In Ragnarsson－H．Olafsson， Reykjavik 1998，Black got an excellent game

 concede the occupation of e5 by a knight！） 21
 will close the kingside and then try to win on the queenside．Nevertheless，White can improve upon this play and we shall probably see some tests of this variation．

15 点g5！？幽b6 $16 \mathrm{a} 5!(D)$


## 

17．．．数xal！？is an interesting alternative．





29 fxg 6 ！followed by 30 金xc5 is correct．
29．．．首x5！
Suddenly White＇s king is badly exposed．

Black overlooks 31．．．h6！，which effectively wins the game after $32 \triangleq c 7$ ge3！or 32 』f 3 ©xh2＋！．

and White went on to win．Even taking into account Black＇s alternatives from move 14 on－ wards， 14 f 5 poses an interesting challenge to the 9 ．．．嘗h $4+$ variation．

## Fianchetto System

$6 \triangleq \mathrm{f} 3(\mathrm{D})$


In the variations above White played e4． Here he denies Black a central target．

6．．．g6
Now we shall look at the fianchetto lines be－ ginning with 7 g 3 ． 7 盆f4 may transpose into one of the 食f4 systems mentioned above． Without entering into a serious discussion，in－ dependent play can come from such lines as 7．．．a6 8 a4 全g79 e4（9 h3 0－0 10 e3 is safe
夏xf3 11 曾xf3 $0-0$ with the idea ．．．we7 and ．．．巳bd7 is considered fully equal） $10 \ldots$ ．．． exf 311
 $0-0$ with plenty of compensation for a pawn．

7 g 3 全g78 宣g2 0－0 90－0（D）


We haven＇t examined many fianchetto lines versus Indian systems in this book．With this variation we have a solid approach that de－ fends d5，and to all appearances doesn＇t do much else．Of course that＇s not so．In the initial stages of the game，White proceeds along the principle of prophylaxis to counter Black＇s normal plans．Then he patiently reorganizes， implementing his own strategy of piece－play versus d6 and eventual expansion in the centre． This usually involves the manoeuvre $\int_{\mathrm{d} 2-\mathrm{c} 4 \text { ，}}$ possibly in conjunction with 国 $^{\text {f }} 4$ ．Of a sudden Black can be helpless against the threats，fre－ quently created by a delayed e4－e5．Remem－ ber that a central majority is often the last thing to be mobilized，but then it can prove deadly！

On the flip side，White＇s bishop on g2 runs right into its own pawn on d5．Furthermore， Black＇s efforts to realize ．．．b5 and ．．．c4 benefit from the absence of the bishop from the f1－a6 diagonal．His most popular plan involves ．．．a6 and ．．．$\searrow$ bd7 and，once White＇s knight gets to c 4 ，he can play ．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{b} 6$ or ．．． 2 e 5 to challenge it． There＇s also nothing wrong with ．．．乌a6－c7 as long as Black is careful to watch the tactics． Finally，before White reorganizes his pieces Black can play for ．．．引e8 and ．．．包4．All this should be done as quickly as possible before White＇s pawns assert themselves．If White can fend off short－term threats，as he often can，he will normally remain with some advantage．

Let＇s see games using these ideas．

## Play Down the e－File

[^1]

Black aims for ．．．De4．Here are two games that show different treatments：

Nikolić－Hraček<br>Batumi Echt 1999

10 食f4
White allows the following thematic intru－ sion because he wants to develop rapidly．
 ̈b4（D）


So far，so standard．Black takes up an active post and attacks b2．If he can develop his other pieces he should have the superior game．That＇s a big＇if＇．

## 14 \＃a2！米e7

If Black doesn＇t capture the b2－pawn his whole idea is in danger of failing．So the critical line goes $14 \ldots$ 要xb2！？（taking an initiative by $14 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 515 \mathrm{f} \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{f} 5$ is a reasonable idea；on the other hand，after $14 \ldots \pm x b 2$ ？ 15 思xb2 \＆xb2 16 Qc4！White wins the pawn on d6，after which
his own d－pawn will roll forward，among other problems for Black） 15 宸c2 虫g7 16 ©c4 b5！ 17 axb5 Exc4！（the only chance；this is all Emms＇s analysis） 18 数xc4 axb5 19 畨c2 昆xa2 20 㟶xa2 b4 with a passed pawn and some play for the exchange．



White keeps Black＇s knight out of e5．He has space and the centre，while Black＇s unchal－ lengeable rook on b 4 provides some definite compensation，but probably not enough．

Black still had 22．．．ゆf6 with the idea 23 e4？！ b5 24 axb5 axb5，which looks OK for him．In－ stead，however， 23 a5！全d7 24 e 4 首b5 invites 25 送 2 ！，when the dream move e5 can＇t be
 e5！dxe5 28 鄑55 f6 29 d 6 ！（ or 29 㬐xg4＋）
 घexd7 33 fxe5 and wins．

With his centre pawns and a－file，White stands clearly better．A model positional effort by Nikolić．

## Kalisvaart－Ballo

Van Gent 1998
10 थd2 b6！？ 11 台c4！？
The prophylactic moves 11 h 3 and 11 a 4 are better tries for advantage．



This is like the Old Main Line with ．．．©a6． The move ．．．b5 can＇t be stopped，which means that at the very least Black has no problems

## 14 a4！？b5！ 15 室d3

15 axb5？？loses outright to $15 \ldots$ axb5．
 19 b3！？cxb3 20 迷xc7？

20 严 xb 3 is best，but then $20 \ldots \mathrm{e} 421$－a 2 4 c 3 is strong．
 Qb6（D）


Black will end up with two advanced passed pawns and much the better game．

Direct Support of ．．．b5 by the Knight


Black initiates his familiar plan of ．．．Dc7． $\ldots \mathrm{a}, \ldots \Xi \mathrm{b} 8$ and ．．．b5．In this old game the plan doesn＇t hold together，but with accurate play theory concludes that it＇s satisfactory．

 $\Delta \mathbf{d 2} 0 \mathbf{c} 718 \mathrm{f4}(\mathrm{D})$

B


A terrific picture of the two majorities collid－ ing．
 ©xf6 22 d 6 ！

Korchnoi＇s treatment of the position has been masterful．



Tal has managed to scrape up some activity by resourceful play，but White is clearly ahead．

 Wivg（D）


It＇s amazing that Black lasts 20 more moves！
33．．．Ee4！ 34 च゙f2

 with a draw．





White misses a way to break down Black＇s


 घc4 a4（D）


Amazingly，what seemed a slaughter has turned into a race！

49 בe7 a3
49．．．曾xf6＋loses by a tempo： 50 部xf6 a3 51 e6 宣xe6 52 部xe6 axb2 53 d 7 ．

The most fascinating defence is 51 ．．．${ }^{\text {wib }}$ b5 +


 hxg6 62 e 7.

A wonderful game．
The Flexible 9．．．ضbd7

## Ligterink－Nunn

Marbella Z 1982
9．．． 0 bd7（D）
Black plays traditionally，covering e5 before committing to ．．．．コe8 or ．．．a6．

10 e4
10 h 3 is more solid．
10．．．a6
There＇s nothing wrong with this，but later the straightforward 10．．．b5！was discovered，with

the idea of meeting $11 \triangleq \times b 5 \triangleq x e 412 \triangleq$ el by 12．．．a6！．

## 

An instructive illustration of White fulfilling his ambitions in the Benoni is given by Nunn：

 Qb6 20 fxe5 and White＇s centre is simply too powerful，especially in conjunction with weak squares like f6．

## 14 e5！？Qdxe5

This prepares a lovely queen sacrifice．Lig－ terink may have expected $14 \ldots$ ．．dxe5 15 d 6 with the d 5 outpost and good prospects．Black can expand on the kingside，but his pieces aren＇t well placed for defence．

15 乌xe5 ©xe5 16 f4 $94!(D)$


## 17 登xe8 堅xe8 18 艮2

Black＇s ideas include ．．．全d4＋and ．．．2e3，so White attends to the former．Nunn gives a num－ ber of alternatives here，Black getting at least equality in all of them；e．g．， 18 乌e4 邑xe4！ 19
 will fork the king and queen！） 20 ．．．cxd4 21 宜e7
 with a level game．



20．．．b5！？
Black daringly rejects the draw which was to be had by $20 \ldots$ ．．． 2 ．He only has a rook and pawn for the queen，but the bishops are a terror． This is more or less what the opening has led to（！），so I＇ll limit the remaining notes：

21 g 4

 one of White＇s better lines，according to Nunn， but he＇d still rather be Black．

数xg2
 Vegh＇s suggestion，and it may improve；never－ theless，I would rather play Black．

## 27．．．氜e3！ 28 皆f1

 c 4 and the three pawns are much stronger than the piece．

## 28．．．Еаe8 29 f5？

Instead， 29 h 3 ！offers more resistance．
$29 . . . g 530 \mathrm{f6}$ th8！ 31 金 xg 5 hxg 532 Qf5



## Play on Both Wings

9．．．a6（D）
Again aiming for ．．．b5，but delaying ．．．$仓 \mathrm{bd} 7$ ．


10a4 発e8 11 ©d2
In this line White prevents ．．．©e4 and pre－ pares to take over the queenside．

## 11．．．2bd7

This time we have two games：

R．O＇Kelly－Mariotti<br>Islington 1970

## 12 e4？！

This doesn＇t go with White＇s philosophy in this variation．The advance e4 should only be played after White reorganizes to control that square，starting with the moves $\int \mathrm{c} 4, \mathrm{~h} 3$ and貫 44 ．Better is 12 h 3 ！，with the idea that we shall see in Kovačević－Nemeth below．

## 12．．．2e5

Attacking d 3 but also eyeing g 4 ．You can see the usefulness of h3．

13 歯 $\mathbf{c} 2$ 亿 h 5 （ $D$ ）
Black prepares ．．．f5．He has achieved equal－ ity and more out of the opening．

## 14 f4？

An instructive error，because it demonstrates the extent to which the dynamic qualities of Black＇s position can dominate．That doesn＇t be－ come clear for a few more moves． 14 h 3 was again better．

## 14．．．$\triangleq \mathrm{g} 415$ ©f3 f5！

This is arguably the move of the game．It＇s what White must have missed，and without it the attack would have petered out．

## 16 气g5

A key variation is the advance 16 e 5 dxe 517 h3，which fails to 17 ．．．e4！ 18 hxg4 exf3 19 gxh5 fxg2 20 ． xg 2 gxh5．


This exchange sacrifice exploits White＇s light－squared weaknesses by eliminating their defender．

19．2cxe4 金f5（D）


Black has one internal weakness on e6；White has four of them！This marks the end of the ＇opening＇．

20 客g2 崊d7 21 金d2 h6 22 气e6
This terrific outpost never compensates for Black＇s attack，in particular because of White＇s exposed king position．According to analysts， the best alternative was 22 h 3 פgf6 23 g 4




Even though he＇s temporarily a rook down， Black is close to winning on the spot．



Black＇s idea is $27 \triangleq \mathrm{xd} 4 \mathrm{cxd} 428$ 量 $\mathrm{e} 7+$ 当xe7 $29 \mathrm{fxe} 70 \mathrm{e} 3+$ ．In a good position the tactics tend to work your way．

The knight on f 5 occupies a great outpost that almost equals White＇s on e6．As Black is a piece and pawn ahead，there＇s really nothing for White to play for．

Kovačević－Nemeth<br>Karlovac 1979

$12 \mathrm{h3}$（D）


## 12．．．む゙b8 13 Øc4 亿e5

This leads to chaos almost by force．The po－ sitional option 13．．．ゆb6 leads to unbalanced， equal play．

14 气a3！
Getting ready for f 4 followed by returning to c 4 with the knight．In view of the overwhelming position that would then result，Black is virtu－ ally forced to sacrifice a piece．The tactics are important if we are to understand what each side＇s strengths are．

## 14．．．$仓 \mathrm{~h} 5$

Sriram－Antonio，Calcutta 2001 continued 14．．．醍d7！？ 15 f 4 乌h5 16 fxe 5 是xe5 17 全 44 ？
 ${ }^{W}{ }^{W} \mathrm{f} 3$ ．White is better in this complex variation， although the last word may not have been spo－ ken．

15 e4（D）
15．．．f5？！


The classic game Korchnoi－Kasparov，Lu－ cerne OL 1982 went 15 ．．．巴f8 16 the 2 f 517 f 4 b5 18 axb5 axb5 19 気axb5，and Black didn＇t re－ ally have enough for a pawn but won in the com－ plications． 15 ．．．ed $\mathrm{d} 7!$ ？is considered unclear．

16 exf5 全xf5 17 g 4 全xg4！ 18 hxg 4 罀 h 419 gxh5 ${ }^{\text {ün }} \mathrm{f} 8$（ $D$ ）

After $19 \ldots \varrho \mathrm{~g} 4,20$ 盁f4 stops the attack．

$20 \mathrm{~h} 6!$ 食h8 21 它c4！！
An amazing defence．Black can＇t capture without losing the initiative．


25 ．．． E d 3 looks worth a try．

and White eventually won．
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## 1 d4 Miscellaneous

A40 9; A45 13, 183; A50 114; A56 290

## Modern Benoni

A60 310; A62 311, 314; A63 312; A64 315; A65 291; A66 301, 302; A67 304, 306, 307, 308, 309; A68 196, 199, 200; A69 196, 197;
A70 293, 296; A77 298; A79 300

## $1 \mathrm{d4}$ d5 Miscellaneous

D00 10; D05 101

## Queen's Gambit:

Unusual 2nd Moves
D06 11, 12, 14; D07 17, 18, 19; D08 15; D09 16

## Slav

D10 70, 95; D13 97; D15 74; D17 86, 87, 89 , 90, 92, 93; D18 76, 78, 79, 80, 84; D19 82, 83

## Queen's Gambit Declined:

Exchange and Miscellaneous
D30 12, 21; D31 20, 42, 44, 45, 66; D35 21, 22, 46, 51, 54; D36 49, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62, 64, 65

## Semi-Slav

D43 98; D45 111; D47 102, 103, 109; D48 104, 105, 106

## Queen's Gambit Declined:

Classical and Orthodox
D50 23; D55 25; D56 27, 28; D58 35, 40, 41; D59 36, 37; D63 29; D67 32, 33; D68 34

## Grünfeld

D80 248; D85 249, 250, 251, 268, 270, 272, 274, 275, 279, 280; D86 253; D87 255, 257. 258, 259, 260, 262; D88 264; D89 265, 267;
D97 280, 283; D98 286, 288; D99 287
Colle
E00 67

## Queen's Indian

E10 164, E12 173, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182; E15 165, 167, 169, 171, 172; E19 168

Nimzo-Indian: Sämisch and others
E20 116; E24 120, 122, 123, 126; E27 120, 129; E29 132, 133, 134

Nimzo-Indian: Classical
E32 143, 155, 156, 158, 160, 161; E34 143, 147; E35 148, 150, 152, 153

## Nimzo-Indian: 4 e3

E41 139, 140, 141, 142; E43 10; E46 135, 136;
E49 128; E49 130; E50 137; E57 135

## King's Indian: Averbakh, Four Pawns, Sämisch and others

E61 185; E70 186; E73 240; E76 188, 191, 201; E80 241; E81 245, 246; E87 242

King's Indian: Classical
E90 184, 206; E92 207; E94 208, 209, 211, 216; E95 212; E97 216, 231, 232, 233, 234, 237; E98 218, 219; E99 221, 222, 224, 226, 228, 229


[^0]:    11．．．今h4 12 㑒g1

[^1]:    9．．．巴е 8 （ $D$ ）

